1	
2	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF FAIRFAX COUNTY
3	APPIAN CORPORATION,
4	Plaintiff,
5	
6	vs. Case No.
7	2020-07216
8	PEGASYSTEMS, INC. and
9	YOUYONG ZOU,
10	Defendants.
11	
12	Trial - Day 26
13	
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE RICHARD GARDINER
15	Thursday, May 5, 2022
16	9:31 a.m.
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	REPORTED BY: AMANDA GORRONO, CLR CLR NO. 052005-01
25	JOB NO. 210308

	Dago 7074			Dogo 7075
1	Page 7974	1		Page 7975
2	05/05/2022	2	APPEARANCES	
3	9:31 a.m.	3	ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Jeffrey Ginsberg, Esq.	
	9.51 a.m.	1	Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler	
4		5	1133 Avenue of the Americas	
5	Proceedings of the 26th day of	6	New York, NY 10036	
6	trial, with the witnesses and all parties	7		
7	and their counsel participating in person,		-AND-	
8	pursuant to the Rules of the Fairfax	8	Ndeel Manai Tar	
9	County Circuit Court, subject to such	9	Adeel Mangi, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler	
10	stipulations as may be recited herein or		1133 Avenue of the Americas	
		10 11	New York, NY 10036	
11	attached hereto, heard before the	12	-AND-	
12	Honorable Richard Gardiner; Amanda Gorrono	13	Muhammad Faridi, Esq.	
13	being the Live Note Certified Court	14	Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas	
14	Reporter and Notary Public of the State of	14	New York, NY 10036	
15	New York who reported the proceedings.	15		
16		16	-AND-	
17		17	1012	
18			Matthew Weiss, Esq.	
		18	Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas	
19		19	New York, NY 10036	
20		20		
21		21 22	-AND- Clinton Morrison, Esq.	
22		22	Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler	
23		23	1133 Avenue of the Americas	
24		24	New York, NY 10036	
25		25		
			(CONT'D)	
1	Page 7976	1		Page 7977
1	-	1	APPEARANCES (CONT'D)	Page 7977
2 3	Page 7976 A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION:	2 3	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC.,	Page 7977
2	- A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Maggie O'Neil, Esq.	2	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Anita Spieth, Esq.	Page 7977
2 3 4	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Maggie O'Neil, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler	2 3	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC.,	Page 7977
2 3	- A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Maggie O'Neil, Esq.	2 3 4 5	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Anita Spieth, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart	Page 7977
2 3 4 5 6	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Maggie O'Neil, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas	2 3 4 5 6	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Anita Spieth, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place	Page 7977
2 3 4 5	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Maggie O'Neil, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036	2 3 4 5	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Anita Spieth, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place	Page 7977
2 3 4 5 6	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Maggie O'Neil, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas	2 3 4 5 6	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Anita Spieth, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND-	Page 7977
2 3 4 5 6 7	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Maggie O'Neil, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Anita Spieth, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- John Calhoun, Esq.	Page 7977
2 3 4 5 6 7	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Maggie O'Neil, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 -AND- Abhishek Bapna, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler	2 3 4 5 6 7	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Anita Spieth, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND-	Page 7977
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Maggie O'Neil, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 -AND- Abhishek Bapna, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Anita Spieth, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- John Calhoun, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart	Page 7977
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Maggie O'Neil, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 -AND- Abhishek Bapna, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Anita Spieth, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- John Calhoun, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place	Page 7977
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Maggie O'Neil, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 -AND- Abhishek Bapna, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Anita Spieth, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- John Calhoun, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Bryana McGillycuddy, Esq.	Page 7977
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Maggie O'Neil, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 -AND- Abhishek Bapna, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Anita Spieth, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- John Calhoun, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Bryana McGillycuddy, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart	Page 7977
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Maggie O'Neil, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 -AND- Abhishek Bapna, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Anita Spieth, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- John Calhoun, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Bryana McGillycuddy, Esq.	Page 7977
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Maggie O'Neil, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 -AND- Abhishek Bapna, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 ALSO ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF: Sheila Costin, Esq. Ellen Marcus, Esq.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Anita Spieth, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- John Calhoun, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Bryana McGillycuddy, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place	Page 7977
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Maggie O'Neil, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 -AND- Abhishek Bapna, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 ALSO ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF: Sheila Costin, Esq. Ellen Marcus, Esq. Holmes Costin & Marcus	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Anita Spieth, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- John Calhoun, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Bryana McGillycuddy, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110	Page 7977
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Maggie O'Neil, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 -AND- Abhishek Bapna, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 ALSO ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF: Sheila Costin, Esq. Ellen Marcus, Esq.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Anita Spieth, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- John Calhoun, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Bryana McGillycuddy, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place	Page 7977
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Maggie O'Neil, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 -AND- Abhishek Bapna, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 ALSO ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF: Sheila Costin, Esq. Ellen Marcus, Esq. Holmes Costin & Marcus 301 North Fairfax Street	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Anita Spieth, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- John Calhoun, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Bryana McGillycuddy, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Marina Pullerits, Esq.	Page 7977
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Maggie O'Neil, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 -AND- Abhishek Bapna, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 ALSO ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF: Sheila Costin, Esq. Ellen Marcus, Esq. Holmes Costin & Marcus 301 North Fairfax Street	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Anita Spieth, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- John Calhoun, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Eryana McGillycuddy, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND-	Page 7977
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Maggie O'Neil, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 Abhishek Bapna, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 ALSO ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF: Sheila Costin, Esq. Ellen Marcus, Esq. Holmes Costin & Marcus 301 North Fairfax Street Alexandria, VA 22314	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Anita Spieth, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- John Calhoun, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Bryana McGillycuddy, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Marina Pullerits, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart	Page 7977
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Maggie O'Neil, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 -AND- Abhishek Bapna, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 ALSO ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF: Sheila Costin, Esq. Ellen Marcus, Esq. Holmes Costin & Marcus 301 North Fairfax Street	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Anita Spieth, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- John Calhoun, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Bryana McGillycuddy, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Marina Pullerits, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110	Page 7977
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Magie O'Neil, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 -AND- Abhishek Bapna, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 ALSO ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF: Sheila Costin, Esq. Ellen Marcus, Esq. Holmes Costin & Marcus 301 North Fairfax Street Alexandria, VA 22314 ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Robert Frank, Jr., Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Anita Spieth, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- John Calhoun, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Bryana McGillycuddy, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Marina Pullerits, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place	Page 7977
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Magie O'Neil, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 -AND- Abhishek Bapna, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 ALSO ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF: Sheila Costin, Esq. Holmes Costin & Marcus 301 North Fairfax Street Alexandria, VA 22314 ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Robert Frank, Jr., Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Anita Spieth, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- John Calhoun, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Bryana McGillycuddy, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Marina Pullerits, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Marina Pullerits, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110	Page 7977
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Magie O'Neil, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 -AND- Abhishek Bapna, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 ALSO ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF: Sheila Costin, Esq. Ellen Marcus, Esq. Holmes Costin & Marcus 301 North Fairfax Street Alexandria, VA 22314 ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Robert Frank, Jr., Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Anita Spieth, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- John Calhoun, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Bryana McGillycuddy, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Marina Pullerits, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Marina Pullerits, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110	Page 7977
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Magie O'Neil, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 -AND- Abhishek Bapna, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 ALSO ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF: Sheila Costin, Esq. Ellen Marcus, Esq. Holmes Costin & Marcus 301 North Fairfax Street Alexandria, VA 22314 ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Robert Frank, Jr., Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Anita Spieth, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- John Calhoun, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Bryana McGillycuddy, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Marina Pullerits, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Marina Pullerits, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110	Page 7977
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	A P P E A R A N C E S (CONT'D) ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF APPIAN CORPORATION: Magie O'Neil, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 -AND- Abhishek Bapna, Esq. Patterson Belknap Webb & Tyler 1133 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 ALSO ON BEHALF OF PLAINTIFF: Sheila Costin, Esq. Ellen Marcus, Esq. Holmes Costin & Marcus 301 North Fairfax Street Alexandria, VA 22314 ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Robert Frank, Jr., Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS, INC., Anita Spieth, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- John Calhoun, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Bryana McGillycuddy, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Marina Pullerits, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110 -AND- Marina Pullerits, Esq. Choate Hall & Stewart Two International Place Boston, MA 02110	Page 7977

1 A.P.P.R.A.B.A.N.C.R.S. (CONTO) 3 ALONG ON BERGARY OF PRESENTENS: 1 1 Douglas AND C.R.S. 1 1 Douglas AND C.R.S. 1 1 Diffic Towards 2			Page 7978					Page 7979
1 August of FRANCY OF TRANSPORTER: 2 INDEX 1 Decompose Chemoent Drive 3 7 2 Statuse JUNGR CANDINER 3 2 Statuse JUNGR CANDINER 3 2 Statuse JUNGR CANDINERS JUNGR CANDINERS 3 August Canding, Sec. 9 FLAINTIFF AGPIAN EV ME. MANCI 10 DEFENDANT POXASYISTANS EV ME. MANCI 10 2 Mance Incoder, Sec. 10 DEFENDANT POXASYISTANS EV ME. MANCI 3 Statuse 12 PLAINTIFF AGPIAN EV ME. MANCI 3 Statuse 14 EXHIBIT EXHIBIT EXHIBIT 3 Statuse Statuse 15 EXHIBIT EXHIBIT EXHIBIT 3 Statuse	1			1				5
4 Coults Fay, Ee. J IN DE X 0 Off Frywars Grassent Frie 4 PAGE 5 JUNY CHARGE JUDGE GARDINER 6 6 JUDGE GARDINER 6 JUDGE GARDINER 6 7 CLOSINS ARGUMENTS: 8 8 Restrict Constraints 8 PLAINTIFF APPIAN EV ME, MANKI 8 Beatry Locke 10 DEPRENNY POLYMONG ZOU EV ME, TEAMYSTIL 8 NASC 10 Beatry Locke 10 DEPRENNY POLYMONG ZOU EV ME, TEAMYSTIL FM ME, MANKI 11 Lipschurg, VA 28455 12 PLAINTIFF APPIAN EV ME, TEAMSTIL PAGE 13 Lipschurg, VA 28455 12 PLAINTIFF APPIAN EV ME, TEAMSTIL PAGE 14 EERLEF of DemEmber YOUYONG ZOU: 14 EMINIST DESCRIPTION PAGE 15 Licschler Plasher 16 EMINIST DESCRIPTION PAGE 16 Stathilt PLT SIDENTIFED 13 EACHINIST EACHINIST EACHINIST 16 Stathilt PLT SIDENTIFED 18 EACHINIST DESCRIPTION PAGE	1			2				
off:r.r.wran 3 EAGE FAGE viena, V. 22183 5 JURY CHRAGE JUDGE GREDIER viena, V. 22183 6 7 CLOSING ARCIMENTS: ALBO OF BEARS OF FEMALTSTERS: 8 9 FLAINTIFF APPIAN EVENTMENTS: Munics Monday, Ean. 10 DEFENDANT FOUNDATIONS EVEN. FEMALE 11 Genery Looke 11 DEFENDANT FOUNDATION BOULD EVEN. FEMALE EVEN. FEMALE 11 Lookehang, V. 24505 12 FLAINTIFF APPIAN REEDUTID BY MR. FEMALE 12 FLAINTIFF APPIAN REEDUTID SIGN. FORMELL EVEN. FEMALE EVEN. FEMALE 13 GENERAL OF DEFENDANT YOURDE 200: 12 FLAINTIFF APPIAN REEDUTID SIGN. FEMALE 14 EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED 14 EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED 15 Bayer Taxwell, Eag. 16 EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED 18 16 FANG CHANGENCO COVENT 17 EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED 19 16 FANG CHANGENCO COVENT 10 EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED 10 17 FANG CHANGENCO COVENT 10	-					INDE	Х	
Vienna, VA 23183 5 JURY CHARGE JUDEE GARDINER ALSO OF FRAME, OF FRAMEWORKS: 5 JUDEE GARDINER JUDEE GARDINER Marias Konday, Nag. 9 FLAINTIFF APPIAN BY MR. FRAME 0 Genery Jocké 9 FLAINTIFF APPIAN BY MR. FRAME 1 DeFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS BY MR. FRAME 10 DEFENDANT PEGASYSTEMS BY MR. FRAME 1 DeFENDANT VOTORS 200 BY MR. FRAME 11 DEFENDANT NOTORS 200 BY MR. FRAME 1 DeFENDANT VOTORS 200 BY MR. FRAME 11 DEFENDANT VOTORS 200 BY MR. FRAME 1 BERNAMT TAUCHING 200 DEFENDANT VOTORS 200 BY MR. FRAME 11 1 DEFENDANT VOTORS 200 12 PLAINTIFF APPIAN BY MR. FRAME 12 1 BERNAMT TOURNE 200 DESCRIPTION PAGE 13 1 Defendant VOTORS 200 14 EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED 14 1 Bernall SCAL 14 EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED 15 1 Defendant PAGE 15 EXHIBIT VICTOR 200 14 14 1 Defendant PAGE 15 EXHIBIT VICTOR 200 16 1 Defendant PAGE 15 EXHIBIT VICTOR 200 200				3				
6 9 00000 (LANGES) 300000 (SARDARYSTERS) 7 8 6 7 CLOSING ARCIMENTS: 8 Maira Wonday, Eat. 10 DEFENDANT YOUNON 200 BY MR. FRANK 10 Control Lands BY MR. TRAVELL BY MR. TRAVELL 11 Londburg, VA 24505 12 PLAINTIFF AFPLAN BY MR. TRAVELL 11 DEFENDANT YOUYONG 200 BY MR. TRAVELL BY MR. MANGI 12 DEFENDANT YOUYONG 200: BY MR. TRAVELL BY MR. MANGI 13 Treame. VA 24005 12 PLAINTIFF AFPLAN BUTT 14 OF DEFENDANT YOUYONG 200: BY MR. TRAVELL BID 15 Mayoe Travell, Eat. 15 EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED 16 16 BERDER OF DEFENDANT YOUYONG 200: BASE 17 Exhibit EUT 30 Document	5	8000 Towers Cresc	ent Drive	4				PAGE
7 6 7 CLOSING ARGIMENTS: 9 Mulics Wonday, Dai. 9 PLAINTIFF APPLAN BY MR. MANGI 0 Genery Looks 10 DEFENANT FORASISTEMS BY MR. TRAVELL 11 Lonchburt, VA 24505 12 PLAINTIFF APPLAN REBUTTAL BY KR. MANGI 12 Interchburt, VA 24505 12 PLAINTIFF APPLAN REBUTTAL BY KR. MANGI 13 Interchburt, VA 24505 14 EXHIBUTS IDENTIFIED 14 OF BRALE OF FERMANT VOLVERS ZOU: 14 EXHIBUTS IDENTIFIED 14 OF BRALE OF FERMANT VOLVERS ZOU: 14 EXHIBUTS IDENTIFIED 14 OF BRALE OF FERMANT VOLVERS ZOU: 14 EXHIBUTS IDENTIFIED 14 REMILIE VICTAL BY KR. PLAINTIFF APPLAN REBUTTAL BY KR. PLAINTIFF APPLAN REBUTTAL BY KR. 15 Family KR. 16 EXHIBUTS IDENTIFIED 14 16 REWINENT VOLVERS ZOU: 12 EXHIBUT SCONCOMENT. 2003 17 Fahibit FUT 20 Roumant. 2024 203 18 Schibit FUT 20 Roumant. 2025		Vienna, VA 22182		5	JURY CHARGE		JUDGE GARDINER	
a P CLOSING ARCHMENTS: ALSO ON REINER OF ENGLYSTENG: 8 Maics Wonday, Den. 9 Genery Locks 10 Rol Main Street 10 Dersnamt PEGASYSTEMS BY MR. FRANK Rol Main Street 10 Dersnamt PEGASYSTEMS BY MR. FRANK Rol Main Street 10 Dersnamt PEGASYSTEMS BY MR. FRANK Rol Main Street 11 Dersnamt PEGASYSTEMS BY MR. FRANK Reiner Priseder 12 Barne Priseder 15 Barne Priseder 16 Barne Priseder 17 Barne Priseder 17 Barne Priseder 17 Barne Priseder 18 Priseder 17 Barne Priseder 18 Barne Priseder 18 Barne Prise Priseder 10 <	1			6				
9 PADICE MODRAY, Equ. 9 PLAINTIF APPIAN EY NE, MANCI BOURST, LONG 10 GORTY, LONG DU BEFRINANT TOUVORS ZUT EY NE, FRANK 11 Dependency, VA 24005 12 PLAINTIF APPIAN REPUTAL EY NE, FRANK 13 Important Toutons ZUT EY NE, FRANK EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED 14 INTERCA CONSTRUCT SUPERATION FORMATION DELTAINT FORMAT CONTROLS ZUT IM EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED 15 Mayne Travell, Eq. E EHIBIT DESCRIPTION FORMATION DELTAINT FORMATION FORMATION 16 SUT Greenabouto Duive 17 Exhibit FLT 30 Document	1			7	CLOSING ARGUMENT	s:		
Monics Menday, Exp. Part AlVAIE Part AlVAIE Differ Mendal 10 Gentry Locke 10 DEFENDANT PERGENTERS BY MR. FRAME 11 Louchurg, VA 24905 11 DEFENDANT PERGENTERS BY MR. TRAVELL 11 Louchurg, VA 24905 12 FLAINTEF APPIAN REBUTAL BY MR. MANGI 13 ON REMARP OF DEFENDANT YOUTONG 2001 BY MR. MANGI 13 13 14 EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED 14 EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED 15 Marce Taravell, Kag. 16 EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED 16 16 BARLINER FLEAT 16 EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED 17 16 BARLINER FLEAT BESCRIPTION PAGE 17 Exhibit FLIST DESCRIPTION AUGE 18 BARDIN FERGENER 12 Exhibit FLI APPIAN REBUTAL 8204 19 Exhibit FLIST DESCRIPTION PAGE 12 10 Exhibit FLIST Decument		ALSO ON BEHALF OF F	EGASYSTEMS:	8				
0 0 Description Display Display Parameter 10 Description Display Display <th>9</th> <td></td> <td></td> <td>9</td> <td>PLAINTIFF APPIAN</td> <td></td> <td>BY MR. MANGI</td> <td></td>	9			9	PLAINTIFF APPIAN		BY MR. MANGI	
BOL Main Street 11 DEFENDANT YOUTORS 200 FX MR. TRAVELL 13 13 14 ON BUBLE OF DEFENDANT YOUTORS 200: 14 EMIDITY FAPTIAN REBUTTAL BY MR. MANDI 14 NA BUBLE OF DEFENDANT YOUTORS 200: 14 EMIDITY IDENTIFIED 14 15 MAY DEFENDANT YOUTORS 200: 14 EMIDITY IDENTIFIED PAGE 16 B270 Greenaboro Intive 17 Exhibit PLT 274 Appian FORUM COMMENT. BADE 17 Typena, VA 20102 14 EMIDITY DESCRIPTION PAGE 18 BADIDITY FX 500 Document. .8196 .8203 19 Particity FX 500 Document. .8204 .8204 20 Exhibit PX 200 Document. .8204 .8204 21 Exhibit PX 500 Document. .8211 .8213 21 Exhibit PX 700 Mobile Analysis. .8262 .8211 .8214 22 Exhibit PLT D Demonstrative. .8246 .8246 23 Exhibit PLT AO Mobeler .8226 .8246	10		d.	10	DEFENDANT PEGASY	STEMS	BY MR. FRANK	
13 13 13 14 0N BHILLP OF DEFENDANT YOUTONG ZOU: 14 EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED 15 Mayne Travell, Reg. 14 EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED 16 B370 Greenshore Drive 17 Exhibit PLT 374 Applan Forum form. 8196 17 Save Greenshore Drive 17 Exhibit PLT 374 Applan Forum form. 8198 17 Save Greenshore Drive 17 Exhibit PLT 374 Applan Forum form. 8198 18 Exhibit PX 500 Document. .8203 19 Exhibit PX 500 Document. .8204 21 22 23 Exhibit PX 500 Document. .8216 22 24 25 Exhibit PLT 690 Document. .8226 25 25 Exhibit PLT 690 Document. .8236 26 Exhibit DX 721 Image of Applan Process8264 3 10.164 4 Modeler 4 Exhibit PLT D Demonstrative. 8347 5 Exhibit DX 10.2 Drawing. 8276 9 10.217 8346 6 Exhibit PLT D <	1.0			11	DEFENDANT YOUYON	G ZOU	BY MR. TRAVELL	
13 00 EVALUATION DEFENDANT YOUYONG KOU: 14 EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED 14 00 EXPLAY OF DEFENDANT YOUYONG KOU: 15 14 00 EXPLAY OF DEFENDANT YOUYONG KOU: 15 15 00 EXPLAY OF DEFENDANT YOUYONG KOU: 16 16 8270 Greenandoro Brive 17 Exhibit PLT 274 Appian Forum form	11	Lynchburg, VA 245	05	12	PLAINTIFF APPIAN	REBUTTAL	BY MR. MANGI	
14 ON BENELF OF DEFENDANT YOUYONG 200: 14 EARLIEFT IDENTIFIED 15 Marne Travell, Esc. 15 16 B270 Greenabare Drive 17 Exhibit PLT 274 Applian Forum form8198 17 Ballist 17 Exhibit PLT 274 Applian Forum form8198 17 Ballist 17 Exhibit PLT 307 Document	1			13				
15 Hirschler Fleischer 15 16 EXHIBIT DESCRIPTION PAGE 17 Exhibit PLT 274 Appian Forum form		ON DEUXIE OF DEFENT	ANT VOLVONO ZOLI-	14	EX	HIBITS IDEN	NTIFIED	
Barechler FilseSer 16 EXTENDIAL FUEL 16 EXELIPT DESCRIPTION PAGE 16 8270 Greensbore Drive 17 Exhibit FLT 397 Document	1			15				
Tysens. VA 22102 18 Exhibit PLT 397 Document		-	-	16	EXHIBIT	DESCRIPTIO	NC	PAGE
17 16 MAILDLE FLT 39 Document	16		rive	17	Exhibit PLT 274	Appian For	rum form	8196
18 19 Exhibit FX 580 Document	17	Tysons, VA 22102		18	Exhibit PLT 397			
19 20 Exhibit PX 560 Document	1			19		Document.		8203
11 22 Exhibit PX 580 Document	19			20	Exhibit PX 580	Document.		8204
23 24 25 24 25 24 25 25 25 26 26 27 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 28 27 28 28 28 27 28 <td< td=""><th>1</th><td></td><td></td><td>21</td><td>Exhibit DX 1695</td><td>Document 1</td><td>re Appian SOA</td><td>8211</td></td<>	1			21	Exhibit DX 1695	Document 1	re Appian SOA	8211
23 24 24 24 Exhibit D17 689< Document				22	Exhibit PX 580	Document.		8216
25 25 Exhibit PLT 29 E-mail	1			23				
Page 7980 1 Page 7980 1 2 Exhibit PLT 40 Mobile Analysis	24			24				
1 1 2 Exhibit PLT 40 Mobile Analysis	25			25	Exhibit PLT 29	E-mail		8248
2Exhibit PLT 40Mobile Analysis	1		Page 7980	1				Page 7981
3 Exhibit DX 721 Image of Appian Process8264 3 10.164 4 Modeler 4 Exhibit PLT D Demonstrative		Erbibit DIT 40	Mobile Molucia 0262		Endibit DIM D	Domonative	+	0246
4Modeler4Exhibit PLT DDemonstrative				_		Demonstra		0340
5 Exhibit PLT Demonstrative	-	EXHIBIC DA 721				Domonativ		0217
6 5.33 6 Exhibit PLT D Demonstrative8348 7 Exhibit DX 10.2 Drawing	-	Erbibit DIT		1		Demonstra		0347
7 Exhibit DX 10.2 Drawing	-					Domonativ		0210
8 Exhibit DX 1382 Document			Duarting 0260	-		Demonstra		0340
9Exhibit DX 341E-mail			-			Degement		0250
10Exhibit DX 1288E-mail	-					Document	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
11Exhibit DX 1820Slide	-			-		Dogumort		0260
12Exhibit DX 1346E-Mail string828312Exhibit PLT DDocument836913Exhibit PTX 274Document83051310.38414Exhibit PTX 855Video						Document	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	0200
13Exhibit PTX 274Document						Dogument		0200
14Exhibit PTX 855Video			_			Document.	•••••	
15Exhibit PLTAugust 6, 2012 E-mail83251510.37216230516Exhibit PLT 384 Document837217Exhibit PLTE-mail				-		Deer		0.2.2.1
16230516Exhibit PLT 384 Document						Document.	•••••	83/1
17Exhibit PLTE-mail			August 0, 2012 E-Mail8325			De		0.250
1823781819Exhibit PLT 9Serco Employee					EXHIDIC PLT 384	Document.	•••••	83/2
19Exhibit PLT 9Serco Employee			E-IIIa118325					
20Proprietary and Confidential Information2021Confidential Information2122Agreement2223Exhibit PLT 5Document	-			-				
21Confidential Information2122Agreement2223Exhibit PLT 5Document		Exhibit PLT 9						
22 Agreement 22 23 Exhibit PLT 5 Document								
23 Exhibit PLT 5 Document								
24 Exhibit PLT D Demonstrative			2					
25 10.163 25			Demonstrative8346					
	1 7 6	10.163		25				

1	Page 7982		Page 7983
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	THE COURT OFFICER: Please be	2	THE COURT: So that's going to
3	seated.	3	need to be printed out again?
4	Come to order.	4	MR. MANGI: Your Honor, they are
5	THE COURT: All right. Where do	5	being assembled, I understand, right
6	we stand? I understand there was some	6	now, so Ms. Marcus will have them for
7	corrections made to the jury	7	you in just a minute.
8	instructions, and Ms. Marcus was going	8	THE COURT: Okay.
9	to make some more copies.	9	MR. KAY: I have just a couple
10	MR. KAY: Yeah. I'm sure she'll	10	of housekeeping matters
11	be out in a moment.	11	THE COURT: Sure.
12	Douglas Kay with Pegasystems.	12	MR. KAY: if you don't mind
13	There was a sentence missing in the	13	while we're waiting for Ms. Marcus.
14	cause definition that we identified	14	THE COURT: No, no. Where is
15		15	Ms. Marcus?
16	last night and Appian agrees it needs	16	MS. MARCUS: Is this about the
-	to be put back in. That was a prior		
17	ruling and somehow it stayed in or it	17	jury instructions?
18	came out. We found it and so	18	MR. KAY: Yes. She's printing
19	Ms. Marcus has sent Ms. Perez the	19	them, right?
20	correct version and hopefully you have	20	MS. MARCUS: Yes.
21	it now.	21	MR. KAY: As I do the
22	THE COURT: Oh, okay.	22	housekeeping, but I don't think you'll
23	MR. KAY: I can direct the Court	23	have to stand up so long. But I
24	to where it is. It's Instruction 13	24	thought I would address them.
25	in the last paragraph.	25	Judge, in the initial charge
	Domo 7004	-	
	Page 7984		Page 7985
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	Proceedings conference, you had left open Jury	1 2	Proceedings When I looked at the record, it wasn't
2 3	Proceedings conference, you had left open Jury Instruction EE. This was it was an	1 2 3	Proceedings When I looked at the record, it wasn't clear that it had been ruled on. What
2 3 4	Proceedings conference, you had left open Jury Instruction EE. This was it was an instruction that laid out the trade	1 2 3 4	Proceedings When I looked at the record, it wasn't clear that it had been ruled on. What is your ruling? Just so the record is
2 3 4 5	Proceedings conference, you had left open Jury Instruction EE. This was it was an instruction that laid out the trade secrets, the specificity.	1 2 3 4 5	Proceedings When I looked at the record, it wasn't clear that it had been ruled on. What is your ruling? Just so the record is clear.
2 3 4 5 6	Proceedings conference, you had left open Jury Instruction EE. This was it was an instruction that laid out the trade secrets, the specificity. THE COURT: Yes.	1 2 3 4 5 6	Proceedings When I looked at the record, it wasn't clear that it had been ruled on. What is your ruling? Just so the record is clear. THE COURT: This was Defendants'
2 3 4 5 6 7	Proceedings conference, you had left open Jury Instruction EE. This was it was an instruction that laid out the trade secrets, the specificity. THE COURT: Yes. MR. KAY: It's sort of the other	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Proceedings When I looked at the record, it wasn't clear that it had been ruled on. What is your ruling? Just so the record is clear. THE COURT: This was Defendants' proposed Jury Instruction EE.
2 3 4 5 6	Proceedings conference, you had left open Jury Instruction EE. This was it was an instruction that laid out the trade secrets, the specificity. THE COURT: Yes. MR. KAY: It's sort of the other side of the coin to our proposed jury	1 2 3 4 5 6	Proceedings When I looked at the record, it wasn't clear that it had been ruled on. What is your ruling? Just so the record is clear. THE COURT: This was Defendants' proposed Jury Instruction EE. MR. KAY: Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Proceedings conference, you had left open Jury Instruction EE. This was it was an instruction that laid out the trade secrets, the specificity. THE COURT: Yes. MR. KAY: It's sort of the other	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Proceedings When I looked at the record, it wasn't clear that it had been ruled on. What is your ruling? Just so the record is clear. THE COURT: This was Defendants' proposed Jury Instruction EE. MR. KAY: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And it says trade
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Proceedings conference, you had left open Jury Instruction EE. This was it was an instruction that laid out the trade secrets, the specificity. THE COURT: Yes. MR. KAY: It's sort of the other side of the coin to our proposed jury	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Proceedings When I looked at the record, it wasn't clear that it had been ruled on. What is your ruling? Just so the record is clear. THE COURT: This was Defendants' proposed Jury Instruction EE. MR. KAY: Yes, sir.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Proceedings conference, you had left open Jury Instruction EE. This was it was an instruction that laid out the trade secrets, the specificity. THE COURT: Yes. MR. KAY: It's sort of the other side of the coin to our proposed jury verdict form.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Proceedings When I looked at the record, it wasn't clear that it had been ruled on. What is your ruling? Just so the record is clear. THE COURT: This was Defendants' proposed Jury Instruction EE. MR. KAY: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And it says trade secrets alleged and that one is denied.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Proceedings conference, you had left open Jury Instruction EE. This was it was an instruction that laid out the trade secrets, the specificity. THE COURT: Yes. MR. KAY: It's sort of the other side of the coin to our proposed jury verdict form. THE COURT: Right.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Proceedings When I looked at the record, it wasn't clear that it had been ruled on. What is your ruling? Just so the record is clear. THE COURT: This was Defendants' proposed Jury Instruction EE. MR. KAY: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And it says trade secrets alleged and that one is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Proceedings conference, you had left open Jury Instruction EE. This was it was an instruction that laid out the trade secrets, the specificity. THE COURT: Yes. MR. KAY: It's sort of the other side of the coin to our proposed jury verdict form. THE COURT: Right. MR. KAY: And you had never ruled on it. You had held it open and so	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Proceedings When I looked at the record, it wasn't clear that it had been ruled on. What is your ruling? Just so the record is clear. THE COURT: This was Defendants' proposed Jury Instruction EE. MR. KAY: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And it says trade secrets alleged and that one is denied.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Proceedings conference, you had left open Jury Instruction EE. This was it was an instruction that laid out the trade secrets, the specificity. THE COURT: Yes. MR. KAY: It's sort of the other side of the coin to our proposed jury verdict form. THE COURT: Right. MR. KAY: And you had never ruled on it. You had held it open and so THE COURT: Yeah. We discussed	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Proceedings When I looked at the record, it wasn't clear that it had been ruled on. What is your ruling? Just so the record is clear. THE COURT: This was Defendants' proposed Jury Instruction EE. MR. KAY: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And it says trade secrets alleged and that one is denied. MR. KAY: Okay. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Proceedings conference, you had left open Jury Instruction EE. This was it was an instruction that laid out the trade secrets, the specificity. THE COURT: Yes. MR. KAY: It's sort of the other side of the coin to our proposed jury verdict form. THE COURT: Right. MR. KAY: And you had never ruled on it. You had held it open and so	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Proceedings When I looked at the record, it wasn't clear that it had been ruled on. What is your ruling? Just so the record is clear. THE COURT: This was Defendants' proposed Jury Instruction EE. MR. KAY: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And it says trade secrets alleged and that one is denied. MR. KAY: Okay. Thank you. THE COURT: There were several others, but I don't want to take them up now that I put question marks next
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Proceedings conference, you had left open Jury Instruction EE. This was it was an instruction that laid out the trade secrets, the specificity. THE COURT: Yes. MR. KAY: It's sort of the other side of the coin to our proposed jury verdict form. THE COURT: Right. MR. KAY: And you had never ruled on it. You had held it open and so THE COURT: Yeah. We discussed	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Proceedings When I looked at the record, it wasn't clear that it had been ruled on. What is your ruling? Just so the record is clear. THE COURT: This was Defendants' proposed Jury Instruction EE. MR. KAY: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And it says trade secrets alleged and that one is denied. MR. KAY: Okay. Thank you. THE COURT: There were several others, but I don't want to take them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Proceedings conference, you had left open Jury Instruction EE. This was it was an instruction that laid out the trade secrets, the specificity. THE COURT: Yes. MR. KAY: It's sort of the other side of the coin to our proposed jury verdict form. THE COURT: Right. MR. KAY: And you had never ruled on it. You had held it open and so THE COURT: Yeah. We discussed a version of it yesterday.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Proceedings When I looked at the record, it wasn't clear that it had been ruled on. What is your ruling? Just so the record is clear. THE COURT: This was Defendants' proposed Jury Instruction EE. MR. KAY: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And it says trade secrets alleged and that one is denied. MR. KAY: Okay. Thank you. THE COURT: There were several others, but I don't want to take them up now that I put question marks next
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Proceedings conference, you had left open Jury Instruction EE. This was it was an instruction that laid out the trade secrets, the specificity. THE COURT: Yes. MR. KAY: It's sort of the other side of the coin to our proposed jury verdict form. THE COURT: Right. MR. KAY: And you had never ruled on it. You had held it open and so THE COURT: Yeah. We discussed a version of it yesterday. MR. KAY: Well, we discussed the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Proceedings When I looked at the record, it wasn't clear that it had been ruled on. What is your ruling? Just so the record is clear. THE COURT: This was Defendants' proposed Jury Instruction EE. MR. KAY: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And it says trade secrets alleged and that one is denied. MR. KAY: Okay. Thank you. THE COURT: There were several others, but I don't want to take them up now that I put question marks next to in my version. And I don't want
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Proceedings conference, you had left open Jury Instruction EE. This was it was an instruction that laid out the trade secrets, the specificity. THE COURT: Yes. MR. KAY: It's sort of the other side of the coin to our proposed jury verdict form. THE COURT: Right. MR. KAY: And you had never ruled on it. You had held it open and so THE COURT: Yeah. We discussed a version of it yesterday. MR. KAY: Well, we discussed the verdict form. We did not discuss	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Proceedings When I looked at the record, it wasn't clear that it had been ruled on. What is your ruling? Just so the record is clear. THE COURT: This was Defendants' proposed Jury Instruction EE. MR. KAY: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And it says trade secrets alleged and that one is denied. MR. KAY: Okay. Thank you. THE COURT: There were several others, but I don't want to take them up now that I put question marks next to in my version. And I don't want to once this case is to the jury, I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Proceedings conference, you had left open Jury Instruction EE. This was it was an instruction that laid out the trade secrets, the specificity. THE COURT: Yes. MR. KAY: It's sort of the other side of the coin to our proposed jury verdict form. THE COURT: Right. MR. KAY: And you had never ruled on it. You had held it open and so THE COURT: Yeah. We discussed a version of it yesterday. MR. KAY: Well, we discussed the verdict form. We did not discuss MR. MANGI: It was ruled on.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Proceedings When I looked at the record, it wasn't clear that it had been ruled on. What is your ruling? Just so the record is clear. THE COURT: This was Defendants' proposed Jury Instruction EE. MR. KAY: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And it says trade secrets alleged and that one is denied. MR. KAY: Okay. Thank you. THE COURT: There were several others, but I don't want to take them up now that I put question marks next to in my version. And I don't want to once this case is to the jury, I want to go over with counsel so I've
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Proceedings conference, you had left open Jury Instruction EE. This was it was an instruction that laid out the trade secrets, the specificity. THE COURT: Yes. MR. KAY: It's sort of the other side of the coin to our proposed jury verdict form. THE COURT: Right. MR. KAY: And you had never ruled on it. You had held it open and so THE COURT: Yeah. We discussed a version of it yesterday. MR. KAY: Well, we discussed the verdict form. We did not discuss MR. MANGI: It was ruled on. THE COURT: This instruction was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Proceedings When I looked at the record, it wasn't clear that it had been ruled on. What is your ruling? Just so the record is clear. THE COURT: This was Defendants' proposed Jury Instruction EE. MR. KAY: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And it says trade secrets alleged and that one is denied. MR. KAY: Okay. Thank you. THE COURT: There were several others, but I don't want to take them up now that I put question marks next to in my version. And I don't want to once this case is to the jury, I want to go over with counsel so I've got my record straight.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Proceedings conference, you had left open Jury Instruction EE. This was it was an instruction that laid out the trade secrets, the specificity. THE COURT: Yes. MR. KAY: It's sort of the other side of the coin to our proposed jury verdict form. THE COURT: Right. MR. KAY: And you had never ruled on it. You had held it open and so THE COURT: Yeah. We discussed a version of it yesterday. MR. KAY: Well, we discussed the verdict form. We did not discuss MR. MANGI: It was ruled on. THE COURT: This instruction was actually it was in front me and I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Proceedings When I looked at the record, it wasn't clear that it had been ruled on. What is your ruling? Just so the record is clear. THE COURT: This was Defendants' proposed Jury Instruction EE. MR. KAY: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And it says trade secrets alleged and that one is denied. MR. KAY: Okay. Thank you. THE COURT: There were several others, but I don't want to take them up now that I put question marks next to in my version. And I don't want to once this case is to the jury, I want to go over with counsel so I've got my record straight. MR. KAY: Do you think we should
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Proceedings conference, you had left open Jury Instruction EE. This was it was an instruction that laid out the trade secrets, the specificity. THE COURT: Yes. MR. KAY: It's sort of the other side of the coin to our proposed jury verdict form. THE COURT: Right. MR. KAY: And you had never ruled on it. You had held it open and so THE COURT: Yeah. We discussed a version of it yesterday. MR. KAY: Well, we discussed the verdict form. We did not discuss MR. MANGI: It was ruled on. THE COURT: This instruction was actually it was in front me and I ruled on it.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Proceedings When I looked at the record, it wasn't clear that it had been ruled on. What is your ruling? Just so the record is clear. THE COURT: This was Defendants' proposed Jury Instruction EE. MR. KAY: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And it says trade secrets alleged and that one is denied. MR. KAY: Okay. Thank you. THE COURT: There were several others, but I don't want to take them up now that I put question marks next to in my version. And I don't want to once this case is to the jury, I want to go over with counsel so I've got my record straight. MR. KAY: Do you think we should go them over now before the jury is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Proceedings conference, you had left open Jury Instruction EE. This was it was an instruction that laid out the trade secrets, the specificity. THE COURT: Yes. MR. KAY: It's sort of the other side of the coin to our proposed jury verdict form. THE COURT: Right. MR. KAY: And you had never ruled on it. You had held it open and so THE COURT: Yeah. We discussed a version of it yesterday. MR. KAY: Well, we discussed the verdict form. We did not discuss MR. MANGI: It was ruled on. THE COURT: This instruction was actually it was in front me and I ruled on it. MR. MANGI: Absolutely.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Proceedings When I looked at the record, it wasn't clear that it had been ruled on. What is your ruling? Just so the record is clear. THE COURT: This was Defendants' proposed Jury Instruction EE. MR. KAY: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And it says trade secrets alleged and that one is denied. MR. KAY: Okay. Thank you. THE COURT: There were several others, but I don't want to take them up now that I put question marks next to in my version. And I don't want to once this case is to the jury, I want to go over with counsel so I've got my record straight. MR. KAY: Do you think we should go them over now before the jury is here?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Proceedings conference, you had left open Jury Instruction EE. This was it was an instruction that laid out the trade secrets, the specificity. THE COURT: Yes. MR. KAY: It's sort of the other side of the coin to our proposed jury verdict form. THE COURT: Right. MR. KAY: And you had never ruled on it. You had held it open and so THE COURT: Yeah. We discussed a version of it yesterday. MR. KAY: Well, we discussed the verdict form. We did not discuss MR. MANGI: It was ruled on. THE COURT: This instruction was actually it was in front me and I ruled on it. MR. MANGI: Absolutely. THE COURT: But I'll rule on it	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Proceedings When I looked at the record, it wasn't clear that it had been ruled on. What is your ruling? Just so the record is clear. THE COURT: This was Defendants' proposed Jury Instruction EE. MR. KAY: Yes, sir. THE COURT: And it says trade secrets alleged and that one is denied. MR. KAY: Okay. Thank you. THE COURT: There were several others, but I don't want to take them up now that I put question marks next to in my version. And I don't want to once this case is to the jury, I want to go over with counsel so I've got my record straight. MR. KAY: Do you think we should go them over now before the jury is here? THE COURT: No. No. Because I

	Page 7986		Page 7987
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	ruling was, but I want to do that one	2	use that five to ten minutes while
3	after the case is at the jury.	3	we're waiting for that. Maybe we
4	MS. MARCUS: If I may explain to	4	can
5	the Court what we're doing.	5	MR. MANGI: Your Honor, there is
6	THE COURT: Yes, please.	6	the issue of closing slides. So maybe
7	MS. MARCUS: Good morning, Your	7	we should do that.
8	Honor.	8	THE COURT: Yes, okay. Thank
9	MR. KAY: Go ahead.	9	you, Mr. Mangi.
10	MS. MARCUS: Good morning, Your	10	MR. KAY: Your Honor, I have two
11	Honor. So, Your Honor, after we	11	more housekeeping matters.
12	e-mailed Ms. Perez last night, we	12	THE COURT: Okay.
13	noticed two more typos and rather than	13	MR. KAY: So the other one
14	burden the Court with making those	14	relates to Instruction 14 and I'm not
15	copies, we brought a bunch of copies	15	going to belabor this one, but when we
16	this morning and have since learned	16	were debating the appropriate, the
17	that Your Honor had an order that you	17	appropriate way that Instruction 14
18	put the instructions in.	18	should read
19	So what's going on right now, is	19	THE COURT: Go ahead.
20	we are reordering all of our copies to	20	MR. KAY: Yeah. On the top of
21	make sure that they're in the order	21	the second paragraph
22	that Your Honor wanted them in and	22	MR. MANGI: I'm sorry. Just on
23	that will probably be done in the next	23	a point of order here, I don't have
24	five to ten minutes.	24	any of these instructions. The charge
25	THE COURT: Okay. Then we can	25	conference is over. I don't think
	Page 7988		Page 7989
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	Proceedings it's appropriate to be revisiting	2	Proceedings in evidence. So theirs are only
2 3	Proceedings it's appropriate to be revisiting instructions now. I'm ready to close.	2 3	Proceedings in evidence. So theirs are only pictures of witnesses so I'm not going
2 3 4	Proceedings it's appropriate to be revisiting instructions now. I'm ready to close. We've got a very full day ahead of us.	2 3 4	Proceedings in evidence. So theirs are only pictures of witnesses so I'm not going to raise any issues from my side.
2 3 4 5	Proceedings it's appropriate to be revisiting instructions now. I'm ready to close. We've got a very full day ahead of us. THE COURT: What is it you want	2 3 4 5	Proceedings in evidence. So theirs are only pictures of witnesses so I'm not going to raise any issues from my side. They may have some.
2 3 4 5 6	Proceedings it's appropriate to be revisiting instructions now. I'm ready to close. We've got a very full day ahead of us. THE COURT: What is it you want to do on Instruction 14? I gave my	2 3 4 5 6	Proceedings in evidence. So theirs are only pictures of witnesses so I'm not going to raise any issues from my side. They may have some. THE COURT: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Proceedings it's appropriate to be revisiting instructions now. I'm ready to close. We've got a very full day ahead of us. THE COURT: What is it you want to do on Instruction 14? I gave my ruling yesterday.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Proceedings in evidence. So theirs are only pictures of witnesses so I'm not going to raise any issues from my side. They may have some. THE COURT: Okay. MS. SPIETH: One quick more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Proceedings it's appropriate to be revisiting instructions now. I'm ready to close. We've got a very full day ahead of us. THE COURT: What is it you want to do on Instruction 14? I gave my ruling yesterday. MR. KAY: I withdraw the issue.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Proceedings in evidence. So theirs are only pictures of witnesses so I'm not going to raise any issues from my side. They may have some. THE COURT: Okay. MS. SPIETH: One quick more housekeeping thing. This is again not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Proceedings it's appropriate to be revisiting instructions now. I'm ready to close. We've got a very full day ahead of us. THE COURT: What is it you want to do on Instruction 14? I gave my ruling yesterday. MR. KAY: I withdraw the issue. The last point I want to make is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Proceedings in evidence. So theirs are only pictures of witnesses so I'm not going to raise any issues from my side. They may have some. THE COURT: Okay. MS. SPIETH: One quick more housekeeping thing. This is again not evidence, but the parties have looked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Proceedings it's appropriate to be revisiting instructions now. I'm ready to close. We've got a very full day ahead of us. THE COURT: What is it you want to do on Instruction 14? I gave my ruling yesterday. MR. KAY: I withdraw the issue. The last point I want to make is a very short one, is that just simply,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Proceedings in evidence. So theirs are only pictures of witnesses so I'm not going to raise any issues from my side. They may have some. THE COURT: Okay. MS. SPIETH: One quick more housekeeping thing. This is again not evidence, but the parties have looked into the record, transcripts of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Proceedings it's appropriate to be revisiting instructions now. I'm ready to close. We've got a very full day ahead of us. THE COURT: What is it you want to do on Instruction 14? I gave my ruling yesterday. MR. KAY: I withdraw the issue. The last point I want to make is a very short one, is that just simply, we do not believe that the jury should	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Proceedings in evidence. So theirs are only pictures of witnesses so I'm not going to raise any issues from my side. They may have some. THE COURT: Okay. MS. SPIETH: One quick more housekeeping thing. This is again not evidence, but the parties have looked into the record, transcripts of the impeachment clips that were played
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Proceedings it's appropriate to be revisiting instructions now. I'm ready to close. We've got a very full day ahead of us. THE COURT: What is it you want to do on Instruction 14? I gave my ruling yesterday. MR. KAY: I withdraw the issue. The last point I want to make is a very short one, is that just simply, we do not believe that the jury should be instructed at all because we think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Proceedings in evidence. So theirs are only pictures of witnesses so I'm not going to raise any issues from my side. They may have some. THE COURT: Okay. MS. SPIETH: One quick more housekeeping thing. This is again not evidence, but the parties have looked into the record, transcripts of the impeachment clips that were played during the case, so again, not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Proceedings it's appropriate to be revisiting instructions now. I'm ready to close. We've got a very full day ahead of us. THE COURT: What is it you want to do on Instruction 14? I gave my ruling yesterday. MR. KAY: I withdraw the issue. The last point I want to make is a very short one, is that just simply, we do not believe that the jury should be instructed at all because we think the plea and bar should have been	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Proceedings in evidence. So theirs are only pictures of witnesses so I'm not going to raise any issues from my side. They may have some. THE COURT: Okay. MS. SPIETH: One quick more housekeeping thing. This is again not evidence, but the parties have looked into the record, transcripts of the impeachment clips that were played during the case, so again, not evidence, not something that's going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Proceedings it's appropriate to be revisiting instructions now. I'm ready to close. We've got a very full day ahead of us. THE COURT: What is it you want to do on Instruction 14? I gave my ruling yesterday. MR. KAY: I withdraw the issue. The last point I want to make is a very short one, is that just simply, we do not believe that the jury should be instructed at all because we think the plea and bar should have been granted and the motions to strike	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Proceedings in evidence. So theirs are only pictures of witnesses so I'm not going to raise any issues from my side. They may have some. THE COURT: Okay. MS. SPIETH: One quick more housekeeping thing. This is again not evidence, but the parties have looked into the record, transcripts of the impeachment clips that were played during the case, so again, not evidence, not something that's going to the jury, but just to complete the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Proceedings it's appropriate to be revisiting instructions now. I'm ready to close. We've got a very full day ahead of us. THE COURT: What is it you want to do on Instruction 14? I gave my ruling yesterday. MR. KAY: I withdraw the issue. The last point I want to make is a very short one, is that just simply, we do not believe that the jury should be instructed at all because we think the plea and bar should have been granted and the motions to strike should have been granted, and so I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Proceedings in evidence. So theirs are only pictures of witnesses so I'm not going to raise any issues from my side. They may have some. THE COURT: Okay. MS. SPIETH: One quick more housekeeping thing. This is again not evidence, but the parties have looked into the record, transcripts of the impeachment clips that were played during the case, so again, not evidence, not something that's going to the jury, but just to complete the record
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Proceedings it's appropriate to be revisiting instructions now. I'm ready to close. We've got a very full day ahead of us. THE COURT: What is it you want to do on Instruction 14? I gave my ruling yesterday. MR. KAY: I withdraw the issue. The last point I want to make is a very short one, is that just simply, we do not believe that the jury should be instructed at all because we think the plea and bar should have been granted and the motions to strike should have been granted, and so I feel like I need to make that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Proceedings in evidence. So theirs are only pictures of witnesses so I'm not going to raise any issues from my side. They may have some. THE COURT: Okay. MS. SPIETH: One quick more housekeeping thing. This is again not evidence, but the parties have looked into the record, transcripts of the impeachment clips that were played during the case, so again, not evidence, not something that's going to the jury, but just to complete the record MR. MANGI: Hang on a second.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Proceedings it's appropriate to be revisiting instructions now. I'm ready to close. We've got a very full day ahead of us. THE COURT: What is it you want to do on Instruction 14? I gave my ruling yesterday. MR. KAY: I withdraw the issue. The last point I want to make is a very short one, is that just simply, we do not believe that the jury should be instructed at all because we think the plea and bar should have been granted and the motions to strike should have been granted, and so I feel like I need to make that THE COURT: I've already ruled	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Proceedings in evidence. So theirs are only pictures of witnesses so I'm not going to raise any issues from my side. They may have some. THE COURT: Okay. MS. SPIETH: One quick more housekeeping thing. This is again not evidence, but the parties have looked into the record, transcripts of the impeachment clips that were played during the case, so again, not evidence, not something that's going to the jury, but just to complete the record MR. MANGI: Hang on a second. The record is closed. The evidentiary
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Proceedings it's appropriate to be revisiting instructions now. I'm ready to close. We've got a very full day ahead of us. THE COURT: What is it you want to do on Instruction 14? I gave my ruling yesterday. MR. KAY: I withdraw the issue. The last point I want to make is a very short one, is that just simply, we do not believe that the jury should be instructed at all because we think the plea and bar should have been granted and the motions to strike should have been granted, and so I feel like I need to make that THE COURT: I've already ruled on all the motions to strike and I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Proceedings in evidence. So theirs are only pictures of witnesses so I'm not going to raise any issues from my side. They may have some. THE COURT: Okay. MS. SPIETH: One quick more housekeeping thing. This is again not evidence, but the parties have looked into the record, transcripts of the impeachment clips that were played during the case, so again, not evidence, not something that's going to the jury, but just to complete the record MR. MANGI: Hang on a second. The record is closed. The evidentiary record is closed. We are at closing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Proceedings it's appropriate to be revisiting instructions now. I'm ready to close. We've got a very full day ahead of us. THE COURT: What is it you want to do on Instruction 14? I gave my ruling yesterday. MR. KAY: I withdraw the issue. The last point I want to make is a very short one, is that just simply, we do not believe that the jury should be instructed at all because we think the plea and bar should have been granted and the motions to strike should have been granted, and so I feel like I need to make that THE COURT: I've already ruled on all the motions to strike and I think your objections were noted, so.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Proceedings in evidence. So theirs are only pictures of witnesses so I'm not going to raise any issues from my side. They may have some. THE COURT: Okay. MS. SPIETH: One quick more housekeeping thing. This is again not evidence, but the parties have looked into the record, transcripts of the impeachment clips that were played during the case, so again, not evidence, not something that's going to the jury, but just to complete the record MR. MANGI: Hang on a second. The record is closed. The evidentiary record is closed. We are at closing arguments now.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Proceedings it's appropriate to be revisiting instructions now. I'm ready to close. We've got a very full day ahead of us. THE COURT: What is it you want to do on Instruction 14? I gave my ruling yesterday. MR. KAY: I withdraw the issue. The last point I want to make is a very short one, is that just simply, we do not believe that the jury should be instructed at all because we think the plea and bar should have been granted and the motions to strike should have been granted, and so I feel like I need to make that THE COURT: I've already ruled on all the motions to strike and I think your objections were noted, so. MR. KAY: Thank you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Proceedings in evidence. So theirs are only pictures of witnesses so I'm not going to raise any issues from my side. They may have some. THE COURT: Okay. MS. SPIETH: One quick more housekeeping thing. This is again not evidence, but the parties have looked into the record, transcripts of the impeachment clips that were played during the case, so again, not evidence, not something that's going to the jury, but just to complete the record MR. MANGI: Hang on a second. The record is closed. The evidentiary record is closed. We are at closing arguments now. THE COURT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Proceedings it's appropriate to be revisiting instructions now. I'm ready to close. We've got a very full day ahead of us. THE COURT: What is it you want to do on Instruction 14? I gave my ruling yesterday. MR. KAY: I withdraw the issue. The last point I want to make is a very short one, is that just simply, we do not believe that the jury should be instructed at all because we think the plea and bar should have been granted and the motions to strike should have been granted, and so I feel like I need to make that THE COURT: I've already ruled on all the motions to strike and I think your objections were noted, so. MR. KAY: Thank you. THE COURT: All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Proceedings in evidence. So theirs are only pictures of witnesses so I'm not going to raise any issues from my side. They may have some. THE COURT: Okay. MS. SPIETH: One quick more housekeeping thing. This is again not evidence, but the parties have looked into the record, transcripts of the impeachment clips that were played during the case, so again, not evidence, not something that's going to the jury, but just to complete the record MR. MANGI: Hang on a second. The record is closed. The evidentiary record is closed. We are at closing arguments now. THE COURT: Yes. MS. SPIETH: This is not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Proceedings it's appropriate to be revisiting instructions now. I'm ready to close. We've got a very full day ahead of us. THE COURT: What is it you want to do on Instruction 14? I gave my ruling yesterday. MR. KAY: I withdraw the issue. The last point I want to make is a very short one, is that just simply, we do not believe that the jury should be instructed at all because we think the plea and bar should have been granted and the motions to strike should have been granted, and so I feel like I need to make that THE COURT: I've already ruled on all the motions to strike and I think your objections were noted, so. MR. KAY: Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Mangi, on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Proceedings in evidence. So theirs are only pictures of witnesses so I'm not going to raise any issues from my side. They may have some. THE COURT: Okay. MS. SPIETH: One quick more housekeeping thing. This is again not evidence, but the parties have looked into the record, transcripts of the impeachment clips that were played during the case, so again, not evidence, not something that's going to the jury, but just to complete the record MR. MANGI: Hang on a second. The record is closed. The evidentiary record is closed. We are at closing arguments now. THE COURT: Yes. MS. SPIETH: This is not evidence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Proceedings it's appropriate to be revisiting instructions now. I'm ready to close. We've got a very full day ahead of us. THE COURT: What is it you want to do on Instruction 14? I gave my ruling yesterday. MR. KAY: I withdraw the issue. The last point I want to make is a very short one, is that just simply, we do not believe that the jury should be instructed at all because we think the plea and bar should have been granted and the motions to strike should have been granted, and so I feel like I need to make that THE COURT: I've already ruled on all the motions to strike and I think your objections were noted, so. MR. KAY: Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Mangi, on the MR. MANGI: Your Honor, on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Proceedings in evidence. So theirs are only pictures of witnesses so I'm not going to raise any issues from my side. They may have some. THE COURT: Okay. MS. SPIETH: One quick more housekeeping thing. This is again not evidence, but the parties have looked into the record, transcripts of the impeachment clips that were played during the case, so again, not evidence, not something that's going to the jury, but just to complete the record MR. MANGI: Hang on a second. The record is closed. The evidentiary record is closed. We are at closing arguments now. THE COURT: Yes. MS. SPIETH: This is not evidence. MR. MANGI: Whatever it is,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Proceedings it's appropriate to be revisiting instructions now. I'm ready to close. We've got a very full day ahead of us. THE COURT: What is it you want to do on Instruction 14? I gave my ruling yesterday. MR. KAY: I withdraw the issue. The last point I want to make is a very short one, is that just simply, we do not believe that the jury should be instructed at all because we think the plea and bar should have been granted and the motions to strike should have been granted, and so I feel like I need to make that THE COURT: I've already ruled on all the motions to strike and I think your objections were noted, so. MR. KAY: Thank you. THE COURT: All right. Mr. Mangi, on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Proceedings in evidence. So theirs are only pictures of witnesses so I'm not going to raise any issues from my side. They may have some. THE COURT: Okay. MS. SPIETH: One quick more housekeeping thing. This is again not evidence, but the parties have looked into the record, transcripts of the impeachment clips that were played during the case, so again, not evidence, not something that's going to the jury, but just to complete the record MR. MANGI: Hang on a second. The record is closed. The evidentiary record is closed. We are at closing arguments now. THE COURT: Yes. MS. SPIETH: This is not evidence.

	Page 7990		Page 7991
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	rested.	2	evidentiary stage goes, the record is
3	THE COURT: Yeah. I mean,	3	closed.
4	matters dealing with impeachment came	4	MS. SPIETH: I agree with Your
5	up during the trial. We're not going	5	Honor. This is not evidence.
6	to add anything at this point.	6	THE COURT: It's something that
7	MS. SPIETH: Right. Right, Your	7	came in during the evidentiary stage.
8	Honor. This is just a transcript of	8	It's closed. There's no more coming
9	the impeachment clips that were played	9	in.
10	during the trial that the parties have	10	MR. MANGI: Your Honor, we
11	agreed to put into the record of the	11	offered impeachment clips because we
12	case.	12	did offer them as evidence on the
13	MR. MANGI: There's no what	13	grounds that they were admissions. We
14	we put into the record, whatever we	14	properly moved them in. We didn't
15	wanted to put in the record while we	15	just hand them impeachment clips so
16	were making a record.	16	this is improper.
17	THE COURT: The evidence is	17	MS. SPIETH: The impeachments
18	closed. That is it.	18	were played direct to the trial, to
19	MS. SPIETH: Right. Your Honor,	19	the
20	just this is in evidence. The record	20	MR. MANGI: Just to be clear, if
21	is actually still running because	21	they just played an impeachment, it's
22	we're creating the record as we speak.	22	not evidence. They can't use it in
23	So this is just a piece of the record	23	closing. That's just an impeachment.
24	that needs to be added to the record.	24	So I don't expect to see any of these
25	THE COURT: As far as the	25	impeachment clips in their closing
	Page 7002		Dago 7002
1	Page 7992 Proceedings	1	Page 7993 Proceedings
1 2	Page 7992 Proceedings slides because they're not in		Page 7993 Proceedings of that is going to show up on a
	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	Proceedings slides because they're not in	1 2	Proceedings of that is going to show up on a
2 3	Proceedings slides because they're not in evidence.	1 2 3	Proceedings of that is going to show up on a slide?
2 3 4	Proceedings slides because they're not in evidence. MS. SPIETH: You've seen our	1 2 3 4	Proceedings of that is going to show up on a slide? MR. FRANK: None of that is
2 3 4 5	Proceedings slides because they're not in evidence. MS. SPIETH: You've seen our slides so	1 2 3 4 5	Proceedings of that is going to show up on a slide? MR. FRANK: None of that is going to show up on the slides.
2 3 4 5 6	Proceedings slides because they're not in evidence. MS. SPIETH: You've seen our slides so MR. MANGI: I haven't seen your	1 2 3 4 5 6	Proceedings of that is going to show up on a slide? MR. FRANK: None of that is going to show up on the slides. (Reporter clarification.)
2 3 4 5 6 7	Proceedings slides because they're not in evidence. MS. SPIETH: You've seen our slides so MR. MANGI: I haven't seen your evidentiary slides and so none of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Proceedings of that is going to show up on a slide? MR. FRANK: None of that is going to show up on the slides. (Reporter clarification.) MR. MANGI: He said none of that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Proceedings slides because they're not in evidence. MS. SPIETH: You've seen our slides so MR. MANGI: I haven't seen your evidentiary slides and so none of those should in there, to be clear.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Proceedings of that is going to show up on a slide? MR. FRANK: None of that is going to show up on the slides. (Reporter clarification.) MR. MANGI: He said none of that is going to show up on the slides,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Proceedings slides because they're not in evidence. MS. SPIETH: You've seen our slides so MR. MANGI: I haven't seen your evidentiary slides and so none of those should in there, to be clear. THE COURT: Anything that was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Proceedings of that is going to show up on a slide? MR. FRANK: None of that is going to show up on the slides. (Reporter clarification.) MR. MANGI: He said none of that is going to show up on the slides, Mr. Frank.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Proceedings slides because they're not in evidence. MS. SPIETH: You've seen our slides so MR. MANGI: I haven't seen your evidentiary slides and so none of those should in there, to be clear. THE COURT: Anything that was used for impeachment purposes is not	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Proceedings of that is going to show up on a slide? MR. FRANK: None of that is going to show up on the slides. (Reporter clarification.) MR. MANGI: He said none of that is going to show up on the slides, Mr. Frank. MS. SPIETH: We have a few
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Proceedings slides because they're not in evidence. MS. SPIETH: You've seen our slides so MR. MANGI: I haven't seen your evidentiary slides and so none of those should in there, to be clear. THE COURT: Anything that was used for impeachment purposes is not evidence and should not be argued. I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Proceedings of that is going to show up on a slide? MR. FRANK: None of that is going to show up on the slides. (Reporter clarification.) MR. MANGI: He said none of that is going to show up on the slides, Mr. Frank. MS. SPIETH: We have a few issues with Appian's closing slides,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Proceedings slides because they're not in evidence. MS. SPIETH: You've seen our slides so MR. MANGI: I haven't seen your evidentiary slides and so none of those should in there, to be clear. THE COURT: Anything that was used for impeachment purposes is not evidence and should not be argued. I hope that's clear.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Proceedings of that is going to show up on a slide? MR. FRANK: None of that is going to show up on the slides. (Reporter clarification.) MR. MANGI: He said none of that is going to show up on the slides, Mr. Frank. MS. SPIETH: We have a few issues with Appian's closing slides, Your Honor, and I'm hoping that Josh
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Proceedings slides because they're not in evidence. MS. SPIETH: You've seen our slides so MR. MANGI: I haven't seen your evidentiary slides and so none of those should in there, to be clear. THE COURT: Anything that was used for impeachment purposes is not evidence and should not be argued. I hope that's clear. It's not evidence. If the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Proceedings of that is going to show up on a slide? MR. FRANK: None of that is going to show up on the slides. (Reporter clarification.) MR. MANGI: He said none of that is going to show up on the slides, Mr. Frank. MS. SPIETH: We have a few issues with Appian's closing slides, Your Honor, and I'm hoping that Josh can pull them up onto your screen. If
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Proceedings slides because they're not in evidence. MS. SPIETH: You've seen our slides so MR. MANGI: I haven't seen your evidentiary slides and so none of those should in there, to be clear. THE COURT: Anything that was used for impeachment purposes is not evidence and should not be argued. I hope that's clear. It's not evidence. If the witness testified that X and you found	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Proceedings of that is going to show up on a slide? MR. FRANK: None of that is going to show up on the slides. (Reporter clarification.) MR. MANGI: He said none of that is going to show up on the slides, Mr. Frank. MS. SPIETH: We have a few issues with Appian's closing slides, Your Honor, and I'm hoping that Josh can pull them up onto your screen. If not, we have an extra copy here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Proceedings slides because they're not in evidence. MS. SPIETH: You've seen our slides so MR. MANGI: I haven't seen your evidentiary slides and so none of those should in there, to be clear. THE COURT: Anything that was used for impeachment purposes is not evidence and should not be argued. I hope that's clear. It's not evidence. If the witness testified that X and you found testimony that he said Y and you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Proceedings of that is going to show up on a slide? MR. FRANK: None of that is going to show up on the slides. (Reporter clarification.) MR. MANGI: He said none of that is going to show up on the slides, Mr. Frank. MS. SPIETH: We have a few issues with Appian's closing slides, Your Honor, and I'm hoping that Josh can pull them up onto your screen. If not, we have an extra copy here. THE COURT: Yeah. If he can put
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Proceedings slides because they're not in evidence. MS. SPIETH: You've seen our slides so MR. MANGI: I haven't seen your evidentiary slides and so none of those should in there, to be clear. THE COURT: Anything that was used for impeachment purposes is not evidence and should not be argued. I hope that's clear. It's not evidence. If the witness testified that X and you found testimony that he said Y and you impeached him with that, then that	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Proceedings of that is going to show up on a slide? MR. FRANK: None of that is going to show up on the slides. (Reporter clarification.) MR. MANGI: He said none of that is going to show up on the slides, Mr. Frank. MS. SPIETH: We have a few issues with Appian's closing slides, Your Honor, and I'm hoping that Josh can pull them up onto your screen. If not, we have an extra copy here. THE COURT: Yeah. If he can put them up on the screen, that would be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Proceedings slides because they're not in evidence. MS. SPIETH: You've seen our slides so MR. MANGI: I haven't seen your evidentiary slides and so none of those should in there, to be clear. THE COURT: Anything that was used for impeachment purposes is not evidence and should not be argued. I hope that's clear. It's not evidence. If the witness testified that X and you found testimony that he said Y and you impeached him with that, then that impeachment testimony cannot be argued	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Proceedings of that is going to show up on a slide? MR. FRANK: None of that is going to show up on the slides. (Reporter clarification.) MR. MANGI: He said none of that is going to show up on the slides, Mr. Frank. MS. SPIETH: We have a few issues with Appian's closing slides, Your Honor, and I'm hoping that Josh can pull them up onto your screen. If not, we have an extra copy here. THE COURT: Yeah. If he can put them up on the screen, that would be fine. You can even put them up on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Proceedings slides because they're not in evidence. MS. SPIETH: You've seen our slides so MR. MANGI: I haven't seen your evidentiary slides and so none of those should in there, to be clear. THE COURT: Anything that was used for impeachment purposes is not evidence and should not be argued. I hope that's clear. It's not evidence. If the witness testified that X and you found testimony that he said Y and you impeached him with that, then that impeachment testimony cannot be argued as evidence.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Proceedings of that is going to show up on a slide? MR. FRANK: None of that is going to show up on the slides. (Reporter clarification.) MR. MANGI: He said none of that is going to show up on the slides, Mr. Frank. MS. SPIETH: We have a few issues with Appian's closing slides, Your Honor, and I'm hoping that Josh can pull them up onto your screen. If not, we have an extra copy here. THE COURT: Yeah. If he can put them up on the screen, that would be fine. You can even put them up on the big screens because the jury is not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Proceedings slides because they're not in evidence. MS. SPIETH: You've seen our slides so MR. MANGI: I haven't seen your evidentiary slides and so none of those should in there, to be clear. THE COURT: Anything that was used for impeachment purposes is not evidence and should not be argued. I hope that's clear. It's not evidence. If the witness testified that X and you found testimony that he said Y and you impeached him with that, then that impeachment testimony cannot be argued as evidence. MS. SPIETH: Okay.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Proceedings of that is going to show up on a slide? MR. FRANK: None of that is going to show up on the slides. (Reporter clarification.) MR. MANGI: He said none of that is going to show up on the slides, Mr. Frank. MS. SPIETH: We have a few issues with Appian's closing slides, Your Honor, and I'm hoping that Josh can pull them up onto your screen. If not, we have an extra copy here. THE COURT: Yeah. If he can put them up on the screen, that would be fine. You can even put them up on the big screens because the jury is not here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Proceedings slides because they're not in evidence. MS. SPIETH: You've seen our slides so MR. MANGI: I haven't seen your evidentiary slides and so none of those should in there, to be clear. THE COURT: Anything that was used for impeachment purposes is not evidence and should not be argued. I hope that's clear. It's not evidence. If the witness testified that X and you found testimony that he said Y and you impeached him with that, then that impeachment testimony cannot be argued as evidence. MS. SPIETH: Okay. MR. MANGI: Just to be clear, I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Proceedings of that is going to show up on a slide? MR. FRANK: None of that is going to show up on the slides. (Reporter clarification.) MR. MANGI: He said none of that is going to show up on the slides, Mr. Frank. MS. SPIETH: We have a few issues with Appian's closing slides, Your Honor, and I'm hoping that Josh can pull them up onto your screen. If not, we have an extra copy here. THE COURT: Yeah. If he can put them up on the screen, that would be fine. You can even put them up on the big screens because the jury is not here. MS. SPIETH: The first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Proceedings slides because they're not in evidence. MS. SPIETH: You've seen our slides so MR. MANGI: I haven't seen your evidentiary slides and so none of those should in there, to be clear. THE COURT: Anything that was used for impeachment purposes is not evidence and should not be argued. I hope that's clear. It's not evidence. If the witness testified that X and you found testimony that he said Y and you impeached him with that, then that impeachment testimony cannot be argued as evidence. MS. SPIETH: Okay. MR. MANGI: Just to be clear, I would just like a I don't want to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Proceedings of that is going to show up on a slide? MR. FRANK: None of that is going to show up on the slides. (Reporter clarification.) MR. MANGI: He said none of that is going to show up on the slides, Mr. Frank. MS. SPIETH: We have a few issues with Appian's closing slides, Your Honor, and I'm hoping that Josh can pull them up onto your screen. If not, we have an extra copy here. THE COURT: Yeah. If he can put them up on the screen, that would be fine. You can even put them up on the big screens because the jury is not here. MS. SPIETH: The first actually, Your Honor, well, scratch
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Proceedings slides because they're not in evidence. MS. SPIETH: You've seen our slides so MR. MANGI: I haven't seen your evidentiary slides and so none of those should in there, to be clear. THE COURT: Anything that was used for impeachment purposes is not evidence and should not be argued. I hope that's clear. It's not evidence. If the witness testified that X and you found testimony that he said Y and you impeached him with that, then that impeachment testimony cannot be argued as evidence. MS. SPIETH: Okay. MR. MANGI: Just to be clear, I would just like a I don't want to interrupt closings unless I really	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Proceedings of that is going to show up on a slide? MR. FRANK: None of that is going to show up on the slides. (Reporter clarification.) MR. MANGI: He said none of that is going to show up on the slides, Mr. Frank. MS. SPIETH: We have a few issues with Appian's closing slides, Your Honor, and I'm hoping that Josh can pull them up onto your screen. If not, we have an extra copy here. THE COURT: Yeah. If he can put them up on the screen, that would be fine. You can even put them up on the big screens because the jury is not here. MS. SPIETH: The first actually, Your Honor, well, scratch that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Proceedings slides because they're not in evidence. MS. SPIETH: You've seen our slides so MR. MANGI: I haven't seen your evidentiary slides and so none of those should in there, to be clear. THE COURT: Anything that was used for impeachment purposes is not evidence and should not be argued. I hope that's clear. It's not evidence. If the witness testified that X and you found testimony that he said Y and you impeached him with that, then that impeachment testimony cannot be argued as evidence. MS. SPIETH: Okay. MR. MANGI: Just to be clear, I would just like a I don't want to interrupt closings unless I really have to.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Proceedings of that is going to show up on a slide? MR. FRANK: None of that is going to show up on the slides. (Reporter clarification.) MR. MANGI: He said none of that is going to show up on the slides, Mr. Frank. MS. SPIETH: We have a few issues with Appian's closing slides, Your Honor, and I'm hoping that Josh can pull them up onto your screen. If not, we have an extra copy here. THE COURT: Yeah. If he can put them up on the screen, that would be fine. You can even put them up on the big screens because the jury is not here. MS. SPIETH: The first actually, Your Honor, well, scratch that. The first of the questions is in

	Page 7994	1	Page 7995
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	This is a list of Appian's	2	documentation from Appian's Forum.
3	claimed trade secrets. And my issue	3	So this is far too broad. They
4	is with the eighth item on this list.	4	can tell you a specific piece of
5	I forecasted this issue for Your Honor	5	documentation, but I was actually
6	yesterday.	6	forbidden from putting in evidence
7	The eighth listed trade secret	7	about documentation from Appian's
8	here the first seven are the	8	Forum.
9	weaknesses, I suppose, of Appian's	9	THE COURT: This is a
10	product. The eighth says:	10	demonstrative that they are going to
11	Confidential documentation from Appian	11	use during closing, though, right?
12	Forum.	12	MR. MANGI: Yes.
13	This is not what has been	13	MS. SPIETH: Right.
14	alleged in the case and, in fact, it's	14	THE COURT: This isn't going
15	not specific enough and it's	15	into this isn't evidence.
16	misleading. I'll refresh Your Honor's	16	MS. SPIETH: Right, but
17	memory as to an argument I made	17	THE COURT: This is just what
18	yesterday.	18	Mr. Mangi wants I assume Mr. Mangi
19	I tried to make arguments to	19	is going to be arguing, but he can
20	the excuse me. I tried to put it	20	argue that if he wants and when it's
21	in evidence to the jury about the	21	your turn, you can point out just what
22	documentation that was on Appian's	22	you just said.
23	Forum and Appian objected and	23	MS. SPIETH: Well, I won't be
24	prevented me, successfully prevented	24	able to point it out, Your Honor,
25	me from admitting into evidence	25	because Your Honor didn't allow me to
	D = 5000		
1	Page 7996 Proceedings	1	Page 7997 Proceedings
1 2	Proceedings	1 2	Proceedings
2	Proceedings put it into evidence. You said I	1 2 3	Proceedings can see from the first paragraph of
1	Proceedings put it into evidence. You said I could not put the documentation from	2	Proceedings can see from the first paragraph of the jury instruction, the key part of
2 3 4	Proceedings put it into evidence. You said I could not put the documentation from Appian Forum into evidence, so Your	2 3	Proceedings can see from the first paragraph of the jury instruction, the key part of Jury Instruction 14 that we're worried
2 3	Proceedings put it into evidence. You said I could not put the documentation from Appian Forum into evidence, so Your Honor barred me from putting that into	2 3 4	Proceedings can see from the first paragraph of the jury instruction, the key part of Jury Instruction 14 that we're worried about here is the: You may award the
2 3 4 5 6	Proceedings put it into evidence. You said I could not put the documentation from Appian Forum into evidence, so Your Honor barred me from putting that into evidence or from asking questions	2 3 4 5	Proceedings can see from the first paragraph of the jury instruction, the key part of Jury Instruction 14 that we're worried about here is the: You may award the amount of unjust enrichment caused by
2 3 4 5 6 7	Proceedings put it into evidence. You said I could not put the documentation from Appian Forum into evidence, so Your Honor barred me from putting that into evidence or from asking questions about it	2 3 4 5 6 7	Proceedings can see from the first paragraph of the jury instruction, the key part of Jury Instruction 14 that we're worried about here is the: You may award the amount of unjust enrichment caused by misappropriation.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Proceedings put it into evidence. You said I could not put the documentation from Appian Forum into evidence, so Your Honor barred me from putting that into evidence or from asking questions about it THE COURT: Probably for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Proceedings can see from the first paragraph of the jury instruction, the key part of Jury Instruction 14 that we're worried about here is the: You may award the amount of unjust enrichment caused by misappropriation. And Your Honor said that in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Proceedings put it into evidence. You said I could not put the documentation from Appian Forum into evidence, so Your Honor barred me from putting that into evidence or from asking questions about it THE COURT: Probably for a reason that was appropriate at that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Proceedings can see from the first paragraph of the jury instruction, the key part of Jury Instruction 14 that we're worried about here is the: You may award the amount of unjust enrichment caused by misappropriation. And Your Honor said that in the next paragraph, Pega asked that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Proceedings put it into evidence. You said I could not put the documentation from Appian Forum into evidence, so Your Honor barred me from putting that into evidence or from asking questions about it THE COURT: Probably for a reason that was appropriate at that time, but that doesn't prevent if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Proceedings can see from the first paragraph of the jury instruction, the key part of Jury Instruction 14 that we're worried about here is the: You may award the amount of unjust enrichment caused by misappropriation. And Your Honor said that in the next paragraph, Pega asked that the words "caused by misappropriation"
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Proceedings put it into evidence. You said I could not put the documentation from Appian Forum into evidence, so Your Honor barred me from putting that into evidence or from asking questions about it THE COURT: Probably for a reason that was appropriate at that time, but that doesn't prevent if there's documentation if this issue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Proceedings can see from the first paragraph of the jury instruction, the key part of Jury Instruction 14 that we're worried about here is the: You may award the amount of unjust enrichment caused by misappropriation. And Your Honor said that in the next paragraph, Pega asked that the words "caused by misappropriation" be added to clarify the below
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Proceedings put it into evidence. You said I could not put the documentation from Appian Forum into evidence, so Your Honor barred me from putting that into evidence or from asking questions about it THE COURT: Probably for a reason that was appropriate at that time, but that doesn't prevent if there's documentation if this issue came up and somebody testifies about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Proceedings can see from the first paragraph of the jury instruction, the key part of Jury Instruction 14 that we're worried about here is the: You may award the amount of unjust enrichment caused by misappropriation. And Your Honor said that in the next paragraph, Pega asked that the words "caused by misappropriation" be added to clarify the below paragraph and Your Honor denied that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Proceedings put it into evidence. You said I could not put the documentation from Appian Forum into evidence, so Your Honor barred me from putting that into evidence or from asking questions about it THE COURT: Probably for a reason that was appropriate at that time, but that doesn't prevent if there's documentation if this issue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Proceedings can see from the first paragraph of the jury instruction, the key part of Jury Instruction 14 that we're worried about here is the: You may award the amount of unjust enrichment caused by misappropriation. And Your Honor said that in the next paragraph, Pega asked that the words "caused by misappropriation" be added to clarify the below
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Proceedings put it into evidence. You said I could not put the documentation from Appian Forum into evidence, so Your Honor barred me from putting that into evidence or from asking questions about it THE COURT: Probably for a reason that was appropriate at that time, but that doesn't prevent if there's documentation if this issue came up and somebody testifies about it, it's fair game for him to argue about it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Proceedings can see from the first paragraph of the jury instruction, the key part of Jury Instruction 14 that we're worried about here is the: You may award the amount of unjust enrichment caused by misappropriation. And Your Honor said that in the next paragraph, Pega asked that the words "caused by misappropriation" be added to clarify the below paragraph and Your Honor denied that request. The next slide reframes that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Proceedings put it into evidence. You said I could not put the documentation from Appian Forum into evidence, so Your Honor barred me from putting that into evidence or from asking questions about it THE COURT: Probably for a reason that was appropriate at that time, but that doesn't prevent if there's documentation if this issue came up and somebody testifies about it, it's fair game for him to argue about it. MS. SPIETH: Okay. Over my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Proceedings can see from the first paragraph of the jury instruction, the key part of Jury Instruction 14 that we're worried about here is the: You may award the amount of unjust enrichment caused by misappropriation. And Your Honor said that in the next paragraph, Pega asked that the words "caused by misappropriation" be added to clarify the below paragraph and Your Honor denied that request. The next slide reframes that second paragraph of Jury
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Proceedings put it into evidence. You said I could not put the documentation from Appian Forum into evidence, so Your Honor barred me from putting that into evidence or from asking questions about it THE COURT: Probably for a reason that was appropriate at that time, but that doesn't prevent if there's documentation if this issue came up and somebody testifies about it, it's fair game for him to argue about it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Proceedings can see from the first paragraph of the jury instruction, the key part of Jury Instruction 14 that we're worried about here is the: You may award the amount of unjust enrichment caused by misappropriation. And Your Honor said that in the next paragraph, Pega asked that the words "caused by misappropriation" be added to clarify the below paragraph and Your Honor denied that request. The next slide reframes that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Proceedings put it into evidence. You said I could not put the documentation from Appian Forum into evidence, so Your Honor barred me from putting that into evidence or from asking questions about it THE COURT: Probably for a reason that was appropriate at that time, but that doesn't prevent if there's documentation if this issue came up and somebody testifies about it, it's fair game for him to argue about it. MS. SPIETH: Okay. Over my objection, Your Honor.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Proceedings can see from the first paragraph of the jury instruction, the key part of Jury Instruction 14 that we're worried about here is the: You may award the amount of unjust enrichment caused by misappropriation. And Your Honor said that in the next paragraph, Pega asked that the words "caused by misappropriation" be added to clarify the below paragraph and Your Honor denied that request. The next slide reframes that second paragraph of Jury Instruction 14. And on the left, you can see where it reframes the first
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Proceedings put it into evidence. You said I could not put the documentation from Appian Forum into evidence, so Your Honor barred me from putting that into evidence or from asking questions about it THE COURT: Probably for a reason that was appropriate at that time, but that doesn't prevent if there's documentation if this issue came up and somebody testifies about it, it's fair game for him to argue about it. MS. SPIETH: Okay. Over my objection, Your Honor. The next slide that has an issue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Proceedings can see from the first paragraph of the jury instruction, the key part of Jury Instruction 14 that we're worried about here is the: You may award the amount of unjust enrichment caused by misappropriation. And Your Honor said that in the next paragraph, Pega asked that the words "caused by misappropriation" be added to clarify the below paragraph and Your Honor denied that request. The next slide reframes that second paragraph of Jury Instruction 14. And on the left, you can see where it reframes the first part of the second paragraph of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Proceedings put it into evidence. You said I could not put the documentation from Appian Forum into evidence, so Your Honor barred me from putting that into evidence or from asking questions about it THE COURT: Probably for a reason that was appropriate at that time, but that doesn't prevent if there's documentation if this issue came up and somebody testifies about it, it's fair game for him to argue about it. MS. SPIETH: Okay. Over my objection, Your Honor. The next slide that has an issue is 10.349. There aren't a lot of these.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Proceedings can see from the first paragraph of the jury instruction, the key part of Jury Instruction 14 that we're worried about here is the: You may award the amount of unjust enrichment caused by misappropriation. And Your Honor said that in the next paragraph, Pega asked that the words "caused by misappropriation" be added to clarify the below paragraph and Your Honor denied that request. The next slide reframes that second paragraph of Jury Instruction 14. And on the left, you can see where it reframes the first part of the second paragraph of the Jury Instruction 14.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Proceedings put it into evidence. You said I could not put the documentation from Appian Forum into evidence, so Your Honor barred me from putting that into evidence or from asking questions about it THE COURT: Probably for a reason that was appropriate at that time, but that doesn't prevent if there's documentation if this issue came up and somebody testifies about it, it's fair game for him to argue about it. MS. SPIETH: Okay. Over my objection, Your Honor. The next slide that has an issue is 10.349. There aren't a lot of these. So 10.349, harkens back to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Proceedings can see from the first paragraph of the jury instruction, the key part of Jury Instruction 14 that we're worried about here is the: You may award the amount of unjust enrichment caused by misappropriation. And Your Honor said that in the next paragraph, Pega asked that the words "caused by misappropriation" be added to clarify the below paragraph and Your Honor denied that request. The next slide reframes that second paragraph of Jury Instruction 14. And on the left, you can see where it reframes the first part of the second paragraph of the Jury Instruction 14. It says: Appian has the burden
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Proceedings put it into evidence. You said I could not put the documentation from Appian Forum into evidence, so Your Honor barred me from putting that into evidence or from asking questions about it THE COURT: Probably for a reason that was appropriate at that time, but that doesn't prevent if there's documentation if this issue came up and somebody testifies about it, it's fair game for him to argue about it. MS. SPIETH: Okay. Over my objection, Your Honor. The next slide that has an issue is 10.349. There aren't a lot of these. So 10.349, harkens back to 10.348. If you wouldn't mind just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Proceedings can see from the first paragraph of the jury instruction, the key part of Jury Instruction 14 that we're worried about here is the: You may award the amount of unjust enrichment caused by misappropriation. And Your Honor said that in the next paragraph, Pega asked that the words "caused by misappropriation" be added to clarify the below paragraph and Your Honor denied that request. The next slide reframes that second paragraph of Jury Instruction 14. And on the left, you can see where it reframes the first part of the second paragraph of the Jury Instruction 14. It says: Appian has the burden of establishing a greater weight of,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Proceedings put it into evidence. You said I could not put the documentation from Appian Forum into evidence, so Your Honor barred me from putting that into evidence or from asking questions about it THE COURT: Probably for a reason that was appropriate at that time, but that doesn't prevent if there's documentation if this issue came up and somebody testifies about it, it's fair game for him to argue about it. MS. SPIETH: Okay. Over my objection, Your Honor. The next slide that has an issue is 10.349. There aren't a lot of these. So 10.349, harkens back to 10.348. If you wouldn't mind just putting them both up, Josh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Proceedings can see from the first paragraph of the jury instruction, the key part of Jury Instruction 14 that we're worried about here is the: You may award the amount of unjust enrichment caused by misappropriation. And Your Honor said that in the next paragraph, Pega asked that the words "caused by misappropriation" be added to clarify the below paragraph and Your Honor denied that request. The next slide reframes that second paragraph of Jury Instruction 14. And on the left, you can see where it reframes the first part of the second paragraph of the Jury Instruction 14. It says: Appian has the burden of establishing a greater weight of, of the evidence.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Proceedings put it into evidence. You said I could not put the documentation from Appian Forum into evidence, so Your Honor barred me from putting that into evidence or from asking questions about it THE COURT: Probably for a reason that was appropriate at that time, but that doesn't prevent if there's documentation if this issue came up and somebody testifies about it, it's fair game for him to argue about it. MS. SPIETH: Okay. Over my objection, Your Honor. The next slide that has an issue is 10.349. There aren't a lot of these. So 10.349, harkens back to 10.348. If you wouldn't mind just putting them both up, Josh. This is an issue that Mr. Kay	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Proceedings can see from the first paragraph of the jury instruction, the key part of Jury Instruction 14 that we're worried about here is the: You may award the amount of unjust enrichment caused by misappropriation. And Your Honor said that in the next paragraph, Pega asked that the words "caused by misappropriation" be added to clarify the below paragraph and Your Honor denied that request. The next slide reframes that second paragraph of Jury Instruction 14. And on the left, you can see where it reframes the first part of the second paragraph of the Jury Instruction 14. It says: Appian has the burden of establishing a greater weight of, of the evidence. THE COURT: Should be by the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Proceedings put it into evidence. You said I could not put the documentation from Appian Forum into evidence, so Your Honor barred me from putting that into evidence or from asking questions about it THE COURT: Probably for a reason that was appropriate at that time, but that doesn't prevent if there's documentation if this issue came up and somebody testifies about it, it's fair game for him to argue about it. MS. SPIETH: Okay. Over my objection, Your Honor. The next slide that has an issue is 10.349. There aren't a lot of these. So 10.349, harkens back to 10.348. If you wouldn't mind just putting them both up, Josh.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Proceedings can see from the first paragraph of the jury instruction, the key part of Jury Instruction 14 that we're worried about here is the: You may award the amount of unjust enrichment caused by misappropriation. And Your Honor said that in the next paragraph, Pega asked that the words "caused by misappropriation" be added to clarify the below paragraph and Your Honor denied that request. The next slide reframes that second paragraph of Jury Instruction 14. And on the left, you can see where it reframes the first part of the second paragraph of the Jury Instruction 14. It says: Appian has the burden of establishing a greater weight of, of the evidence.

	Page 7998		Page 7999
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	couple typos in there, I think.	2	he can emphasize this part of it.
3	THE COURT: Article missing	3	MS. SPIETH: The next one is
4	there.	4	Plaintiff's Demonstrative 10.373.
5	MS. SPIETH: Yeah. Establishing	5	This slide has pictures of, Your Honor
6	by greater weight of the evidence	6	will remember, some bands. Now, there
7	Pegasystems' sales. I actually think	7	is
8	there's two typos there.	8	THE COURT: Yes. Now I can see
9	MR. MANGI: It's an exact quote.	9	who the Goo Goo girls are.
10	MS. SPIETH: Okay. The typo	10	MR. MANGI: Dolls, Your Honor,
11	might be in the jury instruction, but	11	Dolls.
12	the problem is that when it's	12	THE COURT: Ms. Perez explained
13	disaggregated from the previous	13	to me yesterday during the break who
14	paragraph, it doesn't have the "caused	14	they were, and she fully acted, it was
15	by misappropriation" so it's not a	15	a male band and it seems that she was
16	fair statement of the causation	16	right despite their names.
17	standard in the case.	17	MS. SPIETH: She would not lead
18	So Your Honor ruled that the	18	you astray.
19	"caused by misappropriation" shouldn't	19	So Mr. Bapna, counsel for
20	be included in the second paragraph,	20	Appian, asked some questions about
21	but that was when it was in the	21	whether Mr. Platt, the Pegasystems
22	context of the full jury instruction.	22	damages expert, was aware that these
23	THE COURT: But they are going	23	bands had played at PegaWorld and he
24	to have the full jury instruction. If	24	testified that he was not aware.
25	he wants to emphasize this part of it,	25	So it's not in evidence that
	Page 8000		Page 8001
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	these bands played at PegaWorld so	2	MS. SPIETH: I think this slide
3	this slide is misleading insofar as	3	deep month it actually. Many Many
			does suggest it actually, Your Honor.
4	there is no evidence in the case that	4	does suggest it actually, your Honor. I think Mr. Mangi
4 5	there is no evidence in the case that these bands played at PegaWorld. I	4	I think Mr. Mangi
5	these bands played at PegaWorld. I	5	I think Mr. Mangi MR. MANGI: I'll make it easy.
5 6	these bands played at PegaWorld. I think you could do a search through	5 6	I think Mr. Mangi MR. MANGI: I'll make it easy. I'll take out the PegaWorld. Just
5 6 7	these bands played at PegaWorld. I think you could do a search through the transcripts for Goo Goo Dolls and	5 6 7	I think Mr. Mangi MR. MANGI: I'll make it easy. I'll take out the PegaWorld. Just pictures of the bands.
5 6 7 8	these bands played at PegaWorld. I think you could do a search through the transcripts for Goo Goo Dolls and you wouldn't find that they played.	5 6 7 8	I think Mr. Mangi MR. MANGI: I'll make it easy. I'll take out the PegaWorld. Just pictures of the bands. Remember? We asked questions
5 6 7 8 9	these bands played at PegaWorld. I think you could do a search through the transcripts for Goo Goo Dolls and you wouldn't find that they played. THE COURT: I think that's	5 6 7 8 9	I think Mr. Mangi MR. MANGI: I'll make it easy. I'll take out the PegaWorld. Just pictures of the bands. Remember? We asked questions about whether these bands performed.
5 6 7 8 9 10	these bands played at PegaWorld. I think you could do a search through the transcripts for Goo Goo Dolls and you wouldn't find that they played. THE COURT: I think that's right. I think the question, he	5 6 7 8 9 10	I think Mr. Mangi MR. MANGI: I'll make it easy. I'll take out the PegaWorld. Just pictures of the bands. Remember? We asked questions about whether these bands performed. It's just a reminder of my question,
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	these bands played at PegaWorld. I think you could do a search through the transcripts for Goo Goo Dolls and you wouldn't find that they played. THE COURT: I think that's right. I think the question, he didn't know the answer.	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	I think Mr. Mangi MR. MANGI: I'll make it easy. I'll take out the PegaWorld. Just pictures of the bands. Remember? We asked questions about whether these bands performed. It's just a reminder of my question, period.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	these bands played at PegaWorld. I think you could do a search through the transcripts for Goo Goo Dolls and you wouldn't find that they played. THE COURT: I think that's right. I think the question, he didn't know the answer. MR. MANGI: Your Honor, it's	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	I think Mr. Mangi MR. MANGI: I'll make it easy. I'll take out the PegaWorld. Just pictures of the bands. Remember? We asked questions about whether these bands performed. It's just a reminder of my question, period. MR. FRANK: Questions are not
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	these bands played at PegaWorld. I think you could do a search through the transcripts for Goo Goo Dolls and you wouldn't find that they played. THE COURT: I think that's right. I think the question, he didn't know the answer. MR. MANGI: Your Honor, it's just here, I'm not saying they	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	I think Mr. Mangi MR. MANGI: I'll make it easy. I'll take out the PegaWorld. Just pictures of the bands. Remember? We asked questions about whether these bands performed. It's just a reminder of my question, period. MR. FRANK: Questions are not evidence, Your Honor.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>these bands played at PegaWorld. I think you could do a search through the transcripts for Goo Goo Dolls and you wouldn't find that they played. THE COURT: I think that's right. I think the question, he didn't know the answer. MR. MANGI: Your Honor, it's just here, I'm not saying they performed. That's not the point. The</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	I think Mr. Mangi MR. MANGI: I'll make it easy. I'll take out the PegaWorld. Just pictures of the bands. Remember? We asked questions about whether these bands performed. It's just a reminder of my question, period. MR. FRANK: Questions are not evidence, Your Honor. MR. MANGI: It's argument not
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>these bands played at PegaWorld. I think you could do a search through the transcripts for Goo Goo Dolls and you wouldn't find that they played. THE COURT: I think that's right. I think the question, he didn't know the answer. MR. MANGI: Your Honor, it's just here, I'm not saying they performed. That's not the point. The point is simply, you know, remember</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	I think Mr. Mangi MR. MANGI: I'll make it easy. I'll take out the PegaWorld. Just pictures of the bands. Remember? We asked questions about whether these bands performed. It's just a reminder of my question, period. MR. FRANK: Questions are not evidence, Your Honor. MR. MANGI: It's argument not it's argument. It's closing argument.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>these bands played at PegaWorld. I think you could do a search through the transcripts for Goo Goo Dolls and you wouldn't find that they played. THE COURT: I think that's right. I think the question, he didn't know the answer. MR. MANGI: Your Honor, it's just here, I'm not saying they performed. That's not the point. The point is simply, you know, remember what it's a reminder, a visual</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	I think Mr. Mangi MR. MANGI: I'll make it easy. I'll take out the PegaWorld. Just pictures of the bands. Remember? We asked questions about whether these bands performed. It's just a reminder of my question, period. MR. FRANK: Questions are not evidence, Your Honor. MR. MANGI: It's argument not it's argument. It's closing argument. I want to remind I'm going to
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>these bands played at PegaWorld. I think you could do a search through the transcripts for Goo Goo Dolls and you wouldn't find that they played. THE COURT: I think that's right. I think the question, he didn't know the answer. MR. MANGI: Your Honor, it's just here, I'm not saying they performed. That's not the point. The point is simply, you know, remember what it's a reminder, a visual reminder to the jury. Remember what</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	I think Mr. Mangi MR. MANGI: I'll make it easy. I'll take out the PegaWorld. Just pictures of the bands. Remember? We asked questions about whether these bands performed. It's just a reminder of my question, period. MR. FRANK: Questions are not evidence, Your Honor. MR. MANGI: It's argument not it's argument. It's closing argument. I want to remind I'm going to remind them, Your Honor, of the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>these bands played at PegaWorld. I think you could do a search through the transcripts for Goo Goo Dolls and you wouldn't find that they played. THE COURT: I think that's right. I think the question, he didn't know the answer. MR. MANGI: Your Honor, it's just here, I'm not saying they performed. That's not the point. The point is simply, you know, remember what it's a reminder, a visual reminder to the jury. Remember what he said. If these bands performed,</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	I think Mr. Mangi MR. MANGI: I'll make it easy. I'll take out the PegaWorld. Just pictures of the bands. Remember? We asked questions about whether these bands performed. It's just a reminder of my question, period. MR. FRANK: Questions are not evidence, Your Honor. MR. MANGI: It's argument not it's argument. It's closing argument. I want to remind I'm going to remind them, Your Honor, of the question about the bands. It's not
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>these bands played at PegaWorld. I think you could do a search through the transcripts for Goo Goo Dolls and you wouldn't find that they played. THE COURT: I think that's right. I think the question, he didn't know the answer. MR. MANGI: Your Honor, it's just here, I'm not saying they performed. That's not the point. The point is simply, you know, remember what it's a reminder, a visual reminder to the jury. Remember what he said. If these bands performed, all of that money, sorry, Appian, you</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	I think Mr. Mangi MR. MANGI: I'll make it easy. I'll take out the PegaWorld. Just pictures of the bands. Remember? We asked questions about whether these bands performed. It's just a reminder of my question, period. MR. FRANK: Questions are not evidence, Your Honor. MR. MANGI: It's argument not it's argument. It's closing argument. I want to remind I'm going to remind them, Your Honor, of the question about the bands. It's not prejudice for having a picture of the
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>these bands played at PegaWorld. I think you could do a search through the transcripts for Goo Goo Dolls and you wouldn't find that they played. THE COURT: I think that's right. I think the question, he didn't know the answer. MR. MANGI: Your Honor, it's just here, I'm not saying they performed. That's not the point. The point is simply, you know, remember what it's a reminder, a visual reminder to the jury. Remember what he said. If these bands performed, all of that money, sorry, Appian, you can't have it back.</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	I think Mr. Mangi MR. MANGI: I'll make it easy. I'll take out the PegaWorld. Just pictures of the bands. Remember? We asked questions about whether these bands performed. It's just a reminder of my question, period. MR. FRANK: Questions are not evidence, Your Honor. MR. MANGI: It's argument not it's argument. It's closing argument. I want to remind I'm going to remind them, Your Honor, of the question about the bands. It's not prejudice for having a picture of the band. It just breaks up a boring
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>these bands played at PegaWorld. I think you could do a search through the transcripts for Goo Goo Dolls and you wouldn't find that they played. THE COURT: I think that's right. I think the question, he didn't know the answer. MR. MANGI: Your Honor, it's just here, I'm not saying they performed. That's not the point. The point is simply, you know, remember what it's a reminder, a visual reminder to the jury. Remember what he said. If these bands performed, all of that money, sorry, Appian, you can't have it back. That's all it is. I'm not</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	I think Mr. Mangi MR. MANGI: I'll make it easy. I'll take out the PegaWorld. Just pictures of the bands. Remember? We asked questions about whether these bands performed. It's just a reminder of my question, period. MR. FRANK: Questions are not evidence, Your Honor. MR. MANGI: It's argument not it's argument. It's closing argument. I want to remind I'm going to remind them, Your Honor, of the question about the bands. It's not prejudice for having a picture of the band. It just breaks up a boring closing.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>these bands played at PegaWorld. I think you could do a search through the transcripts for Goo Goo Dolls and you wouldn't find that they played. THE COURT: I think that's right. I think the question, he didn't know the answer. MR. MANGI: Your Honor, it's just here, I'm not saying they performed. That's not the point. The point is simply, you know, remember what it's a reminder, a visual reminder to the jury. Remember what he said. If these bands performed, all of that money, sorry, Appian, you can't have it back. That's all it is. I'm not saying they actually performed. They</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	I think Mr. Mangi MR. MANGI: I'll make it easy. I'll take out the PegaWorld. Just pictures of the bands. Remember? We asked questions about whether these bands performed. It's just a reminder of my question, period. MR. FRANK: Questions are not evidence, Your Honor. MR. MANGI: It's argument not it's argument. It's closing argument. I want to remind I'm going to remind them, Your Honor, of the question about the bands. It's not prejudice for having a picture of the band. It just breaks up a boring closing. MR. FRANK: Your Honor, on that theory, I could talk about questions I asked that Your Honor excluded.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>these bands played at PegaWorld. I think you could do a search through the transcripts for Goo Goo Dolls and you wouldn't find that they played. THE COURT: I think that's right. I think the question, he didn't know the answer. MR. MANGI: Your Honor, it's just here, I'm not saying they performed. That's not the point. The point is simply, you know, remember what it's a reminder, a visual reminder to the jury. Remember what he said. If these bands performed, all of that money, sorry, Appian, you can't have it back. That's all it is. I'm not saying they actually performed. They did, by the way, but I'm not going to</pre>	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	I think Mr. Mangi MR. MANGI: I'll make it easy. I'll take out the PegaWorld. Just pictures of the bands. Remember? We asked questions about whether these bands performed. It's just a reminder of my question, period. MR. FRANK: Questions are not evidence, Your Honor. MR. MANGI: It's argument not it's argument. It's closing argument. I want to remind I'm going to remind them, Your Honor, of the question about the bands. It's not prejudice for having a picture of the band. It just breaks up a boring closing. MR. FRANK: Your Honor, on that theory, I could talk about questions I

	Page 8002		Page 8003
1	Proceedings	1	Proceedings
2	to come down.	2	rejected.
3	MR. MANGI: Okay. That's fine.	3	MS. SPIETH: That's fine.
4	MS. SPIETH: And then there's	4	That's the last four complaints, Your
5	just one more objection, Your Honor,	5	Honor. They were all in that bucket
6	and it applies to a couple of slides,	6	so we understand your ruling on all of
7	but I think we can just argue it in	7	those. Thank you.
8	the context of 10.383. And if Your	8	THE COURT: Thank you.
9	Honor rules on this one, we'll know	9	MR. MANGI: Ready to close, Your
10	the answer to the rest.	10	Honor.
11	10.383 is a part of the verdict	11	THE COURT: We have the jury
12	form that's completed, and I think the	12	instructions first.
13	completed well, I don't think.	13	Mr. Travell?
14	The completed verdict forms are	14	MR. TRAVELL: I have a few
15	unfairly prejudicial insofar as they	15	minutes about closing.
16	try to do the jury's job for it, and	16	THE COURT: Okay. And let's get
17	there is a couple of slides like this.	17	the jury instructions.
18	THE COURT: Well, that's exactly	18	MS. MARCUS: This is a set of
19	what the closing argument is supposed	19	eight, so one for Your Honor, seven
20	to be. If your objection hold on,	20	for the jury. And then I have the set
21	Mr. Mangi	21	that Ms. Perez gave me this morning so
22	MR. MANGI: Go ahead.	22	you can see that I put them we put
23	THE COURT: If your objection is	23	them in the same order as Your Honor
24	that he can't argue how the jury form	24	did.
25	should look, that argument is	25	THE COURT: All right. You can
			_
		1	
1	Page 8004 Proceedings		Page 8005 Jury Charge
1	Proceedings	1	Jury Charge
2	Proceedings hand those to the deputy, please.	1 2	Jury Charge e-mails, but one thing I had planned
2 3	Proceedings hand those to the deputy, please. MS. MARCUS: Thank you.	1 2 3	Jury Charge e-mails, but one thing I had planned to show was the verdict form itself.
2 3 4	Proceedings hand those to the deputy, please. MS. MARCUS: Thank you. THE COURT: Those are going to	1 2 3 4	Jury Charge e-mails, but one thing I had planned to show was the verdict form itself. I haven't disclosed that, but
2 3 4 5	Proceedings hand those to the deputy, please. MS. MARCUS: Thank you. THE COURT: Those are going to be distributed to the jury when they	1 2 3 4 5	Jury Charge e-mails, but one thing I had planned to show was the verdict form itself. I haven't disclosed that, but it's the verdict form that's here. I
2 3 4 5 6	Proceedings hand those to the deputy, please. MS. MARCUS: Thank you. THE COURT: Those are going to be distributed to the jury when they go back to deliberate.	1 2 3 4	Jury Charge e-mails, but one thing I had planned to show was the verdict form itself. I haven't disclosed that, but it's the verdict form that's here. I don't have it marked up, but I did
2 3 4 5 6 7	Proceedings hand those to the deputy, please. MS. MARCUS: Thank you. THE COURT: Those are going to be distributed to the jury when they go back to deliberate. MS. MARCUS: The one with the	1 2 3 4 5	Jury Charge e-mails, but one thing I had planned to show was the verdict form itself. I haven't disclosed that, but it's the verdict form that's here. I don't have it marked up, but I did plan to go through the jury and have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Proceedings hand those to the deputy, please. MS. MARCUS: Thank you. THE COURT: Those are going to be distributed to the jury when they go back to deliberate. MS. MARCUS: The one with the sticky on it is the old set.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Jury Charge e-mails, but one thing I had planned to show was the verdict form itself. I haven't disclosed that, but it's the verdict form that's here. I don't have it marked up, but I did plan to go through the jury and have them
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Proceedings hand those to the deputy, please. MS. MARCUS: Thank you. THE COURT: Those are going to be distributed to the jury when they go back to deliberate. MS. MARCUS: The one with the sticky on it is the old set. THE COURT: I need my copy.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Jury Charge e-mails, but one thing I had planned to show was the verdict form itself. I haven't disclosed that, but it's the verdict form that's here. I don't have it marked up, but I did plan to go through the jury and have them THE COURT: Sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Proceedings hand those to the deputy, please. MS. MARCUS: Thank you. THE COURT: Those are going to be distributed to the jury when they go back to deliberate. MS. MARCUS: The one with the sticky on it is the old set.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Jury Charge e-mails, but one thing I had planned to show was the verdict form itself. I haven't disclosed that, but it's the verdict form that's here. I don't have it marked up, but I did plan to go through the jury and have them THE COURT: Sure. MR. TRAVELL: So, again, I plan
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Proceedings hand those to the deputy, please. MS. MARCUS: Thank you. THE COURT: Those are going to be distributed to the jury when they go back to deliberate. MS. MARCUS: The one with the sticky on it is the old set. THE COURT: I need my copy. (Discussion held off the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Jury Charge e-mails, but one thing I had planned to show was the verdict form itself. I haven't disclosed that, but it's the verdict form that's here. I don't have it marked up, but I did plan to go through the jury and have them THE COURT: Sure. MR. TRAVELL: So, again, I plan to do that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Proceedings hand those to the deputy, please. MS. MARCUS: Thank you. THE COURT: Those are going to be distributed to the jury when they go back to deliberate. MS. MARCUS: The one with the sticky on it is the old set. THE COURT: I need my copy. (Discussion held off the record.) THE COURT: I think we're ready	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Jury Charge e-mails, but one thing I had planned to show was the verdict form itself. I haven't disclosed that, but it's the verdict form that's here. I don't have it marked up, but I did plan to go through the jury and have them THE COURT: Sure. MR. TRAVELL: So, again, I plan to do that. MR. MANGI: No objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Proceedings hand those to the deputy, please. MS. MARCUS: Thank you. THE COURT: Those are going to be distributed to the jury when they go back to deliberate. MS. MARCUS: The one with the sticky on it is the old set. THE COURT: I need my copy. (Discussion held off the record.)	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Jury Charge e-mails, but one thing I had planned to show was the verdict form itself. I haven't disclosed that, but it's the verdict form that's here. I don't have it marked up, but I did plan to go through the jury and have them THE COURT: Sure. MR. TRAVELL: So, again, I plan to do that. MR. MANGI: No objection. MR. TRAVELL: We do not have any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Proceedings hand those to the deputy, please. MS. MARCUS: Thank you. THE COURT: Those are going to be distributed to the jury when they go back to deliberate. MS. MARCUS: The one with the sticky on it is the old set. THE COURT: I need my copy. (Discussion held off the record.) THE COURT: I think we're ready to bring the jury oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Travell.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Jury Charge e-mails, but one thing I had planned to show was the verdict form itself. I haven't disclosed that, but it's the verdict form that's here. I don't have it marked up, but I did plan to go through the jury and have them THE COURT: Sure. MR. TRAVELL: So, again, I plan to do that. MR. MANGI: No objection. MR. TRAVELL: We do not have any objection.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Proceedings hand those to the deputy, please. MS. MARCUS: Thank you. THE COURT: Those are going to be distributed to the jury when they go back to deliberate. MS. MARCUS: The one with the sticky on it is the old set. THE COURT: I need my copy. (Discussion held off the record.) THE COURT: I think we're ready to bring the jury oh, I'm sorry,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Jury Charge e-mails, but one thing I had planned to show was the verdict form itself. I haven't disclosed that, but it's the verdict form that's here. I don't have it marked up, but I did plan to go through the jury and have them THE COURT: Sure. MR. TRAVELL: So, again, I plan to do that. MR. MANGI: No objection. MR. TRAVELL: We do not have any
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Proceedings hand those to the deputy, please. MS. MARCUS: Thank you. THE COURT: Those are going to be distributed to the jury when they go back to deliberate. MS. MARCUS: The one with the sticky on it is the old set. THE COURT: I need my copy. (Discussion held off the record.) THE COURT: I think we're ready to bring the jury oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Travell. MR. TRAVELL: That's okay.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Jury Charge e-mails, but one thing I had planned to show was the verdict form itself. I haven't disclosed that, but it's the verdict form that's here. I don't have it marked up, but I did plan to go through the jury and have them THE COURT: Sure. MR. TRAVELL: So, again, I plan to do that. MR. MANGI: No objection. MR. TRAVELL: We do not have any objection. Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Proceedings hand those to the deputy, please. MS. MARCUS: Thank you. THE COURT: Those are going to be distributed to the jury when they go back to deliberate. MS. MARCUS: The one with the sticky on it is the old set. THE COURT: I need my copy. (Discussion held off the record.) THE COURT: I think we're ready to bring the jury oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Travell. MR. TRAVELL: That's okay.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Jury Charge e-mails, but one thing I had planned to show was the verdict form itself. I haven't disclosed that, but it's the verdict form that's here. I don't have it marked up, but I did plan to go through the jury and have them THE COURT: Sure. MR. TRAVELL: So, again, I plan to do that. MR. MANGI: No objection. MR. TRAVELL: We do not have any objection. Thank you. THE COURT: Not a problem.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Proceedings hand those to the deputy, please. MS. MARCUS: Thank you. THE COURT: Those are going to be distributed to the jury when they go back to deliberate. MS. MARCUS: The one with the sticky on it is the old set. THE COURT: I need my copy. (Discussion held off the record.) THE COURT: I think we're ready to bring the jury oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Travell. MR. TRAVELL: That's okay. Wayne Travell for defendant Youyong Zou.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Jury Charge e-mails, but one thing I had planned to show was the verdict form itself. I haven't disclosed that, but it's the verdict form that's here. I don't have it marked up, but I did plan to go through the jury and have them THE COURT: Sure. MR. TRAVELL: So, again, I plan to do that. MR. MANGI: No objection. MR. TRAVELL: We do not have any objection. Thank you. THE COURT: Not a problem. And where is the jury verdict
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Proceedings hand those to the deputy, please. MS. MARCUS: Thank you. THE COURT: Those are going to be distributed to the jury when they go back to deliberate. MS. MARCUS: The one with the sticky on it is the old set. THE COURT: I need my copy. (Discussion held off the record.) THE COURT: I think we're ready to bring the jury oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Travell. MR. TRAVELL: That's okay. Wayne Travell for defendant Youyong Zou. Your Honor, just to avoid any	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Jury Charge e-mails, but one thing I had planned to show was the verdict form itself. I haven't disclosed that, but it's the verdict form that's here. I don't have it marked up, but I did plan to go through the jury and have them THE COURT: Sure. MR. TRAVELL: So, again, I plan to do that. MR. MANGI: No objection. MR. TRAVELL: We do not have any objection. Thank you. THE COURT: Not a problem. And where is the jury verdict form, by the way?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Proceedings hand those to the deputy, please. MS. MARCUS: Thank you. THE COURT: Those are going to be distributed to the jury when they go back to deliberate. MS. MARCUS: The one with the sticky on it is the old set. THE COURT: I need my copy. (Discussion held off the record.) THE COURT: I think we're ready to bring the jury oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Travell. MR. TRAVELL: That's okay. Wayne Travell for defendant Youyong Zou. Your Honor, just to avoid any unnecessary interruptions, it's my	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Jury Charge e-mails, but one thing I had planned to show was the verdict form itself. I haven't disclosed that, but it's the verdict form that's here. I don't have it marked up, but I did plan to go through the jury and have them THE COURT: Sure. MR. TRAVELL: So, again, I plan to do that. MR. MANGI: No objection. MR. TRAVELL: We do not have any objection. Thank you. THE COURT: Not a problem. And where is the jury verdict form, by the way? Thank you. All right. Let's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Proceedings hand those to the deputy, please. MS. MARCUS: Thank you. THE COURT: Those are going to be distributed to the jury when they go back to deliberate. MS. MARCUS: The one with the sticky on it is the old set. THE COURT: I need my copy. (Discussion held off the record.) THE COURT: I think we're ready to bring the jury oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Travell. MR. TRAVELL: That's okay. Wayne Travell for defendant Youyong Zou. Your Honor, just to avoid any unnecessary interruptions, it's my understanding that, to the extent	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Jury Charge e-mails, but one thing I had planned to show was the verdict form itself. I haven't disclosed that, but it's the verdict form that's here. I don't have it marked up, but I did plan to go through the jury and have them THE COURT: Sure. MR. TRAVELL: So, again, I plan to do that. MR. MANGI: No objection. MR. TRAVELL: We do not have any objection. Thank you. THE COURT: Not a problem. And where is the jury verdict form, by the way? Thank you. All right. Let's bring the jury in, please.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Proceedings hand those to the deputy, please. MS. MARCUS: Thank you. THE COURT: Those are going to be distributed to the jury when they go back to deliberate. MS. MARCUS: The one with the sticky on it is the old set. THE COURT: I need my copy. (Discussion held off the record.) THE COURT: I think we're ready to bring the jury oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Travell. MR. TRAVELL: That's okay. Wayne Travell for defendant Youyong Zou. Your Honor, just to avoid any unnecessary interruptions, it's my understanding that, to the extent documents are admitted in evidence, we	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Jury Charge e-mails, but one thing I had planned to show was the verdict form itself. I haven't disclosed that, but it's the verdict form that's here. I don't have it marked up, but I did plan to go through the jury and have them THE COURT: Sure. MR. TRAVELL: So, again, I plan to do that. MR. MANGI: No objection. MR. TRAVELL: We do not have any objection. Thank you. THE COURT: Not a problem. And where is the jury verdict form, by the way? Thank you. All right. Let's bring the jury in, please. (Jury enters.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Proceedings hand those to the deputy, please. MS. MARCUS: Thank you. THE COURT: Those are going to be distributed to the jury when they go back to deliberate. MS. MARCUS: The one with the sticky on it is the old set. THE COURT: I need my copy. (Discussion held off the record.) THE COURT: I think we're ready to bring the jury oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Travell. MR. TRAVELL: That's okay. Wayne Travell for defendant Youyong Zou. Your Honor, just to avoid any unnecessary interruptions, it's my understanding that, to the extent documents are admitted in evidence, we do not need to display those to	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Jury Charge e-mails, but one thing I had planned to show was the verdict form itself. I haven't disclosed that, but it's the verdict form that's here. I don't have it marked up, but I did plan to go through the jury and have them THE COURT: Sure. MR. TRAVELL: So, again, I plan to do that. MR. MANGI: No objection. MR. TRAVELL: We do not have any objection. Thank you. THE COURT: Not a problem. And where is the jury verdict form, by the way? Thank you. All right. Let's bring the jury in, please. (Jury enters.) THE COURT: All members of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Proceedings hand those to the deputy, please. MS. MARCUS: Thank you. THE COURT: Those are going to be distributed to the jury when they go back to deliberate. MS. MARCUS: The one with the sticky on it is the old set. THE COURT: I need my copy. (Discussion held off the record.) THE COURT: I think we're ready to bring the jury oh, I'm sorry, Mr. Travell. MR. TRAVELL: That's okay. Wayne Travell for defendant Youyong Zou. Your Honor, just to avoid any unnecessary interruptions, it's my understanding that, to the extent documents are admitted in evidence, we do not need to display those to opposing counsel in advance.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Jury Charge e-mails, but one thing I had planned to show was the verdict form itself. I haven't disclosed that, but it's the verdict form that's here. I don't have it marked up, but I did plan to go through the jury and have them THE COURT: Sure. MR. TRAVELL: So, again, I plan to do that. MR. MANGI: No objection. MR. TRAVELL: We do not have any objection. Thank you. THE COURT: Not a problem. And where is the jury verdict form, by the way? Thank you. All right. Let's bring the jury in, please. (Jury enters.) THE COURT: All members of the jury are present. Everyone may be

1	Page 8006		Page 8007
	Jury Charge	1	Jury Charge
2	jury, you've now heard all the	2	You are the judges of the facts,
3	evidence in this case. Before the	3	the credibility of the witnesses, and
4	lawyers make their closing arguments,	4	the weight of the evidence. You may
5	the Court will give you the	5	consider the appearance and manner of
6	instructions of the law that you are	6	the witnesses on the witness stand,
7	to apply in deciding this case.	7	their intelligence, their opportunity
8	You will have you will each	8	for knowing the truth and for having
9	have a copy of these instructions with	9	observed the things on which they
10	you in the jury room; but please,	10	testified, their interest in the
11	nonetheless, I would appreciate your	11	outcome of the case, their bias and,
12	full attention as I read them to you	12	if any have been shown, their prior
13	now.	13	inconsistent statements, or whether
14	With respect to the instructions	14	they have knowingly testified
15	that I'm about to give you, you'll see	15	untruthfully as to any material fact
16	when you get them in the jury room	16	in the case.
17	that some of them are numbered, some	17	You may not arbitrarily
18	of them are lettered. Don't pay any	18	disregard believable testimony of a
19	attention to that. That's just simply	19	witness. However, after you have
20	our way of keeping track of what	20	considered all the evidence in the
21	instructions have been given.	21	case, then you may accept or you may
22	Every instruction, whether the	22	discard all or part of the testimony
23	first or the last, is an important	23	of a witness as you think proper.
24	instruction. So let me give you the	24	You are entitled to your common
25	instructions now.	25	sense in judging any testimony. For
	Page 8008		Page 8009
1	Jury Charge	1	Jury Charge
2	these things and all the other	2	Court. It is not evidence and should
3	circumstances of this case, you may	3	
4	determine which witnesses are more	5	be disregarded.
	determine which withesses are more	4	be disregarded. In considering the weight to be
5	believable and weigh their testimony	_	-
5 6		4	In considering the weight to be
1	believable and weigh their testimony	4 5	In considering the weight to be given to the testimony of an expert
6	believable and weigh their testimony accordingly.	4 5 6	In considering the weight to be given to the testimony of an expert witness, you should consider the basis
6 7	believable and weigh their testimony accordingly. You must not base your verdict	4 5 6 7	In considering the weight to be given to the testimony of an expert witness, you should consider the basis for his opinion and the manner by
6 7 8 9 10	believable and weigh their testimony accordingly. You must not base your verdict in any way upon sympathy or bias or guesswork or speculation. Your verdict must be based solely upon the	4 5 6 7 8	In considering the weight to be given to the testimony of an expert witness, you should consider the basis for his opinion and the manner by which he arrived at it and the underlying facts and data upon he relied.
6 7 8 9 10 11	believable and weigh their testimony accordingly. You must not base your verdict in any way upon sympathy or bias or guesswork or speculation. Your	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	In considering the weight to be given to the testimony of an expert witness, you should consider the basis for his opinion and the manner by which he arrived at it and the underlying facts and data upon he relied. If you believe from the evidence
6 7 8 9 10 11 12	believable and weigh their testimony accordingly. You must not base your verdict in any way upon sympathy or bias or guesswork or speculation. Your verdict must be based solely upon the evidence and the instructions of the Court.	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	In considering the weight to be given to the testimony of an expert witness, you should consider the basis for his opinion and the manner by which he arrived at it and the underlying facts and data upon he relied. If you believe from the evidence that a witness, other than a party,
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	believable and weigh their testimony accordingly. You must not base your verdict in any way upon sympathy or bias or guesswork or speculation. Your verdict must be based solely upon the evidence and the instructions of the Court. Any amount of damages requested	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	In considering the weight to be given to the testimony of an expert witness, you should consider the basis for his opinion and the manner by which he arrived at it and the underlying facts and data upon he relied. If you believe from the evidence that a witness, other than a party, previously made a statement
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>believable and weigh their testimony accordingly. You must not base your verdict in any way upon sympathy or bias or guesswork or speculation. Your verdict must be based solely upon the evidence and the instructions of the Court. Any amount of damages requested is not evidence in this case, and you</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	In considering the weight to be given to the testimony of an expert witness, you should consider the basis for his opinion and the manner by which he arrived at it and the underlying facts and data upon he relied. If you believe from the evidence that a witness, other than a party, previously made a statement inconsistent with his or her testimony
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>believable and weigh their testimony accordingly. You must not base your verdict in any way upon sympathy or bias or guesswork or speculation. Your verdict must be based solely upon the evidence and the instructions of the Court. Any amount of damages requested is not evidence in this case, and you should not consider it as evidence in</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	In considering the weight to be given to the testimony of an expert witness, you should consider the basis for his opinion and the manner by which he arrived at it and the underlying facts and data upon he relied. If you believe from the evidence that a witness, other than a party, previously made a statement inconsistent with his or her testimony at this trial, the only purpose for
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>believable and weigh their testimony accordingly. You must not base your verdict in any way upon sympathy or bias or guesswork or speculation. Your verdict must be based solely upon the evidence and the instructions of the Court. Any amount of damages requested is not evidence in this case, and you should not consider it as evidence in arriving at your verdict.</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	In considering the weight to be given to the testimony of an expert witness, you should consider the basis for his opinion and the manner by which he arrived at it and the underlying facts and data upon he relied. If you believe from the evidence that a witness, other than a party, previously made a statement inconsistent with his or her testimony at this trial, the only purpose for which that statement may be considered
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>believable and weigh their testimony accordingly. You must not base your verdict in any way upon sympathy or bias or guesswork or speculation. Your verdict must be based solely upon the evidence and the instructions of the Court. Any amount of damages requested is not evidence in this case, and you should not consider it as evidence in arriving at your verdict. Any fact that may be proved by</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	In considering the weight to be given to the testimony of an expert witness, you should consider the basis for his opinion and the manner by which he arrived at it and the underlying facts and data upon he relied. If you believe from the evidence that a witness, other than a party, previously made a statement inconsistent with his or her testimony at this trial, the only purpose for which that statement may be considered by you is its bearing on the witness'
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>believable and weigh their testimony accordingly. You must not base your verdict in any way upon sympathy or bias or guesswork or speculation. Your verdict must be based solely upon the evidence and the instructions of the Court. Any amount of damages requested is not evidence in this case, and you should not consider it as evidence in arriving at your verdict. Any fact that may be proved by direct evidence may be proved by</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	In considering the weight to be given to the testimony of an expert witness, you should consider the basis for his opinion and the manner by which he arrived at it and the underlying facts and data upon he relied. If you believe from the evidence that a witness, other than a party, previously made a statement inconsistent with his or her testimony at this trial, the only purpose for which that statement may be considered by you is its bearing on the witness' credibility. It is not evidence of
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>believable and weigh their testimony accordingly. You must not base your verdict in any way upon sympathy or bias or guesswork or speculation. Your verdict must be based solely upon the evidence and the instructions of the Court. Any amount of damages requested is not evidence in this case, and you should not consider it as evidence in arriving at your verdict. Any fact that may be proved by direct evidence may be proved by circumstantial evidence; that is, you</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	In considering the weight to be given to the testimony of an expert witness, you should consider the basis for his opinion and the manner by which he arrived at it and the underlying facts and data upon he relied. If you believe from the evidence that a witness, other than a party, previously made a statement inconsistent with his or her testimony at this trial, the only purpose for which that statement may be considered by you is its bearing on the witness' credibility. It is not evidence of what of what that witness
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>believable and weigh their testimony accordingly. You must not base your verdict in any way upon sympathy or bias or guesswork or speculation. Your verdict must be based solely upon the evidence and the instructions of the Court. Any amount of damages requested is not evidence in this case, and you should not consider it as evidence in arriving at your verdict. Any fact that may be proved by direct evidence may be proved by circumstantial evidence; that is, you may draw all reasonable inferences</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	In considering the weight to be given to the testimony of an expert witness, you should consider the basis for his opinion and the manner by which he arrived at it and the underlying facts and data upon he relied. If you believe from the evidence that a witness, other than a party, previously made a statement inconsistent with his or her testimony at this trial, the only purpose for which that statement may be considered by you is its bearing on the witness' credibility. It is not evidence of what of what that witness previously said is true.
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>believable and weigh their testimony accordingly. You must not base your verdict in any way upon sympathy or bias or guesswork or speculation. Your verdict must be based solely upon the evidence and the instructions of the Court. Any amount of damages requested is not evidence in this case, and you should not consider it as evidence in arriving at your verdict. Any fact that may be proved by direct evidence may be proved by circumstantial evidence; that is, you may draw all reasonable inferences all reasonable and legitimate</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	In considering the weight to be given to the testimony of an expert witness, you should consider the basis for his opinion and the manner by which he arrived at it and the underlying facts and data upon he relied. If you believe from the evidence that a witness, other than a party, previously made a statement inconsistent with his or her testimony at this trial, the only purpose for which that statement may be considered by you is its bearing on the witness' credibility. It is not evidence of what of what that witness previously said is true. If you believe from the evidence
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>believable and weigh their testimony accordingly. You must not base your verdict in any way upon sympathy or bias or guesswork or speculation. Your verdict must be based solely upon the evidence and the instructions of the Court. Any amount of damages requested is not evidence in this case, and you should not consider it as evidence in arriving at your verdict. Any fact that may be proved by direct evidence may be proved by circumstantial evidence; that is, you may draw all reasonable inferences all reasonable and legitimate inferences and deductions from the</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	In considering the weight to be given to the testimony of an expert witness, you should consider the basis for his opinion and the manner by which he arrived at it and the underlying facts and data upon he relied. If you believe from the evidence that a witness, other than a party, previously made a statement inconsistent with his or her testimony at this trial, the only purpose for which that statement may be considered by you is its bearing on the witness' credibility. It is not evidence of what of what that witness previously said is true. If you believe from the evidence that a party or a party's employee
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 believable and weigh their testimony accordingly. You must not base your verdict in any way upon sympathy or bias or guesswork or speculation. Your verdict must be based solely upon the evidence and the instructions of the Court. Any amount of damages requested is not evidence in this case, and you should not consider it as evidence in arriving at your verdict. Any fact that may be proved by direct evidence may be proved by circumstantial evidence; that is, you may draw all reasonable inferences all reasonable and legitimate inferences and deductions from the evidence. 	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	In considering the weight to be given to the testimony of an expert witness, you should consider the basis for his opinion and the manner by which he arrived at it and the underlying facts and data upon he relied. If you believe from the evidence that a witness, other than a party, previously made a statement inconsistent with his or her testimony at this trial, the only purpose for which that statement may be considered by you is its bearing on the witness' credibility. It is not evidence of what of what that witness previously said is true. If you believe from the evidence that a party or a party's employee previously made a statement
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>believable and weigh their testimony accordingly. You must not base your verdict in any way upon sympathy or bias or guesswork or speculation. Your verdict must be based solely upon the evidence and the instructions of the Court. Any amount of damages requested is not evidence in this case, and you should not consider it as evidence in arriving at your verdict. Any fact that may be proved by direct evidence may be proved by circumstantial evidence; that is, you may draw all reasonable inferences all reasonable and legitimate inferences and deductions from the</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	In considering the weight to be given to the testimony of an expert witness, you should consider the basis for his opinion and the manner by which he arrived at it and the underlying facts and data upon he relied. If you believe from the evidence that a witness, other than a party, previously made a statement inconsistent with his or her testimony at this trial, the only purpose for which that statement may be considered by you is its bearing on the witness' credibility. It is not evidence of what of what that witness previously said is true. If you believe from the evidence that a party or a party's employee

	Page 8010		Page 8011
1	Jury Charge	1	Jury Charge
2	may be considered by you as evidence	2	claims are: One, did Plaintiff Appian
3	that what the party previously said	3	have a trade secret or trade secrets;
4	was true.	4	two, did either or both Defendants
5	An employer is responsible for	5	Pegasystems and Youyong Zou
6	its employees actions if the employee	6	misappropriate Appian's trade secret
7	was trying, to some extent, to serve	7	or trade secrets; and, three, if one
8	the employers business, even though	8	or more of the Defendants
9	the primary motive of the employee was	9	misappropriated a trade secret or
10	to benefit himself or herself or a	10	trade secrets from Appian, what is the
11	third party. An employee's act may be	11	amount of damages caused by that
12	within the scope of employment even	12	misappropriation?
13	though the act is willful or	13	The following definitions apply
14	malicious.	14	to the misappropriation of trade
15	The greater weight of all the	15	secrets claim:
16	evidence is sometimes called the	16	"Misappropriation" means:
17	"preponderance of the evidence." It	17	One, acquisition of a trade
18	is that evidence which you find more	18	secret of another by a person who
19	persuasive when evaluated against all	19	knows or has reason to know that the
20	of the evidence that's been admitted	20	trade secret was acquired by improper
21	in the case. The testimony of one	21	means; or
22	witness whom you believe can be the	22	Two, disclosure or use of a
23	greater weight of the evidence.	23	trade secret of another without
24	The issues in this case for the	24	express or implied consent of a person
25	misappropriation of trade secret	25	who: A, used improper means to
			 Deve 0012
1	Page 8012 Jury Charge	1	Page 8013 Jury Charge
2	acquire knowledge of the trade secret;	2	disclosure or use and, two, is the
3	or, B, at the time of the disclosure	3	subject of efforts that are reasonable
4	or use knew or had reason to know that	4	under the circumstances to maintain
5	his knowledge of the trade secret was	5	its secrecy.
6	derived from or through a person who	6	"Improper means" includes:
7	had utilized improper means to acquire	7	Theft, bribery, misrepresentation, use
8	it; or, two, acquired under	8	of a computer or computer network
9	circumstances giving rise to a duty to	9	without authority, breach of a duty or
10	maintain its secrecy or limit its use;	10	inducement or a breach a breach of
11	or, three, derived from or through a	11	a duty to maintain secrecy or
12	person who owed a duty to the person	12	espionage through electronic or other
13	seeking leave to maintain its secrecy	13	means.
14	other than its use; or, four, acquired	14	"Person," it means: A natural
15	by accident or mistake.	15	person, a corporation, a business
16	A "trade secret" means:	16	trust, an estate trust, a partnership,
17	Information including, but not limited	17	an association, a joint venture, a
18	to, a formula, pattern, compilation,	18	government or governmental subdivision
19	program, device, method, technique or	19	or agency, or any other legal and
20	process that, one, derives independent	20	commercial entity.
21	economic value, actual or potential,	21	"Damages" can include the unjust
22	from not being generally known to or	22	enrichment caused by misappropriation.
23	not being readily ascertainable by	23	"Cause of damages" is a cause
24	proper means by other persons who can	24	that, in the natural and continuous
25	obtain economic value from its	25	sequence, produces the damages. It is

	Page 8014		Page 8015
1	Jury Charge	1	Jury Charge
2	a cause without which the damages	2	Pegasystems has the burden of
3	would not have occurred.	3	establishing by the greater weight of
4	The number of users of the	4	the evidence any portion of the sales
5	Appian Platform and Appian Forum	5	not attributable to the trade secret
6	licensees are not relevant to any	6	or trade secrets and any expenses to
7	issue in this case, and any evidences	7	be deducted in determining net
8	as to those numbers should be	8	profits.
9	disregarded.	9	If you find that Appian has
10	If you find that the Plaintiff	10	proved by the greater weight of the
11	Appian has proved by the greater	11	evidence its claim for
12	weight of the evidence its claim for	12	misappropriation of trade secrets
13	misappropriation of trade secrets	13	against Defendant Youyong Zou, you
14	against Defendant Pegasystems, you	14	must find your verdict for Appian and
15	must find your verdict for Appian and	15	decide the issue of damages as to
16	decide the issue of damages as to	16	Youyong Zou.
17	Pegasystems.	17	As to Youyong Zou, Appian is
18	You may award the amount of	18	seeking the amount of unjust
19	unjust enrichment caused by	19	enrichment to Youyong Zou caused by
20	misappropriation.	20	misappropriation. Appian is entitled
21	For unjust enrichment, Appian is	21	to recover Youyong Zou's net profits.
22	entitled to recover Pegasystems' net	22	Appian has the burden of proving
23	profits. Appian has the burden of	23	by the greater weight of the evidence
24	establishing by the greater weight of	24	the amount paid to Youyong Zou.
25	the evidence Pegasystems' sales.	25	Youyong Zou has the burden of
			1 5
1	Page 8016 Jury Charge	1	Page 8017
1	Jury Charge	1	Jury Charge
2	Jury Charge establishing by the greater weight of	2	Jury Charge without authority after March 29,
2 3	Jury Charge establishing by the greater weight of the evidence any portion of those	2 3	Jury Charge without authority after March 29, 2015, and, A, obtain property or
2 3 4	Jury Charge establishing by the greater weight of the evidence any portion of those amounts not attributable to the trade	2 3 4	Jury Charge without authority after March 29, 2015, and, A, obtain property or services by false pretenses or, B,
2 3 4 5	Jury Charge establishing by the greater weight of the evidence any portion of those amounts not attributable to the trade secret or trade secrets and any	2 3	Jury Charge without authority after March 29, 2015, and, A, obtain property or services by false pretenses or, B, convert the property of Plaintiff
2 3 4 5 6	Jury Charge establishing by the greater weight of the evidence any portion of those amounts not attributable to the trade secret or trade secrets and any expenses to be deducted in determining	2 3 4 5	Jury Charge without authority after March 29, 2015, and, A, obtain property or services by false pretenses or, B, convert the property of Plaintiff Appian; and
2 3 4 5 6 7	Jury Charge establishing by the greater weight of the evidence any portion of those amounts not attributable to the trade secret or trade secrets and any expenses to be deducted in determining net profits.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Jury Charge without authority after March 29, 2015, and, A, obtain property or services by false pretenses or, B, convert the property of Plaintiff Appian; and Two, was there injury to Appian
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Jury Charge establishing by the greater weight of the evidence any portion of those amounts not attributable to the trade secret or trade secrets and any expenses to be deducted in determining net profits. If you find that Plaintiff	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Jury Charge without authority after March 29, 2015, and, A, obtain property or services by false pretenses or, B, convert the property of Plaintiff Appian; and Two, was there injury to Appian by reason of the acts, regardless of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Jury Charge establishing by the greater weight of the evidence any portion of those amounts not attributable to the trade secret or trade secrets and any expenses to be deducted in determining net profits. If you find that Plaintiff Appian is entitled to be compensated	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Jury Charge without authority after March 29, 2015, and, A, obtain property or services by false pretenses or, B, convert the property of Plaintiff Appian; and Two, was there injury to Appian by reason of the acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Jury Charge establishing by the greater weight of the evidence any portion of those amounts not attributable to the trade secret or trade secrets and any expenses to be deducted in determining net profits. If you find that Plaintiff Appian is entitled to be compensated for damages, you must also determine	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Jury Charge without authority after March 29, 2015, and, A, obtain property or services by false pretenses or, B, convert the property of Plaintiff Appian; and Two, was there injury to Appian by reason of the acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Jury Charge establishing by the greater weight of the evidence any portion of those amounts not attributable to the trade secret or trade secrets and any expenses to be deducted in determining net profits. If you find that Plaintiff Appian is entitled to be compensated for damages, you must also determine whether Appian has proven by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Jury Charge without authority after March 29, 2015, and, A, obtain property or services by false pretenses or, B, convert the property of Plaintiff Appian; and Two, was there injury to Appian by reason of the acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent? On these issues, Appian has the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Jury Charge establishing by the greater weight of the evidence any portion of those amounts not attributable to the trade secret or trade secrets and any expenses to be deducted in determining net profits. If you find that Plaintiff Appian is entitled to be compensated for damages, you must also determine whether Appian has proven by the greater weight of the evidence that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Jury Charge without authority after March 29, 2015, and, A, obtain property or services by false pretenses or, B, convert the property of Plaintiff Appian; and Two, was there injury to Appian by reason of the acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent? On these issues, Appian has the burden of proof.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Jury Charge establishing by the greater weight of the evidence any portion of those amounts not attributable to the trade secret or trade secrets and any expenses to be deducted in determining net profits. If you find that Plaintiff Appian is entitled to be compensated for damages, you must also determine whether Appian has proven by the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Jury Charge without authority after March 29, 2015, and, A, obtain property or services by false pretenses or, B, convert the property of Plaintiff Appian; and Two, was there injury to Appian by reason of the acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent? On these issues, Appian has the burden of proof. The following definitions apply
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Jury Charge establishing by the greater weight of the evidence any portion of those amounts not attributable to the trade secret or trade secrets and any expenses to be deducted in determining net profits. If you find that Plaintiff Appian is entitled to be compensated for damages, you must also determine whether Appian has proven by the greater weight of the evidence that willful and malicious misappropriation	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Jury Charge without authority after March 29, 2015, and, A, obtain property or services by false pretenses or, B, convert the property of Plaintiff Appian; and Two, was there injury to Appian by reason of the acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent? On these issues, Appian has the burden of proof. The following definitions apply for the Computer Crimes Act claim:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Jury Charge establishing by the greater weight of the evidence any portion of those amounts not attributable to the trade secret or trade secrets and any expenses to be deducted in determining net profits. If you find that Plaintiff Appian is entitled to be compensated for damages, you must also determine whether Appian has proven by the greater weight of the evidence that willful and malicious misappropriation exists. Willful conduct occurs when a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Jury Charge without authority after March 29, 2015, and, A, obtain property or services by false pretenses or, B, convert the property of Plaintiff Appian; and Two, was there injury to Appian by reason of the acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent? On these issues, Appian has the burden of proof. The following definitions apply for the Computer Crimes Act claim: "Computer" means: A device that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Jury Charge establishing by the greater weight of the evidence any portion of those amounts not attributable to the trade secret or trade secrets and any expenses to be deducted in determining net profits. If you find that Plaintiff Appian is entitled to be compensated for damages, you must also determine whether Appian has proven by the greater weight of the evidence that willful and malicious misappropriation exists. Willful conduct occurs when a party acts without regard for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Jury Charge without authority after March 29, 2015, and, A, obtain property or services by false pretenses or, B, convert the property of Plaintiff Appian; and Two, was there injury to Appian by reason of the acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent? On these issues, Appian has the burden of proof. The following definitions apply for the Computer Crimes Act claim: "Computer" means: A device that accepts information in digital or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Jury Charge establishing by the greater weight of the evidence any portion of those amounts not attributable to the trade secret or trade secrets and any expenses to be deducted in determining net profits. If you find that Plaintiff Appian is entitled to be compensated for damages, you must also determine whether Appian has proven by the greater weight of the evidence that willful and malicious misappropriation exists. Willful conduct occurs when a party acts without regard for the rights of another knowing injury will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Jury Charge without authority after March 29, 2015, and, A, obtain property or services by false pretenses or, B, convert the property of Plaintiff Appian; and Two, was there injury to Appian by reason of the acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent? On these issues, Appian has the burden of proof. The following definitions apply for the Computer Crimes Act claim: "Computer" means: A device that accepts information in digital or similar form and manipulates it for a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Jury Charge establishing by the greater weight of the evidence any portion of those amounts not attributable to the trade secret or trade secrets and any expenses to be deducted in determining net profits. If you find that Plaintiff Appian is entitled to be compensated for damages, you must also determine whether Appian has proven by the greater weight of the evidence that willful and malicious misappropriation exists. Willful conduct occurs when a party acts without regard for the rights of another knowing injury will probably follow.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Jury Charge without authority after March 29, 2015, and, A, obtain property or services by false pretenses or, B, convert the property of Plaintiff Appian; and Two, was there injury to Appian by reason of the acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent? On these issues, Appian has the burden of proof. The following definitions apply for the Computer Crimes Act claim: "Computer" means: A device that accepts information in digital or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Jury Charge establishing by the greater weight of the evidence any portion of those amounts not attributable to the trade secret or trade secrets and any expenses to be deducted in determining net profits. If you find that Plaintiff Appian is entitled to be compensated for damages, you must also determine whether Appian has proven by the greater weight of the evidence that willful and malicious misappropriation exists. Willful conduct occurs when a party acts without regard for the rights of another knowing injury will probably follow. Malicious conduct occurs when a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Jury Charge without authority after March 29, 2015, and, A, obtain property or services by false pretenses or, B, convert the property of Plaintiff Appian; and Two, was there injury to Appian by reason of the acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent? On these issues, Appian has the burden of proof. The following definitions apply for the Computer Crimes Act claim: "Computer" means: A device that accepts information in digital or similar form and manipulates it for a result based on a sequence of instructions. Such term does not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Jury Charge establishing by the greater weight of the evidence any portion of those amounts not attributable to the trade secret or trade secrets and any expenses to be deducted in determining net profits. If you find that Plaintiff Appian is entitled to be compensated for damages, you must also determine whether Appian has proven by the greater weight of the evidence that willful and malicious misappropriation exists. Willful conduct occurs when a party acts without regard for the rights of another knowing injury will probably follow.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Jury Charge without authority after March 29, 2015, and, A, obtain property or services by false pretenses or, B, convert the property of Plaintiff Appian; and Two, was there injury to Appian by reason of the acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent? On these issues, Appian has the burden of proof. The following definitions apply for the Computer Crimes Act claim: "Computer" means: A device that accepts information in digital or similar form and manipulates it for a result based on a sequence of instructions. Such term does not include simple calculators, automatic
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Jury Charge establishing by the greater weight of the evidence any portion of those amounts not attributable to the trade secret or trade secrets and any expenses to be deducted in determining net profits. If you find that Plaintiff Appian is entitled to be compensated for damages, you must also determine whether Appian has proven by the greater weight of the evidence that willful and malicious misappropriation exists. Willful conduct occurs when a party acts without regard for the rights of another knowing injury will probably follow. Malicious conduct occurs when a party acts with ill will or spite. The issues in the case for the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Jury Charge without authority after March 29, 2015, and, A, obtain property or services by false pretenses or, B, convert the property of Plaintiff Appian; and Two, was there injury to Appian by reason of the acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent? On these issues, Appian has the burden of proof. The following definitions apply for the Computer Crimes Act claim: "Computer" means: A device that accepts information in digital or similar form and manipulates it for a result based on a sequence of instructions. Such term does not include simple calculators, automatic typewriters, facsimile machines, or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Jury Charge establishing by the greater weight of the evidence any portion of those amounts not attributable to the trade secret or trade secrets and any expenses to be deducted in determining net profits. If you find that Plaintiff Appian is entitled to be compensated for damages, you must also determine whether Appian has proven by the greater weight of the evidence that willful and malicious misappropriation exists. Willful conduct occurs when a party acts without regard for the rights of another knowing injury will probably follow. Malicious conduct occurs when a party acts with ill will or spite.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Jury Charge without authority after March 29, 2015, and, A, obtain property or services by false pretenses or, B, convert the property of Plaintiff Appian; and Two, was there injury to Appian by reason of the acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent? On these issues, Appian has the burden of proof. The following definitions apply for the Computer Crimes Act claim: "Computer" means: A device that accepts information in digital or similar form and manipulates it for a result based on a sequence of instructions. Such term does not include simple calculators, automatic typewriters, facsimile machines, or any other specialized computing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Jury Charge establishing by the greater weight of the evidence any portion of those amounts not attributable to the trade secret or trade secrets and any expenses to be deducted in determining net profits. If you find that Plaintiff Appian is entitled to be compensated for damages, you must also determine whether Appian has proven by the greater weight of the evidence that willful and malicious misappropriation exists. Willful conduct occurs when a party acts without regard for the rights of another knowing injury will probably follow. Malicious conduct occurs when a party acts with ill will or spite. The issues in the case for the Virginia Computer Crimes Act claim are:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Jury Charge without authority after March 29, 2015, and, A, obtain property or services by false pretenses or, B, convert the property of Plaintiff Appian; and Two, was there injury to Appian by reason of the acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent? On these issues, Appian has the burden of proof. The following definitions apply for the Computer Crimes Act claim: "Computer" means: A device that accepts information in digital or similar form and manipulates it for a result based on a sequence of instructions. Such term does not include simple calculators, automatic typewriters, facsimile machines, or any other specialized computing devices that are preprogrammed to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Jury Charge establishing by the greater weight of the evidence any portion of those amounts not attributable to the trade secret or trade secrets and any expenses to be deducted in determining net profits. If you find that Plaintiff Appian is entitled to be compensated for damages, you must also determine whether Appian has proven by the greater weight of the evidence that willful and malicious misappropriation exists. Willful conduct occurs when a party acts without regard for the rights of another knowing injury will probably follow. Malicious conduct occurs when a party acts with ill will or spite. The issues in the case for the Virginia Computer Crimes Act claim	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Jury Charge without authority after March 29, 2015, and, A, obtain property or services by false pretenses or, B, convert the property of Plaintiff Appian; and Two, was there injury to Appian by reason of the acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent? On these issues, Appian has the burden of proof. The following definitions apply for the Computer Crimes Act claim: "Computer" means: A device that accepts information in digital or similar form and manipulates it for a result based on a sequence of instructions. Such term does not include simple calculators, automatic typewriters, facsimile machines, or any other specialized computing

	Page 8018		Page 8019
1	Jury Charge	1	Jury Charge
2	intervention and are dedicated to a	2	humans or by a computer, C, in transit
3	specific task.	3	between computers or in a computer
4	"Computer network" means: Two	4	network or between any devices which
5	or more computers connected to a	5	comprise a computer or, D, located on
6	network.	6	any paper or in any device on which it
7	To "convert property" means:	7	is stored by a computer or by a human,
8	Any wrongful exercise or assumption of	8	and; four, computer services.
9	authority over another's property	9	A person uses a computer or
10	depriving the owner of their	10	computer network when he attempts to
11	possession and any active dominion	11	cause or causes a computer or computer
12	wrongfully exerted over property in	12	network to perform or to stop
13	denial of the owner's right or	13	performing a computer operation.
14	inconsistent with it.	14	A person is without authority
15	"Persons" shall include: Any	15	when he knows or reasonably should
16	individual, partnership, association,	16	know that he has no right, agreement,
17	corporation, or joint venture.	17	or permission or acts in a manner
18	And "property" should include:	18	knowingly exceeding such right,
19	One, real property; two, computers and	19	agreement, or permission.
20	computer networks; three, financial	20	You shall find your verdict for
21	instruments, computer data, computer	21	Plaintiff Appian and against Defendant
22	programs, computer software, and all	22	Pegasystems under the Virginia
23	other personal property regardless of	23	Computer Crimes Act claim if Appian
24	whether they are, A, tangible or	24	has proved by the greater weight of
25	intangible, B, in a format readable by	25	the evidence that:
	Page 8020 Jury Charge		Page 8021 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
1 2	Jury Charge	1 2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Jury Charge One, Pegasystems used a computer	1	-
2 3	Jury Charge One, Pegasystems used a computer or computer network without authority	1 2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) MR. MANGI: Thank you, Your Honor.
2 3 4	Jury Charge One, Pegasystems used a computer or computer network without authority after May 29, 2015, and, A, obtained	1 2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) MR. MANGI: Thank you, Your Honor. While we have a demonstrative
2 3 4 5	Jury Charge One, Pegasystems used a computer or computer network without authority after May 29, 2015, and, A, obtained property or services by false	1 2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) MR. MANGI: Thank you, Your Honor. While we have a demonstrative slide deck, all issues have been
2 3 4 5 6	Jury Charge One, Pegasystems used a computer or computer network without authority after May 29, 2015, and, A, obtained property or services by false pretenses or, B, converted the	1 2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) MR. MANGI: Thank you, Your Honor. While we have a demonstrative slide deck, all issues have been resolved. May I ask that Ms. Perez
2 3 4 5 6 7	Jury Charge One, Pegasystems used a computer or computer network without authority after May 29, 2015, and, A, obtained property or services by false pretenses or, B, converted the property of another; and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) MR. MANGI: Thank you, Your Honor. While we have a demonstrative slide deck, all issues have been resolved. May I ask that Ms. Perez leave it in presentation mode for the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Jury Charge One, Pegasystems used a computer or computer network without authority after May 29, 2015, and, A, obtained property or services by false pretenses or, B, converted the property of another; and Two, Appian was injured by	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) MR. MANGI: Thank you, Your Honor. While we have a demonstrative slide deck, all issues have been resolved. May I ask that Ms. Perez leave it in presentation mode for the whole?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Jury Charge One, Pegasystems used a computer or computer network without authority after May 29, 2015, and, A, obtained property or services by false pretenses or, B, converted the property of another; and Two, Appian was injured by reason of these acts, regardless of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) MR. MANGI: Thank you, Your Honor. While we have a demonstrative slide deck, all issues have been resolved. May I ask that Ms. Perez leave it in presentation mode for the whole? THE COURT: Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Jury Charge One, Pegasystems used a computer or computer network without authority after May 29, 2015, and, A, obtained property or services by false pretenses or, B, converted the property of another; and Two, Appian was injured by reason of these acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) MR. MANGI: Thank you, Your Honor. While we have a demonstrative slide deck, all issues have been resolved. May I ask that Ms. Perez leave it in presentation mode for the whole? THE COURT: Yes. MR. MANGI: Thank you.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Jury Charge One, Pegasystems used a computer or computer network without authority after May 29, 2015, and, A, obtained property or services by false pretenses or, B, converted the property of another; and Two, Appian was injured by reason of these acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) MR. MANGI: Thank you, Your Honor. While we have a demonstrative slide deck, all issues have been resolved. May I ask that Ms. Perez leave it in presentation mode for the whole? THE COURT: Yes. MR. MANGI: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, good</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Jury Charge One, Pegasystems used a computer or computer network without authority after May 29, 2015, and, A, obtained property or services by false pretenses or, B, converted the property of another; and Two, Appian was injured by reason of these acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent. If you find that Appian was	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) MR. MANGI: Thank you, Your Honor. While we have a demonstrative slide deck, all issues have been resolved. May I ask that Ms. Perez leave it in presentation mode for the whole? THE COURT: Yes. MR. MANGI: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, good morning.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Jury Charge One, Pegasystems used a computer or computer network without authority after May 29, 2015, and, A, obtained property or services by false pretenses or, B, converted the property of another; and Two, Appian was injured by reason of these acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent. If you find that Appian was injured by reason of these acts, you	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) MR. MANGI: Thank you, Your Honor. While we have a demonstrative slide deck, all issues have been resolved. May I ask that Ms. Perez leave it in presentation mode for the whole? THE COURT: Yes. MR. MANGI: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, good morning. JURY: Good morning.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Jury Charge One, Pegasystems used a computer or computer network without authority after May 29, 2015, and, A, obtained property or services by false pretenses or, B, converted the property of another; and Two, Appian was injured by reason of these acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent. If you find that Appian was injured by reason of these acts, you must find your verdict for Appian and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) MR. MANGI: Thank you, Your Honor. While we have a demonstrative slide deck, all issues have been resolved. May I ask that Ms. Perez leave it in presentation mode for the whole? THE COURT: Yes. MR. MANGI: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, good morning. JURY: Good morning. MR. MANGI: Now, for the last</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Jury Charge One, Pegasystems used a computer or computer network without authority after May 29, 2015, and, A, obtained property or services by false pretenses or, B, converted the property of another; and Two, Appian was injured by reason of these acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent. If you find that Appian was injured by reason of these acts, you must find your verdict for Appian and decide the issue of damages decided by	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) MR. MANGI: Thank you, Your Honor. While we have a demonstrative slide deck, all issues have been resolved. May I ask that Ms. Perez leave it in presentation mode for the whole? THE COURT: Yes. MR. MANGI: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, good morning. JURY: Good morning. MR. MANGI: Now, for the last seven weeks I have very studiously</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Jury Charge One, Pegasystems used a computer or computer network without authority after May 29, 2015, and, A, obtained property or services by false pretenses or, B, converted the property of another; and Two, Appian was injured by reason of these acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent. If you find that Appian was injured by reason of these acts, you must find your verdict for Appian and decide the issue of damages decided by Appian.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) MR. MANGI: Thank you, Your Honor. While we have a demonstrative slide deck, all issues have been resolved. May I ask that Ms. Perez leave it in presentation mode for the whole? THE COURT: Yes. MR. MANGI: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, good morning. JURY: Good morning. MR. MANGI: Now, for the last seven weeks I have very studiously avoided all of you in the hallways of</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Jury Charge One, Pegasystems used a computer or computer network without authority after May 29, 2015, and, A, obtained property or services by false pretenses or, B, converted the property of another; and Two, Appian was injured by reason of these acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent. If you find that Appian was injured by reason of these acts, you must find your verdict for Appian and decide the issue of damages decided by Appian. If you find that no actual	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) MR. MANGI: Thank you, Your Honor. While we have a demonstrative slide deck, all issues have been resolved. May I ask that Ms. Perez leave it in presentation mode for the whole? THE COURT: Yes. MR. MANGI: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, good morning. JURY: Good morning. MR. MANGI: Now, for the last seven weeks I have very studiously avoided all of you in the hallways of this court, and you've done a great</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Jury Charge One, Pegasystems used a computer or computer network without authority after May 29, 2015, and, A, obtained property or services by false pretenses or, B, converted the property of another; and Two, Appian was injured by reason of these acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent. If you find that Appian was injured by reason of these acts, you must find your verdict for Appian and decide the issue of damages decided by Appian. If you find that no actual damages have been proved, nominal	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) MR. MANGI: Thank you, Your Honor. While we have a demonstrative slide deck, all issues have been resolved. May I ask that Ms. Perez leave it in presentation mode for the whole? THE COURT: Yes. MR. MANGI: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, good morning. JURY: Good morning. MR. MANGI: Now, for the last seven weeks I have very studiously avoided all of you in the hallways of this court, and you've done a great job avoiding us, too. I'm very</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Jury Charge One, Pegasystems used a computer or computer network without authority after May 29, 2015, and, A, obtained property or services by false pretenses or, B, converted the property of another; and Two, Appian was injured by reason of these acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent. If you find that Appian was injured by reason of these acts, you must find your verdict for Appian and decide the issue of damages decided by Appian. If you find that no actual damages have been proved, nominal damages may be recovered.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) MR. MANGI: Thank you, Your Honor. While we have a demonstrative slide deck, all issues have been resolved. May I ask that Ms. Perez leave it in presentation mode for the whole? THE COURT: Yes. MR. MANGI: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, good morning. JURY: Good morning. MR. MANGI: Now, for the last seven weeks I have very studiously avoided all of you in the hallways of this court, and you've done a great job avoiding us, too. I'm very pleased to now be able to speak with</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Jury Charge One, Pegasystems used a computer or computer network without authority after May 29, 2015, and, A, obtained property or services by false pretenses or, B, converted the property of another; and Two, Appian was injured by reason of these acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent. If you find that Appian was injured by reason of these acts, you must find your verdict for Appian and decide the issue of damages decided by Appian. If you find that no actual damages have been proved, nominal damages may be recovered. Now, those are the Court's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) MR. MANGI: Thank you, Your Honor. While we have a demonstrative slide deck, all issues have been resolved. May I ask that Ms. Perez leave it in presentation mode for the whole? THE COURT: Yes. MR. MANGI: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, good morning. JURY: Good morning. MR. MANGI: Now, for the last seven weeks I have very studiously avoided all of you in the hallways of this court, and you've done a great job avoiding us, too. I'm very pleased to now be able to speak with you directly again.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Jury Charge One, Pegasystems used a computer or computer network without authority after May 29, 2015, and, A, obtained property or services by false pretenses or, B, converted the property of another; and Two, Appian was injured by reason of these acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent. If you find that Appian was injured by reason of these acts, you must find your verdict for Appian and decide the issue of damages decided by Appian. If you find that no actual damages have been proved, nominal damages may be recovered. Now, those are the Court's instructions to the jury. As I told	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) MR. MANGI: Thank you, Your Honor. While we have a demonstrative slide deck, all issues have been resolved. May I ask that Ms. Perez leave it in presentation mode for the whole? THE COURT: Yes. MR. MANGI: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, good morning. JURY: Good morning. MR. MANGI: Now, for the last seven weeks I have very studiously avoided all of you in the hallways of this court, and you've done a great job avoiding us, too. I'm very pleased to now be able to speak with you directly again. On behalf of my client Appian</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Jury Charge One, Pegasystems used a computer or computer network without authority after May 29, 2015, and, A, obtained property or services by false pretenses or, B, converted the property of another; and Two, Appian was injured by reason of these acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent. If you find that Appian was injured by reason of these acts, you must find your verdict for Appian and decide the issue of damages decided by Appian. If you find that no actual damages have been proved, nominal damages may be recovered. Now, those are the Court's instructions to the jury. As I told you, you will each have a copy of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) MR. MANGI: Thank you, Your Honor. While we have a demonstrative slide deck, all issues have been resolved. May I ask that Ms. Perez leave it in presentation mode for the whole? THE COURT: Yes. MR. MANGI: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, good morning. JURY: Good morning. MR. MANGI: Now, for the last seven weeks I have very studiously avoided all of you in the hallways of this court, and you've done a great job avoiding us, too. I'm very pleased to now be able to speak with you directly again.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Jury Charge One, Pegasystems used a computer or computer network without authority after May 29, 2015, and, A, obtained property or services by false pretenses or, B, converted the property of another; and Two, Appian was injured by reason of these acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent. If you find that Appian was injured by reason of these acts, you must find your verdict for Appian and decide the issue of damages decided by Appian. If you find that no actual damages have been proved, nominal damages may be recovered. Now, those are the Court's instructions to the jury. As I told you, you will each have a copy of those instructions in the jury room	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Jury Charge One, Pegasystems used a computer or computer network without authority after May 29, 2015, and, A, obtained property or services by false pretenses or, B, converted the property of another; and Two, Appian was injured by reason of these acts, regardless of whether such acts were committed with malicious intent. If you find that Appian was injured by reason of these acts, you must find your verdict for Appian and decide the issue of damages decided by Appian. If you find that no actual damages have been proved, nominal damages may be recovered. Now, those are the Court's instructions to the jury. As I told you, you will each have a copy of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) MR. MANGI: Thank you, Your Honor. While we have a demonstrative slide deck, all issues have been resolved. May I ask that Ms. Perez leave it in presentation mode for the whole? THE COURT: Yes. MR. MANGI: Thank you. Ladies and gentlemen, good morning. JURY: Good morning. MR. MANGI: Now, for the last seven weeks I have very studiously avoided all of you in the hallways of this court, and you've done a great job avoiding us, too. I'm very pleased to now be able to speak with you directly again. On behalf of my client Appian and Mr. Ross, let me thank all of you</pre>

	Page 8022		Page 8023
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	by both sides. We could not have	2	who hadn't been involved, senior
3	asked for anything more from all of	3	executives at Pega, Mr. Adams and
4	you.	4	Mr. Ryan.
5	Now, there is a lot of evidence	5	And so they got this information
6	here, and I'm going to take you	6	for the first time. And you'll
7	through it this morning. But there is	7	remember what their reaction was,
8	one document that I suggest to you	8	yikes, who is Youyong? Our arrogance
9	really sets out the themes that you	9	has been all world.
10	should consider as you think about	10	And then later on, he said:
11	this entire evidentiary record. So	11	Seems to be shady.
12	let's start there.	12	Ladies and gentlemen, shady and
13	Now, you may remember this	13	arrogant. Those, I submit to you, are
14	e-mail. This was an e-mail that	14	the themes that you will see
15	John Petronio sent to Alan Trefler and	15	characterizing the conduct of
16	others talking about Youyong Zou. And	16	Pegasystems throughout the evidentiary
17	he said, Youyong Zou, he's working on	17	record.
18	a project for Appian at	18	Shady, because think about the
19	healthcare.gov. It's for Serco,	19	conduct you have seen from a publicly
20	government contractor, and Youyong is	20	traded company and from its CEO Alan
21	on the project.	21	Trefler. Fake names, fake identities,
22	And then you'll remember that	22	consultants, shady.
23	one of the people on this chain,	23	Arrogant? Why?
24	Douglas Kim, Mr. Petronio's boss, he	24	Because in Pegasystems' view,
25	forwarded it on to two other people	25	the rules don't apply to it. Their
	Dama 0004		Dama 0005
1	Page 8024 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Page 8025 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
1 2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1 2	Page 8025 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) culture.
2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Code of Conduct that's on the website,		Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Code of Conduct that's on the website, that doesn't apply to them.	2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) culture. What's the culture at
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Code of Conduct that's on the website, that doesn't apply to them. And arrogance especially, ladies	2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) culture. What's the culture at Pegasystems? Here's another document,
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Code of Conduct that's on the website, that doesn't apply to them. And arrogance especially, ladies and gentlemen, for this reason.	2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) culture. What's the culture at Pegasystems? Here's another document, an e-mail from Douglas Kim when he's
2 3 4 5	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Code of Conduct that's on the website, that doesn't apply to them. And arrogance especially, ladies and gentlemen, for this reason. Did you notice that in the</pre>	2 3 4 5	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) culture. What's the culture at Pegasystems? Here's another document, an e-mail from Douglas Kim when he's circulating the materials they made</pre>
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Code of Conduct that's on the website, that doesn't apply to them. And arrogance especially, ladies and gentlemen, for this reason. Did you notice that in the documents that they produced on the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) culture. What's the culture at Pegasystems? Here's another document, an e-mail from Douglas Kim when he's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Code of Conduct that's on the website, that doesn't apply to them. And arrogance especially, ladies and gentlemen, for this reason. Did you notice that in the documents that they produced on the one hand, they are studying Appian</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) culture. What's the culture at Pegasystems? Here's another document, an e-mail from Douglas Kim when he's circulating the materials they made with Youyong Zou, the attack materials.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Code of Conduct that's on the website, that doesn't apply to them. And arrogance especially, ladies and gentlemen, for this reason. Did you notice that in the documents that they produced on the one hand, they are studying Appian obsessively. I wonder when they run</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) culture. What's the culture at Pegasystems? Here's another document, an e-mail from Douglas Kim when he's circulating the materials they made with Youyong Zou, the attack materials. Look at what he's saying:</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Code of Conduct that's on the website, that doesn't apply to them. And arrogance especially, ladies and gentlemen, for this reason. Did you notice that in the documents that they produced on the one hand, they are studying Appian obsessively. I wonder when they run their own business, they spend so much</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) culture. What's the culture at Pegasystems? Here's another document, an e-mail from Douglas Kim when he's circulating the materials they made with Youyong Zou, the attack materials. Look at what he's saying: Here's to winning. All capital</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Code of Conduct that's on the website, that doesn't apply to them. And arrogance especially, ladies and gentlemen, for this reason. Did you notice that in the documents that they produced on the one hand, they are studying Appian obsessively. I wonder when they run</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) culture. What's the culture at Pegasystems? Here's another document, an e-mail from Douglas Kim when he's circulating the materials they made with Youyong Zou, the attack materials. Look at what he's saying: Here's to winning. All capital</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Code of Conduct that's on the website, that doesn't apply to them. And arrogance especially, ladies and gentlemen, for this reason. Did you notice that in the documents that they produced on the one hand, they are studying Appian obsessively. I wonder when they run their own business, they spend so much time studying Appian.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) culture. What's the culture at Pegasystems? Here's another document, an e-mail from Douglas Kim when he's circulating the materials they made with Youyong Zou, the attack materials. Look at what he's saying: Here's to winning. All capital letters. That is what Pegasystems is</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Code of Conduct that's on the website, that doesn't apply to them. And arrogance especially, ladies and gentlemen, for this reason. Did you notice that in the documents that they produced on the one hand, they are studying Appian obsessively. I wonder when they run their own business, they spend so much time studying Appian. They're looking at things in</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) culture. What's the culture at Pegasystems? Here's another document, an e-mail from Douglas Kim when he's circulating the materials they made with Youyong Zou, the attack materials. Look at what he's saying: Here's to winning. All capital letters. That is what Pegasystems is about: Win at all costs, do whatever</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Code of Conduct that's on the website, that doesn't apply to them. And arrogance especially, ladies and gentlemen, for this reason. Did you notice that in the documents that they produced on the one hand, they are studying Appian obsessively. I wonder when they run their own business, they spend so much time studying Appian. They're looking at things in Appian that they should copy. But in</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) culture. What's the culture at Pegasystems? Here's another document, an e-mail from Douglas Kim when he's circulating the materials they made with Youyong Zou, the attack materials. Look at what he's saying: Here's to winning. All capital letters. That is what Pegasystems is about: Win at all costs, do whatever you have to, the rules don't apply.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Code of Conduct that's on the website, that doesn't apply to them. And arrogance especially, ladies and gentlemen, for this reason. Did you notice that in the documents that they produced on the one hand, they are studying Appian obsessively. I wonder when they run their own business, they spend so much time studying Appian. They're looking at things in Appian that they should copy. But in those same documents, they are also</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) culture. What's the culture at Pegasystems? Here's another document, an e-mail from Douglas Kim when he's circulating the materials they made with Youyong Zou, the attack materials. Look at what he's saying: Here's to winning. All capital letters. That is what Pegasystems is about: Win at all costs, do whatever you have to, the rules don't apply. Now, issues of law are for the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Code of Conduct that's on the website, that doesn't apply to them. And arrogance especially, ladies and gentlemen, for this reason. Did you notice that in the documents that they produced on the one hand, they are studying Appian obsessively. I wonder when they run their own business, they spend so much time studying Appian. They're looking at things in Appian that they should copy. But in those same documents, they are also trashing Appian. They go, oh, Appian.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) culture. What's the culture at Pegasystems? Here's another document, an e-mail from Douglas Kim when he's circulating the materials they made with Youyong Zou, the attack materials. Look at what he's saying: Here's to winning. All capital letters. That is what Pegasystems is about: Win at all costs, do whatever you have to, the rules don't apply. Now, issues of law are for the judge, but Judge Gardiner just read</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Code of Conduct that's on the website, that doesn't apply to them. And arrogance especially, ladies and gentlemen, for this reason. Did you notice that in the documents that they produced on the one hand, they are studying Appian obsessively. I wonder when they run their own business, they spend so much time studying Appian. They're looking at things in Appian that they should copy. But in those same documents, they are also trashing Appian. They go, oh, Appian. But same document, they are also</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) culture. What's the culture at Pegasystems? Here's another document, an e-mail from Douglas Kim when he's circulating the materials they made with Youyong Zou, the attack materials. Look at what he's saying: Here's to winning. All capital letters. That is what Pegasystems is about: Win at all costs, do whatever you have to, the rules don't apply. Now, issues of law are for the judge, but Judge Gardiner just read you the instructions as to the law.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Code of Conduct that's on the website, that doesn't apply to them. And arrogance especially, ladies and gentlemen, for this reason. Did you notice that in the documents that they produced on the one hand, they are studying Appian obsessively. I wonder when they run their own business, they spend so much time studying Appian. They're looking at things in Appian that they should copy. But in those same documents, they are also trashing Appian. They go, oh, Appian. But same document, they are also saying, let's make a view like that</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) culture. What's the culture at Pegasystems? Here's another document, an e-mail from Douglas Kim when he's circulating the materials they made with Youyong Zou, the attack materials. Look at what he's saying: Here's to winning. All capital letters. That is what Pegasystems is about: Win at all costs, do whatever you have to, the rules don't apply. Now, issues of law are for the judge, but Judge Gardiner just read you the instructions as to the law. Let me start with one of them.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Code of Conduct that's on the website, that doesn't apply to them. And arrogance especially, ladies and gentlemen, for this reason. Did you notice that in the documents that they produced on the one hand, they are studying Appian obsessively. I wonder when they run their own business, they spend so much time studying Appian. They're looking at things in Appian that they should copy. But in those same documents, they are also trashing Appian. They go, oh, Appian. But same document, they are also saying, let's make a view like that and ship it.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) culture. What's the culture at Pegasystems? Here's another document, an e-mail from Douglas Kim when he's circulating the materials they made with Youyong Zou, the attack materials. Look at what he's saying: Here's to winning. All capital letters. That is what Pegasystems is about: Win at all costs, do whatever you have to, the rules don't apply. Now, issues of law are for the judge, but Judge Gardiner just read you the instructions as to the law. Let me start with one of them. The judge pointed to the standard</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Code of Conduct that's on the website, that doesn't apply to them. And arrogance especially, ladies and gentlemen, for this reason. Did you notice that in the documents that they produced on the one hand, they are studying Appian obsessively. I wonder when they run their own business, they spend so much time studying Appian. They're looking at things in Appian that they should copy. But in those same documents, they are also trashing Appian. They go, oh, Appian. But same document, they are also saying, let's make a view like that and ship it. Think about the mindset, the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) culture. What's the culture at Pegasystems? Here's another document, an e-mail from Douglas Kim when he's circulating the materials they made with Youyong Zou, the attack materials. Look at what he's saying: Here's to winning. All capital letters. That is what Pegasystems is about: Win at all costs, do whatever you have to, the rules don't apply. Now, issues of law are for the judge, but Judge Gardiner just read you the instructions as to the law. Let me start with one of them. The judge pointed to the standard here. Now, just to be clear, this is</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Code of Conduct that's on the website, that doesn't apply to them. And arrogance especially, ladies and gentlemen, for this reason. Did you notice that in the documents that they produced on the one hand, they are studying Appian obsessively. I wonder when they run their own business, they spend so much time studying Appian. They're looking at things in Appian that they should copy. But in those same documents, they are also trashing Appian. They go, oh, Appian. But same document, they are also saying, let's make a view like that and ship it. Think about the mindset, the arrogance. We're going to copy them.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) culture. What's the culture at Pegasystems? Here's another document, an e-mail from Douglas Kim when he's circulating the materials they made with Youyong Zou, the attack materials. Look at what he's saying: Here's to winning. All capital letters. That is what Pegasystems is about: Win at all costs, do whatever you have to, the rules don't apply. Now, issues of law are for the judge, but Judge Gardiner just read you the instructions as to the law. Let me start with one of them. The judge pointed to the standard here. Now, just to be clear, this is a civil case. This is not a criminal</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Code of Conduct that's on the website, that doesn't apply to them. And arrogance especially, ladies and gentlemen, for this reason. Did you notice that in the documents that they produced on the one hand, they are studying Appian obsessively. I wonder when they run their own business, they spend so much time studying Appian. They're looking at things in Appian that they should copy. But in those same documents, they are also trashing Appian. They go, oh, Appian. But same document, they are also saying, let's make a view like that and ship it. Think about the mindset, the arrogance. We're going to copy them. But let's also talk about how great we</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) culture. What's the culture at Pegasystems? Here's another document, an e-mail from Douglas Kim when he's circulating the materials they made with Youyong Zou, the attack materials. Look at what he's saying: Here's to winning. All capital letters. That is what Pegasystems is about: Win at all costs, do whatever you have to, the rules don't apply. Now, issues of law are for the judge, but Judge Gardiner just read you the instructions as to the law. Let me start with one of them. The judge pointed to the standard here. Now, just to be clear, this is a civil case. This is not a criminal case. There is a computer crime</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Code of Conduct that's on the website, that doesn't apply to them. And arrogance especially, ladies and gentlemen, for this reason. Did you notice that in the documents that they produced on the one hand, they are studying Appian obsessively. I wonder when they run their own business, they spend so much time studying Appian. They're looking at things in Appian that they should copy. But in those same documents, they are also trashing Appian. They go, oh, Appian. But same document, they are also saying, let's make a view like that and ship it. Think about the mindset, the arrogance. We're going to copy them. But let's also talk about how great we are and how terrible everyone else is.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) culture. What's the culture at Pegasystems? Here's another document, an e-mail from Douglas Kim when he's circulating the materials they made with Youyong Zou, the attack materials. Look at what he's saying: Here's to winning. All capital letters. That is what Pegasystems is about: Win at all costs, do whatever you have to, the rules don't apply. Now, issues of law are for the judge, but Judge Gardiner just read you the instructions as to the law. Let me start with one of them. The judge pointed to the standard here. Now, just to be clear, this is a civil case. This is not a criminal case. There is a computer crime statute, but that's just what it's</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Code of Conduct that's on the website, that doesn't apply to them. And arrogance especially, ladies and gentlemen, for this reason. Did you notice that in the documents that they produced on the one hand, they are studying Appian obsessively. I wonder when they run their own business, they spend so much time studying Appian. They're looking at things in Appian that they should copy. But in those same documents, they are also trashing Appian. They go, oh, Appian. But same document, they are also saying, let's make a view like that and ship it. Think about the mindset, the arrogance. We're going to copy them. But let's also talk about how great we are and how terrible everyone else is. Shadiness and arrogance.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) culture. What's the culture at Pegasystems? Here's another document, an e-mail from Douglas Kim when he's circulating the materials they made with Youyong Zou, the attack materials. Look at what he's saying: Here's to winning. All capital letters. That is what Pegasystems is about: Win at all costs, do whatever you have to, the rules don't apply. Now, issues of law are for the judge, but Judge Gardiner just read you the instructions as to the law. Let me start with one of them. The judge pointed to the standard here. Now, just to be clear, this is a civil case. This is not a criminal case. There is a computer crime statute, but that's just what it's called. This is a civil case.</pre>

	Page 8026		Page 8027
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	standard. The greater weight of the	2	from different witnesses. The
3	evidence, sometimes called	3	documents, the contemporaneous
4	preponderance.	4	documents will guide you to the truth.
5	What does that mean? Simple way	5	But also assess the witnesses.
6	to think about it is if you have a	6	And the judge gave you another
7	scale on the issues we, Appian, have	7	instruction on that point, the
8	to prove to you, as long as we tip	8	credibility of witnesses when he said
9	that scale ever so slightly, that is	9	you're the judges of the facts,
10	the greater weight of the evidence.	10	including the credibility of
11	And if we do that, then we've	11	witnesses.
12	satisfied our burden in proving that	12	And that means various things
13	issue to you.	13	that are in the instruction; you know,
14	Let me go back to that	14	look at their interest in the case,
15	instruction because there's another	15	their bias, but also consider whether
16	sentence at the end that's very	16	they have knowingly testified
17	important. It says: The testimony of	17	untruthfully as to any material aspect
18	one witness who you believe can be the	18	of the case. And when you assess
19	greater weight of the evidence.	19	that, the instruction notes use your
20	Ladies and gentlemen, who you	20	common sense in judging any testimony.
21	believe is going to be a very key	21	Ladies and gentlemen, the beauty
22	issue in this case. Remember in	22	of a jury is you all have different
23	opening statements, it was a long time	23	life experiences. You're all coming
24	ago, when I said to you, you're going	24	from very different places here, me
25	to hear very conflicting accounts here	25	especially. But when you put all of
2.5	to hear very confineting accounts here	2.5	especially. But when you put all of
1	Page 8028		Page 8029
1	Dlaintiff Claging (Mangi)	1	Dlaintiff Cloging (Mangi)
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	that together, your life experiences,	2	understand it, but nothing to see
2 3	that together, your life experiences, your common sense, they tell you when	2 3	understand it, but nothing to see here.
2 3 4	that together, your life experiences, your common sense, they tell you when someone is lying to you. You can suss	2 3 4	understand it, but nothing to see here. After I learned a bit: Ben and
2 3 4 5	that together, your life experiences, your common sense, they tell you when someone is lying to you. You can suss that out and use your common sense.	2 3 4 5	understand it, but nothing to see here. After I learned a bit: Ben and had a discussion. It was not
2 3 4 5 6	that together, your life experiences, your common sense, they tell you when someone is lying to you. You can suss that out and use your common sense. And where better to start on	2 3 4 5 6	understand it, but nothing to see here. After I learned a bit: Ben and had a discussion. It was not appropriate. It was never used.
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>that together, your life experiences, your common sense, they tell you when someone is lying to you. You can suss that out and use your common sense. And where better to start on that issue than with Don Schuerman,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	understand it, but nothing to see here. After I learned a bit: Ben and had a discussion. It was not appropriate. It was never used. That's his sworn deposition
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that together, your life experiences, your common sense, they tell you when someone is lying to you. You can suss that out and use your common sense. And where better to start on that issue than with Don Schuerman, chief technology officer of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	understand it, but nothing to see here. After I learned a bit: Ben and had a discussion. It was not appropriate. It was never used. That's his sworn deposition testimony under oath. But then after
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that together, your life experiences, your common sense, they tell you when someone is lying to you. You can suss that out and use your common sense. And where better to start on that issue than with Don Schuerman, chief technology officer of Pegasystems.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	understand it, but nothing to see here. After I learned a bit: Ben and had a discussion. It was not appropriate. It was never used. That's his sworn deposition testimony under oath. But then after that deposition, we got all of those
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>that together, your life experiences, your common sense, they tell you when someone is lying to you. You can suss that out and use your common sense. And where better to start on that issue than with Don Schuerman, chief technology officer of Pegasystems. Now, you remember we played his</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	understand it, but nothing to see here. After I learned a bit: Ben and had a discussion. It was not appropriate. It was never used. That's his sworn deposition testimony under oath. But then after that deposition, we got all of those instant messages and then things
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>that together, your life experiences, your common sense, they tell you when someone is lying to you. You can suss that out and use your common sense. And where better to start on that issue than with Don Schuerman, chief technology officer of Pegasystems. Now, you remember we played his deposition testimony in our case, and</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	understand it, but nothing to see here. After I learned a bit: Ben and had a discussion. It was not appropriate. It was never used. That's his sworn deposition testimony under oath. But then after that deposition, we got all of those instant messages and then things changed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>that together, your life experiences, your common sense, they tell you when someone is lying to you. You can suss that out and use your common sense. And where better to start on that issue than with Don Schuerman, chief technology officer of Pegasystems. Now, you remember we played his deposition testimony in our case, and in his deposition, he said we asked</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	understand it, but nothing to see here. After I learned a bit: Ben and had a discussion. It was not appropriate. It was never used. That's his sworn deposition testimony under oath. But then after that deposition, we got all of those instant messages and then things changed. What happened here at trial?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>that together, your life experiences, your common sense, they tell you when someone is lying to you. You can suss that out and use your common sense. And where better to start on that issue than with Don Schuerman, chief technology officer of Pegasystems. Now, you remember we played his deposition testimony in our case, and in his deposition, he said we asked him: Was a teardown exercise</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	understand it, but nothing to see here. After I learned a bit: Ben and had a discussion. It was not appropriate. It was never used. That's his sworn deposition testimony under oath. But then after that deposition, we got all of those instant messages and then things changed. What happened here at trial? You'll remember this, I suspect.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>that together, your life experiences, your common sense, they tell you when someone is lying to you. You can suss that out and use your common sense. And where better to start on that issue than with Don Schuerman, chief technology officer of Pegasystems. Now, you remember we played his deposition testimony in our case, and in his deposition, he said we asked him: Was a teardown exercise conducted on Appian?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	understand it, but nothing to see here. After I learned a bit: Ben and had a discussion. It was not appropriate. It was never used. That's his sworn deposition testimony under oath. But then after that deposition, we got all of those instant messages and then things changed. What happened here at trial? You'll remember this, I suspect. We said to him: Well, you told
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>that together, your life experiences, your common sense, they tell you when someone is lying to you. You can suss that out and use your common sense. And where better to start on that issue than with Don Schuerman, chief technology officer of Pegasystems. Now, you remember we played his deposition testimony in our case, and in his deposition, he said we asked him: Was a teardown exercise conducted on Appian? Remember, teardown, the deep</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	understand it, but nothing to see here. After I learned a bit: Ben and had a discussion. It was not appropriate. It was never used. That's his sworn deposition testimony under oath. But then after that deposition, we got all of those instant messages and then things changed. What happened here at trial? You'll remember this, I suspect. We said to him: Well, you told us at your deposition you didn't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>that together, your life experiences, your common sense, they tell you when someone is lying to you. You can suss that out and use your common sense. And where better to start on that issue than with Don Schuerman, chief technology officer of Pegasystems. Now, you remember we played his deposition testimony in our case, and in his deposition, he said we asked him: Was a teardown exercise conducted on Appian? Remember, teardown, the deep dive and analysis. And he said: I</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	understand it, but nothing to see here. After I learned a bit: Ben and had a discussion. It was not appropriate. It was never used. That's his sworn deposition testimony under oath. But then after that deposition, we got all of those instant messages and then things changed. What happened here at trial? You'll remember this, I suspect. We said to him: Well, you told us at your deposition you didn't know what Mr. Baril was up to until after
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>that together, your life experiences, your common sense, they tell you when someone is lying to you. You can suss that out and use your common sense. And where better to start on that issue than with Don Schuerman, chief technology officer of Pegasystems. Now, you remember we played his deposition testimony in our case, and in his deposition, he said we asked him: Was a teardown exercise conducted on Appian? Remember, teardown, the deep dive and analysis. And he said: I don't know. And then he tried to shut</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	understand it, but nothing to see here. After I learned a bit: Ben and had a discussion. It was not appropriate. It was never used. That's his sworn deposition testimony under oath. But then after that deposition, we got all of those instant messages and then things changed. What happened here at trial? You'll remember this, I suspect. We said to him: Well, you told us at your deposition you didn't know what Mr. Baril was up to until after the fact, right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>that together, your life experiences, your common sense, they tell you when someone is lying to you. You can suss that out and use your common sense. And where better to start on that issue than with Don Schuerman, chief technology officer of Pegasystems. Now, you remember we played his deposition testimony in our case, and in his deposition, he said we asked him: Was a teardown exercise conducted on Appian? Remember, teardown, the deep dive and analysis. And he said: I don't know. And then he tried to shut the question off with BP3, one of the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>understand it, but nothing to see here. After I learned a bit: Ben and had a discussion. It was not appropriate. It was never used. That's his sworn deposition testimony under oath. But then after that deposition, we got all of those instant messages and then things changed. What happened here at trial? You'll remember this, I suspect. We said to him: Well, you told us at your deposition you didn't know what Mr. Baril was up to until after the fact, right? And he said: Yeah. I said at</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>that together, your life experiences, your common sense, they tell you when someone is lying to you. You can suss that out and use your common sense. And where better to start on that issue than with Don Schuerman, chief technology officer of Pegasystems. Now, you remember we played his deposition testimony in our case, and in his deposition, he said we asked him: Was a teardown exercise conducted on Appian? Remember, teardown, the deep dive and analysis. And he said: I don't know. And then he tried to shut the question off with BP3, one of the companies they were working with on</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>understand it, but nothing to see here. After I learned a bit: Ben and had a discussion. It was not appropriate. It was never used. That's his sworn deposition testimony under oath. But then after that deposition, we got all of those instant messages and then things changed. What happened here at trial? You'll remember this, I suspect. We said to him: Well, you told us at your deposition you didn't know what Mr. Baril was up to until after the fact, right? And he said: Yeah. I said at that my deposition.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>that together, your life experiences, your common sense, they tell you when someone is lying to you. You can suss that out and use your common sense. And where better to start on that issue than with Don Schuerman, chief technology officer of Pegasystems. Now, you remember we played his deposition testimony in our case, and in his deposition, he said we asked him: Was a teardown exercise conducted on Appian? Remember, teardown, the deep dive and analysis. And he said: I don't know. And then he tried to shut the question off with BP3, one of the companies they were working with on the side, not to my knowledge.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>understand it, but nothing to see here. After I learned a bit: Ben and had a discussion. It was not appropriate. It was never used. That's his sworn deposition testimony under oath. But then after that deposition, we got all of those instant messages and then things changed. What happened here at trial? You'll remember this, I suspect. We said to him: Well, you told us at your deposition you didn't know what Mr. Baril was up to until after the fact, right? And he said: Yeah. I said at that my deposition. But then we showed the instant</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>that together, your life experiences, your common sense, they tell you when someone is lying to you. You can suss that out and use your common sense. And where better to start on that issue than with Don Schuerman, chief technology officer of Pegasystems. Now, you remember we played his deposition testimony in our case, and in his deposition, he said we asked him: Was a teardown exercise conducted on Appian? Remember, teardown, the deep dive and analysis. And he said: I don't know. And then he tried to shut the question off with BP3, one of the companies they were working with on the side, not to my knowledge. But we followed up. We said:</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>understand it, but nothing to see here. After I learned a bit: Ben and had a discussion. It was not appropriate. It was never used. That's his sworn deposition testimony under oath. But then after that deposition, we got all of those instant messages and then things changed. What happened here at trial? You'll remember this, I suspect. We said to him: Well, you told us at your deposition you didn't know what Mr. Baril was up to until after the fact, right? And he said: Yeah. I said at that my deposition. But then we showed the instant messages and said: But you knew at</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>that together, your life experiences, your common sense, they tell you when someone is lying to you. You can suss that out and use your common sense. And where better to start on that issue than with Don Schuerman, chief technology officer of Pegasystems. Now, you remember we played his deposition testimony in our case, and in his deposition, he said we asked him: Was a teardown exercise conducted on Appian? Remember, teardown, the deep dive and analysis. And he said: I don't know. And then he tried to shut the question off with BP3, one of the companies they were working with on the side, not to my knowledge. But we followed up. We said: Otherwise?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	understand it, but nothing to see here. After I learned a bit: Ben and had a discussion. It was not appropriate. It was never used. That's his sworn deposition testimony under oath. But then after that deposition, we got all of those instant messages and then things changed. What happened here at trial? You'll remember this, I suspect. We said to him: Well, you told us at your deposition you didn't know what Mr. Baril was up to until after the fact, right? And he said: Yeah. I said at that my deposition. But then we showed the instant messages and said: But you knew at the time it was happening. You knew
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>that together, your life experiences, your common sense, they tell you when someone is lying to you. You can suss that out and use your common sense. And where better to start on that issue than with Don Schuerman, chief technology officer of Pegasystems. Now, you remember we played his deposition testimony in our case, and in his deposition, he said we asked him: Was a teardown exercise conducted on Appian? Remember, teardown, the deep dive and analysis. And he said: I don't know. And then he tried to shut the question off with BP3, one of the companies they were working with on the side, not to my knowledge. But we followed up. We said: Otherwise? And he said: You know, I was</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	understand it, but nothing to see here. After I learned a bit: Ben and had a discussion. It was not appropriate. It was never used. That's his sworn deposition testimony under oath. But then after that deposition, we got all of those instant messages and then things changed. What happened here at trial? You'll remember this, I suspect. We said to him: Well, you told us at your deposition you didn't know what Mr. Baril was up to until after the fact, right? And he said: Yeah. I said at that my deposition. But then we showed the instant messages and said: But you knew at the time it was happening. You knew of this.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>that together, your life experiences, your common sense, they tell you when someone is lying to you. You can suss that out and use your common sense. And where better to start on that issue than with Don Schuerman, chief technology officer of Pegasystems. Now, you remember we played his deposition testimony in our case, and in his deposition, he said we asked him: Was a teardown exercise conducted on Appian? Remember, teardown, the deep dive and analysis. And he said: I don't know. And then he tried to shut the question off with BP3, one of the companies they were working with on the side, not to my knowledge. But we followed up. We said: Otherwise?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	understand it, but nothing to see here. After I learned a bit: Ben and had a discussion. It was not appropriate. It was never used. That's his sworn deposition testimony under oath. But then after that deposition, we got all of those instant messages and then things changed. What happened here at trial? You'll remember this, I suspect. We said to him: Well, you told us at your deposition you didn't know what Mr. Baril was up to until after the fact, right? And he said: Yeah. I said at that my deposition. But then we showed the instant messages and said: But you knew at the time it was happening. You knew

	Page 8030		Page 8031
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Was he telling you the truth?	2	He signed it under pains and penalties
3	Didn't stop there, though.	3	of perjury. And what did he say?
4	Remember, we also showed the instant	4	We asked the question,
5	messages about Mr. Le using his wife's	5	Interrogatory No. 13: Identify every
6	business, Organic Living. We said:	6	Pega employee who has accessed or
7	You also knew about that at the time	7	viewed someone else accessing the
8	even though you told us you didn't	8	Appian platform.
9	know until after the fact.	9	So we wanted to know in the case
10	And we said to him: Sir, your	10	everyone who did any of this because
11	sworn deposition testimony was false.	11	we didn't know about Mr. Baril or
12	You lied.	12	anyone in this document. We wanted a
13	And what did he say? Look at	13	full list.
14	his answer: My statement at the	14	They provided some objections.
15	deposition was unclear as to the	15	Then he says: Notwithstanding the
16	timeline of my knowledge.	16	objections. And he went on and you
17	Your life experiences tell you	17	know what he did? He only told us
18	when someone is not telling you the	18	about Mr. Zou.
19	truth.	19	Didn't tell us about anything
20	Ms. Perez, I think we went off.	20	that happened later, under pains and
21	There we go. Thank you.	21	penalties of perjury. And then he
22	Now, it didn't stop there with	22	showed up here.
23	Mr. Schuerman. Then, remember, he	23	And we said: At the time you
24	provided a sworn written response to	24	attested to that accuracy, you were
25	an interrogatory in January of 2021.	25	aware of what Mr. Baril had done.
25	an incertogatory in banaary of 2021.	25	aware of what he. Darri had done.
1	Page 8032		Page 8033
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And he said: Yes.	1 2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) information that I have. New
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And he said: Yes. Does Mr. Schuerman have a shred	1 2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) information that I have. New information that he has about himself.
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And he said: Yes. Does Mr. Schuerman have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after	1 2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) information that I have. New information that he has about himself. I want to change my answer to why did
2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And he said: Yes. Does Mr. Schuerman have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that testimony?	1 2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) information that I have. New information that he has about himself. I want to change my answer to why did I create it to obtain access to a free
2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And he said: Yes. Does Mr. Schuerman have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that testimony? But were it only him. Let's	1 2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) information that I have. New information that he has about himself. I want to change my answer to why did I create it to obtain access to a free Appian trial.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And he said: Yes. Does Mr. Schuerman have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that testimony? But were it only him. Let's talk about Ben Baril himself.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) information that I have. New information that he has about himself. I want to change my answer to why did I create it to obtain access to a free Appian trial. And you remember, he changed his
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And he said: Yes. Does Mr. Schuerman have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that testimony? But were it only him. Let's talk about Ben Baril himself. First deposition before we have	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) information that I have. New information that he has about himself. I want to change my answer to why did I create it to obtain access to a free Appian trial. And you remember, he changed his testimony on a whole series of things.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And he said: Yes. Does Mr. Schuerman have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that testimony? But were it only him. Let's talk about Ben Baril himself. First deposition before we have the instant messages, what does he</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) information that I have. New information that he has about himself. I want to change my answer to why did I create it to obtain access to a free Appian trial. And you remember, he changed his testimony on a whole series of things. We played you this video. There's</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And he said: Yes. Does Mr. Schuerman have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that testimony? But were it only him. Let's talk about Ben Baril himself. First deposition before we have the instant messages, what does he say? Why did you create</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) information that I have. New information that he has about himself. I want to change my answer to why did I create it to obtain access to a free Appian trial. And you remember, he changed his testimony on a whole series of things. We played you this video. There's another example.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And he said: Yes. Does Mr. Schuerman have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that testimony? But were it only him. Let's talk about Ben Baril himself. First deposition before we have the instant messages, what does he say? Why did you create apowersconsulting.com?</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) information that I have. New information that he has about himself. I want to change my answer to why did I create it to obtain access to a free Appian trial. And you remember, he changed his testimony on a whole series of things. We played you this video. There's another example. Did you talk to Mr. Trefler</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And he said: Yes. Does Mr. Schuerman have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that testimony? But were it only him. Let's talk about Ben Baril himself. First deposition before we have the instant messages, what does he say? Why did you create apowersconsulting.com? He said: Oh, it's to subscribe</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) information that I have. New information that he has about himself. I want to change my answer to why did I create it to obtain access to a free Appian trial. And you remember, he changed his testimony on a whole series of things. We played you this video. There's another example. Did you talk to Mr. Trefler directly? Because you remember, all</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And he said: Yes. Does Mr. Schuerman have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that testimony? But were it only him. Let's talk about Ben Baril himself. First deposition before we have the instant messages, what does he say? Why did you create apowersconsulting.com? He said: Oh, it's to subscribe to certain journals or things I wanted</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) information that I have. New information that he has about himself. I want to change my answer to why did I create it to obtain access to a free Appian trial. And you remember, he changed his testimony on a whole series of things. We played you this video. There's another example. Did you talk to Mr. Trefler directly? Because you remember, all of the evidence showing Trefler was</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And he said: Yes. Does Mr. Schuerman have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that testimony? But were it only him. Let's talk about Ben Baril himself. First deposition before we have the instant messages, what does he say? Why did you create apowersconsulting.com? He said: Oh, it's to subscribe to certain journals or things I wanted personal information that I didn't</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) information that I have. New information that he has about himself. I want to change my answer to why did I create it to obtain access to a free Appian trial. And you remember, he changed his testimony on a whole series of things. We played you this video. There's another example. Did you talk to Mr. Trefler directly? Because you remember, all of the evidence showing Trefler was directing all of this.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And he said: Yes. Does Mr. Schuerman have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that testimony? But were it only him. Let's talk about Ben Baril himself. First deposition before we have the instant messages, what does he say? Why did you create apowersconsulting.com? He said: Oh, it's to subscribe to certain journals or things I wanted personal information that I didn't want to share.</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) information that I have. New information that he has about himself. I want to change my answer to why did I create it to obtain access to a free Appian trial. And you remember, he changed his testimony on a whole series of things. We played you this video. There's another example. Did you talk to Mr. Trefler directly? Because you remember, all of the evidence showing Trefler was directing all of this. And in his first deposition he</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And he said: Yes. Does Mr. Schuerman have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that testimony? But were it only him. Let's talk about Ben Baril himself. First deposition before we have the instant messages, what does he say? Why did you create apowersconsulting.com? He said: Oh, it's to subscribe to certain journals or things I wanted personal information that I didn't want to share. Nothing to see here. He's just</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) information that I have. New information that he has about himself. I want to change my answer to why did I create it to obtain access to a free Appian trial. And you remember, he changed his testimony on a whole series of things. We played you this video. There's another example. Did you talk to Mr. Trefler directly? Because you remember, all of the evidence showing Trefler was directing all of this. And in his first deposition he said: Never. Mr. Trefler? No, no,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And he said: Yes. Does Mr. Schuerman have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that testimony? But were it only him. Let's talk about Ben Baril himself. First deposition before we have the instant messages, what does he say? Why did you create apowersconsulting.com? He said: Oh, it's to subscribe to certain journals or things I wanted personal information that I didn't want to share. Nothing to see here. He's just subscribing to journals. Then we get</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) information that I have. New information that he has about himself. I want to change my answer to why did I create it to obtain access to a free Appian trial. And you remember, he changed his testimony on a whole series of things. We played you this video. There's another example. Did you talk to Mr. Trefler directly? Because you remember, all of the evidence showing Trefler was directing all of this. And in his first deposition he said: Never. Mr. Trefler? No, no, he's got nothing to do with this.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And he said: Yes. Does Mr. Schuerman have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that testimony? But were it only him. Let's talk about Ben Baril himself. First deposition before we have the instant messages, what does he say? Why did you create apowersconsulting.com? He said: Oh, it's to subscribe to certain journals or things I wanted personal information that I didn't want to share. Nothing to see here. He's just subscribing to journals. Then we get the messages. And then we come back,</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) information that I have. New information that he has about himself. I want to change my answer to why did I create it to obtain access to a free Appian trial. And you remember, he changed his testimony on a whole series of things. We played you this video. There's another example. Did you talk to Mr. Trefler directly? Because you remember, all of the evidence showing Trefler was directing all of this. And in his first deposition he said: Never. Mr. Trefler? No, no, he's got nothing to do with this. And then we said now once we</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And he said: Yes. Does Mr. Schuerman have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that testimony? But were it only him. Let's talk about Ben Baril himself. First deposition before we have the instant messages, what does he say? Why did you create apowersconsulting.com? He said: Oh, it's to subscribe to certain journals or things I wanted personal information that I didn't want to share. Nothing to see here. He's just subscribing to journals. Then we get the messages. And then we come back, another sworn deposition. Now, we've</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) information that I have. New information that he has about himself. I want to change my answer to why did I create it to obtain access to a free Appian trial. And you remember, he changed his testimony on a whole series of things. We played you this video. There's another example. Did you talk to Mr. Trefler directly? Because you remember, all of the evidence showing Trefler was directing all of this. And in his first deposition he said: Never. Mr. Trefler? No, no, he's got nothing to do with this. And then we said now once we have the chain of the messages and the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And he said: Yes. Does Mr. Schuerman have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that testimony? But were it only him. Let's talk about Ben Baril himself. First deposition before we have the instant messages, what does he say? Why did you create apowersconsulting.com? He said: Oh, it's to subscribe to certain journals or things I wanted personal information that I didn't want to share. Nothing to see here. He's just subscribing to journals. Then we get the messages. And then we come back, another sworn deposition. Now, we've got to worry about perjury, right?</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) information that I have. New information that he has about himself. I want to change my answer to why did I create it to obtain access to a free Appian trial. And you remember, he changed his testimony on a whole series of things. We played you this video. There's another example. Did you talk to Mr. Trefler directly? Because you remember, all of the evidence showing Trefler was directing all of this. And in his first deposition he said: Never. Mr. Trefler? No, no, he's got nothing to do with this. And then we said now once we have the chain of the messages and the documents: What's the correct answer</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And he said: Yes. Does Mr. Schuerman have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that testimony? But were it only him. Let's talk about Ben Baril himself. First deposition before we have the instant messages, what does he say? Why did you create apowersconsulting.com? He said: Oh, it's to subscribe to certain journals or things I wanted personal information that I didn't want to share. Nothing to see here. He's just subscribing to journals. Then we get the messages. And then we come back, another sworn deposition. Now, we've got to worry about perjury, right? And what does he say now? I</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) information that I have. New information that he has about himself. I want to change my answer to why did I create it to obtain access to a free Appian trial. And you remember, he changed his testimony on a whole series of things. We played you this video. There's another example. Did you talk to Mr. Trefler directly? Because you remember, all of the evidence showing Trefler was directing all of this. And in his first deposition he said: Never. Mr. Trefler? No, no, he's got nothing to do with this. And then we said now once we have the chain of the messages and the documents: What's the correct answer you want to give?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And he said: Yes. Does Mr. Schuerman have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that testimony? But were it only him. Let's talk about Ben Baril himself. First deposition before we have the instant messages, what does he say? Why did you create apowersconsulting.com? He said: Oh, it's to subscribe to certain journals or things I wanted personal information that I didn't want to share. Nothing to see here. He's just subscribing to journals. Then we get the messages. And then we come back, another sworn deposition. Now, we've got to worry about perjury, right? And what does he say now? I need to correct my testimony.</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) information that I have. New information that he has about himself. I want to change my answer to why did I create it to obtain access to a free Appian trial. And you remember, he changed his testimony on a whole series of things. We played you this video. There's another example. Did you talk to Mr. Trefler directly? Because you remember, all of the evidence showing Trefler was directing all of this. And in his first deposition he said: Never. Mr. Trefler? No, no, he's got nothing to do with this. And then we said now once we have the chain of the messages and the documents: What's the correct answer you want to give? Well, yeah, I had some</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And he said: Yes. Does Mr. Schuerman have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that testimony? But were it only him. Let's talk about Ben Baril himself. First deposition before we have the instant messages, what does he say? Why did you create apowersconsulting.com? He said: Oh, it's to subscribe to certain journals or things I wanted personal information that I didn't want to share. Nothing to see here. He's just subscribing to journals. Then we get the messages. And then we come back, another sworn deposition. Now, we've got to worry about perjury, right? And what does he say now? I need to correct my testimony. What's his new testimony? He</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) information that I have. New information that he has about himself. I want to change my answer to why did I create it to obtain access to a free Appian trial. And you remember, he changed his testimony on a whole series of things. We played you this video. There's another example. Did you talk to Mr. Trefler directly? Because you remember, all of the evidence showing Trefler was directing all of this. And in his first deposition he said: Never. Mr. Trefler? No, no, he's got nothing to do with this. And then we said now once we have the chain of the messages and the documents: What's the correct answer you want to give? Well, yeah, I had some conversations about it. And he</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And he said: Yes. Does Mr. Schuerman have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that testimony? But were it only him. Let's talk about Ben Baril himself. First deposition before we have the instant messages, what does he say? Why did you create apowersconsulting.com? He said: Oh, it's to subscribe to certain journals or things I wanted personal information that I didn't want to share. Nothing to see here. He's just subscribing to journals. Then we get the messages. And then we come back, another sworn deposition. Now, we've got to worry about perjury, right? And what does he say now? I need to correct my testimony.</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) information that I have. New information that he has about himself. I want to change my answer to why did I create it to obtain access to a free Appian trial. And you remember, he changed his testimony on a whole series of things. We played you this video. There's another example. Did you talk to Mr. Trefler directly? Because you remember, all of the evidence showing Trefler was directing all of this. And in his first deposition he said: Never. Mr. Trefler? No, no, he's got nothing to do with this. And then we said now once we have the chain of the messages and the documents: What's the correct answer you want to give? Well, yeah, I had some</pre>

	Page 8034		Page 8035
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	too.	2	backlogs.
3	Does he have a shred of	3	Right? That's what he said.
4	credibility left in your eyes, ladies	4	And you'll remember, it was all
5	and gentlemen?	5	backlog, backlog, backlog. He said:
6	But don't stop there. You	6	I live in the backlog. I look at the
7	remember Kerim Akgonul, chief product	7	backlog every day, even today. He
8	officer at Pegasystems, the man in	8	said: Since this case started, I have
9		9	
	charge of all the improvements. These	-	been through all the backlogs.
10	are not low ranking people, right?	10	He was telling you, I checked
11	These are people who run the company.	11	the whole record from the Zou era and
12	And you remember when he first	12	there's nothing there and that's why
13	came here and testified? He's got an	13	you should believe me. That's what he
14	easier one, Mr. Zou. It's a common	14	said to you.
15	malady over there, right?	15	Then you remember, he had to
16	So he doesn't remember anything	16	come back. And what happened when he
17	about Mr. Zou, but he says: I can	17	came back?
18	tell you we didn't make any	18	Turns out the backlog, they
19	improvements based on Zou.	19	don't have it anymore from prior to
20	So we said: How do you remember	20	2017. The database is gone, and he
21	that if you don't remember anything	21	never told you that.
22	about Mr. Zou?	22	He admitted: I don't have that
23	And his answer the first time he	23	system anymore.
24	was here was: It's all about the	24	And then we said to him: But
25	backlogs. I've been through all of my	25	you'll agree the only portion of the
	Page 8036		Page 8037
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
1 2		1 2	
	backlog from the Zou era you looked at		They say this is going to
2	backlog from the Zou era you looked at are some stray excerpts that people	2	They say this is going to everyone, all the product management
2 3 4	backlog from the Zou era you looked at are some stray excerpts that people happened to attach to e-mails?	2 3 4	They say this is going to everyone, all the product management people, Alan Trefler, everyone.
2 3 4 5	backlog from the Zou era you looked at are some stray excerpts that people happened to attach to e-mails? And he agrees.	2 3 4 5	They say this is going to everyone, all the product management people, Alan Trefler, everyone. It says: Kerim to distribute
2 3 4 5 6	backlog from the Zou era you looked at are some stray excerpts that people happened to attach to e-mails? And he agrees. And how many were they?	2 3 4 5 6	They say this is going to everyone, all the product management people, Alan Trefler, everyone. It says: Kerim to distribute five to ten video of Appian developer
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>backlog from the Zou era you looked at are some stray excerpts that people happened to attach to e-mails? And he agrees. And how many were they? Oh, a handful maybe.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	They say this is going to everyone, all the product management people, Alan Trefler, everyone. It says: Kerim to distribute five to ten video of Appian developer experience since it excels in ease of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>backlog from the Zou era you looked at are some stray excerpts that people happened to attach to e-mails? And he agrees. And how many were they? Oh, a handful maybe. So he's gone from telling you,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	They say this is going to everyone, all the product management people, Alan Trefler, everyone. It says: Kerim to distribute five to ten video of Appian developer experience since it excels in ease of use.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>backlog from the Zou era you looked at are some stray excerpts that people happened to attach to e-mails? And he agrees. And how many were they? Oh, a handful maybe. So he's gone from telling you, take my word for it, I looked at the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	They say this is going to everyone, all the product management people, Alan Trefler, everyone. It says: Kerim to distribute five to ten video of Appian developer experience since it excels in ease of use. And you've heard the testimony.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>backlog from the Zou era you looked at are some stray excerpts that people happened to attach to e-mails? And he agrees. And how many were they? Oh, a handful maybe. So he's gone from telling you, take my word for it, I looked at the backlogs, nothing from Zou was ever</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	They say this is going to everyone, all the product management people, Alan Trefler, everyone. It says: Kerim to distribute five to ten video of Appian developer experience since it excels in ease of use. And you've heard the testimony. That's a video from Zou. It's in the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>backlog from the Zou era you looked at are some stray excerpts that people happened to attach to e-mails? And he agrees. And how many were they? Oh, a handful maybe. So he's gone from telling you, take my word for it, I looked at the backlogs, nothing from Zou was ever used to all I looked at are a handful</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	They say this is going to everyone, all the product management people, Alan Trefler, everyone. It says: Kerim to distribute five to ten video of Appian developer experience since it excels in ease of use. And you've heard the testimony. That's a video from Zou. It's in the operational meeting minutes where he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>backlog from the Zou era you looked at are some stray excerpts that people happened to attach to e-mails? And he agrees. And how many were they? Oh, a handful maybe. So he's gone from telling you, take my word for it, I looked at the backlogs, nothing from Zou was ever used to all I looked at are a handful that happened to be attached to</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	They say this is going to everyone, all the product management people, Alan Trefler, everyone. It says: Kerim to distribute five to ten video of Appian developer experience since it excels in ease of use. And you've heard the testimony. That's a video from Zou. It's in the operational meeting minutes where he said there's no reference to Zou
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>backlog from the Zou era you looked at are some stray excerpts that people happened to attach to e-mails? And he agrees. And how many were they? Oh, a handful maybe. So he's gone from telling you, take my word for it, I looked at the backlogs, nothing from Zou was ever used to all I looked at are a handful that happened to be attached to e-mails.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	They say this is going to everyone, all the product management people, Alan Trefler, everyone. It says: Kerim to distribute five to ten video of Appian developer experience since it excels in ease of use. And you've heard the testimony. That's a video from Zou. It's in the operational meeting minutes where he said there's no reference to Zou anywhere.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>backlog from the Zou era you looked at are some stray excerpts that people happened to attach to e-mails? And he agrees. And how many were they? Oh, a handful maybe. So he's gone from telling you, take my word for it, I looked at the backlogs, nothing from Zou was ever used to all I looked at are a handful that happened to be attached to e-mails. Was he telling you the truth</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	They say this is going to everyone, all the product management people, Alan Trefler, everyone. It says: Kerim to distribute five to ten video of Appian developer experience since it excels in ease of use. And you've heard the testimony. That's a video from Zou. It's in the operational meeting minutes where he said there's no reference to Zou anywhere. Does Mr. Akgonul have a shred of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>backlog from the Zou era you looked at are some stray excerpts that people happened to attach to e-mails? And he agrees. And how many were they? Oh, a handful maybe. So he's gone from telling you, take my word for it, I looked at the backlogs, nothing from Zou was ever used to all I looked at are a handful that happened to be attached to e-mails. Was he telling you the truth when he was here the first time?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	They say this is going to everyone, all the product management people, Alan Trefler, everyone. It says: Kerim to distribute five to ten video of Appian developer experience since it excels in ease of use. And you've heard the testimony. That's a video from Zou. It's in the operational meeting minutes where he said there's no reference to Zou anywhere. Does Mr. Akgonul have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>backlog from the Zou era you looked at are some stray excerpts that people happened to attach to e-mails? And he agrees. And how many were they? Oh, a handful maybe. So he's gone from telling you, take my word for it, I looked at the backlogs, nothing from Zou was ever used to all I looked at are a handful that happened to be attached to e-mails. Was he telling you the truth when he was here the first time? But look at what else he said.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	They say this is going to everyone, all the product management people, Alan Trefler, everyone. It says: Kerim to distribute five to ten video of Appian developer experience since it excels in ease of use. And you've heard the testimony. That's a video from Zou. It's in the operational meeting minutes where he said there's no reference to Zou anywhere. Does Mr. Akgonul have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that performance?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>backlog from the Zou era you looked at are some stray excerpts that people happened to attach to e-mails? And he agrees. And how many were they? Oh, a handful maybe. So he's gone from telling you, take my word for it, I looked at the backlogs, nothing from Zou was ever used to all I looked at are a handful that happened to be attached to e-mails. Was he telling you the truth when he was here the first time? But look at what else he said. He said: You know, I don't worry just</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	They say this is going to everyone, all the product management people, Alan Trefler, everyone. It says: Kerim to distribute five to ten video of Appian developer experience since it excels in ease of use. And you've heard the testimony. That's a video from Zou. It's in the operational meeting minutes where he said there's no reference to Zou anywhere. Does Mr. Akgonul have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that performance? How about Mr. Bixby? Now, you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>backlog from the Zou era you looked at are some stray excerpts that people happened to attach to e-mails? And he agrees. And how many were they? Oh, a handful maybe. So he's gone from telling you, take my word for it, I looked at the backlogs, nothing from Zou was ever used to all I looked at are a handful that happened to be attached to e-mails. Was he telling you the truth when he was here the first time? But look at what else he said. He said: You know, I don't worry just about the backlog. I've looked at the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	They say this is going to everyone, all the product management people, Alan Trefler, everyone. It says: Kerim to distribute five to ten video of Appian developer experience since it excels in ease of use. And you've heard the testimony. That's a video from Zou. It's in the operational meeting minutes where he said there's no reference to Zou anywhere. Does Mr. Akgonul have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that performance? How about Mr. Bixby? Now, you remember Mr. Bixby. He had a whole
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>backlog from the Zou era you looked at are some stray excerpts that people happened to attach to e-mails? And he agrees. And how many were they? Oh, a handful maybe. So he's gone from telling you, take my word for it, I looked at the backlogs, nothing from Zou was ever used to all I looked at are a handful that happened to be attached to e-mails. Was he telling you the truth when he was here the first time? But look at what else he said. He said: You know, I don't worry just about the backlog. I've looked at the operational meeting notes and take my</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	They say this is going to everyone, all the product management people, Alan Trefler, everyone. It says: Kerim to distribute five to ten video of Appian developer experience since it excels in ease of use. And you've heard the testimony. That's a video from Zou. It's in the operational meeting minutes where he said there's no reference to Zou anywhere. Does Mr. Akgonul have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that performance? How about Mr. Bixby? Now, you remember Mr. Bixby. He had a whole other level of amnesia. I don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>backlog from the Zou era you looked at are some stray excerpts that people happened to attach to e-mails? And he agrees. And how many were they? Oh, a handful maybe. So he's gone from telling you, take my word for it, I looked at the backlogs, nothing from Zou was ever used to all I looked at are a handful that happened to be attached to e-mails. Was he telling you the truth when he was here the first time? But look at what else he said. He said: You know, I don't worry just about the backlog. I've looked at the operational meeting notes and take my word for it, there's nothing about</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	They say this is going to everyone, all the product management people, Alan Trefler, everyone. It says: Kerim to distribute five to ten video of Appian developer experience since it excels in ease of use. And you've heard the testimony. That's a video from Zou. It's in the operational meeting minutes where he said there's no reference to Zou anywhere. Does Mr. Akgonul have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that performance? How about Mr. Bixby? Now, you remember Mr. Bixby. He had a whole other level of amnesia. I don't remember who Zou is. I know nothing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>backlog from the Zou era you looked at are some stray excerpts that people happened to attach to e-mails? And he agrees. And how many were they? Oh, a handful maybe. So he's gone from telling you, take my word for it, I looked at the backlogs, nothing from Zou was ever used to all I looked at are a handful that happened to be attached to e-mails. Was he telling you the truth when he was here the first time? But look at what else he said. He said: You know, I don't worry just about the backlog. I've looked at the operational meeting notes and take my word for it, there's nothing about Zou.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	They say this is going to everyone, all the product management people, Alan Trefler, everyone. It says: Kerim to distribute five to ten video of Appian developer experience since it excels in ease of use. And you've heard the testimony. That's a video from Zou. It's in the operational meeting minutes where he said there's no reference to Zou anywhere. Does Mr. Akgonul have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that performance? How about Mr. Bixby? Now, you remember Mr. Bixby. He had a whole other level of amnesia. I don't remember who Zou is. I know nothing about Zou. I remember no meeting.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>backlog from the Zou era you looked at are some stray excerpts that people happened to attach to e-mails? And he agrees. And how many were they? Oh, a handful maybe. So he's gone from telling you, take my word for it, I looked at the backlogs, nothing from Zou was ever used to all I looked at are a handful that happened to be attached to e-mails. Was he telling you the truth when he was here the first time? But look at what else he said. He said: You know, I don't worry just about the backlog. I've looked at the operational meeting notes and take my word for it, there's nothing about Zou. Do you remember that testimony?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	They say this is going to everyone, all the product management people, Alan Trefler, everyone. It says: Kerim to distribute five to ten video of Appian developer experience since it excels in ease of use. And you've heard the testimony. That's a video from Zou. It's in the operational meeting minutes where he said there's no reference to Zou anywhere. Does Mr. Akgonul have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that performance? How about Mr. Bixby? Now, you remember Mr. Bixby. He had a whole other level of amnesia. I don't remember who Zou is. I know nothing about Zou. I remember no meeting. I said: What is this message to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>backlog from the Zou era you looked at are some stray excerpts that people happened to attach to e-mails? And he agrees. And how many were they? Oh, a handful maybe. So he's gone from telling you, take my word for it, I looked at the backlogs, nothing from Zou was ever used to all I looked at are a handful that happened to be attached to e-mails. Was he telling you the truth when he was here the first time? But look at what else he said. He said: You know, I don't worry just about the backlog. I've looked at the operational meeting notes and take my word for it, there's nothing about Zou. Do you remember that testimony? Well, funny thing, I went back and I</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	They say this is going to everyone, all the product management people, Alan Trefler, everyone. It says: Kerim to distribute five to ten video of Appian developer experience since it excels in ease of use. And you've heard the testimony. That's a video from Zou. It's in the operational meeting minutes where he said there's no reference to Zou anywhere. Does Mr. Akgonul have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that performance? How about Mr. Bixby? Now, you remember Mr. Bixby. He had a whole other level of amnesia. I don't remember who Zou is. I know nothing about Zou. I remember no meeting. I said: What is this message to you on his examination by Pega was,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>backlog from the Zou era you looked at are some stray excerpts that people happened to attach to e-mails? And he agrees. And how many were they? Oh, a handful maybe. So he's gone from telling you, take my word for it, I looked at the backlogs, nothing from Zou was ever used to all I looked at are a handful that happened to be attached to e-mails. Was he telling you the truth when he was here the first time? But look at what else he said. He said: You know, I don't worry just about the backlog. I've looked at the operational meeting notes and take my word for it, there's nothing about Zou. Do you remember that testimony?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	They say this is going to everyone, all the product management people, Alan Trefler, everyone. It says: Kerim to distribute five to ten video of Appian developer experience since it excels in ease of use. And you've heard the testimony. That's a video from Zou. It's in the operational meeting minutes where he said there's no reference to Zou anywhere. Does Mr. Akgonul have a shred of credibility left in your eyes after that performance? How about Mr. Bixby? Now, you remember Mr. Bixby. He had a whole other level of amnesia. I don't remember who Zou is. I know nothing about Zou. I remember no meeting. I said: What is this message to

	D		D
1	Page 8038 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Page 8039 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	don't know what anyone is talking	2	e-mail? Michael Caton who works with
3	about. Nothing to do with me.	3	him in competitive intelligence? But
4	Okay. Remember what I said, the	4	the only person he e-mails at Pega to
5	contemporaneous documents will guide	5	tell him he's having the meeting is
6	you to the truth. I said that seven	6	Steve Bixby.
7	and a half weeks ago.	7	So then we ask Mr. Bixby, you'll
8	What do those documents show us?	8	remember, we said: You're saying you
9	Very beginning, before the first	9	don't have anything do with this. How
10	meeting with Mr. Zou, February of	10	come the e-mails are going to you?
11	2012, Mr. Petronio tells his boss:	11	What was his answer? He says
12	I'm going to meet with this guy	12	why are you the only guy copied? I
13	tomorrow, contractor. You know, I	13	was a pretty important person at Pega.
14	want to do some research, update our	14	Your life experiences guide you
15	attack plans. Steve Bixby is	15	here. You know people who talk about
16	interested in learning more about them	16	themselves that way, I was a pretty
17	as well.	17	important person.
18	And later below: Steve Bixby	18	But you know what he does say.
19	has expressed an interest in joining	19	He says: I know that I didn't respond
20	me.	20	to any of it.
21	This all started with Steve	21	Right? So even though the
22	Bixby, the guy who says: I have	22	e-mails have come up, he's saying, no,
23	nothing to do with any of this.	23	no, no, nothing to do with me.
24	The next day, before the actual	24	Someone sent me e-mail. I never
25	meeting, Mr. Petronio, who does he	25	responded to it. I was being nice to
	meeting, Mr. recronico, who does he	25	responded to re. I was being nice to
1	Page 8040	1	Page 8041
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Petronio.	2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) don't remember anything, how can you
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Petronio. The contemporaneous documents.	2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) don't remember anything, how can you say that nothing was used?
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Petronio. The contemporaneous documents. There he is responding to the very	2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) don't remember anything, how can you say that nothing was used? And she said: Well, anything we
2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Petronio. The contemporaneous documents. There he is responding to the very same e-mail asking: How did it go?	2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) don't remember anything, how can you say that nothing was used? And she said: Well, anything we learned was general information.
2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Petronio. The contemporaneous documents. There he is responding to the very same e-mail asking: How did it go? This is the meeting Petronio had	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) don't remember anything, how can you say that nothing was used? And she said: Well, anything we learned was general information. Well, how do you know that if</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Petronio. The contemporaneous documents. There he is responding to the very same e-mail asking: How did it go? This is the meeting Petronio had with Zou.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) don't remember anything, how can you say that nothing was used? And she said: Well, anything we learned was general information. Well, how do you know that if you don't remember the meetings?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Petronio. The contemporaneous documents. There he is responding to the very same e-mail asking: How did it go? This is the meeting Petronio had with Zou. How did it go? Anything new and</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) don't remember anything, how can you say that nothing was used? And she said: Well, anything we learned was general information. Well, how do you know that if you don't remember the meetings? And she says: Because we didn't</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Petronio. The contemporaneous documents. There he is responding to the very same e-mail asking: How did it go? This is the meeting Petronio had with Zou. How did it go? Anything new and different?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) don't remember anything, how can you say that nothing was used? And she said: Well, anything we learned was general information. Well, how do you know that if you don't remember the meetings? And she says: Because we didn't take information of a competitor into</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Petronio. The contemporaneous documents. There he is responding to the very same e-mail asking: How did it go? This is the meeting Petronio had with Zou. How did it go? Anything new and different? Does Mr. Bixby have a shred of</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) don't remember anything, how can you say that nothing was used? And she said: Well, anything we learned was general information. Well, how do you know that if you don't remember the meetings? And she says: Because we didn't take information of a competitor into account.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Petronio. The contemporaneous documents. There he is responding to the very same e-mail asking: How did it go? This is the meeting Petronio had with Zou. How did it go? Anything new and different? Does Mr. Bixby have a shred of credibility left in your eyes?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) don't remember anything, how can you say that nothing was used? And she said: Well, anything we learned was general information. Well, how do you know that if you don't remember the meetings? And she says: Because we didn't take information of a competitor into account. Look at the circular logic</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Petronio. The contemporaneous documents. There he is responding to the very same e-mail asking: How did it go? This is the meeting Petronio had with Zou. How did it go? Anything new and different? Does Mr. Bixby have a shred of credibility left in your eyes? How about Stephanie Louis?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) don't remember anything, how can you say that nothing was used? And she said: Well, anything we learned was general information. Well, how do you know that if you don't remember the meetings? And she says: Because we didn't take information of a competitor into account. Look at the circular logic there. You think she was prepared</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Petronio. The contemporaneous documents. There he is responding to the very same e-mail asking: How did it go? This is the meeting Petronio had with Zou. How did it go? Anything new and different? Does Mr. Bixby have a shred of credibility left in your eyes? How about Stephanie Louis? Another amnesia victim. She says:</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) don't remember anything, how can you say that nothing was used? And she said: Well, anything we learned was general information. Well, how do you know that if you don't remember the meetings? And she says: Because we didn't take information of a competitor into account. Look at the circular logic there. You think she was prepared with that line when she came in here?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Petronio. The contemporaneous documents. There he is responding to the very same e-mail asking: How did it go? This is the meeting Petronio had with Zou. How did it go? Anything new and different? Does Mr. Bixby have a shred of credibility left in your eyes? How about Stephanie Louis? Another amnesia victim. She says: You don't remember anything specific</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) don't remember anything, how can you say that nothing was used? And she said: Well, anything we learned was general information. Well, how do you know that if you don't remember the meetings? And she says: Because we didn't take information of a competitor into account. Look at the circular logic there. You think she was prepared with that line when she came in here? Does she have a shred of credibility</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Petronio. The contemporaneous documents. There he is responding to the very same e-mail asking: How did it go? This is the meeting Petronio had with Zou. How did it go? Anything new and different? Does Mr. Bixby have a shred of credibility left in your eyes? How about Stephanie Louis? Another amnesia victim. She says: You don't remember anything specific about Zou. Yeah. So Appian's</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) don't remember anything, how can you say that nothing was used? And she said: Well, anything we learned was general information. Well, how do you know that if you don't remember the meetings? And she says: Because we didn't take information of a competitor into account. Look at the circular logic there. You think she was prepared with that line when she came in here? Does she have a shred of credibility left in your eyes?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Petronio. The contemporaneous documents. There he is responding to the very same e-mail asking: How did it go? This is the meeting Petronio had with Zou. How did it go? Anything new and different? Does Mr. Bixby have a shred of credibility left in your eyes? How about Stephanie Louis? Another amnesia victim. She says: You don't remember anything specific about Zou. Yeah. So Appian's out-of-the-box mobile capabilities,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) don't remember anything, how can you say that nothing was used? And she said: Well, anything we learned was general information. Well, how do you know that if you don't remember the meetings? And she says: Because we didn't take information of a competitor into account. Look at the circular logic there. You think she was prepared with that line when she came in here? Does she have a shred of credibility left in your eyes? Ken Stillwell. Now, this is a</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Petronio. The contemporaneous documents. There he is responding to the very same e-mail asking: How did it go? This is the meeting Petronio had with Zou. How did it go? Anything new and different? Does Mr. Bixby have a shred of credibility left in your eyes? How about Stephanie Louis? Another amnesia victim. She says: You don't remember anything specific about Zou. Yeah. So Appian's out-of-the-box mobile capabilities, you don't know what information you</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) don't remember anything, how can you say that nothing was used? And she said: Well, anything we learned was general information. Well, how do you know that if you don't remember the meetings? And she says: Because we didn't take information of a competitor into account. Look at the circular logic there. You think she was prepared with that line when she came in here? Does she have a shred of credibility left in your eyes? Ken Stillwell. Now, this is a long time ago when we played this</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Petronio. The contemporaneous documents. There he is responding to the very same e-mail asking: How did it go? This is the meeting Petronio had with Zou. How did it go? Anything new and different? Does Mr. Bixby have a shred of credibility left in your eyes? How about Stephanie Louis? Another amnesia victim. She says: You don't remember anything specific about Zou. Yeah. So Appian's out-of-the-box mobile capabilities, you don't know what information you provided?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) don't remember anything, how can you say that nothing was used? And she said: Well, anything we learned was general information. Well, how do you know that if you don't remember the meetings? And she says: Because we didn't take information of a competitor into account. Look at the circular logic there. You think she was prepared with that line when she came in here? Does she have a shred of credibility left in your eyes? Ken Stillwell. Now, this is a long time ago when we played this video early in our case, and I know</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Petronio. The contemporaneous documents. There he is responding to the very same e-mail asking: How did it go? This is the meeting Petronio had with Zou. How did it go? Anything new and different? Does Mr. Bixby have a shred of credibility left in your eyes? How about Stephanie Louis? Another amnesia victim. She says: You don't remember anything specific about Zou. Yeah. So Appian's out-of-the-box mobile capabilities, you don't know what information you provided? Nope, don't remember Zou.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) don't remember anything, how can you say that nothing was used? And she said: Well, anything we learned was general information. Well, how do you know that if you don't remember the meetings? And she says: Because we didn't take information of a competitor into account. Look at the circular logic there. You think she was prepared with that line when she came in here? Does she have a shred of credibility left in your eyes? Ken Stillwell. Now, this is a long time ago when we played this video early in our case, and I know there's been a lot of evidence. But</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Petronio. The contemporaneous documents. There he is responding to the very same e-mail asking: How did it go? This is the meeting Petronio had with Zou. How did it go? Anything new and different? Does Mr. Bixby have a shred of credibility left in your eyes? How about Stephanie Louis? Another amnesia victim. She says: You don't remember anything specific about Zou. Yeah. So Appian's out-of-the-box mobile capabilities, you don't know what information you provided? Nope, don't remember Zou. Remember, we showed you</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) don't remember anything, how can you say that nothing was used? And she said: Well, anything we learned was general information. Well, how do you know that if you don't remember the meetings? And she says: Because we didn't take information of a competitor into account. Look at the circular logic there. You think she was prepared with that line when she came in here? Does she have a shred of credibility left in your eyes? Ken Stillwell. Now, this is a long time ago when we played this video early in our case, and I know there's been a lot of evidence. But he's a very important guy because he's</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Petronio. The contemporaneous documents. There he is responding to the very same e-mail asking: How did it go? This is the meeting Petronio had with Zou. How did it go? Anything new and different? Does Mr. Bixby have a shred of credibility left in your eyes? How about Stephanie Louis? Another amnesia victim. She says: You don't remember anything specific about Zou. Yeah. So Appian's out-of-the-box mobile capabilities, you don't know what information you provided? Nope, don't remember Zou. Remember, we showed you documents where she says, oh, I'm</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) don't remember anything, how can you say that nothing was used? And she said: Well, anything we learned was general information. Well, how do you know that if you don't remember the meetings? And she says: Because we didn't take information of a competitor into account. Look at the circular logic there. You think she was prepared with that line when she came in here? Does she have a shred of credibility left in your eyes? Ken Stillwell. Now, this is a long time ago when we played this video early in our case, and I know there's been a lot of evidence. But he's a very important guy because he's the chief operating officer and the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Petronio. The contemporaneous documents. There he is responding to the very same e-mail asking: How did it go? This is the meeting Petronio had with Zou. How did it go? Anything new and different? Does Mr. Bixby have a shred of credibility left in your eyes? How about Stephanie Louis? Another amnesia victim. She says: You don't remember anything specific about Zou. Yeah. So Appian's out-of-the-box mobile capabilities, you don't know what information you provided? Nope, don't remember Zou. Remember, we showed you documents where she says, oh, I'm looking forward to meeting Zou, </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) don't remember anything, how can you say that nothing was used? And she said: Well, anything we learned was general information. Well, how do you know that if you don't remember the meetings? And she says: Because we didn't take information of a competitor into account. Look at the circular logic there. You think she was prepared with that line when she came in here? Does she have a shred of credibility left in your eyes? Ken Stillwell. Now, this is a long time ago when we played this video early in our case, and I know there's been a lot of evidence. But he's a very important guy because he's the chief operating officer and the chief financial officer of the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Petronio. The contemporaneous documents. There he is responding to the very same e-mail asking: How did it go? This is the meeting Petronio had with Zou. How did it go? Anything new and different? Does Mr. Bixby have a shred of credibility left in your eyes? How about Stephanie Louis? Another amnesia victim. She says: You don't remember anything specific about Zou. Yeah. So Appian's out-of-the-box mobile capabilities, you don't know what information you provided? Nope, don't remember Zou. Remember, we showed you documents where she says, oh, I'm looking forward to meeting Zou, one hour from the time of her e-mail.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) don't remember anything, how can you say that nothing was used? And she said: Well, anything we learned was general information. Well, how do you know that if you don't remember the meetings? And she says: Because we didn't take information of a competitor into account. Look at the circular logic there. You think she was prepared with that line when she came in here? Does she have a shred of credibility left in your eyes? Ken Stillwell. Now, this is a long time ago when we played this video early in our case, and I know there's been a lot of evidence. But he's a very important guy because he's the chief operating officer and the chief financial officer of the company, so he's no low ranking</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Petronio. The contemporaneous documents. There he is responding to the very same e-mail asking: How did it go? This is the meeting Petronio had with Zou. How did it go? Anything new and different? Does Mr. Bixby have a shred of credibility left in your eyes? How about Stephanie Louis? Another amnesia victim. She says: You don't remember anything specific about Zou. Yeah. So Appian's out-of-the-box mobile capabilities, you don't know what information you provided? Nope, don't remember Zou. Remember, we showed you documents where she says, oh, I'm looking forward to meeting Zou, </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) don't remember anything, how can you say that nothing was used? And she said: Well, anything we learned was general information. Well, how do you know that if you don't remember the meetings? And she says: Because we didn't take information of a competitor into account. Look at the circular logic there. You think she was prepared with that line when she came in here? Does she have a shred of credibility left in your eyes? Ken Stillwell. Now, this is a long time ago when we played this video early in our case, and I know there's been a lot of evidence. But he's a very important guy because he's the chief operating officer and the chief financial officer of the</pre>

	Page 8042	1	Page 8043
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	deposition, he was wearing like a	2	Conduct, does that present it as a
3	puffy vest, maybe that will refresh	3	complicated question?
4	you a bit.	4	Have a look at what their Code
5	But we asked him a	5	of Conduct says. What's forbidden?
6	straightforward question: How about	6	Misrepresenting your identity in the
7	just making up a name; is that okay by	7	hopes of obtaining confidential
8	you? Pega people do that when seeking	8	information.
9	access to a competitor?	9	Not a complicated question
10	And look at what he says. You	10	according to the Code of Conduct.
11	know, it's like a question about	11	Does he have a shred of
12	pronouns apparently according to	12	credibility left in your eyes or was
13	Mr. Stillwell. He says: I won't go	13	he coming in, not wanting to admit to
14		14	
1	down that path. You know, people,		anything that would hurt Pega in the
15	they choose their own names, their own	15	litigation?
16	identities, they self-identify. It's	16	But then, but then, let's talk
17	not my job.	17	about Paul Foon. Mr. Trefler comes
18	He is the chief operating	18	up, you remember at his deposition for
19	officer of the company. Not my job to	19	the first time. I'd shown him these
20	decide if people can use fake names.	20	documents we'd found.
21	He says: It's a very complicated	21	And I said to him: You signed
22	question.	22	up as Paul Foon for an Appian
23	You think that's a complicated	23	marketing event.
24	question?	24	And what was his response at the
25	What about Pega's own Code of	25	deposition when first confronted with
		1	
	Page 8044		Page 8045
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Page 8045 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) this? He said: I'm unprepared to say	2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he's up to.
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)		Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he's up to. And then we say to him in the
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) this? He said: I'm unprepared to say	2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he's up to. And then we say to him in the deposition: Can you say categorically
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) this? He said: I'm unprepared to say anything about this.	2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he's up to. And then we say to him in the
2 3 4	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) this? He said: I'm unprepared to say anything about this. Is that someone who's being straight? What was the document? Here are</pre>	2 3 4	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he's up to. And then we say to him in the deposition: Can you say categorically this isn't you. He says: I'm a technical guy.</pre>
2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) this? He said: I'm unprepared to say anything about this. Is that someone who's being straight?	2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he's up to. And then we say to him in the deposition: Can you say categorically this isn't you.
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) this? He said: I'm unprepared to say anything about this. Is that someone who's being straight? What was the document? Here are</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he's up to. And then we say to him in the deposition: Can you say categorically this isn't you. He says: I'm a technical guy.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) this? He said: I'm unprepared to say anything about this. Is that someone who's being straight? What was the document? Here are the signups we showed you for that</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he's up to. And then we say to him in the deposition: Can you say categorically this isn't you. He says: I'm a technical guy. I'm uncomfortable making statements</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) this? He said: I'm unprepared to say anything about this. Is that someone who's being straight? What was the document? Here are the signups we showed you for that event.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he's up to. And then we say to him in the deposition: Can you say categorically this isn't you. He says: I'm a technical guy. I'm uncomfortable making statements without facts, and there's no facts to</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) this? He said: I'm unprepared to say anything about this. Is that someone who's being straight? What was the document? Here are the signups we showed you for that event. I mean, look at this, ladies and</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he's up to. And then we say to him in the deposition: Can you say categorically this isn't you. He says: I'm a technical guy. I'm uncomfortable making statements without facts, and there's no facts to support that I did it.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) this? He said: I'm unprepared to say anything about this. Is that someone who's being straight? What was the document? Here are the signups we showed you for that event. I mean, look at this, ladies and gentlemen, Paul Foon is signing up</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he's up to. And then we say to him in the deposition: Can you say categorically this isn't you. He says: I'm a technical guy. I'm uncomfortable making statements without facts, and there's no facts to support that I did it. You know anyone who talks like</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) this? He said: I'm unprepared to say anything about this. Is that someone who's being straight? What was the document? Here are the signups we showed you for that event. I mean, look at this, ladies and gentlemen, Paul Foon is signing up under ascii0@gmail.com. That is an</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he's up to. And then we say to him in the deposition: Can you say categorically this isn't you. He says: I'm a technical guy. I'm uncomfortable making statements without facts, and there's no facts to support that I did it. You know anyone who talks like that in your day-to-day life? There's</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) this? He said: I'm unprepared to say anything about this. Is that someone who's being straight? What was the document? Here are the signups we showed you for that event. I mean, look at this, ladies and gentlemen, Paul Foon is signing up under ascii0@gmail.com. That is an e-mail address that Mr. Trefler has</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he's up to. And then we say to him in the deposition: Can you say categorically this isn't you. He says: I'm a technical guy. I'm uncomfortable making statements without facts, and there's no facts to support that I did it. You know anyone who talks like that in your day-to-day life? There's no facts to support that I did it. Is</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) this? He said: I'm unprepared to say anything about this. Is that someone who's being straight? What was the document? Here are the signups we showed you for that event. I mean, look at this, ladies and gentlemen, Paul Foon is signing up under ascii0@gmail.com. That is an e-mail address that Mr. Trefler has admitted is one of his personas, as he</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he's up to. And then we say to him in the deposition: Can you say categorically this isn't you. He says: I'm a technical guy. I'm uncomfortable making statements without facts, and there's no facts to support that I did it. You know anyone who talks like that in your day-to-day life? There's no facts to support that I did it. Is he being straight with you?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) this? He said: I'm unprepared to say anything about this. Is that someone who's being straight? What was the document? Here are the signups we showed you for that event. I mean, look at this, ladies and gentlemen, Paul Foon is signing up under ascii0@gmail.com. That is an e-mail address that Mr. Trefler has admitted is one of his personas, as he calls it.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he's up to. And then we say to him in the deposition: Can you say categorically this isn't you. He says: I'm a technical guy. I'm uncomfortable making statements without facts, and there's no facts to support that I did it. You know anyone who talks like that in your day-to-day life? There's no facts to support that I did it. Is he being straight with you? But you'll remember, that's not</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) this? He said: I'm unprepared to say anything about this. Is that someone who's being straight? What was the document? Here are the signups we showed you for that event. I mean, look at this, ladies and gentlemen, Paul Foon is signing up under ascii0@gmail.com. That is an e-mail address that Mr. Trefler has admitted is one of his personas, as he calls it. Highly idiosyncratic prefix,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he's up to. And then we say to him in the deposition: Can you say categorically this isn't you. He says: I'm a technical guy. I'm uncomfortable making statements without facts, and there's no facts to support that I did it. You know anyone who talks like that in your day-to-day life? There's no facts to support that I did it. Is he being straight with you? But you'll remember, that's not where it ended, because he had a whole</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) this? He said: I'm unprepared to say anything about this. Is that someone who's being straight? What was the document? Here are the signups we showed you for that event. I mean, look at this, ladies and gentlemen, Paul Foon is signing up under ascii0@gmail.com. That is an e-mail address that Mr. Trefler has admitted is one of his personas, as he calls it. Highly idiosyncratic prefix, ascii0 from computer code is a name he</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he's up to. And then we say to him in the deposition: Can you say categorically this isn't you. He says: I'm a technical guy. I'm uncomfortable making statements without facts, and there's no facts to support that I did it. You know anyone who talks like that in your day-to-day life? There's no facts to support that I did it. Is he being straight with you? But you'll remember, that's not where it ended, because he had a whole series of personas. Remember, he also</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) this? He said: I'm unprepared to say anything about this. Is that someone who's being straight? What was the document? Here are the signups we showed you for that event. I mean, look at this, ladies and gentlemen, Paul Foon is signing up under ascii0@gmail.com. That is an e-mail address that Mr. Trefler has admitted is one of his personas, as he calls it. Highly idiosyncratic prefix, ascii0 from computer code is a name he gave to his dog. That's him,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he's up to. And then we say to him in the deposition: Can you say categorically this isn't you. He says: I'm a technical guy. I'm uncomfortable making statements without facts, and there's no facts to support that I did it. You know anyone who talks like that in your day-to-day life? There's no facts to support that I did it. Is he being straight with you? But you'll remember, that's not where it ended, because he had a whole series of personas. Remember, he also admitted he uses A. Scii and then</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) this? He said: I'm unprepared to say anything about this. Is that someone who's being straight? What was the document? Here are the signups we showed you for that event. I mean, look at this, ladies and gentlemen, Paul Foon is signing up under ascii0@gmail.com. That is an e-mail address that Mr. Trefler has admitted is one of his personas, as he calls it. Highly idiosyncratic prefix, ascii0 from computer code is a name he gave to his dog. That's him, ascii0@gmail.com.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he's up to. And then we say to him in the deposition: Can you say categorically this isn't you. He says: I'm a technical guy. I'm uncomfortable making statements without facts, and there's no facts to support that I did it. You know anyone who talks like that in your day-to-day life? There's no facts to support that I did it. Is he being straight with you? But you'll remember, that's not where it ended, because he had a whole series of personas. Remember, he also admitted he uses A. Scii and then Albert Scii, and his explanation for</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) this? He said: I'm unprepared to say anything about this. Is that someone who's being straight? What was the document? Here are the signups we showed you for that event. I mean, look at this, ladies and gentlemen, Paul Foon is signing up under ascii0@gmail.com. That is an e-mail address that Mr. Trefler has admitted is one of his personas, as he calls it. Highly idiosyncratic prefix, ascii0 from computer code is a name he gave to his dog. That's him, ascii0@gmail.com. And look at the other sign-ups,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he's up to. And then we say to him in the deposition: Can you say categorically this isn't you. He says: I'm a technical guy. I'm uncomfortable making statements without facts, and there's no facts to support that I did it. You know anyone who talks like that in your day-to-day life? There's no facts to support that I did it. Is he being straight with you? But you'll remember, that's not where it ended, because he had a whole series of personas. Remember, he also admitted he uses A. Scii and then Albert Scii, and his explanation for the Albert Scii was: Well, sometimes,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) this? He said: I'm unprepared to say anything about this. Is that someone who's being straight? What was the document? Here are the signups we showed you for that event. I mean, look at this, ladies and gentlemen, Paul Foon is signing up under ascii0@gmail.com. That is an e-mail address that Mr. Trefler has admitted is one of his personas, as he calls it. Highly idiosyncratic prefix, ascii0 from computer code is a name he gave to his dog. That's him, ascii0@gmail.com. And look at the other sign-ups, another version of ascii0. He's</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he's up to. And then we say to him in the deposition: Can you say categorically this isn't you. He says: I'm a technical guy. I'm uncomfortable making statements without facts, and there's no facts to support that I did it. You know anyone who talks like that in your day-to-day life? There's no facts to support that I did it. Is he being straight with you? But you'll remember, that's not where it ended, because he had a whole series of personas. Remember, he also admitted he uses A. Scii and then Albert Scii, and his explanation for the Albert Scii was: Well, sometimes, you know, websites requires you fill</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) this? He said: I'm unprepared to say anything about this. Is that someone who's being straight? What was the document? Here are the signups we showed you for that event. I mean, look at this, ladies and gentlemen, Paul Foon is signing up under ascii0@gmail.com. That is an e-mail address that Mr. Trefler has admitted is one of his personas, as he calls it. Highly idiosyncratic prefix, ascii0 from computer code is a name he gave to his dog. That's him, ascii0@gmail.com. And look at the other sign-ups, another version of ascii0. He's calling himself Paul Foon. He</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he's up to. And then we say to him in the deposition: Can you say categorically this isn't you. He says: I'm a technical guy. I'm uncomfortable making statements without facts, and there's no facts to support that I did it. You know anyone who talks like that in your day-to-day life? There's no facts to support that I did it. Is he being straight with you? But you'll remember, that's not where it ended, because he had a whole series of personas. Remember, he also admitted he uses A. Scii and then Albert Scii, and his explanation for the Albert Scii was: Well, sometimes, you know, websites requires you fill in the name, not just the first</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) this? He said: I'm unprepared to say anything about this. Is that someone who's being straight? What was the document? Here are the signups we showed you for that event. I mean, look at this, ladies and gentlemen, Paul Foon is signing up under ascii0@gmail.com. That is an e-mail address that Mr. Trefler has admitted is one of his personas, as he calls it. Highly idiosyncratic prefix, ascii0 from computer code is a name he gave to his dog. That's him, ascii0@gmail.com. And look at the other sign-ups, another version of ascii0. He's calling himself Paul Foon. He describes himself as being in the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he's up to. And then we say to him in the deposition: Can you say categorically this isn't you. He says: I'm a technical guy. I'm uncomfortable making statements without facts, and there's no facts to support that I did it. You know anyone who talks like that in your day-to-day life? There's no facts to support that I did it. Is he being straight with you? But you'll remember, that's not where it ended, because he had a whole series of personas. Remember, he also admitted he uses A. Scii and then Albert Scii, and his explanation for the Albert Scii was: Well, sometimes, you know, websites requires you fill in the name, not just the first initial, A.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) this? He said: I'm unprepared to say anything about this. Is that someone who's being straight? What was the document? Here are the signups we showed you for that event. I mean, look at this, ladies and gentlemen, Paul Foon is signing up under ascii0@gmail.com. That is an e-mail address that Mr. Trefler has admitted is one of his personas, as he calls it. Highly idiosyncratic prefix, ascii0 from computer code is a name he gave to his dog. That's him, ascii0@gmail.com. And look at the other sign-ups, another version of ascii0. He's calling himself Paul Foon. He describes himself as being in the grocery business, party planning,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he's up to. And then we say to him in the deposition: Can you say categorically this isn't you. He says: I'm a technical guy. I'm uncomfortable making statements without facts, and there's no facts to support that I did it. You know anyone who talks like that in your day-to-day life? There's no facts to support that I did it. Is he being straight with you? But you'll remember, that's not where it ended, because he had a whole series of personas. Remember, he also admitted he uses A. Scii and then Albert Scii, and his explanation for the Albert Scii was: Well, sometimes, you know, websites requires you fill in the name, not just the first initial, A. So I said, well, what about</pre>

	Page 8046	1	Page 8047
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Then you see an attempt to get	2	be seeking access to Appian's
3	information from Appian's confidential	3	confidential information. It's just a
4	forum.	4	coincidence.
5	Now think about this, this	5	But then you'll remember, he
6	sign-up is in the name of Albert Skii,	6	came back and testified further. I
7	a name that he admits using. It is	7	started some questions. I said:
8	from ascii0, a prefix that he has	8	Well, what's your home IP address?
9	used.	9	What's your provider?
10	Highly idiosyncratic,	10	And he maybe started to get a
11	Telstra.com, a Pega client he was	11	little worried about what I might've
12	visiting in that same year, a phone	12	had on him. And so then look at the
13	number that, while a long series of	13	answer he gave, thinking about
14	numbers, starts with a 617, Boston.	14	perjury, perhaps?
15	Company is SAO mines.	15	Is it your testimony now that
16	So at first we asked him about	16	you now concede it is possible that
17	this, but he denies it, denies	17	was you?
18	completely. Not me, the phone number	18	Look at what he says: It's
19	is Australian.	19	possible. It's not impossible. I
20	And what does he say to you,	20	cannot say it was impossible.
21	ladies and gentlemen? He says, you	21	Dr. Seuss would be proud of an
22	know, this is someone else, that they	22	answer like that.
23	just happened to be using the name	23	Is this someone who is telling
24	Albert Scii, they just happen to be	24	you the truth?
25	using an ascii0, they just happen to	25	And, you know, I'm not going to
	Page 8048		Page 8049
	Tage 0010	1	Tage 0015
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
1 2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) go through all of his personas, but	1 2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) for, in this case, almost 40 years.
2	go through all of his personas, but	2	for, in this case, almost 40 years.
2 3	go through all of his personas, but you remember there was another one,	2 3	for, in this case, almost 40 years. And he agrees, absolutely, he sets the
2 3 4	go through all of his personas, but you remember there was another one, 2au. He used that one, too, used it	2 3 4	for, in this case, almost 40 years. And he agrees, absolutely, he sets the tone at Pega. Arrogance and
2 3 4 5	go through all of his personas, but you remember there was another one, 2au. He used that one, too, used it try and sign up for Appian information	2 3 4 5	for, in this case, almost 40 years. And he agrees, absolutely, he sets the tone at Pega. Arrogance and shadiness.
2 3 4 5 6	go through all of his personas, but you remember there was another one, 2au. He used that one, too, used it try and sign up for Appian information just in 2021, you know, few months	2 3 4 5 6	for, in this case, almost 40 years. And he agrees, absolutely, he sets the tone at Pega. Arrogance and shadiness. And remember, who is the arbiter
2 3 4 5 6 7	go through all of his personas, but you remember there was another one, 2au. He used that one, too, used it try and sign up for Appian information just in 2021, you know, few months before his deposition. Don't remember	2 3 4 5 6 7	for, in this case, almost 40 years. And he agrees, absolutely, he sets the tone at Pega. Arrogance and shadiness. And remember, who is the arbiter that they look to at Pega if they have
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	go through all of his personas, but you remember there was another one, 2au. He used that one, too, used it try and sign up for Appian information just in 2021, you know, few months before his deposition. Don't remember that one either. Not him, just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	for, in this case, almost 40 years. And he agrees, absolutely, he sets the tone at Pega. Arrogance and shadiness. And remember, who is the arbiter that they look to at Pega if they have a question about ethics?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	go through all of his personas, but you remember there was another one, 2au. He used that one, too, used it try and sign up for Appian information just in 2021, you know, few months before his deposition. Don't remember that one either. Not him, just someone else using an ascii0 this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	for, in this case, almost 40 years. And he agrees, absolutely, he sets the tone at Pega. Arrogance and shadiness. And remember, who is the arbiter that they look to at Pega if they have a question about ethics? Look in their Code of Conduct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	go through all of his personas, but you remember there was another one, 2au. He used that one, too, used it try and sign up for Appian information just in 2021, you know, few months before his deposition. Don't remember that one either. Not him, just someone else using an ascii0 this time at gmail and trying to get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>for, in this case, almost 40 years. And he agrees, absolutely, he sets the tone at Pega. Arrogance and shadiness. And remember, who is the arbiter that they look to at Pega if they have a question about ethics? Look in their Code of Conduct. If you have a question, if you're</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	go through all of his personas, but you remember there was another one, 2au. He used that one, too, used it try and sign up for Appian information just in 2021, you know, few months before his deposition. Don't remember that one either. Not him, just someone else using an ascii0 this time at gmail and trying to get access to Appian.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>for, in this case, almost 40 years. And he agrees, absolutely, he sets the tone at Pega. Arrogance and shadiness. And remember, who is the arbiter that they look to at Pega if they have a question about ethics? Look in their Code of Conduct. If you have a question, if you're worried you're being asked to do</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	go through all of his personas, but you remember there was another one, 2au. He used that one, too, used it try and sign up for Appian information just in 2021, you know, few months before his deposition. Don't remember that one either. Not him, just someone else using an ascii0 this time at gmail and trying to get access to Appian. That was Alan Trefler, the CEO	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>for, in this case, almost 40 years. And he agrees, absolutely, he sets the tone at Pega. Arrogance and shadiness. And remember, who is the arbiter that they look to at Pega if they have a question about ethics? Look in their Code of Conduct. If you have a question, if you're worried you're being asked to do something that's not right, who should</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	go through all of his personas, but you remember there was another one, 2au. He used that one, too, used it try and sign up for Appian information just in 2021, you know, few months before his deposition. Don't remember that one either. Not him, just someone else using an ascii0 this time at gmail and trying to get access to Appian. That was Alan Trefler, the CEO of this company. Does he have a shred	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>for, in this case, almost 40 years. And he agrees, absolutely, he sets the tone at Pega. Arrogance and shadiness. And remember, who is the arbiter that they look to at Pega if they have a question about ethics? Look in their Code of Conduct. If you have a question, if you're worried you're being asked to do something that's not right, who should you go to?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	go through all of his personas, but you remember there was another one, 2au. He used that one, too, used it try and sign up for Appian information just in 2021, you know, few months before his deposition. Don't remember that one either. Not him, just someone else using an ascii0 this time at gmail and trying to get access to Appian. That was Alan Trefler, the CEO of this company. Does he have a shred of credibility left in your eyes when	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>for, in this case, almost 40 years. And he agrees, absolutely, he sets the tone at Pega. Arrogance and shadiness. And remember, who is the arbiter that they look to at Pega if they have a question about ethics? Look in their Code of Conduct. If you have a question, if you're worried you're being asked to do something that's not right, who should you go to? You go to Alan Trefler. He's</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	go through all of his personas, but you remember there was another one, 2au. He used that one, too, used it try and sign up for Appian information just in 2021, you know, few months before his deposition. Don't remember that one either. Not him, just someone else using an ascii0 this time at gmail and trying to get access to Appian. That was Alan Trefler, the CEO of this company. Does he have a shred of credibility left in your eyes when he's willing to say all of that under	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>for, in this case, almost 40 years. And he agrees, absolutely, he sets the tone at Pega. Arrogance and shadiness. And remember, who is the arbiter that they look to at Pega if they have a question about ethics? Look in their Code of Conduct. If you have a question, if you're worried you're being asked to do something that's not right, who should you go to? You go to Alan Trefler. He's the moral compass of the company. He</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	go through all of his personas, but you remember there was another one, 2au. He used that one, too, used it try and sign up for Appian information just in 2021, you know, few months before his deposition. Don't remember that one either. Not him, just someone else using an ascii0 this time at gmail and trying to get access to Appian. That was Alan Trefler, the CEO of this company. Does he have a shred of credibility left in your eyes when he's willing to say all of that under oath?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>for, in this case, almost 40 years. And he agrees, absolutely, he sets the tone at Pega. Arrogance and shadiness. And remember, who is the arbiter that they look to at Pega if they have a question about ethics? Look in their Code of Conduct. If you have a question, if you're worried you're being asked to do something that's not right, who should you go to? You go to Alan Trefler. He's the moral compass of the company. He provides the guidance.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>go through all of his personas, but you remember there was another one, 2au. He used that one, too, used it try and sign up for Appian information just in 2021, you know, few months before his deposition. Don't remember that one either. Not him, just someone else using an ascii0 this time at gmail and trying to get access to Appian. That was Alan Trefler, the CEO of this company. Does he have a shred of credibility left in your eyes when he's willing to say all of that under oath? Why does it matter? Oh, it's a</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>for, in this case, almost 40 years. And he agrees, absolutely, he sets the tone at Pega. Arrogance and shadiness. And remember, who is the arbiter that they look to at Pega if they have a question about ethics? Look in their Code of Conduct. If you have a question, if you're worried you're being asked to do something that's not right, who should you go to? You go to Alan Trefler. He's the moral compass of the company. He provides the guidance. Now, think about credibility on</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>go through all of his personas, but you remember there was another one, 2au. He used that one, too, used it try and sign up for Appian information just in 2021, you know, few months before his deposition. Don't remember that one either. Not him, just someone else using an ascii0 this time at gmail and trying to get access to Appian. That was Alan Trefler, the CEO of this company. Does he have a shred of credibility left in your eyes when he's willing to say all of that under oath? Why does it matter? Oh, it's a marketing event.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>for, in this case, almost 40 years. And he agrees, absolutely, he sets the tone at Pega. Arrogance and shadiness. And remember, who is the arbiter that they look to at Pega if they have a question about ethics? Look in their Code of Conduct. If you have a question, if you're worried you're being asked to do something that's not right, who should you go to? You go to Alan Trefler. He's the moral compass of the company. He provides the guidance. Now, think about credibility on the witnesses that's one level</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>go through all of his personas, but you remember there was another one, 2au. He used that one, too, used it try and sign up for Appian information just in 2021, you know, few months before his deposition. Don't remember that one either. Not him, just someone else using an ascii0 this time at gmail and trying to get access to Appian. That was Alan Trefler, the CEO of this company. Does he have a shred of credibility left in your eyes when he's willing to say all of that under oath? Why does it matter? Oh, it's a marketing event. Why does it matter? It's under</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>for, in this case, almost 40 years. And he agrees, absolutely, he sets the tone at Pega. Arrogance and shadiness. And remember, who is the arbiter that they look to at Pega if they have a question about ethics? Look in their Code of Conduct. If you have a question, if you're worried you're being asked to do something that's not right, who should you go to? You go to Alan Trefler. He's the moral compass of the company. He provides the guidance. Now, think about credibility on the witnesses that's one level think also about the company.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>go through all of his personas, but you remember there was another one, 2au. He used that one, too, used it try and sign up for Appian information just in 2021, you know, few months before his deposition. Don't remember that one either. Not him, just someone else using an ascii0 this time at gmail and trying to get access to Appian. That was Alan Trefler, the CEO of this company. Does he have a shred of credibility left in your eyes when he's willing to say all of that under oath? Mhy does it matter? Oh, it's a marketing event. Why does it matter? It's under oath. And leaders, they set the tone</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>for, in this case, almost 40 years. And he agrees, absolutely, he sets the tone at Pega. Arrogance and shadiness. And remember, who is the arbiter that they look to at Pega if they have a question about ethics? Look in their Code of Conduct. If you have a question, if you're worried you're being asked to do something that's not right, who should you go to? You go to Alan Trefler. He's the moral compass of the company. He provides the guidance. Now, think about credibility on the witnesses that's one level think also about the company. Now, they came in here, and</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>go through all of his personas, but you remember there was another one, 2au. He used that one, too, used it try and sign up for Appian information just in 2021, you know, few months before his deposition. Don't remember that one either. Not him, just someone else using an ascii0 this time at gmail and trying to get access to Appian. That was Alan Trefler, the CEO of this company. Does he have a shred of credibility left in your eyes when he's willing to say all of that under oath? Mhy does it matter? Oh, it's a marketing event. Why does it matter? It's under oath. And leaders, they set the tone for a company, they set the culture.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>for, in this case, almost 40 years. And he agrees, absolutely, he sets the tone at Pega. Arrogance and shadiness. And remember, who is the arbiter that they look to at Pega if they have a question about ethics? Look in their Code of Conduct. If you have a question, if you're worried you're being asked to do something that's not right, who should you go to? You go to Alan Trefler. He's the moral compass of the company. He provides the guidance. Now, think about credibility on the witnesses that's one level think also about the company. Now, they came in here, and you'll remember how Mr. Trefler was so</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>go through all of his personas, but you remember there was another one, 2au. He used that one, too, used it try and sign up for Appian information just in 2021, you know, few months before his deposition. Don't remember that one either. Not him, just someone else using an ascii0 this time at gmail and trying to get access to Appian. That was Alan Trefler, the CEO of this company. Does he have a shred of credibility left in your eyes when he's willing to say all of that under oath? Why does it matter? Oh, it's a marketing event. Why does it matter? It's under oath. And leaders, they set the tone for a company, they set the culture. You've been in organizations,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>for, in this case, almost 40 years. And he agrees, absolutely, he sets the tone at Pega. Arrogance and shadiness. And remember, who is the arbiter that they look to at Pega if they have a question about ethics? Look in their Code of Conduct. If you have a question, if you're worried you're being asked to do something that's not right, who should you go to? You go to Alan Trefler. He's the moral compass of the company. He provides the guidance. Now, think about credibility on the witnesses that's one level think also about the company. Now, they came in here, and you'll remember how Mr. Trefler was so keen to talk to you about how they've</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>go through all of his personas, but you remember there was another one, 2au. He used that one, too, used it try and sign up for Appian information just in 2021, you know, few months before his deposition. Don't remember that one either. Not him, just someone else using an ascii0 this time at gmail and trying to get access to Appian. That was Alan Trefler, the CEO of this company. Does he have a shred of credibility left in your eyes when he's willing to say all of that under oath? Why does it matter? Oh, it's a marketing event. Why does it matter? It's under oath. And leaders, they set the tone for a company, they set the culture. You've been in organizations, you've had bosses. You know how a</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>for, in this case, almost 40 years. And he agrees, absolutely, he sets the tone at Pega. Arrogance and shadiness. And remember, who is the arbiter that they look to at Pega if they have a question about ethics? Look in their Code of Conduct. If you have a question, if you're worried you're being asked to do something that's not right, who should you go to? You go to Alan Trefler. He's the moral compass of the company. He provides the guidance. Now, think about credibility on the witnesses that's one level think also about the company. Now, they came in here, and you'll remember how Mr. Trefler was so keen to talk to you about how they've disciplined people. Remember that?</pre>

	Page 8050		Page 8051
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	we've dealt with this. We've	2	frames?
3	disciplined everyone involved.	3	He's authorizing the budgets,
4	But then I got up, and I said,	4	he's on the e-mails. Did you
5	well, when did you do that, because	5	discipline him?
6	the case had been going on for	6	No, he volunteered, and he's
7	two years and there had been no	7	going to do better in the future.
8	discipline.	8	He's going to do better.
9	In fact, when we asked Kerim	9	And then I said to Mr. Trefler:
10	Akgonul at his deposition, you ever	10	What about all of these senior
11	discipline all of these people in	11	executives we've seen, you know,
12	India who work with you?	12	Akgonul, Schuerman, did you discipline
13	He said, well, you know, that	13	any of them?
14	might help Appian.	14	No, not disciplined. But he
15	We played you that video.	15	says: All of them have had a
16	But then he tells us, two weeks	16	revelation, myself, included.
17	before this trial, suddenly they	17	So they've had a revelation,
18	issued some discipline. Do you think	18	that's fine. Nothing for you to see
19	they did that because they wanted to	19	here, jury.
20	come and tell you, oh, nothing to see	20	Then I said, okay, who is the
21	here, we've dealt with that?	21	senior-most person at the company
22	But then I asked him, let's talk	22	you've disciplined?
23	about this discipline. How about	23	Because, you know, if a company
24	Leon, your brother, the guy who's	24	is serious, they are not disciplining
25	behind all of this in both time	25	some low-ranking employees in foreign
	Page 8052		Page 8053
1 1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) countries They're going to the	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) company He's setting the tone and
2	countries. They're going to the	2	company. He's setting the tone and
2 3	countries. They're going to the people who are in charge of this. Who	2 3	company. He's setting the tone and the culture.
2 3 4	countries. They're going to the people who are in charge of this. Who is the senior-most person?	2 3 4	company. He's setting the tone and the culture. And Mr. Zou, let's talk about
2 3 4 5	countries. They're going to the people who are in charge of this. Who is the senior-most person? You know what he says? A	2 3 4 5	company. He's setting the tone and the culture. And Mr. Zou, let's talk about him for a minute.
2 3 4 5 6	countries. They're going to the people who are in charge of this. Who is the senior-most person? You know what he says? A manager in India. I don't even know	2 3 4 5 6	company. He's setting the tone and the culture. And Mr. Zou, let's talk about him for a minute. Now, you know, listen, Mr. Zou,
2 3 4 5 6 7	countries. They're going to the people who are in charge of this. Who is the senior-most person? You know what he says? A manager in India. I don't even know his name.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>company. He's setting the tone and the culture. And Mr. Zou, let's talk about him for a minute. Now, you know, listen, Mr. Zou, I'm not suggesting to you that he's</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	countries. They're going to the people who are in charge of this. Who is the senior-most person? You know what he says? A manager in India. I don't even know his name. That's how serious this	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>company. He's setting the tone and the culture. And Mr. Zou, let's talk about him for a minute. Now, you know, listen, Mr. Zou, I'm not suggesting to you that he's some criminal mastermind. He's not.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	countries. They're going to the people who are in charge of this. Who is the senior-most person? You know what he says? A manager in India. I don't even know his name. That's how serious this discipline was. Go to a bunch of guys	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>company. He's setting the tone and the culture. And Mr. Zou, let's talk about him for a minute. Now, you know, listen, Mr. Zou, I'm not suggesting to you that he's some criminal mastermind. He's not. He's a guy who saw a chance to make</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	countries. They're going to the people who are in charge of this. Who is the senior-most person? You know what he says? A manager in India. I don't even know his name. That's how serious this discipline was. Go to a bunch of guys in India, don't even know their name.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>company. He's setting the tone and the culture. And Mr. Zou, let's talk about him for a minute. Now, you know, listen, Mr. Zou, I'm not suggesting to you that he's some criminal mastermind. He's not. He's a guy who saw a chance to make some money and he took it. But was he</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	countries. They're going to the people who are in charge of this. Who is the senior-most person? You know what he says? A manager in India. I don't even know his name. That's how serious this discipline was. Go to a bunch of guys	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>company. He's setting the tone and the culture. And Mr. Zou, let's talk about him for a minute. Now, you know, listen, Mr. Zou, I'm not suggesting to you that he's some criminal mastermind. He's not. He's a guy who saw a chance to make</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>countries. They're going to the people who are in charge of this. Who is the senior-most person? You know what he says? A manager in India. I don't even know his name. That's how serious this discipline was. Go to a bunch of guys in India, don't even know their name. Ladies and gentlemen, these</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>company. He's setting the tone and the culture. And Mr. Zou, let's talk about him for a minute. Now, you know, listen, Mr. Zou, I'm not suggesting to you that he's some criminal mastermind. He's not. He's a guy who saw a chance to make some money and he took it. But was he honest with you?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>countries. They're going to the people who are in charge of this. Who is the senior-most person? You know what he says? A manager in India. I don't even know his name. That's how serious this discipline was. Go to a bunch of guys in India, don't even know their name. Ladies and gentlemen, these people who I just showed you, they are</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>company. He's setting the tone and the culture. And Mr. Zou, let's talk about him for a minute. Now, you know, listen, Mr. Zou, I'm not suggesting to you that he's some criminal mastermind. He's not. He's a guy who saw a chance to make some money and he took it. But was he honest with you? Look at his testimony. He says</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>countries. They're going to the people who are in charge of this. Who is the senior-most person? You know what he says? A manager in India. I don't even know his name. That's how serious this discipline was. Go to a bunch of guys in India, don't even know their name. Ladies and gentlemen, these people who I just showed you, they are not some stray group. This is the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>company. He's setting the tone and the culture. And Mr. Zou, let's talk about him for a minute. Now, you know, listen, Mr. Zou, I'm not suggesting to you that he's some criminal mastermind. He's not. He's a guy who saw a chance to make some money and he took it. But was he honest with you? Look at his testimony. He says first: What computer did you use for</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>countries. They're going to the people who are in charge of this. Who is the senior-most person? You know what he says? A manager in India. I don't even know his name. That's how serious this discipline was. Go to a bunch of guys in India, don't even know their name. Ladies and gentlemen, these people who I just showed you, they are not some stray group. This is the cabal that runs Pegasystems.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>company. He's setting the tone and the culture. And Mr. Zou, let's talk about him for a minute. Now, you know, listen, Mr. Zou, I'm not suggesting to you that he's some criminal mastermind. He's not. He's a guy who saw a chance to make some money and he took it. But was he honest with you? Look at his testimony. He says first: What computer did you use for all of this work you did?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>countries. They're going to the people who are in charge of this. Who is the senior-most person? You know what he says? A manager in India. I don't even know his name. That's how serious this discipline was. Go to a bunch of guys in India, don't even know their name. Ladies and gentlemen, these people who I just showed you, they are not some stray group. This is the cabal that runs Pegasystems. And we showed you these pictures</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>company. He's setting the tone and the culture. And Mr. Zou, let's talk about him for a minute. Now, you know, listen, Mr. Zou, I'm not suggesting to you that he's some criminal mastermind. He's not. He's a guy who saw a chance to make some money and he took it. But was he honest with you? Look at his testimony. He says first: What computer did you use for all of this work you did? He says: My home laptop.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>countries. They're going to the people who are in charge of this. Who is the senior-most person? You know what he says? A manager in India. I don't even know his name. That's how serious this discipline was. Go to a bunch of guys in India, don't even know their name. Ladies and gentlemen, these people who I just showed you, they are not some stray group. This is the cabal that runs Pegasystems. And we showed you these pictures from their own Twitter feeds. Look at</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>company. He's setting the tone and the culture. And Mr. Zou, let's talk about him for a minute. Now, you know, listen, Mr. Zou, I'm not suggesting to you that he's some criminal mastermind. He's not. He's a guy who saw a chance to make some money and he took it. But was he honest with you? Look at his testimony. He says first: What computer did you use for all of this work you did? He says: My home laptop. Why? Because he thinks that's</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>countries. They're going to the people who are in charge of this. Who is the senior-most person? You know what he says? A manager in India. I don't even know his name. That's how serious this discipline was. Go to a bunch of guys in India, don't even know their name. Ladies and gentlemen, these people who I just showed you, they are not some stray group. This is the cabal that runs Pegasystems. And we showed you these pictures from their own Twitter feeds. Look at them all together. You know,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>company. He's setting the tone and the culture. And Mr. Zou, let's talk about him for a minute. Now, you know, listen, Mr. Zou, I'm not suggesting to you that he's some criminal mastermind. He's not. He's a guy who saw a chance to make some money and he took it. But was he honest with you? Look at his testimony. He says first: What computer did you use for all of this work you did? He says: My home laptop. Why? Because he thinks that's going to somehow help him. Doesn't</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>countries. They're going to the people who are in charge of this. Who is the senior-most person? You know what he says? A manager in India. I don't even know his name. That's how serious this discipline was. Go to a bunch of guys in India, don't even know their name. Ladies and gentlemen, these people who I just showed you, they are not some stray group. This is the cabal that runs Pegasystems. And we showed you these pictures from their own Twitter feeds. Look at them all together. You know, there's look at the one on the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>company. He's setting the tone and the culture. And Mr. Zou, let's talk about him for a minute. Now, you know, listen, Mr. Zou, I'm not suggesting to you that he's some criminal mastermind. He's not. He's a guy who saw a chance to make some money and he took it. But was he honest with you? Look at his testimony. He says first: What computer did you use for all of this work you did? He says: My home laptop. Why? Because he thinks that's going to somehow help him. Doesn't help him at all because the only</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>countries. They're going to the people who are in charge of this. Who is the senior-most person? You know what he says? A manager in India. I don't even know his name. That's how serious this discipline was. Go to a bunch of guys in India, don't even know their name. Ladies and gentlemen, these people who I just showed you, they are not some stray group. This is the cabal that runs Pegasystems. And we showed you these pictures from their own Twitter feeds. Look at them all together. You know, there's look at the one on the left, there's Mr. Bixby, Mr. Trefler,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>company. He's setting the tone and the culture. And Mr. Zou, let's talk about him for a minute. Now, you know, listen, Mr. Zou, I'm not suggesting to you that he's some criminal mastermind. He's not. He's a guy who saw a chance to make some money and he took it. But was he honest with you? Look at his testimony. He says first: What computer did you use for all of this work you did? He says: My home laptop. Why? Because he thinks that's going to somehow help him. Doesn't help him at all because the only accessed he had, home laptop or</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>countries. They're going to the people who are in charge of this. Who is the senior-most person? You know what he says? A manager in India. I don't even know his name. That's how serious this discipline was. Go to a bunch of guys in India, don't even know their name. Ladies and gentlemen, these people who I just showed you, they are not some stray group. This is the cabal that runs Pegasystems. And we showed you these pictures from their own Twitter feeds. Look at them all together. You know, there's look at the one on the left, there's Mr. Bixby, Mr. Trefler, and Ms. Louis, posted by Kerim</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>company. He's setting the tone and the culture. And Mr. Zou, let's talk about him for a minute. Now, you know, listen, Mr. Zou, I'm not suggesting to you that he's some criminal mastermind. He's not. He's a guy who saw a chance to make some money and he took it. But was he honest with you? Look at his testimony. He says first: What computer did you use for all of this work you did? He says: My home laptop. Why? Because he thinks that's going to somehow help him. Doesn't help him at all because the only accessed he had, home laptop or otherwise, was to do work for his</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>countries. They're going to the people who are in charge of this. Who is the senior-most person? You know what he says? A manager in India. I don't even know his name. That's how serious this discipline was. Go to a bunch of guys in India, don't even know their name. Ladies and gentlemen, these people who I just showed you, they are not some stray group. This is the cabal that runs Pegasystems. And we showed you these pictures from their own Twitter feeds. Look at them all together. You know, there's look at the one on the left, there's Mr. Bixby, Mr. Trefler, and Ms. Louis, posted by Kerim Akgonul, who's in the next picture.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>company. He's setting the tone and the culture. And Mr. Zou, let's talk about him for a minute. Now, you know, listen, Mr. Zou, I'm not suggesting to you that he's some criminal mastermind. He's not. He's a guy who saw a chance to make some money and he took it. But was he honest with you? Look at his testimony. He says first: What computer did you use for all of this work you did? He says: My home laptop. Why? Because he thinks that's going to somehow help him. Doesn't help him at all because the only accessed he had, home laptop or otherwise, was to do work for his employers. But, you know, he thought</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>countries. They're going to the people who are in charge of this. Who is the senior-most person? You know what he says? A manager in India. I don't even know his name. That's how serious this discipline was. Go to a bunch of guys in India, don't even know their name. Ladies and gentlemen, these people who I just showed you, they are not some stray group. This is the cabal that runs Pegasystems. And we showed you these pictures from their own Twitter feeds. Look at them all together. You know, there's look at the one on the left, there's Mr. Bixby, Mr. Trefler, and Ms. Louis, posted by Kerim Akgonul, who's in the next picture. Mr. Schuerman, he seems to spend</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>company. He's setting the tone and the culture. And Mr. Zou, let's talk about him for a minute. Now, you know, listen, Mr. Zou, I'm not suggesting to you that he's some criminal mastermind. He's not. He's a guy who saw a chance to make some money and he took it. But was he honest with you? Look at his testimony. He says first: What computer did you use for all of this work you did? He says: My home laptop. Why? Because he thinks that's going to somehow help him. Doesn't help him at all because the only accessed he had, home laptop or otherwise, was to do work for his employers. But, you know, he thought at the time that would help him.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>countries. They're going to the people who are in charge of this. Who is the senior-most person? You know what he says? A manager in India. I don't even know his name. That's how serious this discipline was. Go to a bunch of guys in India, don't even know their name. Ladies and gentlemen, these people who I just showed you, they are not some stray group. This is the cabal that runs Pegasystems. And we showed you these pictures from their own Twitter feeds. Look at them all together. You know, there's look at the one on the left, there's Mr. Bixby, Mr. Trefler, and Ms. Louis, posted by Kerim Akgonul, who's in the next picture. Mr. Schuerman, he seems to spend most of his time on Twitter posting</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>company. He's setting the tone and the culture. And Mr. Zou, let's talk about him for a minute. Now, you know, listen, Mr. Zou, I'm not suggesting to you that he's some criminal mastermind. He's not. He's a guy who saw a chance to make some money and he took it. But was he honest with you? Look at his testimony. He says first: What computer did you use for all of this work you did? He says: My home laptop. Why? Because he thinks that's going to somehow help him. Doesn't help him at all because the only accessed he had, home laptop or otherwise, was to do work for his employers. But, you know, he thought at the time that would help him. But then testimony changes,</pre>

	Page 8054		Page 8055
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Then he admits it, yeah, I did.	2	well, yeah, it was me. I used a Pega
3	In fact, he did it throughout the time	3	laptop.
4	period from 2012 to 2014.	4	So his story changes again.
5	Was he telling you the truth?	5	Does he have a shred of credibility
6	Then it changed further. You	6	left in your eyes?
7	remember during Mr. Petronio's	7	Credibility, why does it matter?
8	testimony, I freeze-framed a video	8	Because, ladies and gentlemen,
9	from Project Crush, and there was all	9	they have not given you any documents
10	Ben Baril references in there on the	10	that evidence their position
11	computer accessing Appian?	11	Ms. Louis even admitted that and
12	Here's one image from it. You	12	they're just saying, take my word for
13	know, we freeze-framed microseconds.	13	it. And all of them are saying that.
14	And it says "Ben Baril desktop taxes."	14	Here is Mr. Schuerman, just take
15	And we said, well, what's all	15	my word for it.
16		16	-
10	this, then? If you're only using your Serco, there's no Pega computers	17	Here's Mr. Akgonul, just take my word for it.
18	involved, what's happening here?	18	Is their word worth anything?
19	So then he comes back	19	Now, let's talk about what led
20	because, you know, Pega is completely	20	up to all of this. You remember, I
20	arrogant. They think that, oh, we	20	showed you a Pegasystems financial
22	weren't pressing the keys, the jury's	22	statement that talked about how their
23	going to let us off, even though	23	stock was performing in the years
24	they're directing everything Zou did.	24	running up to this conduct, and their
25	So Zou comes back and he says,	25	stock was doing very, very poorly.
	50 ZOU COMES DACK and he says,	25	SCOCK was doing very, very poorry.
	Page 8056		Page 8057
1	-	1	-
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) If you had invested \$108, you'd	2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) K-Force, the staffing agency. Let's
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) If you had invested \$108, you'd been losing money steadily over the	2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) K-Force, the staffing agency. Let's not do this directly because we don't
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) If you had invested \$108, you'd been losing money steadily over the years, from 2010 all the way to 2012,	2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) K-Force, the staffing agency. Let's not do this directly because we don't want our name out there.
2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) If you had invested \$108, you'd been losing money steadily over the years, from 2010 all the way to 2012, when they hired Zou, even though the	2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) K-Force, the staffing agency. Let's not do this directly because we don't want our name out there. But what did they tell K-Force?
2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) If you had invested \$108, you'd been losing money steadily over the years, from 2010 all the way to 2012, when they hired Zou, even though the Nasdaq Composite software, all of them	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) K-Force, the staffing agency. Let's not do this directly because we don't want our name out there. But what did they tell K-Force? They didn't say, oh, you know, can you</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) If you had invested \$108, you'd been losing money steadily over the years, from 2010 all the way to 2012, when they hired Zou, even though the Nasdaq Composite software, all of them are flying high.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) K-Force, the staffing agency. Let's not do this directly because we don't want our name out there. But what did they tell K-Force? They didn't say, oh, you know, can you find someone for us who can use Google</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) If you had invested \$108, you'd been losing money steadily over the years, from 2010 all the way to 2012, when they hired Zou, even though the Nasdaq Composite software, all of them are flying high. What was the impact on this?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) K-Force, the staffing agency. Let's not do this directly because we don't want our name out there. But what did they tell K-Force? They didn't say, oh, you know, can you find someone for us who can use Google to look at what's in the public</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) If you had invested \$108, you'd been losing money steadily over the years, from 2010 all the way to 2012, when they hired Zou, even though the Nasdaq Composite software, all of them are flying high. What was the impact on this? The impact on a company, no</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) K-Force, the staffing agency. Let's not do this directly because we don't want our name out there. But what did they tell K-Force? They didn't say, oh, you know, can you find someone for us who can use Google to look at what's in the public domain?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) If you had invested \$108, you'd been losing money steadily over the years, from 2010 all the way to 2012, when they hired Zou, even though the Nasdaq Composite software, all of them are flying high. What was the impact on this? The impact on a company, no matter what, is you're accountable to</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) K-Force, the staffing agency. Let's not do this directly because we don't want our name out there. But what did they tell K-Force? They didn't say, oh, you know, can you find someone for us who can use Google to look at what's in the public domain? They know how to use Google.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) If you had invested \$108, you'd been losing money steadily over the years, from 2010 all the way to 2012, when they hired Zou, even though the Nasdaq Composite software, all of them are flying high. What was the impact on this? The impact on a company, no matter what, is you're accountable to your shareholders, but who's the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) K-Force, the staffing agency. Let's not do this directly because we don't want our name out there. But what did they tell K-Force? They didn't say, oh, you know, can you find someone for us who can use Google to look at what's in the public domain? They know how to use Google. They're a tech company. No, they said</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) If you had invested \$108, you'd been losing money steadily over the years, from 2010 all the way to 2012, when they hired Zou, even though the Nasdaq Composite software, all of them are flying high. What was the impact on this? The impact on a company, no matter what, is you're accountable to your shareholders, but who's the biggest shareholder? It's Alan</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) K-Force, the staffing agency. Let's not do this directly because we don't want our name out there. But what did they tell K-Force? They didn't say, oh, you know, can you find someone for us who can use Google to look at what's in the public domain? They know how to use Google. They're a tech company. No, they said access to the Appian BPM Tool is a</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) K-Force, the staffing agency. Let's not do this directly because we don't want our name out there. But what did they tell K-Force? They didn't say, oh, you know, can you find someone for us who can use Google to look at what's in the public domain? They know how to use Google. They're a tech company. No, they said access to the Appian BPM Tool is a must. And in case that wasn't clear</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) If you had invested \$108, you'd been losing money steadily over the years, from 2010 all the way to 2012, when they hired Zou, even though the Nasdaq Composite software, all of them are flying high. What was the impact on this? The impact on a company, no matter what, is you're accountable to your shareholders, but who's the biggest shareholder? It's Alan Trefler himself. He owns half the share of the company. They are worth</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) K-Force, the staffing agency. Let's not do this directly because we don't want our name out there. But what did they tell K-Force? They didn't say, oh, you know, can you find someone for us who can use Google to look at what's in the public domain? They know how to use Google. They're a tech company. No, they said access to the Appian BPM Tool is a must. And in case that wasn't clear enough, must have access to the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) If you had invested \$108, you'd been losing money steadily over the years, from 2010 all the way to 2012, when they hired Zou, even though the Nasdaq Composite software, all of them are flying high. What was the impact on this? The impact on a company, no matter what, is you're accountable to your shareholders, but who's the biggest shareholder? It's Alan Trefler himself. He owns half the share of the company. They are worth \$3 billion with a "B." So he's got to</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) K-Force, the staffing agency. Let's not do this directly because we don't want our name out there. But what did they tell K-Force? They didn't say, oh, you know, can you find someone for us who can use Google to look at what's in the public domain? They know how to use Google. They're a tech company. No, they said access to the Appian BPM Tool is a must. And in case that wasn't clear enough, must have access to the system. That's the way they saw the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) If you had invested \$108, you'd been losing money steadily over the years, from 2010 all the way to 2012, when they hired Zou, even though the Nasdaq Composite software, all of them are flying high. What was the impact on this? The impact on a company, no matter what, is you're accountable to your shareholders, but who's the biggest shareholder? It's Alan Trefler himself. He owns half the share of the company. They are worth \$3 billion with a "B." So he's got to be worried about what's happening with</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) K-Force, the staffing agency. Let's not do this directly because we don't want our name out there. But what did they tell K-Force? They didn't say, oh, you know, can you find someone for us who can use Google to look at what's in the public domain? They know how to use Google. They're a tech company. No, they said access to the Appian BPM Tool is a must. And in case that wasn't clear enough, must have access to the system. That's the way they saw the product.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) K-Force, the staffing agency. Let's not do this directly because we don't want our name out there. But what did they tell K-Force? They didn't say, oh, you know, can you find someone for us who can use Google to look at what's in the public domain? They know how to use Google. They're a tech company. No, they said access to the Appian BPM Tool is a must. And in case that wasn't clear enough, must have access to the system. That's the way they saw the product. Then we asked Mr. Petronio,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) If you had invested \$108, you'd been losing money steadily over the years, from 2010 all the way to 2012, when they hired Zou, even though the Nasdaq Composite software, all of them are flying high. What was the impact on this? The impact on a company, no matter what, is you're accountable to your shareholders, but who's the biggest shareholder? It's Alan Trefler himself. He owns half the share of the company. They are worth \$3 billion with a "B." So he's got to be worried about what's happening with</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) K-Force, the staffing agency. Let's not do this directly because we don't want our name out there. But what did they tell K-Force? They didn't say, oh, you know, can you find someone for us who can use Google to look at what's in the public domain? They know how to use Google. They're a tech company. No, they said access to the Appian BPM Tool is a must. And in case that wasn't clear enough, must have access to the system. That's the way they saw the product. Then we asked Mr. Petronio, because he was providing the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) If you had invested \$108, you'd been losing money steadily over the years, from 2010 all the way to 2012, when they hired Zou, even though the Nasdaq Composite software, all of them are flying high. What was the impact on this? The impact on a company, no matter what, is you're accountable to your shareholders, but who's the biggest shareholder? It's Alan Trefler himself. He owns half the share of the company. They are worth \$3 billion with a "B." So he's got to be worried about what's happening with that performance of this company. And it's not just him, all of their executives have stock.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) K-Force, the staffing agency. Let's not do this directly because we don't want our name out there. But what did they tell K-Force? They didn't say, oh, you know, can you find someone for us who can use Google to look at what's in the public domain? They know how to use Google. They're a tech company. No, they said access to the Appian BPM Tool is a must. And in case that wasn't clear enough, must have access to the system. That's the way they saw the product. Then we asked Mr. Petronio, because he was providing the parameters, he was the guy at Pega</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) K-Force, the staffing agency. Let's not do this directly because we don't want our name out there. But what did they tell K-Force? They didn't say, oh, you know, can you find someone for us who can use Google to look at what's in the public domain? They know how to use Google. They're a tech company. No, they said access to the Appian BPM Tool is a must. And in case that wasn't clear enough, must have access to the system. That's the way they saw the product. Then we asked Mr. Petronio, because he was providing the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) If you had invested \$108, you'd been losing money steadily over the years, from 2010 all the way to 2012, when they hired Zou, even though the Nasdaq Composite software, all of them are flying high. What was the impact on this? The impact on a company, no matter what, is you're accountable to your shareholders, but who's the biggest shareholder? It's Alan Trefler himself. He owns half the share of the company. They are worth \$3 billion with a "B." So he's got to be worried about what's happening with that performance of this company. And it's not just him, all of their executives have stock. Mr. Akgonul, he had 45,000 shares. You saw that testimony too.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) K-Force, the staffing agency. Let's not do this directly because we don't want our name out there. But what did they tell K-Force? They didn't say, oh, you know, can you find someone for us who can use Google to look at what's in the public domain? They know how to use Google. They're a tech company. No, they said access to the Appian BPM Tool is a must. And in case that wasn't clear enough, must have access to the system. That's the way they saw the product. Then we asked Mr. Petronio, because he was providing the parameters, he was the guy at Pega running the show: Why did you ask for that?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) If you had invested \$108, you'd been losing money steadily over the years, from 2010 all the way to 2012, when they hired Zou, even though the Nasdaq Composite software, all of them are flying high. What was the impact on this? The impact on a company, no matter what, is you're accountable to your shareholders, but who's the biggest shareholder? It's Alan Trefler himself. He owns half the share of the company. They are worth \$3 billion with a "B." So he's got to be worried about what's happening with that performance of this company. And it's not just him, all of their executives have stock. Mr. Akgonul, he had 45,000 shares. You saw that testimony too. So they've got a problem here</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) K-Force, the staffing agency. Let's not do this directly because we don't want our name out there. But what did they tell K-Force? They didn't say, oh, you know, can you find someone for us who can use Google to look at what's in the public domain? They know how to use Google. They're a tech company. No, they said access to the Appian BPM Tool is a must. And in case that wasn't clear enough, must have access to the system. That's the way they saw the product. Then we asked Mr. Petronio, because he was providing the parameters, he was the guy at Pega running the show: Why did you ask for that? Because we wanted to get in and </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) K-Force, the staffing agency. Let's not do this directly because we don't want our name out there. But what did they tell K-Force? They didn't say, oh, you know, can you find someone for us who can use Google to look at what's in the public domain? They know how to use Google. They're a tech company. No, they said access to the Appian BPM Tool is a must. And in case that wasn't clear enough, must have access to the system. That's the way they saw the product. Then we asked Mr. Petronio, because he was providing the parameters, he was the guy at Pega running the show: Why did you ask for that? Because we wanted to get in and try things out and really learn how </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) If you had invested \$108, you'd been losing money steadily over the years, from 2010 all the way to 2012, when they hired Zou, even though the Nasdaq Composite software, all of them are flying high. What was the impact on this? The impact on a company, no matter what, is you're accountable to your shareholders, but who's the biggest shareholder? It's Alan Trefler himself. He owns half the share of the company. They are worth \$3 billion with a "B." So he's got to be worried about what's happening with that performance of this company. And it's not just him, all of their executives have stock. Mr. Akgonul, he had 45,000 shares. You saw that testimony too. So they've got a problem here</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) K-Force, the staffing agency. Let's not do this directly because we don't want our name out there. But what did they tell K-Force? They didn't say, oh, you know, can you find someone for us who can use Google to look at what's in the public domain? They know how to use Google. They're a tech company. No, they said access to the Appian BPM Tool is a must. And in case that wasn't clear enough, must have access to the system. That's the way they saw the product. Then we asked Mr. Petronio, because he was providing the parameters, he was the guy at Pega running the show: Why did you ask for that? Because we wanted to get in and </pre>

1	Page 8058		Page 8059
	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	they didn't have access otherwise.	2	Are these the actions of a
3	And they knew that Appian wouldn't	3	company that is doing stuff that's
4	have given them a license at the time.	4	entirely above board, no problems?
5	That's why they are going here.	5	It's just like what you can find on
6	The famous sizzle document that	6	Google before your coffee gets cold.
7	memorializes the instructions	7	Is that what they are doing?
8	Pegasystems gives to K-Force,	8	We asked Ms. Saritha, the
9	remarkable document, ladies and	9	designee of K-Force, 16 years at the
10	gentlemen, because it tells you from	10	company, did this ever happen in any
11	the beginning what was really	11	other case, where they say find us a
12	happening.	12	consultant who's not loyal to someone
13	Look at what it said: Person	13	else?
14	should not have worked directly for	14	No, she's never seen it before.
15	Appian. Mr. Petronio told you why,	15	So what happens, then, when they
16	they don't want word getting back.	16	bring him in?
17	They said it expressly in the	17	Now, we showed you a long series
18	next part: He would like us to make	18	of documents with Mr. Petronio and
19	sure that "he" is Mr. Petronio	19	Mr. Zou, about how they hid all of
20	they aren't loyal to Appian.	20	this. They wanted to conceal it, even
20	He doesn't want to get it back	20	from their own employees. I won't
22	to Appian that Pega is doing this	22	take you through all of them again,
22	work. And he says: Pega needs to	22	but a few quick reminders.
23		23	Here's one from Mr. Petronio
24	remain CONFIDENTIAL, in all caps at the end.	24	saying, we're going to call him Matt
2.5	the end.	25	Saying, we le going to call nim Matt
1	Page 8060	1	Page 8061
1 2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	12	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
3	so that he isn't outed as our spy, and	3	Calkins' deposition, you remember
4	they talk about blurring their screens and changing his names.	4	that, just a day or two ago? There
5	One of them responds, my lips	5	wasn't a lot there, but I hope it was
6	are sealed. May he be known forever	-	enough for you to get a feel for the
	are sealed - May he be known lorever	6	mon and compare him to Nlan Trafler
1 7	_	6	man and compare him to Alan Trefler
7	as Matt.	7	and get a feel for the culture of the
8	as Matt. We asked Mr. Petronio, why did	7	and get a feel for the culture of the company.
8 9	as Matt. We asked Mr. Petronio, why did you call him "our spy"?	7 8 9	and get a feel for the culture of the company. What else happened there? Well,
8 9 10	as Matt. We asked Mr. Petronio, why did you call him "our spy"? Because unfortunately that's	7 8 9 10	and get a feel for the culture of the company. What else happened there? Well, what about Mr. Zou?
8 9 10 11	as Matt. We asked Mr. Petronio, why did you call him "our spy"? Because unfortunately that's what he was.	7 8 9 10 11	and get a feel for the culture of the company. What else happened there? Well, what about Mr. Zou? He explained why they were doing
8 9 10 11 12	as Matt. We asked Mr. Petronio, why did you call him "our spy"? Because unfortunately that's what he was. One of the instructions Judge	7 8 9 10 11 12	and get a feel for the culture of the company. What else happened there? Well, what about Mr. Zou? He explained why they were doing this. Mr. Petronio said to him, the
8 9 10 11 12 13	as Matt. We asked Mr. Petronio, why did you call him "our spy"? Because unfortunately that's what he was. One of the instructions Judge Gardiner just read to you about	7 8 9 10 11 12 13	and get a feel for the culture of the company. What else happened there? Well, what about Mr. Zou? He explained why they were doing this. Mr. Petronio said to him, the reason is to protect you. I wouldn't
8 9 10 11 12 13 14	as Matt. We asked Mr. Petronio, why did you call him "our spy"? Because unfortunately that's what he was. One of the instructions Judge Gardiner just read to you about misappropriation talked about improper	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	and get a feel for the culture of the company. What else happened there? Well, what about Mr. Zou? He explained why they were doing this. Mr. Petronio said to him, the reason is to protect you. I wouldn't want someone to leave Pega, join
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	as Matt. We asked Mr. Petronio, why did you call him "our spy"? Because unfortunately that's what he was. One of the instructions Judge Gardiner just read to you about misappropriation talked about improper means. What are improper means? One	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	and get a feel for the culture of the company. What else happened there? Well, what about Mr. Zou? He explained why they were doing this. Mr. Petronio said to him, the reason is to protect you. I wouldn't want someone to leave Pega, join Appian and tell them what's happening,
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	as Matt. We asked Mr. Petronio, why did you call him "our spy"? Because unfortunately that's what he was. One of the instructions Judge Gardiner just read to you about misappropriation talked about improper means. What are improper means? One of them, espionage. They called him a	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	and get a feel for the culture of the company. What else happened there? Well, what about Mr. Zou? He explained why they were doing this. Mr. Petronio said to him, the reason is to protect you. I wouldn't want someone to leave Pega, join Appian and tell them what's happening, because then they might stop you.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	as Matt. We asked Mr. Petronio, why did you call him "our spy"? Because unfortunately that's what he was. One of the instructions Judge Gardiner just read to you about misappropriation talked about improper means. What are improper means? One of them, espionage. They called him a spy at the time.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	and get a feel for the culture of the company. What else happened there? Well, what about Mr. Zou? He explained why they were doing this. Mr. Petronio said to him, the reason is to protect you. I wouldn't want someone to leave Pega, join Appian and tell them what's happening, because then they might stop you. Mr. Zou, he's not a criminal
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	as Matt. We asked Mr. Petronio, why did you call him "our spy"? Because unfortunately that's what he was. One of the instructions Judge Gardiner just read to you about misappropriation talked about improper means. What are improper means? One of them, espionage. They called him a spy at the time. Do you remember this one? They	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	and get a feel for the culture of the company. What else happened there? Well, what about Mr. Zou? He explained why they were doing this. Mr. Petronio said to him, the reason is to protect you. I wouldn't want someone to leave Pega, join Appian and tell them what's happening, because then they might stop you. Mr. Zou, he's not a criminal mastermind, but he is a willing
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	as Matt. We asked Mr. Petronio, why did you call him "our spy"? Because unfortunately that's what he was. One of the instructions Judge Gardiner just read to you about misappropriation talked about improper means. What are improper means? One of them, espionage. They called him a spy at the time. Do you remember this one? They put up a picture of Matt Calkins, but	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	and get a feel for the culture of the company. What else happened there? Well, what about Mr. Zou? He explained why they were doing this. Mr. Petronio said to him, the reason is to protect you. I wouldn't want someone to leave Pega, join Appian and tell them what's happening, because then they might stop you. Mr. Zou, he's not a criminal mastermind, but he is a willing participant going along with this
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	as Matt. We asked Mr. Petronio, why did you call him "our spy"? Because unfortunately that's what he was. One of the instructions Judge Gardiner just read to you about misappropriation talked about improper means. What are improper means? One of them, espionage. They called him a spy at the time. Do you remember this one? They put up a picture of Matt Calkins, but for Mr. Zou, they called him the other	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	and get a feel for the culture of the company. What else happened there? Well, what about Mr. Zou? He explained why they were doing this. Mr. Petronio said to him, the reason is to protect you. I wouldn't want someone to leave Pega, join Appian and tell them what's happening, because then they might stop you. Mr. Zou, he's not a criminal mastermind, but he is a willing participant going along with this every step.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	as Matt. We asked Mr. Petronio, why did you call him "our spy"? Because unfortunately that's what he was. One of the instructions Judge Gardiner just read to you about misappropriation talked about improper means. What are improper means? One of them, espionage. They called him a spy at the time. Do you remember this one? They put up a picture of Matt Calkins, but for Mr. Zou, they called him the other Matt. They put up a picture of a spy,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	and get a feel for the culture of the company. What else happened there? Well, what about Mr. Zou? He explained why they were doing this. Mr. Petronio said to him, the reason is to protect you. I wouldn't want someone to leave Pega, join Appian and tell them what's happening, because then they might stop you. Mr. Zou, he's not a criminal mastermind, but he is a willing participant going along with this every step. Look at what he says: Thanks
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	as Matt. We asked Mr. Petronio, why did you call him "our spy"? Because unfortunately that's what he was. One of the instructions Judge Gardiner just read to you about misappropriation talked about improper means. What are improper means? One of them, espionage. They called him a spy at the time. Do you remember this one? They put up a picture of Matt Calkins, but for Mr. Zou, they called him the other Matt. They put up a picture of a spy, they called it top secret,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and get a feel for the culture of the company. What else happened there? Well, what about Mr. Zou? He explained why they were doing this. Mr. Petronio said to him, the reason is to protect you. I wouldn't want someone to leave Pega, join Appian and tell them what's happening, because then they might stop you. Mr. Zou, he's not a criminal mastermind, but he is a willing participant going along with this every step. Look at what he says: Thanks for your thoughtful consideration.
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	as Matt. We asked Mr. Petronio, why did you call him "our spy"? Because unfortunately that's what he was. One of the instructions Judge Gardiner just read to you about misappropriation talked about improper means. What are improper means? One of them, espionage. They called him a spy at the time. Do you remember this one? They put up a picture of Matt Calkins, but for Mr. Zou, they called him the other Matt. They put up a picture of a spy, they called it top secret, confidential.	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	and get a feel for the culture of the company. What else happened there? Well, what about Mr. Zou? He explained why they were doing this. Mr. Petronio said to him, the reason is to protect you. I wouldn't want someone to leave Pega, join Appian and tell them what's happening, because then they might stop you. Mr. Zou, he's not a criminal mastermind, but he is a willing participant going along with this every step. Look at what he says: Thanks for your thoughtful consideration. I'll change my username to a common
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	as Matt. We asked Mr. Petronio, why did you call him "our spy"? Because unfortunately that's what he was. One of the instructions Judge Gardiner just read to you about misappropriation talked about improper means. What are improper means? One of them, espionage. They called him a spy at the time. Do you remember this one? They put up a picture of Matt Calkins, but for Mr. Zou, they called him the other Matt. They put up a picture of a spy, they called it top secret,	7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	and get a feel for the culture of the company. What else happened there? Well, what about Mr. Zou? He explained why they were doing this. Mr. Petronio said to him, the reason is to protect you. I wouldn't want someone to leave Pega, join Appian and tell them what's happening, because then they might stop you. Mr. Zou, he's not a criminal mastermind, but he is a willing participant going along with this every step. Look at what he says: Thanks for your thoughtful consideration.

	D	1	D
1	Page 8062 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Page 8063 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	And, you know, sometimes they	2	doing.
3	suggest, oh, it was one presentation.	3	You saw that in K-Force with
4	They concealed this well.	4	Pegasystems. What about Mr. Zou?
5	Look, here's an e-mail from a	5	Look at what he says: You
6	year later where they're asking	6	didn't want anyone at Serco or Appian
7	Mr. Zou here: Why don't you submit a	7	to know what you were doing, right?
8	health ticket to Appian to get some	8	He admits: I didn't want anyone
9	info for us?	9	to know.
10	And he says, nope, can't do	10	If this is all above board, if
11	that, because he knows his Forum ID	11	Mr. Zou is free to do this, you know,
12	Mr. Travell over there is going to	12	it's just on my home laptop, I can do
1			
13	talk to you about his Forum ID he	13	whatever I want, why are you keeping
14	knows it's being tracked now by where	14	it a secret, then?
15	he works, it's being tracked by Serco.	15	Well, Mr. Ross, he explained
16	And he says: If I submit one	16	this to you. For example, he said,
17	ticket post, everyone is going to see	17	look, why is it do you have this
18	it. They're going to know this	18	access?
19	doesn't relate to my work.	19	Because he worked for our
20	Active concealment throughout.	20	trusted partners, he's a government
21	Now, what about their conduct?	21	contractor working on government
22	Let's just go through it together.	22	projects. And so through those
23	You know how you know when	23	relationships, we gave him access to
24	someone is up to no good? They don't	24	do work for the United States
25	want anyone to know what they are	25	government. That's the only reason he
1	Page 8064		Page 8065
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) had access on whatever computer he's	1 2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And as Mr. Ross explained, the
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) had access on whatever computer he's accessing it.	1 2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And as Mr. Ross explained, the Appian Terms of Use made clear, look,
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) had access on whatever computer he's accessing it. And Mr. Zou, he admits this. He	1 2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And as Mr. Ross explained, the Appian Terms of Use made clear, look, you can use the product only for the
2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) had access on whatever computer he's accessing it. And Mr. Zou, he admits this. He says he never paid for a license	1 2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And as Mr. Ross explained, the Appian Terms of Use made clear, look, you can use the product only for the business products that you're
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) had access on whatever computer he's accessing it. And Mr. Zou, he admits this. He says he never paid for a license himself. He knows proprietary</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And as Mr. Ross explained, the Appian Terms of Use made clear, look, you can use the product only for the business products that you're authorized for. Certainly can't use
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) had access on whatever computer he's accessing it. And Mr. Zou, he admits this. He says he never paid for a license himself. He knows proprietary software isn't free, and he knows it</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And as Mr. Ross explained, the Appian Terms of Use made clear, look, you can use the product only for the business products that you're authorized for. Certainly can't use it for competitors.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) had access on whatever computer he's accessing it. And Mr. Zou, he admits this. He says he never paid for a license himself. He knows proprietary software isn't free, and he knows it was handled by the companies that he</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And as Mr. Ross explained, the Appian Terms of Use made clear, look, you can use the product only for the business products that you're authorized for. Certainly can't use it for competitors. Now, Mr. Zou had commitments to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) had access on whatever computer he's accessing it. And Mr. Zou, he admits this. He says he never paid for a license himself. He knows proprietary software isn't free, and he knows it was handled by the companies that he worked for.</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And as Mr. Ross explained, the Appian Terms of Use made clear, look, you can use the product only for the business products that you're authorized for. Certainly can't use it for competitors. Now, Mr. Zou had commitments to Serco. Look, here's his signed hiring
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) had access on whatever computer he's accessing it. And Mr. Zou, he admits this. He says he never paid for a license himself. He knows proprietary software isn't free, and he knows it was handled by the companies that he worked for. Mr. Ross explained to you that a</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And as Mr. Ross explained, the Appian Terms of Use made clear, look, you can use the product only for the business products that you're authorized for. Certainly can't use it for competitors. Now, Mr. Zou had commitments to Serco. Look, here's his signed hiring letter at Serco. He agrees to abide
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) had access on whatever computer he's accessing it. And Mr. Zou, he admits this. He says he never paid for a license himself. He knows proprietary software isn't free, and he knows it was handled by the companies that he worked for. Mr. Ross explained to you that a registrant has to login, they've got</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And as Mr. Ross explained, the Appian Terms of Use made clear, look, you can use the product only for the business products that you're authorized for. Certainly can't use it for competitors. Now, Mr. Zou had commitments to Serco. Look, here's his signed hiring letter at Serco. He agrees to abide by the policies and practices of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) had access on whatever computer he's accessing it. And Mr. Zou, he admits this. He says he never paid for a license himself. He knows proprietary software isn't free, and he knows it was handled by the companies that he worked for. Mr. Ross explained to you that a registrant has to login, they've got to accept Appian's Terms of Use for</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And as Mr. Ross explained, the Appian Terms of Use made clear, look, you can use the product only for the business products that you're authorized for. Certainly can't use it for competitors. Now, Mr. Zou had commitments to Serco. Look, here's his signed hiring letter at Serco. He agrees to abide by the policies and practices of Serco.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) had access on whatever computer he's accessing it. And Mr. Zou, he admits this. He says he never paid for a license himself. He knows proprietary software isn't free, and he knows it was handled by the companies that he worked for. Mr. Ross explained to you that a registrant has to login, they've got to accept Appian's Terms of Use for Forum. Remember, he told you, the</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And as Mr. Ross explained, the Appian Terms of Use made clear, look, you can use the product only for the business products that you're authorized for. Certainly can't use it for competitors. Now, Mr. Zou had commitments to Serco. Look, here's his signed hiring letter at Serco. He agrees to abide by the policies and practices of Serco. And what are those? There's a</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) had access on whatever computer he's accessing it. And Mr. Zou, he admits this. He says he never paid for a license himself. He knows proprietary software isn't free, and he knows it was handled by the companies that he worked for. Mr. Ross explained to you that a registrant has to login, they've got to accept Appian's Terms of Use for Forum. Remember, he told you, the first time they log in, they've got to</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And as Mr. Ross explained, the Appian Terms of Use made clear, look, you can use the product only for the business products that you're authorized for. Certainly can't use it for competitors. Now, Mr. Zou had commitments to Serco. Look, here's his signed hiring letter at Serco. He agrees to abide by the policies and practices of Serco. And what are those? There's a lot in the record. This Code of</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) had access on whatever computer he's accessing it. And Mr. Zou, he admits this. He says he never paid for a license himself. He knows proprietary software isn't free, and he knows it was handled by the companies that he worked for. Mr. Ross explained to you that a registrant has to login, they've got to accept Appian's Terms of Use for Forum. Remember, he told you, the first time they log in, they've got to accept those Terms of Use.</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And as Mr. Ross explained, the Appian Terms of Use made clear, look, you can use the product only for the business products that you're authorized for. Certainly can't use it for competitors. Now, Mr. Zou had commitments to Serco. Look, here's his signed hiring letter at Serco. He agrees to abide by the policies and practices of Serco. And what are those? There's a lot in the record. This Code of Conduct has a lot of pages that apply.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) had access on whatever computer he's accessing it. And Mr. Zou, he admits this. He says he never paid for a license himself. He knows proprietary software isn't free, and he knows it was handled by the companies that he worked for. Mr. Ross explained to you that a registrant has to login, they've got to accept Appian's Terms of Use for Forum. Remember, he told you, the first time they log in, they've got to accept those Terms of Use. And Mr. Zou, what does he say?</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And as Mr. Ross explained, the Appian Terms of Use made clear, look, you can use the product only for the business products that you're authorized for. Certainly can't use it for competitors. Now, Mr. Zou had commitments to Serco. Look, here's his signed hiring letter at Serco. He agrees to abide by the policies and practices of Serco. And what are those? There's a lot in the record. This Code of Conduct has a lot of pages that apply. I'll give you one sample.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) had access on whatever computer he's accessing it. And Mr. Zou, he admits this. He says he never paid for a license himself. He knows proprietary software isn't free, and he knows it was handled by the companies that he worked for. Mr. Ross explained to you that a registrant has to login, they've got to accept Appian's Terms of Use for Forum. Remember, he told you, the first time they log in, they've got to accept those Terms of Use. And Mr. Zou, what does he say? You remember Pega suggesting to</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And as Mr. Ross explained, the Appian Terms of Use made clear, look, you can use the product only for the business products that you're authorized for. Certainly can't use it for competitors. Now, Mr. Zou had commitments to Serco. Look, here's his signed hiring letter at Serco. He agrees to abide by the policies and practices of Serco. And what are those? There's a lot in the record. This Code of Conduct has a lot of pages that apply. I'll give you one sample. If you're taking on a second</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) had access on whatever computer he's accessing it. And Mr. Zou, he admits this. He says he never paid for a license himself. He knows proprietary software isn't free, and he knows it was handled by the companies that he worked for. Mr. Ross explained to you that a registrant has to login, they've got to accept Appian's Terms of Use for Forum. Remember, he told you, the first time they log in, they've got to accept those Terms of Use. And Mr. Zou, what does he say? You remember Pega suggesting to you in the opening statement, oh, you</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And as Mr. Ross explained, the Appian Terms of Use made clear, look, you can use the product only for the business products that you're authorized for. Certainly can't use it for competitors. Now, Mr. Zou had commitments to Serco. Look, here's his signed hiring letter at Serco. He agrees to abide by the policies and practices of Serco. And what are those? There's a lot in the record. This Code of Conduct has a lot of pages that apply. I'll give you one sample. If you're taking on a second job, that can create a conflict. Why?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) had access on whatever computer he's accessing it. And Mr. Zou, he admits this. He says he never paid for a license himself. He knows proprietary software isn't free, and he knows it was handled by the companies that he worked for. Mr. Ross explained to you that a registrant has to login, they've got to accept Appian's Terms of Use for Forum. Remember, he told you, the first time they log in, they've got to accept those Terms of Use. And Mr. Zou, what does he say? You remember Pega suggesting to you in the opening statement, oh, you know, Terms of Use, who's bound by</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And as Mr. Ross explained, the Appian Terms of Use made clear, look, you can use the product only for the business products that you're authorized for. Certainly can't use it for competitors. Now, Mr. Zou had commitments to Serco. Look, here's his signed hiring letter at Serco. He agrees to abide by the policies and practices of Serco. And what are those? There's a lot in the record. This Code of Conduct has a lot of pages that apply. I'll give you one sample. If you're taking on a second job, that can create a conflict. Why? Well, here's a great example of why,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) had access on whatever computer he's accessing it. And Mr. Zou, he admits this. He says he never paid for a license himself. He knows proprietary software isn't free, and he knows it was handled by the companies that he worked for. Mr. Ross explained to you that a registrant has to login, they've got to accept Appian's Terms of Use for Forum. Remember, he told you, the first time they log in, they've got to accept those Terms of Use. And Mr. Zou, what does he say? You remember Pega suggesting to you in the opening statement, oh, you know, Terms of Use, who's bound by those?</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And as Mr. Ross explained, the Appian Terms of Use made clear, look, you can use the product only for the business products that you're authorized for. Certainly can't use it for competitors. Now, Mr. Zou had commitments to Serco. Look, here's his signed hiring letter at Serco. He agrees to abide by the policies and practices of Serco. And what are those? There's a lot in the record. This Code of Conduct has a lot of pages that apply. I'll give you one sample. If you're taking on a second job, that can create a conflict. Why? Well, here's a great example of why, and so you've got to report them to</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) had access on whatever computer he's accessing it. And Mr. Zou, he admits this. He says he never paid for a license himself. He knows proprietary software isn't free, and he knows it was handled by the companies that he worked for. Mr. Ross explained to you that a registrant has to login, they've got to accept Appian's Terms of Use for Forum. Remember, he told you, the first time they log in, they've got to accept those Terms of Use. And Mr. Zou, what does he say? You remember Pega suggesting to you in the opening statement, oh, you know, Terms of Use, who's bound by those? Mr. Zou, look at what he said.</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And as Mr. Ross explained, the Appian Terms of Use made clear, look, you can use the product only for the business products that you're authorized for. Certainly can't use it for competitors. Now, Mr. Zou had commitments to Serco. Look, here's his signed hiring letter at Serco. He agrees to abide by the policies and practices of Serco. And what are those? There's a lot in the record. This Code of Conduct has a lot of pages that apply. I'll give you one sample. If you're taking on a second job, that can create a conflict. Why? Well, here's a great example of why, and so you've got to report them to your line manager.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) had access on whatever computer he's accessing it. And Mr. Zou, he admits this. He says he never paid for a license himself. He knows proprietary software isn't free, and he knows it was handled by the companies that he worked for. Mr. Ross explained to you that a registrant has to login, they've got to accept Appian's Terms of Use for Forum. Remember, he told you, the first time they log in, they've got to accept those Terms of Use. And Mr. Zou, what does he say? You remember Pega suggesting to you in the opening statement, oh, you know, Terms of Use, who's bound by those? Mr. Zou, look at what he said. He's seen Terms of Use pop up. </pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And as Mr. Ross explained, the Appian Terms of Use made clear, look, you can use the product only for the business products that you're authorized for. Certainly can't use it for competitors. Now, Mr. Zou had commitments to Serco. Look, here's his signed hiring letter at Serco. He agrees to abide by the policies and practices of Serco. And what are those? There's a lot in the record. This Code of Conduct has a lot of pages that apply. I'll give you one sample. If you're taking on a second job, that can create a conflict. Why? Well, here's a great example of why, and so you've got to report them to your line manager. Did you ever report it, Mr. Zou,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) had access on whatever computer he's accessing it. And Mr. Zou, he admits this. He says he never paid for a license himself. He knows proprietary software isn't free, and he knows it was handled by the companies that he worked for. Mr. Ross explained to you that a registrant has to login, they've got to accept Appian's Terms of Use for Forum. Remember, he told you, the first time they log in, they've got to accept those Terms of Use. And Mr. Zou, what does he say? You remember Pega suggesting to you in the opening statement, oh, you know, Terms of Use, who's bound by those? Mr. Zou, look at what he said. He's seen Terms of Use pop up. Whether he's read them or not, he</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And as Mr. Ross explained, the Appian Terms of Use made clear, look, you can use the product only for the business products that you're authorized for. Certainly can't use it for competitors. Now, Mr. Zou had commitments to Serco. Look, here's his signed hiring letter at Serco. He agrees to abide by the policies and practices of Serco. And what are those? There's a lot in the record. This Code of Conduct has a lot of pages that apply. I'll give you one sample. If you're taking on a second job, that can create a conflict. Why? Well, here's a great example of why, and so you've got to report them to your line manager. Did you ever report it, Mr. Zou, to your line manager? He did not,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) had access on whatever computer he's accessing it. And Mr. Zou, he admits this. He says he never paid for a license himself. He knows proprietary software isn't free, and he knows it was handled by the companies that he worked for. Mr. Ross explained to you that a registrant has to login, they've got to accept Appian's Terms of Use for Forum. Remember, he told you, the first time they log in, they've got to accept those Terms of Use. And Mr. Zou, what does he say? You remember Pega suggesting to you in the opening statement, oh, you know, Terms of Use, who's bound by those? Mr. Zou, look at what he said. He's seen Terms of Use pop up. </pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And as Mr. Ross explained, the Appian Terms of Use made clear, look, you can use the product only for the business products that you're authorized for. Certainly can't use it for competitors. Now, Mr. Zou had commitments to Serco. Look, here's his signed hiring letter at Serco. He agrees to abide by the policies and practices of Serco. And what are those? There's a lot in the record. This Code of Conduct has a lot of pages that apply. I'll give you one sample. If you're taking on a second job, that can create a conflict. Why? Well, here's a great example of why, and so you've got to report them to your line manager. Did you ever report it, Mr. Zou,</pre>

	Page 8066		Page 8067
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	did it.	2	Now, Mr. Zou, he understands.
3	And there are lots of other	3	We said to him: Listen, did Appian
4	Serco policies that apply too:	4	ever authorize you to do this?
5	Acceptable workplace conduct,	5	No.
6	discipline.	6	Why? Because he never told
7	What does that policy say? You	7	anyone what he was doing. He knew
8	can be terminated without any prior	8	what he was doing was wrong.
9	action. Right on the spot, you're	9	Now, what did they do with him?
10	fired if you do an unauthorized	10	Let's just summarize the overview.
11	release of confidential information,	11	You've seen this before, but let's
12	if you misuse or misappropriate,	12	summarize it.
13	right, misappropriation and its	13	The very first meeting,
14	information of the company, Serco, or	14	remember, with Mr. Petronio, I showed
15	business partners, Appian. Failure to	15	you dozens of video clips of Mr. Zou
16	abide by the code of ethics, all of	16	just turning over information in those
17	this can get you fired on the spot.	17	meetings. You know, the videos, the
18	He signed a Proprietary and	18	crimes in progress, you have actual
19	Confidential Information Agreement,	19	videos of them there.
20	and he's agreeing confidential	20	And what was his reaction to
21	information that I get, I am not going	21	getting that access to the
22	to show that to anyone else other than	22	confidential forum where the software
23	for Serco's business, Serco's	23	and the documentation was? I was
24	business. And if I do it, I'll be	24	delighted, delighted.
25	terminated. I understand that.	25	You know, they're saying, well,
	Page 8068		Page 8069
1	Page 8068 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Page 8069 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
1 2		1 2	
	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) you can find all of this on the internet. You know, you can do a		Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) more than one person working at a time, data visualization, star schema.
2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) you can find all of this on the	2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) more than one person working at a
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) you can find all of this on the internet. You know, you can do a	2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) more than one person working at a time, data visualization, star schema. All remember what Dr. Marshall called this yesterday, gold dust.
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) you can find all of this on the internet. You know, you can do a Google search before your coffee gets cold. Mr. Petronio knows how to use Google.	2 3 4	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) more than one person working at a time, data visualization, star schema. All remember what Dr. Marshall called this yesterday, gold dust. Gold dust for a company because now</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) you can find all of this on the internet. You know, you can do a Google search before your coffee gets cold. Mr. Petronio knows how to use Google. Nobody is getting access to the	2 3 4 5	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) more than one person working at a time, data visualization, star schema. All remember what Dr. Marshall called this yesterday, gold dust. Gold dust for a company because now they have insight into a competitor's</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) you can find all of this on the internet. You know, you can do a Google search before your coffee gets cold. Mr. Petronio knows how to use Google. Nobody is getting access to the actual software to poke and prod, to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) more than one person working at a time, data visualization, star schema. All remember what Dr. Marshall called this yesterday, gold dust. Gold dust for a company because now they have insight into a competitor's product, only one way that they can</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) you can find all of this on the internet. You know, you can do a Google search before your coffee gets cold. Mr. Petronio knows how to use Google. Nobody is getting access to the actual software to poke and prod, to investigate, to dig deep, and he's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) more than one person working at a time, data visualization, star schema. All remember what Dr. Marshall called this yesterday, gold dust. Gold dust for a company because now they have insight into a competitor's product, only one way that they can use against them.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) you can find all of this on the internet. You know, you can do a Google search before your coffee gets cold. Mr. Petronio knows how to use Google. Nobody is getting access to the actual software to poke and prod, to investigate, to dig deep, and he's delighted to get it.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) more than one person working at a time, data visualization, star schema. All remember what Dr. Marshall called this yesterday, gold dust. Gold dust for a company because now they have insight into a competitor's product, only one way that they can use against them. They start to circulate. Then,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) you can find all of this on the internet. You know, you can do a Google search before your coffee gets cold. Mr. Petronio knows how to use Google.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) more than one person working at a time, data visualization, star schema. All remember what Dr. Marshall called this yesterday, gold dust. Gold dust for a company because now they have insight into a competitor's product, only one way that they can use against them. They start to circulate. Then, come December of that same year, 2012,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) you can find all of this on the internet. You know, you can do a Google search before your coffee gets cold. Mr. Petronio knows how to use Google. Nobody is getting access to the actual software to poke and prod, to investigate, to dig deep, and he's delighted to get it. What does he say after that first meeting? He starts distributing</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) more than one person working at a time, data visualization, star schema. All remember what Dr. Marshall called this yesterday, gold dust. Gold dust for a company because now they have insight into a competitor's product, only one way that they can use against them. They start to circulate. Then, come December of that same year, 2012, it's a whole other flurry of activity.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) you can find all of this on the internet. You know, you can do a Google search before your coffee gets cold. Mr. Petronio knows how to use Google. Nobody is getting access to the actual software to poke and prod, to investigate, to dig deep, and he's delighted to get it. What does he say after that first meeting? He starts distributing this information, cascading it</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) more than one person working at a time, data visualization, star schema. All remember what Dr. Marshall called this yesterday, gold dust. Gold dust for a company because now they have insight into a competitor's product, only one way that they can use against them. They start to circulate. Then, come December of that same year, 2012, it's a whole other flurry of activity. Lot of interesting Appian social.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) you can find all of this on the internet. You know, you can do a Google search before your coffee gets cold. Mr. Petronio knows how to use Google. Nobody is getting access to the actual software to poke and prod, to investigate, to dig deep, and he's delighted to get it. What does he say after that first meeting? He starts distributing this information, cascading it throughout the company. He says: I</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) more than one person working at a time, data visualization, star schema. All remember what Dr. Marshall called this yesterday, gold dust. Gold dust for a company because now they have insight into a competitor's product, only one way that they can use against them. They start to circulate. Then, come December of that same year, 2012, it's a whole other flurry of activity. Lot of interesting Appian social. Mr. Petronio and Mr. Zou, they have</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) you can find all of this on the internet. You know, you can do a Google search before your coffee gets cold. Mr. Petronio knows how to use Google. Nobody is getting access to the actual software to poke and prod, to investigate, to dig deep, and he's delighted to get it. What does he say after that first meeting? He starts distributing this information, cascading it throughout the company. He says: I had a meeting today, February 28,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) more than one person working at a time, data visualization, star schema. All remember what Dr. Marshall called this yesterday, gold dust. Gold dust for a company because now they have insight into a competitor's product, only one way that they can use against them. They start to circulate. Then, come December of that same year, 2012, it's a whole other flurry of activity. Lot of interesting Appian social. Mr. Petronio and Mr. Zou, they have the documentation, the confidential</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) you can find all of this on the internet. You know, you can do a Google search before your coffee gets cold. Mr. Petronio knows how to use Google. Nobody is getting access to the actual software to poke and prod, to investigate, to dig deep, and he's delighted to get it. What does he say after that first meeting? He starts distributing this information, cascading it throughout the company. He says: I had a meeting today, February 28, 2012.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) more than one person working at a time, data visualization, star schema. All remember what Dr. Marshall called this yesterday, gold dust. Gold dust for a company because now they have insight into a competitor's product, only one way that they can use against them. They start to circulate. Then, come December of that same year, 2012, it's a whole other flurry of activity. Lot of interesting Appian social. Mr. Petronio and Mr. Zou, they have the documentation, the confidential documentation on Forum. Can you send</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) you can find all of this on the internet. You know, you can do a Google search before your coffee gets cold. Mr. Petronio knows how to use Google. Nobody is getting access to the actual software to poke and prod, to investigate, to dig deep, and he's delighted to get it. What does he say after that first meeting? He starts distributing this information, cascading it throughout the company. He says: I had a meeting today, February 28, 2012. He walked me through it.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) more than one person working at a time, data visualization, star schema. All remember what Dr. Marshall called this yesterday, gold dust. Gold dust for a company because now they have insight into a competitor's product, only one way that they can use against them. They start to circulate. Then, come December of that same year, 2012, it's a whole other flurry of activity. Lot of interesting Appian social. Mr. Petronio and Mr. Zou, they have the documentation, the confidential documentation on Forum. Can you send it to me?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) you can find all of this on the internet. You know, you can do a Google search before your coffee gets cold. Mr. Petronio knows how to use Google. Nobody is getting access to the actual software to poke and prod, to investigate, to dig deep, and he's delighted to get it. What does he say after that first meeting? He starts distributing this information, cascading it throughout the company. He says: I had a meeting today, February 28, 2012. He walked me through it. I made a video, Fantasia, and</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) more than one person working at a time, data visualization, star schema. All remember what Dr. Marshall called this yesterday, gold dust. Gold dust for a company because now they have insight into a competitor's product, only one way that they can use against them. They start to circulate. Then, come December of that same year, 2012, it's a whole other flurry of activity. Lot of interesting Appian social. Mr. Petronio and Mr. Zou, they have the documentation, the confidential documentation on Forum. Can you send it to me? They have a meeting. No video</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) you can find all of this on the internet. You know, you can do a Google search before your coffee gets cold. Mr. Petronio knows how to use Google. Nobody is getting access to the actual software to poke and prod, to investigate, to dig deep, and he's delighted to get it. What does he say after that first meeting? He starts distributing this information, cascading it throughout the company. He says: I had a meeting today, February 28, 2012. He walked me through it. I made a video, Fantasia, and I'm going to send it around to</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) more than one person working at a time, data visualization, star schema. All remember what Dr. Marshall called this yesterday, gold dust. Gold dust for a company because now they have insight into a competitor's product, only one way that they can use against them. They start to circulate. Then, come December of that same year, 2012, it's a whole other flurry of activity. Lot of interesting Appian social. Mr. Petronio and Mr. Zou, they have the documentation, the confidential documentation on Forum. Can you send it to me? They have a meeting. No video of that meeting. Somehow that one's</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) you can find all of this on the internet. You know, you can do a Google search before your coffee gets cold. Mr. Petronio knows how to use Google. Nobody is getting access to the actual software to poke and prod, to investigate, to dig deep, and he's delighted to get it. What does he say after that first meeting? He starts distributing this information, cascading it throughout the company. He says: I had a meeting today, February 28, 2012. He walked me through it. I made a video, Fantasia, and I'm going to send it around to everyone.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) more than one person working at a time, data visualization, star schema. All remember what Dr. Marshall called this yesterday, gold dust. Gold dust for a company because now they have insight into a competitor's product, only one way that they can use against them. They start to circulate. Then, come December of that same year, 2012, it's a whole other flurry of activity. Lot of interesting Appian social. Mr. Petronio and Mr. Zou, they have the documentation, the confidential documentation on Forum. Can you send it to me? They have a meeting. No video of that meeting. Somehow that one's gone missing, but they have a to-do</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) you can find all of this on the internet. You know, you can do a Google search before your coffee gets cold. Mr. Petronio knows how to use Google. Nobody is getting access to the actual software to poke and prod, to investigate, to dig deep, and he's delighted to get it. What does he say after that first meeting? He starts distributing this information, cascading it throughout the company. He says: I had a meeting today, February 28, 2012. He walked me through it. I made a video, Fantasia, and I'm going to send it around to everyone. He circulates some of his key</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) more than one person working at a time, data visualization, star schema. All remember what Dr. Marshall called this yesterday, gold dust. Gold dust for a company because now they have insight into a competitor's product, only one way that they can use against them. They start to circulate. Then, come December of that same year, 2012, it's a whole other flurry of activity. Lot of interesting Appian social. Mr. Petronio and Mr. Zou, they have the documentation, the confidential documentation on Forum. Can you send it to me? They have a meeting. No video of that meeting. Somehow that one's gone missing, but they have a to-do list after that.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) you can find all of this on the internet. You know, you can do a Google search before your coffee gets cold. Mr. Petronio knows how to use Google. Nobody is getting access to the actual software to poke and prod, to investigate, to dig deep, and he's delighted to get it. What does he say after that first meeting? He starts distributing this information, cascading it throughout the company. He says: I had a meeting today, February 28, 2012. He walked me through it. I made a video, Fantasia, and I'm going to send it around to everyone. He circulates some of his key insights from the meeting, and he</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) more than one person working at a time, data visualization, star schema. All remember what Dr. Marshall called this yesterday, gold dust. Gold dust for a company because now they have insight into a competitor's product, only one way that they can use against them. They start to circulate. Then, come December of that same year, 2012, it's a whole other flurry of activity. Lot of interesting Appian social. Mr. Petronio and Mr. Zou, they have the documentation, the confidential documentation on Forum. Can you send it to me? They have a meeting. No video of that meeting. Somehow that one's gone missing, but they have a to-do list after that. And look at all the points:</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) you can find all of this on the internet. You know, you can do a Google search before your coffee gets cold. Mr. Petronio knows how to use Google. Nobody is getting access to the actual software to poke and prod, to investigate, to dig deep, and he's delighted to get it. What does he say after that first meeting? He starts distributing this information, cascading it throughout the company. He says: I had a meeting today, February 28, 2012. He walked me through it. I made a video, Fantasia, and I'm going to send it around to everyone. He circulates some of his key insights from the meeting, and he starts to see the trade secrets coming</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) more than one person working at a time, data visualization, star schema. All remember what Dr. Marshall called this yesterday, gold dust. Gold dust for a company because now they have insight into a competitor's product, only one way that they can use against them. They start to circulate. Then, come December of that same year, 2012, it's a whole other flurry of activity. Lot of interesting Appian social. Mr. Petronio and Mr. Zou, they have the documentation, the confidential documentation on Forum. Can you send it to me? They have a meeting. No video of that meeting. Somehow that one's gone missing, but they have a to-do list after that. And look at all the points: Social and Tempo documentation,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) you can find all of this on the internet. You know, you can do a Google search before your coffee gets cold. Mr. Petronio knows how to use Google. Nobody is getting access to the actual software to poke and prod, to investigate, to dig deep, and he's delighted to get it. What does he say after that first meeting? He starts distributing this information, cascading it throughout the company. He says: I had a meeting today, February 28, 2012. He walked me through it. I made a video, Fantasia, and I'm going to send it around to everyone. He circulates some of his key insights from the meeting, and he</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) more than one person working at a time, data visualization, star schema. All remember what Dr. Marshall called this yesterday, gold dust. Gold dust for a company because now they have insight into a competitor's product, only one way that they can use against them. They start to circulate. Then, come December of that same year, 2012, it's a whole other flurry of activity. Lot of interesting Appian social. Mr. Petronio and Mr. Zou, they have the documentation, the confidential documentation on Forum. Can you send it to me? They have a meeting. No video of that meeting. Somehow that one's gone missing, but they have a to-do list after that. And look at all the points:</pre>

	Page 8070		Page 8071
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Look at the last bullet: Other	2	it, what does she say? We should
3	there feedback on application	3	create a view just like this and ship
4	enhancement. They say we would never	4	with it.
5	look at a competitor's product to	5	Arrogance and shadiness side by
6	improve or enhance our own. They are	6	side. But that's not all.
7	too different. Why would we do that?	7	A very important attendee, not
8	What do the contemporaneous	8	Mr. Bixby, he's a very important
9	documents say? Application	9	person, but there is a very important
10	enhancement, that's what they're doing	10	attendee, Kerim Akgonul. He is also
11	with these.	11	coming to meet with Mr. Zou in
12	Those December meetings,	12	December.
13	remember, the product management	13	We showed you videos from there.
14	people, they're all over it too.	14	One of the videos is Mr. Petronio. He
15	What were they saying? Oh, we	15	says at the end of the introduction:
16	were product management. We'd never	16	You said you might see something you
17	look at Appian. Why would we care?	17	like for our product, talking to
18	Why are they meeting with them	18	Kerim.
19	endlessly, one after the other?	19	We asked him what do you mean by
20	Arrogance.	20	that? And look at what he said.
21	Here's Agya Garg, right? She's	21	Appian had strengths: Mobile, social,
22	just met with Mr. Zou about the social	22	cloud, ease of use.
23	view in Appian, of work lists and	23	What did Dr. Marshall talk to
24	tasks. Appian is more impressive.	24	you about yesterday? Same categories.
25	But at the same time while dismissing	25	And, you know, we're looking to
-	Dago 8072		Dago 8072
1	Page 8072 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Page 8073 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
1 2			-
	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) learn from them, what they are doing	1 2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) get one.
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) learn from them, what they are doing in case we could make improvements in	1 2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) get one. The CEO of a publicly traded
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) learn from them, what they are doing in case we could make improvements in those areas.	1 2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) get one. The CEO of a publicly traded company, this is what he's up to. And
2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) learn from them, what they are doing in case we could make improvements in those areas. They want you to believe that we	1 2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) get one. The CEO of a publicly traded company, this is what he's up to. And then they are going to meet with
2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) learn from them, what they are doing in case we could make improvements in those areas. They want you to believe that we never look at customers. Look at what	1 2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) get one. The CEO of a publicly traded company, this is what he's up to. And then they are going to meet with product management too.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) learn from them, what they are doing in case we could make improvements in those areas. They want you to believe that we never look at customers. Look at what the people involved at the time say,	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) get one. The CEO of a publicly traded company, this is what he's up to. And then they are going to meet with product management too. And you know what?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) learn from them, what they are doing in case we could make improvements in those areas. They want you to believe that we never look at customers. Look at what the people involved at the time say, the ones who are being honest about</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) get one. The CEO of a publicly traded company, this is what he's up to. And then they are going to meet with product management too. And you know what? Mr. Petronio, who is no longer there,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) learn from them, what they are doing in case we could make improvements in those areas. They want you to believe that we never look at customers. Look at what the people involved at the time say, the ones who are being honest about it.</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) get one. The CEO of a publicly traded company, this is what he's up to. And then they are going to meet with product management too. And you know what? Mr. Petronio, who is no longer there, he's the one guy who doesn't have</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) learn from them, what they are doing in case we could make improvements in those areas. They want you to believe that we never look at customers. Look at what the people involved at the time say, the ones who are being honest about it. And then there is a meeting in</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) get one. The CEO of a publicly traded company, this is what he's up to. And then they are going to meet with product management too. And you know what? Mr. Petronio, who is no longer there, he's the one guy who doesn't have amnesia. Look at what he said.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) learn from them, what they are doing in case we could make improvements in those areas. They want you to believe that we never look at customers. Look at what the people involved at the time say, the ones who are being honest about it. And then there is a meeting in 2013, January of 2013, the famous</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) get one. The CEO of a publicly traded company, this is what he's up to. And then they are going to meet with product management too. And you know what? Mr. Petronio, who is no longer there, he's the one guy who doesn't have amnesia. Look at what he said. Was Alan Trefler there?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) learn from them, what they are doing in case we could make improvements in those areas. They want you to believe that we never look at customers. Look at what the people involved at the time say, the ones who are being honest about it. And then there is a meeting in 2013, January of 2013, the famous meeting where Alan Trefler himself is</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) get one. The CEO of a publicly traded company, this is what he's up to. And then they are going to meet with product management too. And you know what? Mr. Petronio, who is no longer there, he's the one guy who doesn't have amnesia. Look at what he said. Was Alan Trefler there? Yes.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) learn from them, what they are doing in case we could make improvements in those areas. They want you to believe that we never look at customers. Look at what the people involved at the time say, the ones who are being honest about it. And then there is a meeting in 2013, January of 2013, the famous meeting where Alan Trefler himself is among the attendees. Now, here's</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) get one. The CEO of a publicly traded company, this is what he's up to. And then they are going to meet with product management too. And you know what? Mr. Petronio, who is no longer there, he's the one guy who doesn't have amnesia. Look at what he said. Was Alan Trefler there? Yes. What do you remember?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) learn from them, what they are doing in case we could make improvements in those areas. They want you to believe that we never look at customers. Look at what the people involved at the time say, the ones who are being honest about it. And then there is a meeting in 2013, January of 2013, the famous meeting where Alan Trefler himself is among the attendees. Now, here's another amnesia situation, right?</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) get one. The CEO of a publicly traded company, this is what he's up to. And then they are going to meet with product management too. And you know what? Mr. Petronio, who is no longer there, he's the one guy who doesn't have amnesia. Look at what he said. Was Alan Trefler there? Yes. What do you remember? And, ladies and gentlemen, you</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) learn from them, what they are doing in case we could make improvements in those areas. They want you to believe that we never look at customers. Look at what the people involved at the time say, the ones who are being honest about it. And then there is a meeting in 2013, January of 2013, the famous meeting where Alan Trefler himself is among the attendees. Now, here's another amnesia situation, right? None of the current Pega</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) get one. The CEO of a publicly traded company, this is what he's up to. And then they are going to meet with product management too. And you know what? Mr. Petronio, who is no longer there, he's the one guy who doesn't have amnesia. Look at what he said. Was Alan Trefler there? Yes. What do you remember? And, ladies and gentlemen, you rely on your life experience here.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) learn from them, what they are doing in case we could make improvements in those areas. They want you to believe that we never look at customers. Look at what the people involved at the time say, the ones who are being honest about it. And then there is a meeting in 2013, January of 2013, the famous meeting where Alan Trefler himself is among the attendees. Now, here's another amnesia situation, right? None of the current Pega employees, no one is willing to come</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) get one. The CEO of a publicly traded company, this is what he's up to. And then they are going to meet with product management too. And you know what? Mr. Petronio, who is no longer there, he's the one guy who doesn't have amnesia. Look at what he said. Was Alan Trefler there? Yes. What do you remember? And, ladies and gentlemen, you rely on your life experience here. Look at the specificity he gave you.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) learn from them, what they are doing in case we could make improvements in those areas. They want you to believe that we never look at customers. Look at what the people involved at the time say, the ones who are being honest about it. And then there is a meeting in 2013, January of 2013, the famous meeting where Alan Trefler himself is among the attendees. Now, here's another amnesia situation, right? None of the current Pega employees, no one is willing to come up here and say Alan Trefler was at</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) get one. The CEO of a publicly traded company, this is what he's up to. And then they are going to meet with product management too. And you know what? Mr. Petronio, who is no longer there, he's the one guy who doesn't have amnesia. Look at what he said. Was Alan Trefler there? Yes. What do you remember? And, ladies and gentlemen, you rely on your life experience here. Look at the specificity he gave you. I remember the room. I remember</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) learn from them, what they are doing in case we could make improvements in those areas. They want you to believe that we never look at customers. Look at what the people involved at the time say, the ones who are being honest about it. And then there is a meeting in 2013, January of 2013, the famous meeting where Alan Trefler himself is among the attendees. Now, here's another amnesia situation, right? None of the current Pega employees, no one is willing to come up here and say Alan Trefler was at that meeting.</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) get one. The CEO of a publicly traded company, this is what he's up to. And then they are going to meet with product management too. And you know what? Mr. Petronio, who is no longer there, he's the one guy who doesn't have amnesia. Look at what he said. Was Alan Trefler there? Yes. What do you remember? And, ladies and gentlemen, you rely on your life experience here. Look at the specificity he gave you. I remember the room. I remember the table. I remember where he was</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) learn from them, what they are doing in case we could make improvements in those areas. They want you to believe that we never look at customers. Look at what the people involved at the time say, the ones who are being honest about it. And then there is a meeting in 2013, January of 2013, the famous meeting where Alan Trefler himself is among the attendees. Now, here's another amnesia situation, right? None of the current Pega employees, no one is willing to come up here and say Alan Trefler was at that meeting. You see the meeting invite.</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) get one. The CEO of a publicly traded company, this is what he's up to. And then they are going to meet with product management too. And you know what? Mr. Petronio, who is no longer there, he's the one guy who doesn't have amnesia. Look at what he said. Was Alan Trefler there? Yes. What do you remember? And, ladies and gentlemen, you rely on your life experience here. Look at the specificity he gave you. I remember the room. I remember the table. I remember the white board.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) learn from them, what they are doing in case we could make improvements in those areas. They want you to believe that we never look at customers. Look at what the people involved at the time say, the ones who are being honest about it. And then there is a meeting in 2013, January of 2013, the famous meeting where Alan Trefler himself is among the attendees. Now, here's another amnesia situation, right? None of the current Pega employees, no one is willing to come up here and say Alan Trefler was at that meeting. You see the meeting invite. There he is. He's invited to see a</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) get one. The CEO of a publicly traded company, this is what he's up to. And then they are going to meet with product management too. And you know what? Mr. Petronio, who is no longer there, he's the one guy who doesn't have amnesia. Look at what he said. Was Alan Trefler there? Yes. What do you remember? And, ladies and gentlemen, you rely on your life experience here. Look at the specificity he gave you. I remember the room. I remember the table. I remember the white board. I remember what was happening.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) learn from them, what they are doing in case we could make improvements in those areas. They want you to believe that we never look at customers. Look at what the people involved at the time say, the ones who are being honest about it. And then there is a meeting in 2013, January of 2013, the famous meeting where Alan Trefler himself is among the attendees. Now, here's another amnesia situation, right? None of the current Pega employees, no one is willing to come up here and say Alan Trefler was at that meeting. You see the meeting invite. There he is. He's invited to see a demonstration building in Appian. And</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) get one. The CEO of a publicly traded company, this is what he's up to. And then they are going to meet with product management too. And you know what? Mr. Petronio, who is no longer there, he's the one guy who doesn't have amnesia. Look at what he said. Was Alan Trefler there? Yes. What do you remember? And, ladies and gentlemen, you rely on your life experience here. Look at the specificity he gave you. I remember the room. I remember the table. I remember where he was sitting. I remember the white board. I remember what was happening. Who's telling you the truth</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) learn from them, what they are doing in case we could make improvements in those areas. They want you to believe that we never look at customers. Look at what the people involved at the time say, the ones who are being honest about it. And then there is a meeting in 2013, January of 2013, the famous meeting where Alan Trefler himself is among the attendees. Now, here's another amnesia situation, right? None of the current Pega employees, no one is willing to come up here and say Alan Trefler was at that meeting. You see the meeting invite. There he is. He's invited to see a demonstration building in Appian. And everyone agrees that means seeing the</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) get one. The CEO of a publicly traded company, this is what he's up to. And then they are going to meet with product management too. And you know what? Mr. Petronio, who is no longer there, he's the one guy who doesn't have amnesia. Look at what he said. Was Alan Trefler there? Yes. What do you remember? And, ladies and gentlemen, you rely on your life experience here. Look at the specificity he gave you. I remember the room. I remember the table. I remember where he was sitting. I remember the white board. I remember what was happening. Who's telling you the truth here?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) learn from them, what they are doing in case we could make improvements in those areas. They want you to believe that we never look at customers. Look at what the people involved at the time say, the ones who are being honest about it. And then there is a meeting in 2013, January of 2013, the famous meeting where Alan Trefler himself is among the attendees. Now, here's another amnesia situation, right? None of the current Pega employees, no one is willing to come up here and say Alan Trefler was at that meeting. You see the meeting invite. There he is. He's invited to see a demonstration building in Appian. And everyone agrees that means seeing the live software in operation, and</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) get one. The CEO of a publicly traded company, this is what he's up to. And then they are going to meet with product management too. And you know what? Mr. Petronio, who is no longer there, he's the one guy who doesn't have amnesia. Look at what he said. Was Alan Trefler there? Yes. What do you remember? And, ladies and gentlemen, you rely on your life experience here. Look at the specificity he gave you. I remember the room. I remember the table. I remember where he was sitting. I remember the white board. I remember what was happening. Who's telling you the truth here? But as always, their documents, </pre>

	Page 8074		Page 8075
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Youyong Zou on the 29th. That's all	2	meetings in February of the next year,
3	he did.	3	2014. We showed you a whole bunch of
4	The next day he sends e-mail to	4	decks. Remember, there were 222
5	Alan Trefler: Thank you for your time	5	slides about Appian, the company they
6	yesterday.	6	don't care about, the company they
7	Do you think Alan Trefler was at	7	never look at. With all the top
8	that meeting? He's not willing to	8	leadership, Alan Trefler, everyone
9	admit it. None of them who got up on	9	else.
10	the stand are willing to admit it.	10	This is just one of the four
11	And then product management.	11	slide decks. And what do they say to
12	Remember, this is a group of people	12	you about this?
13	that got up and to a person said: We	13	They say, oh, Appian, you can
14	don't care about Appian or	14	just Google it and you'll find all of
15	competitors. We never look at	15	this before your coffee gets cold.
16	competitors. We never food at	16	Really?
17	Remember Ms. Louis: Why do you	17	Then they did Project Crush.
18	know that?	18	Benjamin Baril, same guy who later is,
19	We never look at competitors.	19	after all the shady conduct we looked
20	Look at them all lining up. All	20	at, he's working with Mr. Zou too.
21	of the top people lining up to meet	21	And what does he do in Project Crush?
22	with Mr. Zou and get live access to	22	You know, they are so into this
23	Appian: Akgonul, Bixby, Schuerman,	23	that he wants to take credit for
24	Louis, Garg, Caton, Roland.	24	hiring Mr. Zou, right? That's how
25	And then they have these	25	much they thought this is great.
			much ency chought chib ib great.
	Page 8076		Dama 0077
1		1	Page 8077 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) He says: John Petronio and I	2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) that wasn't the truth. He just knew
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) He says: John Petronio and I hired an Appian consultant, and we	2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) that wasn't the truth. He just knew that's why they want me. If I don't
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) He says: John Petronio and I hired an Appian consultant, and we spent days with him working on this.	2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) that wasn't the truth. He just knew that's why they want me. If I don't have access anymore to the live
2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) He says: John Petronio and I hired an Appian consultant, and we spent days with him working on this. Now, what did they do? The	2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) that wasn't the truth. He just knew that's why they want me. If I don't have access anymore to the live software, they are not going to need
2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) He says: John Petronio and I hired an Appian consultant, and we spent days with him working on this. Now, what did they do? The document that they generated.	2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) that wasn't the truth. He just knew that's why they want me. If I don't have access anymore to the live software, they are not going to need him anymore and that's what happened.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) He says: John Petronio and I hired an Appian consultant, and we spent days with him working on this. Now, what did they do? The document that they generated. Remember I showed you these	2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) that wasn't the truth. He just knew that's why they want me. If I don't have access anymore to the live software, they are not going to need him anymore and that's what happened. That's where it ended.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) He says: John Petronio and I hired an Appian consultant, and we spent days with him working on this. Now, what did they do? The document that they generated. Remember I showed you these action items at the bottom of every	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) that wasn't the truth. He just knew that's why they want me. If I don't have access anymore to the live software, they are not going to need him anymore and that's what happened. That's where it ended. Now, what did they do with all
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) He says: John Petronio and I hired an Appian consultant, and we spent days with him working on this. Now, what did they do? The document that they generated. Remember I showed you these action items at the bottom of every subject area? All of them are about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) that wasn't the truth. He just knew that's why they want me. If I don't have access anymore to the live software, they are not going to need him anymore and that's what happened. That's where it ended. Now, what did they do with all this information? Mr. Schuerman told
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) He says: John Petronio and I hired an Appian consultant, and we spent days with him working on this. Now, what did they do? The document that they generated. Remember I showed you these action items at the bottom of every subject area? All of them are about working with product management to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) that wasn't the truth. He just knew that's why they want me. If I don't have access anymore to the live software, they are not going to need him anymore and that's what happened. That's where it ended. Now, what did they do with all this information? Mr. Schuerman told you they put it into these attack</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) He says: John Petronio and I hired an Appian consultant, and we spent days with him working on this. Now, what did they do? The document that they generated. Remember I showed you these action items at the bottom of every subject area? All of them are about working with product management to give them feedback.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) that wasn't the truth. He just knew that's why they want me. If I don't have access anymore to the live software, they are not going to need him anymore and that's what happened. That's where it ended. Now, what did they do with all this information? Mr. Schuerman told you they put it into these attack documents, right? And we showed you</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) He says: John Petronio and I hired an Appian consultant, and we spent days with him working on this. Now, what did they do? The document that they generated. Remember I showed you these action items at the bottom of every subject area? All of them are about working with product management to give them feedback. And they say: Status underway,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) that wasn't the truth. He just knew that's why they want me. If I don't have access anymore to the live software, they are not going to need him anymore and that's what happened. That's where it ended. Now, what did they do with all this information? Mr. Schuerman told you they put it into these attack documents, right? And we showed you those documents with Mr. Malackowski,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) He says: John Petronio and I hired an Appian consultant, and we spent days with him working on this. Now, what did they do? The document that they generated. Remember I showed you these action items at the bottom of every subject area? All of them are about working with product management to give them feedback. And they say: Status underway, status underway, status completed on</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) that wasn't the truth. He just knew that's why they want me. If I don't have access anymore to the live software, they are not going to need him anymore and that's what happened. That's where it ended. Now, what did they do with all this information? Mr. Schuerman told you they put it into these attack documents, right? And we showed you those documents with Mr. Malackowski, right, the Understanding Appian</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) He says: John Petronio and I hired an Appian consultant, and we spent days with him working on this. Now, what did they do? The document that they generated. Remember I showed you these action items at the bottom of every subject area? All of them are about working with product management to give them feedback. And they say: Status underway, status underway, status completed on data modeling, CDTs; what Dr. Marshall</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) that wasn't the truth. He just knew that's why they want me. If I don't have access anymore to the live software, they are not going to need him anymore and that's what happened. That's where it ended. Now, what did they do with all this information? Mr. Schuerman told you they put it into these attack documents, right? And we showed you those documents with Mr. Malackowski, right, the Understanding Appian document, the technical brief, the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) He says: John Petronio and I hired an Appian consultant, and we spent days with him working on this. Now, what did they do? The document that they generated. Remember I showed you these action items at the bottom of every subject area? All of them are about working with product management to give them feedback. And they say: Status underway, status underway, status completed on data modeling, CDTs; what Dr. Marshall was talking to you about.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) that wasn't the truth. He just knew that's why they want me. If I don't have access anymore to the live software, they are not going to need him anymore and that's what happened. That's where it ended. Now, what did they do with all this information? Mr. Schuerman told you they put it into these attack documents, right? And we showed you those documents with Mr. Malackowski, right, the Understanding Appian document, the technical brief, the attack plan, business competitive</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) He says: John Petronio and I hired an Appian consultant, and we spent days with him working on this. Now, what did they do? The document that they generated. Remember I showed you these action items at the bottom of every subject area? All of them are about working with product management to give them feedback. And they say: Status underway, status underway, status completed on data modeling, CDTs; what Dr. Marshall was talking to you about. And they have the arrogance to</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) that wasn't the truth. He just knew that's why they want me. If I don't have access anymore to the live software, they are not going to need him anymore and that's what happened. That's where it ended. Now, what did they do with all this information? Mr. Schuerman told you they put it into these attack documents, right? And we showed you those documents with Mr. Malackowski, right, the Understanding Appian document, the technical brief, the attack plan, business competitive brief, 12 Challenges. We went through</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) He says: John Petronio and I hired an Appian consultant, and we spent days with him working on this. Now, what did they do? The document that they generated. Remember I showed you these action items at the bottom of every subject area? All of them are about working with product management to give them feedback. And they say: Status underway, status underway, status completed on data modeling, CDTs; what Dr. Marshall was talking to you about. And they have the arrogance to come here and say to you, oh, we never</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) that wasn't the truth. He just knew that's why they want me. If I don't have access anymore to the live software, they are not going to need him anymore and that's what happened. That's where it ended. Now, what did they do with all this information? Mr. Schuerman told you they put it into these attack documents, right? And we showed you those documents with Mr. Malackowski, right, the Understanding Appian document, the technical brief, the attack plan, business competitive</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) He says: John Petronio and I hired an Appian consultant, and we spent days with him working on this. Now, what did they do? The document that they generated. Remember I showed you these action items at the bottom of every subject area? All of them are about working with product management to give them feedback. And they say: Status underway, status underway, status completed on data modeling, CDTs; what Dr. Marshall was talking to you about. And they have the arrogance to come here and say to you, oh, we never look at competitors. Look at what the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) that wasn't the truth. He just knew that's why they want me. If I don't have access anymore to the live software, they are not going to need him anymore and that's what happened. That's where it ended. Now, what did they do with all this information? Mr. Schuerman told you they put it into these attack documents, right? And we showed you those documents with Mr. Malackowski, right, the Understanding Appian document, the technical brief, the attack plan, business competitive brief, 12 Challenges. We went through all of these. There were all these different</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) He says: John Petronio and I hired an Appian consultant, and we spent days with him working on this. Now, what did they do? The document that they generated. Remember I showed you these action items at the bottom of every subject area? All of them are about working with product management to give them feedback. And they say: Status underway, status underway, status completed on data modeling, CDTs; what Dr. Marshall was talking to you about. And they have the arrogance to come here and say to you, oh, we never look at competitors. Look at what the document from the time says.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) that wasn't the truth. He just knew that's why they want me. If I don't have access anymore to the live software, they are not going to need him anymore and that's what happened. That's where it ended. Now, what did they do with all this information? Mr. Schuerman told you they put it into these attack documents, right? And we showed you those documents with Mr. Malackowski, right, the Understanding Appian document, the technical brief, the attack plan, business competitive brief, 12 Challenges. We went through all of these. There were all these different versions of the technical brief they</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) He says: John Petronio and I hired an Appian consultant, and we spent days with him working on this. Now, what did they do? The document that they generated. Remember I showed you these action items at the bottom of every subject area? All of them are about working with product management to give them feedback. And they say: Status underway, status underway, status completed on data modeling, CDTs; what Dr. Marshall was talking to you about. And they have the arrogance to come here and say to you, oh, we never look at competitors. Look at what the document from the time says. Then at some point, Mr. Zou</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) that wasn't the truth. He just knew that's why they want me. If I don't have access anymore to the live software, they are not going to need him anymore and that's what happened. That's where it ended. Now, what did they do with all this information? Mr. Schuerman told you they put it into these attack documents, right? And we showed you those documents with Mr. Malackowski, right, the Understanding Appian document, the technical brief, the attack plan, business competitive brief, 12 Challenges. We went through all of these. There were all these different</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) He says: John Petronio and I hired an Appian consultant, and we spent days with him working on this. Now, what did they do? The document that they generated. Remember I showed you these action items at the bottom of every subject area? All of them are about working with product management to give them feedback. And they say: Status underway, status underway, status completed on data modeling, CDTs; what Dr. Marshall was talking to you about. And they have the arrogance to come here and say to you, oh, we never look at competitors. Look at what the document from the time says. Then at some point, Mr. Zou calls a halt to this, right? And he</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) that wasn't the truth. He just knew that's why they want me. If I don't have access anymore to the live software, they are not going to need him anymore and that's what happened. That's where it ended. Now, what did they do with all this information? Mr. Schuerman told you they put it into these attack documents, right? And we showed you those documents with Mr. Malackowski, right, the Understanding Appian document, the technical brief, the attack plan, business competitive brief, 12 Challenges. We went through all of these. There were all these different versions of the technical brief they were constantly updating with Zou information over time.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) He says: John Petronio and I hired an Appian consultant, and we spent days with him working on this. Now, what did they do? The document that they generated. Remember I showed you these action items at the bottom of every subject area? All of them are about working with product management to give them feedback. And they say: Status underway, status underway, status completed on data modeling, CDTs; what Dr. Marshall was talking to you about. And they have the arrogance to come here and say to you, oh, we never look at competitors. Look at what the document from the time says. Then at some point, Mr. Zou calls a halt to this, right? And he says to Mr. Petronio: You know, I</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) that wasn't the truth. He just knew that's why they want me. If I don't have access anymore to the live software, they are not going to need him anymore and that's what happened. That's where it ended. Now, what did they do with all this information? Mr. Schuerman told you they put it into these attack documents, right? And we showed you those documents with Mr. Malackowski, right, the Understanding Appian document, the technical brief, the attack plan, business competitive brief, 12 Challenges. We went through all of these. There were all these different versions of the technical brief they were constantly updating with Zou information over time. And then that 12 Challenges</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) He says: John Petronio and I hired an Appian consultant, and we spent days with him working on this. Now, what did they do? The document that they generated. Remember I showed you these action items at the bottom of every subject area? All of them are about working with product management to give them feedback. And they say: Status underway, status underway, status completed on data modeling, CDTs; what Dr. Marshall was talking to you about. And they have the arrogance to come here and say to you, oh, we never look at competitors. Look at what the document from the time says. Then at some point, Mr. Zou calls a halt to this, right? And he</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) that wasn't the truth. He just knew that's why they want me. If I don't have access anymore to the live software, they are not going to need him anymore and that's what happened. That's where it ended. Now, what did they do with all this information? Mr. Schuerman told you they put it into these attack documents, right? And we showed you those documents with Mr. Malackowski, right, the Understanding Appian document, the technical brief, the attack plan, business competitive brief, 12 Challenges. We went through all of these. There were all these different versions of the technical brief they were constantly updating with Zou information over time.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) that wasn't the truth. He just knew that's why they want me. If I don't have access anymore to the live software, they are not going to need him anymore and that's what happened. That's where it ended. Now, what did they do with all this information? Mr. Schuerman told you they put it into these attack documents, right? And we showed you those documents with Mr. Malackowski, right, the Understanding Appian document, the technical brief, the attack plan, business competitive brief, 12 Challenges. We went through all of these. There were all these different versions of the technical brief they were constantly updating with Zou information over time. And then that 12 Challenges document, Mr. Petronio told you</pre>

	Page 8078		Page 8079
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	had a white board up and Mr. Schuerman	2	force. That went into the document.
3	was there, Mr. Zou was there,	3	Information about unified
4	Mr. Trefler is there, and they were	4	management tools, that went into the
5	coming up with it.	5	document, all from Mr. Zou. Remember
6	And think how valuable they	6	I showed you the videos tracing each
7	found this information. They wanted	7	one of them.
8	to pose questions for the customer to	8	Reporting tools, very detailed
9	ask Appian to showcase what they	9	specifics on reporting tools. You
10	thought were weaknesses in Appian.	10	know, can they do drag and drop, pivot
11	Gold dust for the sales process.	11	table like reporting on live access to
	_		
12	And we showed you, I showed you	12	the platform.
13	video after video with Mr. Petronio	13	Concurrent development, we went
14	where we traced exactly where they got	14	through that too. Got that from
15	each piece of information that then	15	Mr. Zou.
16	went into these attack pieces.	16	Web services can only return
17	Remember, we looked at those and they	17	data of a certain kind, can return and
18	covered all these topics.	18	the focus on the process ID. That
19	You know, star schema. He asked	19	information came in.
20	Mr. Zou about that; went straight into	20	And you know, Mr. Petronio
21	the attack document. At hourly	21	explained that whole process. They
22	intervals, the checkpoint mechanisms,	22	were around the white board. That's
23	the specific configuration in Appian.	23	how they were getting it.
24	Dr. Marshall told you why that's so	24	And we asked him: Was this from
25	important; gold dust for the sales	25	Mr. Zou?
	Page 8080		Page 8081
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Yes.	2	they talked about the same
3	On that 12 Challenges document,	3	information. They sent it out. They
4	same themes. But you see here now is	4	
5			did a report of all competing
	these attacks, right? But you see the	5	did a report of all competing opportunities with Appian, sent it out
6	these attacks, right? But you see the same issues, concurrent development	5 6	
6 7		-	opportunities with Appian, sent it out
	same issues, concurrent development	6	opportunities with Appian, sent it out to all those people. They said great.
7	same issues, concurrent development and all of the other issues in there	6 7	opportunities with Appian, sent it out to all those people. They said great. They sent it directly to
7 8	same issues, concurrent development and all of the other issues in there as well.	6 7 8	opportunities with Appian, sent it out to all those people. They said great. They sent it directly to customers. They said it's under our
7 8 9	same issues, concurrent development and all of the other issues in there as well. Now, what did they do?	6 7 8 9	opportunities with Appian, sent it out to all those people. They said great. They sent it directly to customers. They said it's under our NDA, don't share it, but have a look
7 8 9 10	same issues, concurrent development and all of the other issues in there as well. Now, what did they do? Remember, Mr. Leon Trefler, he sends	6 7 8 9 10	opportunities with Appian, sent it out to all those people. They said great. They sent it directly to customers. They said it's under our NDA, don't share it, but have a look at this.
7 8 9 10 11	same issues, concurrent development and all of the other issues in there as well. Now, what did they do? Remember, Mr. Leon Trefler, he sends his 12 Challenges right around to	6 7 8 9 10 11	opportunities with Appian, sent it out to all those people. They said great. They sent it directly to customers. They said it's under our NDA, don't share it, but have a look at this. Then they sent it out to their
7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>same issues, concurrent development and all of the other issues in there as well. Now, what did they do? Remember, Mr. Leon Trefler, he sends his 12 Challenges right around to everyone at the company.</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	opportunities with Appian, sent it out to all those people. They said great. They sent it directly to customers. They said it's under our NDA, don't share it, but have a look at this. Then they sent it out to their partners, their business partners,
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>same issues, concurrent development and all of the other issues in there as well. Now, what did they do? Remember, Mr. Leon Trefler, he sends his 12 Challenges right around to everyone at the company. Mr. Malackowski told you how the</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>opportunities with Appian, sent it out to all those people. They said great. They sent it directly to customers. They said it's under our NDA, don't share it, but have a look at this. Then they sent it out to their partners, their business partners, Accenture, Cognizant, Tech Mahindra.</pre>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>same issues, concurrent development and all of the other issues in there as well. Now, what did they do? Remember, Mr. Leon Trefler, he sends his 12 Challenges right around to everyone at the company. Mr. Malackowski told you how the information cascaded through the whole</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	opportunities with Appian, sent it out to all those people. They said great. They sent it directly to customers. They said it's under our NDA, don't share it, but have a look at this. Then they sent it out to their partners, their business partners, Accenture, Cognizant, Tech Mahindra. We took you through all of those with
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>same issues, concurrent development and all of the other issues in there as well. Now, what did they do? Remember, Mr. Leon Trefler, he sends his 12 Challenges right around to everyone at the company. Mr. Malackowski told you how the information cascaded through the whole company. And he says: We should</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	opportunities with Appian, sent it out to all those people. They said great. They sent it directly to customers. They said it's under our NDA, don't share it, but have a look at this. Then they sent it out to their partners, their business partners, Accenture, Cognizant, Tech Mahindra. We took you through all of those with Mr. Malackowski.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>same issues, concurrent development and all of the other issues in there as well. Now, what did they do? Remember, Mr. Leon Trefler, he sends his 12 Challenges right around to everyone at the company. Mr. Malackowski told you how the information cascaded through the whole company. And he says: We should never lose against Appian.</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	opportunities with Appian, sent it out to all those people. They said great. They sent it directly to customers. They said it's under our NDA, don't share it, but have a look at this. Then they sent it out to their partners, their business partners, Accenture, Cognizant, Tech Mahindra. We took you through all of those with Mr. Malackowski. And why does that matter?
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>same issues, concurrent development and all of the other issues in there as well. Now, what did they do? Remember, Mr. Leon Trefler, he sends his 12 Challenges right around to everyone at the company. Mr. Malackowski told you how the information cascaded through the whole company. And he says: We should never lose against Appian. Their experts got up and told</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>opportunities with Appian, sent it out to all those people. They said great. They sent it directly to customers. They said it's under our NDA, don't share it, but have a look at this. Then they sent it out to their partners, their business partners, Accenture, Cognizant, Tech Mahindra. We took you through all of those with Mr. Malackowski. And why does that matter? Mr. Malackowski explained it.</pre>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>same issues, concurrent development and all of the other issues in there as well.</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>opportunities with Appian, sent it out to all those people. They said great. They sent it directly to customers. They said it's under our NDA, don't share it, but have a look at this. Then they sent it out to their partners, their business partners, Accenture, Cognizant, Tech Mahindra. We took you through all of those with Mr. Malackowski. And why does that matter? Mr. Malackowski explained it. He said these are large companies. So when you share this information with</pre>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>same issues, concurrent development and all of the other issues in there as well. Now, what did they do? Remember, Mr. Leon Trefler, he sends his 12 Challenges right around to everyone at the company. Mr. Malackowski told you how the information cascaded through the whole company. And he says: We should never lose against Appian. Their experts got up and told you this information is trivial. It has no value. Look at what they said at the</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>opportunities with Appian, sent it out to all those people. They said great. They sent it directly to customers. They said it's under our NDA, don't share it, but have a look at this. Then they sent it out to their partners, their business partners, Accenture, Cognizant, Tech Mahindra. We took you through all of those with Mr. Malackowski. And why does that matter? Mr. Malackowski explained it. He said these are large companies. So when you share this information with them, with their thousands of</pre>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>same issues, concurrent development and all of the other issues in there as well. Now, what did they do? Remember, Mr. Leon Trefler, he sends his 12 Challenges right around to everyone at the company. Mr. Malackowski told you how the information cascaded through the whole company. And he says: We should never lose against Appian. Their experts got up and told you this information is trivial. It has no value. Look at what they said at the top. He says: If you're competing</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>opportunities with Appian, sent it out to all those people. They said great. They sent it directly to customers. They said it's under our NDA, don't share it, but have a look at this. Then they sent it out to their partners, their business partners, Accenture, Cognizant, Tech Mahindra. We took you through all of those with Mr. Malackowski. And why does that matter? Mr. Malackowski explained it. He said these are large companies. So when you share this information with them, with their thousands of employees, the potential for dramatic</pre>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>same issues, concurrent development and all of the other issues in there as well.</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>opportunities with Appian, sent it out to all those people. They said great. They sent it directly to customers. They said it's under our NDA, don't share it, but have a look at this. Then they sent it out to their partners, their business partners, Accenture, Cognizant, Tech Mahindra. We took you through all of those with Mr. Malackowski. And why does that matter? Mr. Malackowski explained it. He said these are large companies. So when you share this information with them, with their thousands of employees, the potential for dramatic effect goes up exponentially. That's</pre>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>same issues, concurrent development and all of the other issues in there as well. Now, what did they do? Remember, Mr. Leon Trefler, he sends his 12 Challenges right around to everyone at the company. Mr. Malackowski told you how the information cascaded through the whole company. And he says: We should never lose against Appian. Their experts got up and told you this information is trivial. It has no value. Look at what they said at the top. He says: If you're competing against Appian anywhere, make sure you get this information.</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>opportunities with Appian, sent it out to all those people. They said great. They sent it directly to customers. They said it's under our NDA, don't share it, but have a look at this. Then they sent it out to their partners, their business partners, Accenture, Cognizant, Tech Mahindra. We took you through all of those with Mr. Malackowski. And why does that matter? Mr. Malackowski explained it. He said these are large companies. So when you share this information with them, with their thousands of employees, the potential for dramatic effect goes up exponentially. That's exactly what was happening.</pre>
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>same issues, concurrent development and all of the other issues in there as well.</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>opportunities with Appian, sent it out to all those people. They said great. They sent it directly to customers. They said it's under our NDA, don't share it, but have a look at this. Then they sent it out to their partners, their business partners, Accenture, Cognizant, Tech Mahindra. We took you through all of those with Mr. Malackowski. And why does that matter? Mr. Malackowski explained it. He said these are large companies. So when you share this information with them, with their thousands of employees, the potential for dramatic effect goes up exponentially. That's</pre>

1	Page 8082		Page 8083
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	told you it was required training and	2	the importance of this training
3	they did not just have to view it,	3	program. It's very robust. He talks
4	they have to then repeat it back	4	about how they have to watch it,
5	through a whole series of	5	repeat it, heard all of that. And he
6	presentations.	6	says at the end, a very through
7	Remember, I showed you the	7	indoctrination of these trade secrets
8	videos where Mr. Zou made the video	8	with the Salesforce.
9	and then Mr. Petronio revoiced it in	9	Now, we gave you examples of how
10	his own voiceover. It's all directly	10	this was used. But, ladies and
11	from him. And I showed you the	11	gentlemen, a very important point
12	training videos of topic after topic.	12	here, I didn't pick, you know, of all
13	Their sales rep gave a review of	13	the competing opportunities, I didn't
14	the training. And look at their	14	go and pick my best examples.
15	comments. They're all about access to	15	What I picked for you are their
16	the live system. The content brought	16	favorite examples, right, the
17	out weaknesses using an actual system,	17	opportunities where their expert
18	seeing the developer portal, seeing	18	Mr. Platt says, you've got to take
19	how Appian works under the hood.	19	these out because I've looked at the
20	They all know how to use Google,	20	record and I can tell you as an
21	right? They can find what's on the	21	accountant this had nothing to do with
22	internet. But, no, this is live	22	the trade secrets, okay?
23	access. That's what is so valuable	23	So let's look at two of those,
24	for them.	24	the ones they chose, they think are
25	And Mr. Malackowski explained	25	the best cases.
23	And M. Matachowski explained	2.5	
_	Page 8084		Page 8085
1 1	Dlaintiff - Cloging (Mangi)	1	Disiptiff - Closing (Mangi)
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Pabobank Here's Mr Trefler	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Rabobank. Here's Mr. Trefler	2	her document about competing in
2 3	Rabobank. Here's Mr. Trefler himself saying: I'm in a meeting with	2 3	her document about competing in Rabobank. Look at what she said:
2 3 4	Rabobank. Here's Mr. Trefler himself saying: I'm in a meeting with them. I would like a prop I can use	2 3 4	her document about competing in Rabobank. Look at what she said: Everyone on the team memorized
2 3 4 5	Rabobank. Here's Mr. Trefler himself saying: I'm in a meeting with them. I would like a prop I can use to blow this up.	2 3 4 5	her document about competing in Rabobank. Look at what she said: Everyone on the team memorized John Petronio's documents.
2 3 4 5 6	Rabobank. Here's Mr. Trefler himself saying: I'm in a meeting with them. I would like a prop I can use to blow this up. And he says: Something in the	2 3 4 5 6	her document about competing in Rabobank. Look at what she said: Everyone on the team memorized John Petronio's documents. They had a call with him the day
2 3 4 5 6 7	Rabobank. Here's Mr. Trefler himself saying: I'm in a meeting with them. I would like a prop I can use to blow this up. And he says: Something in the style of our previous internal	2 3 4 5 6 7	her document about competing in Rabobank. Look at what she said: Everyone on the team memorized John Petronio's documents. They had a call with him the day after his meeting with Zou so they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Rabobank. Here's Mr. Trefler himself saying: I'm in a meeting with them. I would like a prop I can use to blow this up. And he says: Something in the style of our previous internal two pages.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	her document about competing in Rabobank. Look at what she said: Everyone on the team memorized John Petronio's documents. They had a call with him the day after his meeting with Zou so they could get live updates on all the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Rabobank. Here's Mr. Trefler himself saying: I'm in a meeting with them. I would like a prop I can use to blow this up. And he says: Something in the style of our previous internal two pages. What he's saying there? Because	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	her document about competing in Rabobank. Look at what she said: Everyone on the team memorized John Petronio's documents. They had a call with him the day after his meeting with Zou so they could get live updates on all the Appian info to shape the POC.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Rabobank. Here's Mr. Trefler himself saying: I'm in a meeting with them. I would like a prop I can use to blow this up. And he says: Something in the style of our previous internal two pages. What he's saying there? Because Mr. Petronio, remember, explained that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	her document about competing in Rabobank. Look at what she said: Everyone on the team memorized John Petronio's documents. They had a call with him the day after his meeting with Zou so they could get live updates on all the Appian info to shape the POC. Remember that from the training,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Rabobank. Here's Mr. Trefler himself saying: I'm in a meeting with them. I would like a prop I can use to blow this up. And he says: Something in the style of our previous internal two pages. What he's saying there? Because Mr. Petronio, remember, explained that technical brief, they wanted it to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	her document about competing in Rabobank. Look at what she said: Everyone on the team memorized John Petronio's documents. They had a call with him the day after his meeting with Zou so they could get live updates on all the Appian info to shape the POC. Remember that from the training, they're using the Zou information to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Rabobank. Here's Mr. Trefler himself saying: I'm in a meeting with them. I would like a prop I can use to blow this up. And he says: Something in the style of our previous internal two pages. What he's saying there? Because Mr. Petronio, remember, explained that technical brief, they wanted it to look like an internal Appian	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	her document about competing in Rabobank. Look at what she said: Everyone on the team memorized John Petronio's documents. They had a call with him the day after his meeting with Zou so they could get live updates on all the Appian info to shape the POC. Remember that from the training, they're using the Zou information to shape the proof of concept.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Rabobank. Here's Mr. Trefler himself saying: I'm in a meeting with them. I would like a prop I can use to blow this up. And he says: Something in the style of our previous internal two pages. What he's saying there? Because Mr. Petronio, remember, explained that technical brief, they wanted it to look like an internal Appian document an internal Pega document.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	her document about competing in Rabobank. Look at what she said: Everyone on the team memorized John Petronio's documents. They had a call with him the day after his meeting with Zou so they could get live updates on all the Appian info to shape the POC. Remember that from the training, they're using the Zou information to shape the proof of concept. Ms. van Wees, she admits it,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Rabobank. Here's Mr. Trefler himself saying: I'm in a meeting with them. I would like a prop I can use to blow this up. And he says: Something in the style of our previous internal two pages. What he's saying there? Because Mr. Petronio, remember, explained that technical brief, they wanted it to look like an internal Appian document an internal Pega document. They had a rep just happen to leave it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	her document about competing in Rabobank. Look at what she said: Everyone on the team memorized John Petronio's documents. They had a call with him the day after his meeting with Zou so they could get live updates on all the Appian info to shape the POC. Remember that from the training, they're using the Zou information to shape the proof of concept. Ms. van Wees, she admits it, yeah, it was a key requirement for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Rabobank. Here's Mr. Trefler himself saying: I'm in a meeting with them. I would like a prop I can use to blow this up. And he says: Something in the style of our previous internal two pages. What he's saying there? Because Mr. Petronio, remember, explained that technical brief, they wanted it to look like an internal Appian document an internal Pega document. They had a rep just happen to leave it behind with a customer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	her document about competing in Rabobank. Look at what she said: Everyone on the team memorized John Petronio's documents. They had a call with him the day after his meeting with Zou so they could get live updates on all the Appian info to shape the POC. Remember that from the training, they're using the Zou information to shape the proof of concept. Ms. van Wees, she admits it, yeah, it was a key requirement for Rabobank, concurrent development, one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Rabobank. Here's Mr. Trefler himself saying: I'm in a meeting with them. I would like a prop I can use to blow this up. And he says: Something in the style of our previous internal two pages. What he's saying there? Because Mr. Petronio, remember, explained that technical brief, they wanted it to look like an internal Appian document an internal Pega document. They had a rep just happen to leave it behind with a customer. They were being dishonest about	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	her document about competing in Rabobank. Look at what she said: Everyone on the team memorized John Petronio's documents. They had a call with him the day after his meeting with Zou so they could get live updates on all the Appian info to shape the POC. Remember that from the training, they're using the Zou information to shape the proof of concept. Ms. van Wees, she admits it, yeah, it was a key requirement for Rabobank, concurrent development, one of the trade secrets. We encouraged
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Rabobank. Here's Mr. Trefler himself saying: I'm in a meeting with them. I would like a prop I can use to blow this up. And he says: Something in the style of our previous internal two pages. What he's saying there? Because Mr. Petronio, remember, explained that technical brief, they wanted it to look like an internal Appian document an internal Pega document. They had a rep just happen to leave it behind with a customer. They were being dishonest about their dishonesty, okay? But that's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	her document about competing in Rabobank. Look at what she said: Everyone on the team memorized John Petronio's documents. They had a call with him the day after his meeting with Zou so they could get live updates on all the Appian info to shape the POC. Remember that from the training, they're using the Zou information to shape the proof of concept. Ms. van Wees, she admits it, yeah, it was a key requirement for Rabobank, concurrent development, one of the trade secrets. We encouraged them to look at the competition as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Rabobank. Here's Mr. Trefler himself saying: I'm in a meeting with them. I would like a prop I can use to blow this up. And he says: Something in the style of our previous internal two pages. What he's saying there? Because Mr. Petronio, remember, explained that technical brief, they wanted it to look like an internal Appian document an internal Pega document. They had a rep just happen to leave it behind with a customer. They were being dishonest about their dishonesty, okay? But that's what he's referring to there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	her document about competing in Rabobank. Look at what she said: Everyone on the team memorized John Petronio's documents. They had a call with him the day after his meeting with Zou so they could get live updates on all the Appian info to shape the POC. Remember that from the training, they're using the Zou information to shape the proof of concept. Ms. van Wees, she admits it, yeah, it was a key requirement for Rabobank, concurrent development, one of the trade secrets. We encouraged them to look at the competition as well on that issue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Rabobank. Here's Mr. Trefler himself saying: I'm in a meeting with them. I would like a prop I can use to blow this up. And he says: Something in the style of our previous internal two pages. What he's saying there? Because Mr. Petronio, remember, explained that technical brief, they wanted it to look like an internal Appian document an internal Pega document. They had a rep just happen to leave it behind with a customer. They were being dishonest about their dishonesty, okay? But that's what he's referring to there. And he says, you know, I need it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	her document about competing in Rabobank. Look at what she said: Everyone on the team memorized John Petronio's documents. They had a call with him the day after his meeting with Zou so they could get live updates on all the Appian info to shape the POC. Remember that from the training, they're using the Zou information to shape the proof of concept. Ms. van Wees, she admits it, yeah, it was a key requirement for Rabobank, concurrent development, one of the trade secrets. We encouraged them to look at the competition as well on that issue. In her document, what are the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Rabobank. Here's Mr. Trefler himself saying: I'm in a meeting with them. I would like a prop I can use to blow this up. And he says: Something in the style of our previous internal two pages. What he's saying there? Because Mr. Petronio, remember, explained that technical brief, they wanted it to look like an internal Appian document an internal Pega document. They had a rep just happen to leave it behind with a customer. They were being dishonest about their dishonesty, okay? But that's what he's referring to there. And he says, you know, I need it by the end of the week, and he gets	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	her document about competing in Rabobank. Look at what she said: Everyone on the team memorized John Petronio's documents. They had a call with him the day after his meeting with Zou so they could get live updates on all the Appian info to shape the POC. Remember that from the training, they're using the Zou information to shape the proof of concept. Ms. van Wees, she admits it, yeah, it was a key requirement for Rabobank, concurrent development, one of the trade secrets. We encouraged them to look at the competition as well on that issue. In her document, what are the important differentiators for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Rabobank. Here's Mr. Trefler himself saying: I'm in a meeting with them. I would like a prop I can use to blow this up. And he says: Something in the style of our previous internal two pages. What he's saying there? Because Mr. Petronio, remember, explained that technical brief, they wanted it to look like an internal Appian document an internal Pega document. They had a rep just happen to leave it behind with a customer. They were being dishonest about their dishonesty, okay? But that's what he's referring to there. And he says, you know, I need it by the end of the week, and he gets them the competitive brief for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>her document about competing in Rabobank. Look at what she said: Everyone on the team memorized John Petronio's documents. They had a call with him the day after his meeting with Zou so they could get live updates on all the Appian info to shape the POC. Remember that from the training, they're using the Zou information to shape the proof of concept. Ms. van Wees, she admits it, yeah, it was a key requirement for Rabobank, concurrent development, one of the trade secrets. We encouraged them to look at the competition as well on that issue. In her document, what are the important differentiators for Rabobank? Co-development right there</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Rabobank. Here's Mr. Trefler himself saying: I'm in a meeting with them. I would like a prop I can use to blow this up. And he says: Something in the style of our previous internal two pages. What he's saying there? Because Mr. Petronio, remember, explained that technical brief, they wanted it to look like an internal Appian document an internal Pega document. They had a rep just happen to leave it behind with a customer. They were being dishonest about their dishonesty, okay? But that's what he's referring to there. And he says, you know, I need it by the end of the week, and he gets them the competitive brief for Rabobank. And he admits, he and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	her document about competing in Rabobank. Look at what she said: Everyone on the team memorized John Petronio's documents. They had a call with him the day after his meeting with Zou so they could get live updates on all the Appian info to shape the POC. Remember that from the training, they're using the Zou information to shape the proof of concept. Ms. van Wees, she admits it, yeah, it was a key requirement for Rabobank, concurrent development, one of the trade secrets. We encouraged them to look at the competition as well on that issue. In her document, what are the important differentiators for Rabobank? Co-development right there at the top, and there are others in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Rabobank. Here's Mr. Trefler himself saying: I'm in a meeting with them. I would like a prop I can use to blow this up. And he says: Something in the style of our previous internal two pages. What he's saying there? Because Mr. Petronio, remember, explained that technical brief, they wanted it to look like an internal Appian document an internal Pega document. They had a rep just happen to leave it behind with a customer. They were being dishonest about their dishonesty, okay? But that's what he's referring to there. And he says, you know, I need it by the end of the week, and he gets them the competitive brief for Rabobank. And he admits, he and Ms. van Wees, they use that at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	her document about competing in Rabobank. Look at what she said: Everyone on the team memorized John Petronio's documents. They had a call with him the day after his meeting with Zou so they could get live updates on all the Appian info to shape the POC. Remember that from the training, they're using the Zou information to shape the proof of concept. Ms. van Wees, she admits it, yeah, it was a key requirement for Rabobank, concurrent development, one of the trade secrets. We encouraged them to look at the competition as well on that issue. In her document, what are the important differentiators for Rabobank? Co-development right there at the top, and there are others in here too. Look at the data returned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Rabobank. Here's Mr. Trefler himself saying: I'm in a meeting with them. I would like a prop I can use to blow this up. And he says: Something in the style of our previous internal two pages. What he's saying there? Because Mr. Petronio, remember, explained that technical brief, they wanted it to look like an internal Appian document an internal Pega document. They had a rep just happen to leave it behind with a customer. They were being dishonest about their dishonesty, okay? But that's what he's referring to there. And he says, you know, I need it by the end of the week, and he gets them the competitive brief for Rabobank. And he admits, he and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	her document about competing in Rabobank. Look at what she said: Everyone on the team memorized John Petronio's documents. They had a call with him the day after his meeting with Zou so they could get live updates on all the Appian info to shape the POC. Remember that from the training, they're using the Zou information to shape the proof of concept. Ms. van Wees, she admits it, yeah, it was a key requirement for Rabobank, concurrent development, one of the trade secrets. We encouraged them to look at the competition as well on that issue. In her document, what are the important differentiators for Rabobank? Co-development right there at the top, and there are others in

	Page 8086		Page 8087
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	their best example when we didn't use	2	incumbent.
3	any of this. If this is the record,	3	Remember Mr. Bearden? He
4	imagine what they are doing with all	4	testified by deposition. He was one
5	of the others.	5	of the whistleblowers who came to
6	She sends an e-mail, Ms. van	6	Appian and told Appian it was
7	Wees, to Glen Adams. Remember him?	7	happening.
8	He's the guy on the shady-arrogant	8	He said, we were in the fight of
9	e-mail.	9	our lives at the Bank of America. And
10	And look at what she says,	10	he explained it. He said, we were
11	sending those same competitive	11	concerned not just about the
12	documents, this information from	12	opportunity but about losing the
13	John Petronio and team had spent	13	entire account at Bank of America to
14	two days with an Appian consultant	14	Appian.
15	just when the battle was intensifying.	15	And Mr. Platt says, we're
16	And she said the information was so	16	incumbent. Mr. Trefler says, they're
17	strong and relevant that she wanted	17	the most loyal customers. There's no
18	them all to have it.	18	chance they would ever consider
19	They made sure the fox in the	19	Appian.
20	hen house, the person helping them at	20	But look at what the document
21	Rabo, made sure he had what he needed.	21	says, and what did they use there?
22	How about Bank of America?	22	They used information from Mr. Zou.
23	Another example Mr. Platt says, oh,	23	You saw the slide deck with
24	no, take this out. No way Appian	24	Mr. Bearden with all of the topology
25	would've had a shot there. They are	25	information.
	Page 8088		Page 8089
1	Page 8088 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Page 8089 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
1 2		1 2	
	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)		Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But the competition wasn't	2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) working on for years. The only thing
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But the competition wasn't Appian. And, you know, they like to	2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) working on for years. The only thing asked me from back at Pega was give me
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But the competition wasn't Appian. And, you know, they like to suggest, oh, but, you know, they won	2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) working on for years. The only thing asked me from back at Pega was give me back the Zou documents.
2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But the competition wasn't Appian. And, you know, they like to suggest, oh, but, you know, they won that contract few months later, it had	2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) working on for years. The only thing asked me from back at Pega was give me back the Zou documents. And he said that's the only
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But the competition wasn't Appian. And, you know, they like to suggest, oh, but, you know, they won that contract few months later, it had nothing to do with this.	2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) working on for years. The only thing asked me from back at Pega was give me back the Zou documents. And he said that's the only thing they wanted to know about. So
2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But the competition wasn't Appian. And, you know, they like to suggest, oh, but, you know, they won that contract few months later, it had nothing to do with this. Mr. Bearden, the guy involved in	2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) working on for years. The only thing asked me from back at Pega was give me back the Zou documents. And he said that's the only thing they wanted to know about. So then he came and he told Appian what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But the competition wasn't Appian. And, you know, they like to suggest, oh, but, you know, they won that contract few months later, it had nothing to do with this. Mr. Bearden, the guy involved in it, he says, who won that contract	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) working on for years. The only thing asked me from back at Pega was give me back the Zou documents. And he said that's the only thing they wanted to know about. So then he came and he told Appian what happened.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But the competition wasn't Appian. And, you know, they like to suggest, oh, but, you know, they won that contract few months later, it had nothing to do with this. Mr. Bearden, the guy involved in it, he says, who won that contract eventually? It was a little bit later. We won it. Pega won it. And the revenues are in the case because</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) working on for years. The only thing asked me from back at Pega was give me back the Zou documents. And he said that's the only thing they wanted to know about. So then he came and he told Appian what happened. Now, that was some of the Zou era conduct, but let's also talk about what happened thereafter.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But the competition wasn't Appian. And, you know, they like to suggest, oh, but, you know, they won that contract few months later, it had nothing to do with this. Mr. Bearden, the guy involved in it, he says, who won that contract eventually? It was a little bit later. We won it. Pega won it. And</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) working on for years. The only thing asked me from back at Pega was give me back the Zou documents. And he said that's the only thing they wanted to know about. So then he came and he told Appian what happened. Now, that was some of the Zou era conduct, but let's also talk about what happened thereafter. Now, in 2017, Appian started</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But the competition wasn't Appian. And, you know, they like to suggest, oh, but, you know, they won that contract few months later, it had nothing to do with this. Mr. Bearden, the guy involved in it, he says, who won that contract eventually? It was a little bit later. We won it. Pega won it. And the revenues are in the case because they did; otherwise, there'd be no revenues.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) working on for years. The only thing asked me from back at Pega was give me back the Zou documents. And he said that's the only thing they wanted to know about. So then he came and he told Appian what happened. Now, that was some of the Zou era conduct, but let's also talk about what happened thereafter. Now, in 2017, Appian started offering trials, right, consistent</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But the competition wasn't Appian. And, you know, they like to suggest, oh, but, you know, they won that contract few months later, it had nothing to do with this. Mr. Bearden, the guy involved in it, he says, who won that contract eventually? It was a little bit later. We won it. Pega won it. And the revenues are in the case because they did; otherwise, there'd be no revenues. Now, Mr. Bearden, the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) working on for years. The only thing asked me from back at Pega was give me back the Zou documents. And he said that's the only thing they wanted to know about. So then he came and he told Appian what happened. Now, that was some of the Zou era conduct, but let's also talk about what happened thereafter. Now, in 2017, Appian started offering trials, right, consistent with industry trends. But from the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But the competition wasn't Appian. And, you know, they like to suggest, oh, but, you know, they won that contract few months later, it had nothing to do with this. Mr. Bearden, the guy involved in it, he says, who won that contract eventually? It was a little bit later. We won it. Pega won it. And the revenues are in the case because they did; otherwise, there'd be no revenues. Now, Mr. Bearden, the whistleblower, remember, he later got</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) working on for years. The only thing asked me from back at Pega was give me back the Zou documents. And he said that's the only thing they wanted to know about. So then he came and he told Appian what happened. Now, that was some of the Zou era conduct, but let's also talk about what happened thereafter. Now, in 2017, Appian started offering trials, right, consistent with industry trends. But from the very start, Pega knew they were not</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But the competition wasn't Appian. And, you know, they like to suggest, oh, but, you know, they won that contract few months later, it had nothing to do with this. Mr. Bearden, the guy involved in it, he says, who won that contract eventually? It was a little bit later. We won it. Pega won it. And the revenues are in the case because they did; otherwise, there'd be no revenues. Now, Mr. Bearden, the whistleblower, remember, he later got fired from Pega, then he came and told</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) working on for years. The only thing asked me from back at Pega was give me back the Zou documents. And he said that's the only thing they wanted to know about. So then he came and he told Appian what happened. Now, that was some of the Zou era conduct, but let's also talk about what happened thereafter. Now, in 2017, Appian started offering trials, right, consistent with industry trends. But from the very start, Pega knew they were not authorized to get access to that.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But the competition wasn't Appian. And, you know, they like to suggest, oh, but, you know, they won that contract few months later, it had nothing to do with this. Mr. Bearden, the guy involved in it, he says, who won that contract eventually? It was a little bit later. We won it. Pega won it. And the revenues are in the case because they did; otherwise, there'd be no revenues. Now, Mr. Bearden, the whistleblower, remember, he later got fired from Pega, then he came and told Appian what had happened? And he said</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) working on for years. The only thing asked me from back at Pega was give me back the Zou documents. And he said that's the only thing they wanted to know about. So then he came and he told Appian what happened. Now, that was some of the Zou era conduct, but let's also talk about what happened thereafter. Now, in 2017, Appian started offering trials, right, consistent with industry trends. But from the very start, Pega knew they were not authorized to get access to that. Here's a 2017 e-mail from</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But the competition wasn't Appian. And, you know, they like to suggest, oh, but, you know, they won that contract few months later, it had nothing to do with this. Mr. Bearden, the guy involved in it, he says, who won that contract eventually? It was a little bit later. We won it. Pega won it. And the revenues are in the case because they did; otherwise, there'd be no revenues. Now, Mr. Bearden, the whistleblower, remember, he later got fired from Pega, then he came and told Appian what had happened? And he said when he was leaving, they contacted</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) working on for years. The only thing asked me from back at Pega was give me back the Zou documents. And he said that's the only thing they wanted to know about. So then he came and he told Appian what happened. Now, that was some of the Zou era conduct, but let's also talk about what happened thereafter. Now, in 2017, Appian started offering trials, right, consistent with industry trends. But from the very start, Pega knew they were not authorized to get access to that. Here's a 2017 e-mail from Mayran Barak, who works in product</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But the competition wasn't Appian. And, you know, they like to suggest, oh, but, you know, they won that contract few months later, it had nothing to do with this. Mr. Bearden, the guy involved in it, he says, who won that contract eventually? It was a little bit later. We won it. Pega won it. And the revenues are in the case because they did; otherwise, there'd be no revenues. Now, Mr. Bearden, the whistleblower, remember, he later got fired from Pega, then he came and told Appian what had happened? And he said when he was leaving, they contacted him and said, give back the Zou</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) working on for years. The only thing asked me from back at Pega was give me back the Zou documents. And he said that's the only thing they wanted to know about. So then he came and he told Appian what happened. Now, that was some of the Zou era conduct, but let's also talk about what happened thereafter. Now, in 2017, Appian started offering trials, right, consistent with industry trends. But from the very start, Pega knew they were not authorized to get access to that. Here's a 2017 e-mail from Mayran Barak, who works in product management at Pega: I can't get any</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But the competition wasn't Appian. And, you know, they like to suggest, oh, but, you know, they won that contract few months later, it had nothing to do with this. Mr. Bearden, the guy involved in it, he says, who won that contract eventually? It was a little bit later. We won it. Pega won it. And the revenues are in the case because they did; otherwise, there'd be no revenues. Now, Mr. Bearden, the whistleblower, remember, he later got fired from Pega, then he came and told Appian what had happened? And he said when he was leaving, they contacted him and said, give back the Zou documents.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) working on for years. The only thing asked me from back at Pega was give me back the Zou documents. And he said that's the only thing they wanted to know about. So then he came and he told Appian what happened. Now, that was some of the Zou era conduct, but let's also talk about what happened thereafter. Now, in 2017, Appian started offering trials, right, consistent with industry trends. But from the very start, Pega knew they were not authorized to get access to that. Here's a 2017 e-mail from Mayran Barak, who works in product management at Pega: I can't get any sort of access. We're not a qualified</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But the competition wasn't Appian. And, you know, they like to suggest, oh, but, you know, they won that contract few months later, it had nothing to do with this. Mr. Bearden, the guy involved in it, he says, who won that contract eventually? It was a little bit later. We won it. Pega won it. And the revenues are in the case because they did; otherwise, there'd be no revenues. Now, Mr. Bearden, the whistleblower, remember, he later got fired from Pega, then he came and told Appian what had happened? And he said when he was leaving, they contacted him and said, give back the Zou documents.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) working on for years. The only thing asked me from back at Pega was give me back the Zou documents. And he said that's the only thing they wanted to know about. So then he came and he told Appian what happened. Now, that was some of the Zou era conduct, but let's also talk about what happened thereafter. Now, in 2017, Appian started offering trials, right, consistent with industry trends. But from the very start, Pega knew they were not authorized to get access to that. Here's a 2017 e-mail from Mayran Barak, who works in product management at Pega: I can't get any sort of access. We're not a qualified business.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But the competition wasn't Appian. And, you know, they like to suggest, oh, but, you know, they won that contract few months later, it had nothing to do with this. Mr. Bearden, the guy involved in it, he says, who won that contract eventually? It was a little bit later. We won it. Pega won it. And the revenues are in the case because they did; otherwise, there'd be no revenues. Now, Mr. Bearden, the whistleblower, remember, he later got fired from Pega, then he came and told Appian what had happened? And he said when he was leaving, they contacted him and said, give back the Zou documents. And he said, well, that was a turning point for me. You know, it</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) working on for years. The only thing asked me from back at Pega was give me back the Zou documents. And he said that's the only thing they wanted to know about. So then he came and he told Appian what happened. Now, that was some of the Zou era conduct, but let's also talk about what happened thereafter. Now, in 2017, Appian started offering trials, right, consistent with industry trends. But from the very start, Pega knew they were not authorized to get access to that. Here's a 2017 e-mail from Mayran Barak, who works in product management at Pega: I can't get any sort of access. You remember later in that</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But the competition wasn't Appian. And, you know, they like to suggest, oh, but, you know, they won that contract few months later, it had nothing to do with this. Mr. Bearden, the guy involved in it, he says, who won that contract eventually? It was a little bit later. We won it. Pega won it. And the revenues are in the case because they did; otherwise, there'd be no revenues. Now, Mr. Bearden, the whistleblower, remember, he later got fired from Pega, then he came and told Appian what had happened? And he said when he was leaving, they contacted him and said, give back the Zou documents. And he said, well, that was a turning point for me. You know, it seemed shady. But he said, when I</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) working on for years. The only thing asked me from back at Pega was give me back the Zou documents. And he said that's the only thing they wanted to know about. So then he came and he told Appian what happened. Now, that was some of the Zou era conduct, but let's also talk about what happened thereafter. Now, in 2017, Appian started offering trials, right, consistent with industry trends. But from the very start, Pega knew they were not authorized to get access to that. Here's a 2017 e-mail from Mayran Barak, who works in product management at Pega: I can't get any sort of access. We're not a qualified business. You remember later in that chain, she also said, you know, </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But the competition wasn't Appian. And, you know, they like to suggest, oh, but, you know, they won that contract few months later, it had nothing to do with this. Mr. Bearden, the guy involved in it, he says, who won that contract eventually? It was a little bit later. We won it. Pega won it. And the revenues are in the case because they did; otherwise, there'd be no revenues. Now, Mr. Bearden, the whistleblower, remember, he later got fired from Pega, then he came and told Appian what had happened? And he said when he was leaving, they contacted him and said, give back the Zou documents. And he said, well, that was a turning point for me. You know, it</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) working on for years. The only thing asked me from back at Pega was give me back the Zou documents. And he said that's the only thing they wanted to know about. So then he came and he told Appian what happened. Now, that was some of the Zou era conduct, but let's also talk about what happened thereafter. Now, in 2017, Appian started offering trials, right, consistent with industry trends. But from the very start, Pega knew they were not authorized to get access to that. Here's a 2017 e-mail from Mayran Barak, who works in product management at Pega: I can't get any sort of access. You remember later in that </pre>

	Page 8090		Page 8091
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	deposition early in the case. You	2	And look at what they say, you
3	know what he said? Appian's like a	3	cannot use it if you're a competitor
4	black box about giving out trials.	4	or provide information to a competitor
5	It's impossible to get, or it's very	5	nor if you mask your identity. But
6	difficult.	6	they did it, and they did it
7	He didn't know anyone that even	7	repeatedly.
8	had one. Remember, they are	8	Remember, in 2019, what
9	suggesting to you, oh, anyone can have	9	Leon Trefler started. They say, you
10	a trial. How can there be any secrets	10	know, we can get free trials, but he
11	there? It's a black box according to	11	doesn't say, let's just try and sign
12	their own people.	12	up. No, no, he says, let's have a
13	Even when they are up to the	13	Pega ventures' partner sign up for us.
14	real shady stuff with Mr. Le, all the	14	Remember, he said those are
15	fake names, wives' businesses, look at	15	Mr. Trefler said those are the small
16	what they say. They want to qualify	16	client-service businesses who we give
17	the trial requests. Appian doesn't	17	seed money to. From the start,
18	want everyone to have it to try out.	18	subterfuge, subterfuge. Let's try and
19	Even Mr. Trefler is told by	19	get one of them to do it, BP3 maybe.
20	Mr. Baril, Appian is very tightly	20	Then soon enough, they raised
21	controlled by who has access.	21	the idea for all the senior
22	Mr. Baril e-mails himself the Terms of	22	management look at them all lined
23	Use. They tell you no one pays	23	up there, Schuerman, Bixby, Trefler,
24	attention to Terms of Use, he e-mailed	24	Baril they're thrilled, enthusiasm
25	them to himself at Pega.	25	all around for going and do this.
	Deve: 0000		
			Dago 8092
1	Page 8092 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Page 8093 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Think about the shadiness and	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
1 2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Think about the shadiness and		-
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Think about the shadiness and the arrogance. Not a single person	2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) and she wasn't very happy about it. They even put a cartoonish
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Think about the shadiness and the arrogance. Not a single person says, let's not do this.	2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) and she wasn't very happy about it. They even put a cartoonish medieval meme in their own messages
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Think about the shadiness and the arrogance. Not a single person says, let's not do this. What happens, then? Ben Baril	2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) and she wasn't very happy about it. They even put a cartoonish medieval meme in their own messages about this. They're going right along
2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Think about the shadiness and the arrogance. Not a single person says, let's not do this. What happens, then? Ben Baril gets tossed with this. And as the	2 3 4 5	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) and she wasn't very happy about it. They even put a cartoonish medieval meme in their own messages about this. They're going right along with it. They know exactly what</pre>
2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Think about the shadiness and the arrogance. Not a single person says, let's not do this. What happens, then? Ben Baril gets tossed with this. And as the project evolves, he's doing it more	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) and she wasn't very happy about it. They even put a cartoonish medieval meme in their own messages about this. They're going right along with it. They know exactly what they're doing.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Think about the shadiness and the arrogance. Not a single person says, let's not do this. What happens, then? Ben Baril gets tossed with this. And as the project evolves, he's doing it more directly for Alan Trefler. They call</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) and she wasn't very happy about it. They even put a cartoonish medieval meme in their own messages about this. They're going right along with it. They know exactly what they're doing. Remember this guy, Peter</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Think about the shadiness and the arrogance. Not a single person says, let's not do this. What happens, then? Ben Baril gets tossed with this. And as the project evolves, he's doing it more directly for Alan Trefler. They call it a critical CI brief, competitive</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) and she wasn't very happy about it. They even put a cartoonish medieval meme in their own messages about this. They're going right along with it. They know exactly what they're doing.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Think about the shadiness and the arrogance. Not a single person says, let's not do this. What happens, then? Ben Baril gets tossed with this. And as the project evolves, he's doing it more directly for Alan Trefler. They call</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) and she wasn't very happy about it. They even put a cartoonish medieval meme in their own messages about this. They're going right along with it. They know exactly what they're doing. Remember this guy, Peter Bessman? He's the one who they sent</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Think about the shadiness and the arrogance. Not a single person says, let's not do this. What happens, then? Ben Baril gets tossed with this. And as the project evolves, he's doing it more directly for Alan Trefler. They call it a critical CI brief, competitive intelligence.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) and she wasn't very happy about it. They even put a cartoonish medieval meme in their own messages about this. They're going right along with it. They know exactly what they're doing. Remember this guy, Peter Bessman? He's the one who they sent Michael Fine's credentials to because</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Think about the shadiness and the arrogance. Not a single person says, let's not do this. What happens, then? Ben Baril gets tossed with this. And as the project evolves, he's doing it more directly for Alan Trefler. They call it a critical CI brief, competitive intelligence. Then he starts working with</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) and she wasn't very happy about it. They even put a cartoonish medieval meme in their own messages about this. They're going right along with it. They know exactly what they're doing. Remember this guy, Peter Bessman? He's the one who they sent Michael Fine's credentials to because he's a tech expert and he goes deep</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Think about the shadiness and the arrogance. Not a single person says, let's not do this. What happens, then? Ben Baril gets tossed with this. And as the project evolves, he's doing it more directly for Alan Trefler. They call it a critical CI brief, competitive intelligence. Then he starts working with these guys, Fine and Le. Look at what</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) and she wasn't very happy about it. They even put a cartoonish medieval meme in their own messages about this. They're going right along with it. They know exactly what they're doing. Remember this guy, Peter Bessman? He's the one who they sent Michael Fine's credentials to because he's a tech expert and he goes deep building in the Appian platform.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Think about the shadiness and the arrogance. Not a single person says, let's not do this. What happens, then? Ben Baril gets tossed with this. And as the project evolves, he's doing it more directly for Alan Trefler. They call it a critical CI brief, competitive intelligence. Then he starts working with these guys, Fine and Le. Look at what he says with Mr. Fine, Mr. Fine says:</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) and she wasn't very happy about it. They even put a cartoonish medieval meme in their own messages about this. They're going right along with it. They know exactly what they're doing. Remember this guy, Peter Bessman? He's the one who they sent Michael Fine's credentials to because he's a tech expert and he goes deep building in the Appian platform. Remember, we played a few clips</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Think about the shadiness and the arrogance. Not a single person says, let's not do this. What happens, then? Ben Baril gets tossed with this. And as the project evolves, he's doing it more directly for Alan Trefler. They call it a critical CI brief, competitive intelligence. Then he starts working with these guys, Fine and Le. Look at what he says with Mr. Fine, Mr. Fine says: I'm going to set you up with an e-mail</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) and she wasn't very happy about it. They even put a cartoonish medieval meme in their own messages about this. They're going right along with it. They know exactly what they're doing. Remember this guy, Peter Bessman? He's the one who they sent Michael Fine's credentials to because he's a tech expert and he goes deep building in the Appian platform. Remember, we played a few clips with heavy metal music that he was</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Think about the shadiness and the arrogance. Not a single person says, let's not do this. What happens, then? Ben Baril gets tossed with this. And as the project evolves, he's doing it more directly for Alan Trefler. They call it a critical CI brief, competitive intelligence. Then he starts working with these guys, Fine and Le. Look at what he says with Mr. Fine, Mr. Fine says: I'm going to set you up with an e-mail from my wife's business, Palencia</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) and she wasn't very happy about it. They even put a cartoonish medieval meme in their own messages about this. They're going right along with it. They know exactly what they're doing. Remember this guy, Peter Bessman? He's the one who they sent Michael Fine's credentials to because he's a tech expert and he goes deep building in the Appian platform. Remember, we played a few clips with heavy metal music that he was listening to while he was doing it?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Think about the shadiness and the arrogance. Not a single person says, let's not do this. What happens, then? Ben Baril gets tossed with this. And as the project evolves, he's doing it more directly for Alan Trefler. They call it a critical CI brief, competitive intelligence. Then he starts working with these guys, Fine and Le. Look at what he says with Mr. Fine, Mr. Fine says: I'm going to set you up with an e-mail from my wife's business, Palencia Business Center. It's my wife's small</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) and she wasn't very happy about it. They even put a cartoonish medieval meme in their own messages about this. They're going right along with it. They know exactly what they're doing. Remember this guy, Peter Bessman? He's the one who they sent Michael Fine's credentials to because he's a tech expert and he goes deep building in the Appian platform. Remember, we played a few clips with heavy metal music that he was listening to while he was doing it? He wasn't listening to the Goo Goo</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Think about the shadiness and the arrogance. Not a single person says, let's not do this. What happens, then? Ben Baril gets tossed with this. And as the project evolves, he's doing it more directly for Alan Trefler. They call it a critical CI brief, competitive intelligence. Then he starts working with these guys, Fine and Le. Look at what he says with Mr. Fine, Mr. Fine says: I'm going to set you up with an e-mail from my wife's business, Palencia Business Center. It's my wife's small business. That's how we'll get it.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) and she wasn't very happy about it. They even put a cartoonish medieval meme in their own messages about this. They're going right along with it. They know exactly what they're doing. Remember this guy, Peter Bessman? He's the one who they sent Michael Fine's credentials to because he's a tech expert and he goes deep building in the Appian platform. Remember, we played a few clips with heavy metal music that he was listening to while he was doing it? He wasn't listening to the Goo Goo Dolls. He was listening to the heavy</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Think about the shadiness and the arrogance. Not a single person says, let's not do this. What happens, then? Ben Baril gets tossed with this. And as the project evolves, he's doing it more directly for Alan Trefler. They call it a critical CI brief, competitive intelligence. Then he starts working with these guys, Fine and Le. Look at what he says with Mr. Fine, Mr. Fine says: I'm going to set you up with an e-mail from my wife's business, Palencia Business Center. It's my wife's small business. That's how we'll get it. And Mr. Baril, he's boasting</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) and she wasn't very happy about it. They even put a cartoonish medieval meme in their own messages about this. They're going right along with it. They know exactly what they're doing. Remember this guy, Peter Bessman? He's the one who they sent Michael Fine's credentials to because he's a tech expert and he goes deep building in the Appian platform. Remember, we played a few clips with heavy metal music that he was listening to while he was doing it? He wasn't listening to the Goo Goo Dolls. He was listening to the heavy metal. You remember those clips.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Think about the shadiness and the arrogance. Not a single person says, let's not do this. What happens, then? Ben Baril gets tossed with this. And as the project evolves, he's doing it more directly for Alan Trefler. They call it a critical CI brief, competitive intelligence. Then he starts working with these guys, Fine and Le. Look at what he says with Mr. Fine, Mr. Fine says: I'm going to set you up with an e-mail from my wife's business, Palencia Business Center. It's my wife's small business. That's how we'll get it. And Mr. Baril, he's boasting about creating his fake identity, Andrew Powers Consulting. Ha, ha,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) and she wasn't very happy about it. They even put a cartoonish medieval meme in their own messages about this. They're going right along with it. They know exactly what they're doing. Remember this guy, Peter Bessman? He's the one who they sent Michael Fine's credentials to because he's a tech expert and he goes deep building in the Appian platform. Remember, we played a few clips with heavy metal music that he was listening to while he was doing it? He wasn't listening to the Goo Goo Dolls. He was listening to the heavy metal. You remember those clips. Then what about Mr. Le, Nguyen Le, yeah? He's also using his wife's</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Think about the shadiness and the arrogance. Not a single person says, let's not do this. What happens, then? Ben Baril gets tossed with this. And as the project evolves, he's doing it more directly for Alan Trefler. They call it a critical CI brief, competitive intelligence. Then he starts working with these guys, Fine and Le. Look at what he says with Mr. Fine, Mr. Fine says: I'm going to set you up with an e-mail from my wife's business, Palencia Business Center. It's my wife's small business. That's how we'll get it. And Mr. Baril, he's boasting about creating his fake identity, Andrew Powers Consulting. Ha, ha, they say. They're having a grand time</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) and she wasn't very happy about it. They even put a cartoonish medieval meme in their own messages about this. They're going right along with it. They know exactly what they're doing. Remember this guy, Peter Bessman? He's the one who they sent Michael Fine's credentials to because he's a tech expert and he goes deep building in the Appian platform. Remember, we played a few clips with heavy metal music that he was listening to while he was doing it? He wasn't listening to the Goo Goo Dolls. He was listening to the heavy metal. You remember those clips. Then what about Mr. Le, Nguyen Le, yeah? He's also using his wife's business, beauty industry. Related to</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Think about the shadiness and the arrogance. Not a single person says, let's not do this. What happens, then? Ben Baril gets tossed with this. And as the project evolves, he's doing it more directly for Alan Trefler. They call it a critical CI brief, competitive intelligence. Then he starts working with these guys, Fine and Le. Look at what he says with Mr. Fine, Mr. Fine says: I'm going to set you up with an e-mail from my wife's business, Palencia Business Center. It's my wife's small business. That's how we'll get it. And Mr. Baril, he's boasting about creating his fake identity, Andrew Powers Consulting. Ha, ha, they say. They're having a grand time doing this.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) and she wasn't very happy about it. They even put a cartoonish medieval meme in their own messages about this. They're going right along with it. They know exactly what they're doing. Remember this guy, Peter Bessman? He's the one who they sent Michael Fine's credentials to because he's a tech expert and he goes deep building in the Appian platform. Remember, we played a few clips with heavy metal music that he was listening to while he was doing it? He wasn't listening to the Goo Goo Dolls. He was listening to the heavy metal. You remember those clips. Then what about Mr. Le, Nguyen Le, yeah? He's also using his wife's</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Think about the shadiness and the arrogance. Not a single person says, let's not do this. What happens, then? Ben Baril gets tossed with this. And as the project evolves, he's doing it more directly for Alan Trefler. They call it a critical CI brief, competitive intelligence. Then he starts working with these guys, Fine and Le. Look at what he says with Mr. Fine, Mr. Fine says: I'm going to set you up with an e-mail from my wife's business, Palencia Business Center. It's my wife's small business. That's how we'll get it. And Mr. Baril, he's boasting about creating his fake identity, Andrew Powers Consulting. Ha, ha, they say. They're having a grand time</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) and she wasn't very happy about it. They even put a cartoonish medieval meme in their own messages about this. They're going right along with it. They know exactly what they're doing. Remember this guy, Peter Bessman? He's the one who they sent Michael Fine's credentials to because he's a tech expert and he goes deep building in the Appian platform. Remember, we played a few clips with heavy metal music that he was listening to while he was doing it? He wasn't listening to the Goo Goo Dolls. He was listening to the heavy metal. You remember those clips. Then what about Mr. Le, Nguyen Le, yeah? He's also using his wife's business, beauty industry. Related to my wife's business, that's how they</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Think about the shadiness and the arrogance. Not a single person says, let's not do this. What happens, then? Ben Baril gets tossed with this. And as the project evolves, he's doing it more directly for Alan Trefler. They call it a critical CI brief, competitive intelligence. Then he starts working with these guys, Fine and Le. Look at what he says with Mr. Fine, Mr. Fine says: I'm going to set you up with an e-mail from my wife's business, Palencia Business Center. It's my wife's small business. That's how we'll get it. And Mr. Baril, he's boasting about creating his fake identity, Andrew Powers Consulting. Ha, ha, they say. They're having a grand time doing this. Mr. Fine, he didn't even tell</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) and she wasn't very happy about it. They even put a cartoonish medieval meme in their own messages about this. They're going right along with it. They know exactly what they're doing. Remember this guy, Peter Bessman? He's the one who they sent Michael Fine's credentials to because he's a tech expert and he goes deep building in the Appian platform. Remember, we played a few clips with heavy metal music that he was listening to while he was doing it? He wasn't listening to the Goo Goo Dolls. He was listening to the heavy metal. You remember those clips. Then what about Mr. Le, Nguyen Le, yeah? He's also using his wife's business, beauty industry. Related to my wife's business, that's how they get this access.</pre>

	D	1	D
1	Page 8094 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Page 8095 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Mr. Baril, he says candidly, all	2	CEO for 39 years. Do you think he can
3	of them knew about this. Schuerman,	3	find stuff that's online before his
4	Bessman, Fine, Le, one Trefler, the	4	coffee gets cold? Why does he want a
5	brothers Trefler. They all know what	5	demo if it's so pointless?
6	he's up to.	6	Mr. Baril, he knows exactly that
7	Is it any wonder they are doing	7	means. He says: I can't do it
8	this?	8	because I've lost my trial access.
9	Remember who the CEO setting the	9	You can work on another one,
10	color and culture is, it's ascii, A	10	though. My spies, my spies are on the
11	Skii, Albert Skii, Paul Foon, and AU	11	
11		12	case.
	sending e-mails to his own people,		Remember, misappropriation
13	even they're surprised their CEO has	13	involves improper means. One example
14	so many personas.	14	of that, espionage. Here they are
15	And he personally is running the	15	again, my spies.
16	show. Look at his testimony, he was	16	They are telling candidly what
17	delighted, excited. And Mr. Baril was	17	they are doing. Alan wants targeted
18	now involved because he asked him to	18	and damning attacks. That's why it's
19	do an analysis of the Appian	19	gold dust.
20	technology. He sent him e-mails with	20	He says: I've been spending my
21	all the technical specifications that	21	time this is Mr. Baril diving
22	he wanted studied. He wants to spend	22	deep into the Appian software.
23	an hour in the demo.	23	He's not using Google. He's
24	Do you think Mr. Trefler knows	24	diving deep in the software, including
25	how to use Google? He's been a tech	25	taking videos.
1	Page 8096	_	Page 8097
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And what's the response of the	2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) tells Mr. Schuerman remember
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And what's the response of the leadership, is anyone saying, what are	2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) tells Mr. Schuerman remember Mr. Schuerman, I didn't know about any
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And what's the response of the leadership, is anyone saying, what are you doing; we don't have a license.	2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) tells Mr. Schuerman remember Mr. Schuerman, I didn't know about any of this until after the fact.
2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And what's the response of the leadership, is anyone saying, what are you doing; we don't have a license. Stop?	2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) tells Mr. Schuerman remember Mr. Schuerman, I didn't know about any of this until after the fact. Here he is telling him about
2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And what's the response of the leadership, is anyone saying, what are you doing; we don't have a license. Stop? No, Mr. Leon Trefler says to	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) tells Mr. Schuerman remember Mr. Schuerman, I didn't know about any of this until after the fact. Here he is telling him about exactly what he's doing. He's asking</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And what's the response of the leadership, is anyone saying, what are you doing; we don't have a license. Stop? No, Mr. Leon Trefler says to him: Take this seriously. I'm going</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) tells Mr. Schuerman remember Mr. Schuerman, I didn't know about any of this until after the fact. Here he is telling him about exactly what he's doing. He's asking him: Who can I ask about the legality</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And what's the response of the leadership, is anyone saying, what are you doing; we don't have a license. Stop? No, Mr. Leon Trefler says to him: Take this seriously. I'm going to give you more resources.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) tells Mr. Schuerman remember Mr. Schuerman, I didn't know about any of this until after the fact. Here he is telling him about exactly what he's doing. He's asking him: Who can I ask about the legality of this?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And what's the response of the leadership, is anyone saying, what are you doing; we don't have a license. Stop? No, Mr. Leon Trefler says to him: Take this seriously. I'm going to give you more resources. I don't need to underline this</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) tells Mr. Schuerman remember Mr. Schuerman, I didn't know about any of this until after the fact. Here he is telling him about exactly what he's doing. He's asking him: Who can I ask about the legality of this? Even Mr. Baril had a moment of</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And what's the response of the leadership, is anyone saying, what are you doing; we don't have a license. Stop? No, Mr. Leon Trefler says to him: Take this seriously. I'm going to give you more resources. I don't need to underline this one, he underlined it himself. And</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) tells Mr. Schuerman remember Mr. Schuerman, I didn't know about any of this until after the fact. Here he is telling him about exactly what he's doing. He's asking him: Who can I ask about the legality of this? Even Mr. Baril had a moment of conscious. What does Mr. Schuerman</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And what's the response of the leadership, is anyone saying, what are you doing; we don't have a license. Stop? No, Mr. Leon Trefler says to him: Take this seriously. I'm going to give you more resources. I don't need to underline this one, he underlined it himself. And they got those resources. They got</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) tells Mr. Schuerman remember Mr. Schuerman, I didn't know about any of this until after the fact. Here he is telling him about exactly what he's doing. He's asking him: Who can I ask about the legality of this? Even Mr. Baril had a moment of conscious. What does Mr. Schuerman say: How much more do you need?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And what's the response of the leadership, is anyone saying, what are you doing; we don't have a license. Stop? No, Mr. Leon Trefler says to him: Take this seriously. I'm going to give you more resources. I don't need to underline this one, he underlined it himself. And they got those resources. They got Peter Bessman.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) tells Mr. Schuerman remember Mr. Schuerman, I didn't know about any of this until after the fact. Here he is telling him about exactly what he's doing. He's asking him: Who can I ask about the legality of this? Even Mr. Baril had a moment of conscious. What does Mr. Schuerman say: How much more do you need? Mr. Baril, he's making all the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And what's the response of the leadership, is anyone saying, what are you doing; we don't have a license. Stop? No, Mr. Leon Trefler says to him: Take this seriously. I'm going to give you more resources. I don't need to underline this one, he underlined it himself. And they got those resources. They got Peter Bessman. And look, they tell you what</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) tells Mr. Schuerman remember Mr. Schuerman, I didn't know about any of this until after the fact. Here he is telling him about exactly what he's doing. He's asking him: Who can I ask about the legality of this? Even Mr. Baril had a moment of conscious. What does Mr. Schuerman say: How much more do you need? Mr. Baril, he's making all the videos strictly internal, he's sending</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And what's the response of the leadership, is anyone saying, what are you doing; we don't have a license. Stop? No, Mr. Leon Trefler says to him: Take this seriously. I'm going to give you more resources. I don't need to underline this one, he underlined it himself. And they got those resources. They got Peter Bessman. And look, they tell you what this is all about, though, right in</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) tells Mr. Schuerman remember Mr. Schuerman, I didn't know about any of this until after the fact. Here he is telling him about exactly what he's doing. He's asking him: Who can I ask about the legality of this? Even Mr. Baril had a moment of conscious. What does Mr. Schuerman say: How much more do you need? Mr. Baril, he's making all the videos strictly internal, he's sending them all over the company. He creates</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And what's the response of the leadership, is anyone saying, what are you doing; we don't have a license. Stop? No, Mr. Leon Trefler says to him: Take this seriously. I'm going to give you more resources. I don't need to underline this one, he underlined it himself. And they got those resources. They got Peter Bessman. And look, they tell you what this is all about, though, right in the contemporaneous document. Their</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) tells Mr. Schuerman remember Mr. Schuerman, I didn't know about any of this until after the fact. Here he is telling him about exactly what he's doing. He's asking him: Who can I ask about the legality of this? Even Mr. Baril had a moment of conscious. What does Mr. Schuerman say: How much more do you need? Mr. Baril, he's making all the videos strictly internal, he's sending them all over the company. He creates this whole analysis on Appian with all</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And what's the response of the leadership, is anyone saying, what are you doing; we don't have a license. Stop? No, Mr. Leon Trefler says to him: Take this seriously. I'm going to give you more resources. I don't need to underline this one, he underlined it himself. And they got those resources. They got Peter Bessman. And look, they tell you what this is all about, though, right in the contemporaneous document. Their goal is to steal deals from Appian.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) tells Mr. Schuerman remember Mr. Schuerman, I didn't know about any of this until after the fact. Here he is telling him about exactly what he's doing. He's asking him: Who can I ask about the legality of this? Even Mr. Baril had a moment of conscious. What does Mr. Schuerman say: How much more do you need? Mr. Baril, he's making all the videos strictly internal, he's sending them all over the company. He creates this whole analysis on Appian with all the information he's gathered.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And what's the response of the leadership, is anyone saying, what are you doing; we don't have a license. Stop? No, Mr. Leon Trefler says to him: Take this seriously. I'm going to give you more resources. I don't need to underline this one, he underlined it himself. And they got those resources. They got Peter Bessman. And look, they tell you what this is all about, though, right in the contemporaneous document. Their goal is to steal deals from Appian. And look at what he says at the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) tells Mr. Schuerman remember Mr. Schuerman, I didn't know about any of this until after the fact. Here he is telling him about exactly what he's doing. He's asking him: Who can I ask about the legality of this? Even Mr. Baril had a moment of conscious. What does Mr. Schuerman say: How much more do you need? Mr. Baril, he's making all the videos strictly internal, he's sending them all over the company. He creates this whole analysis on Appian with all the information he's gathered. They say, you know, it's</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And what's the response of the leadership, is anyone saying, what are you doing; we don't have a license. Stop? No, Mr. Leon Trefler says to him: Take this seriously. I'm going to give you more resources. I don't need to underline this one, he underlined it himself. And they got those resources. They got Peter Bessman. And look, they tell you what this is all about, though, right in the contemporaneous document. Their goal is to steal deals from Appian. And look at what he says at the top, he puts at the top there</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) tells Mr. Schuerman remember Mr. Schuerman, I didn't know about any of this until after the fact. Here he is telling him about exactly what he's doing. He's asking him: Who can I ask about the legality of this? Even Mr. Baril had a moment of conscious. What does Mr. Schuerman say: How much more do you need? Mr. Baril, he's making all the videos strictly internal, he's sending them all over the company. He creates this whole analysis on Appian with all the information he's gathered. They say, you know, it's nothing. You can just Google it.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And what's the response of the leadership, is anyone saying, what are you doing; we don't have a license. Stop? No, Mr. Leon Trefler says to him: Take this seriously. I'm going to give you more resources. I don't need to underline this one, he underlined it himself. And they got those resources. They got Peter Bessman. And look, they tell you what this is all about, though, right in the contemporaneous document. Their goal is to steal deals from Appian. And look at what he says at the top, he puts at the top there "privilege." Privilege, like it's</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) tells Mr. Schuerman remember Mr. Schuerman, I didn't know about any of this until after the fact. Here he is telling him about exactly what he's doing. He's asking him: Who can I ask about the legality of this? Even Mr. Baril had a moment of conscious. What does Mr. Schuerman say: How much more do you need? Mr. Baril, he's making all the videos strictly internal, he's sending them all over the company. He creates this whole analysis on Appian with all the information he's gathered. They say, you know, it's nothing. You can just Google it. And we showed you from Mr. Fine</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And what's the response of the leadership, is anyone saying, what are you doing; we don't have a license. Stop? No, Mr. Leon Trefler says to him: Take this seriously. I'm going to give you more resources. I don't need to underline this one, he underlined it himself. And they got those resources. They got Peter Bessman. And look, they tell you what this is all about, though, right in the contemporaneous document. Their goal is to steal deals from Appian. And look at what he says at the top, he puts at the top there "privilege." Privilege, like it's protected by because it's an</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) tells Mr. Schuerman remember Mr. Schuerman, I didn't know about any of this until after the fact. Here he is telling him about exactly what he's doing. He's asking him: Who can I ask about the legality of this? Even Mr. Baril had a moment of conscious. What does Mr. Schuerman say: How much more do you need? Mr. Baril, he's making all the videos strictly internal, he's sending them all over the company. He creates this whole analysis on Appian with all the information he's gathered. They say, you know, it's nothing. You can just Google it. And we showed you from Mr. Fine how they used all of this in realtime</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And what's the response of the leadership, is anyone saying, what are you doing; we don't have a license. Stop? No, Mr. Leon Trefler says to him: Take this seriously. I'm going to give you more resources. I don't need to underline this one, he underlined it himself. And they got those resources. They got Peter Bessman. And look, they tell you what this is all about, though, right in the contemporaneous document. Their goal is to steal deals from Appian. And look at what he says at the top, he puts at the top there "privilege." Privilege, like it's</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) tells Mr. Schuerman remember Mr. Schuerman, I didn't know about any of this until after the fact. Here he is telling him about exactly what he's doing. He's asking him: Who can I ask about the legality of this? Even Mr. Baril had a moment of conscious. What does Mr. Schuerman say: How much more do you need? Mr. Baril, he's making all the videos strictly internal, he's sending them all over the company. He creates this whole analysis on Appian with all the information he's gathered. They say, you know, it's nothing. You can just Google it. And we showed you from Mr. Fine</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And what's the response of the leadership, is anyone saying, what are you doing; we don't have a license. Stop? No, Mr. Leon Trefler says to him: Take this seriously. I'm going to give you more resources. I don't need to underline this one, he underlined it himself. And they got those resources. They got Peter Bessman. And look, they tell you what this is all about, though, right in the contemporaneous document. Their goal is to steal deals from Appian. And look at what he says at the top, he puts at the top there "privilege." Privilege, like it's protected by because it's an attorney-client communication, it</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) tells Mr. Schuerman remember Mr. Schuerman, I didn't know about any of this until after the fact. Here he is telling him about exactly what he's doing. He's asking him: Who can I ask about the legality of this? Even Mr. Baril had a moment of conscious. What does Mr. Schuerman say: How much more do you need? Mr. Baril, he's making all the videos strictly internal, he's sending them all over the company. He creates this whole analysis on Appian with all the information he's gathered. They say, you know, it's nothing. You can just Google it. And we showed you from Mr. Fine how they used all of this in realtime in the marketplace. He gave you a lot</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And what's the response of the leadership, is anyone saying, what are you doing; we don't have a license. Stop? No, Mr. Leon Trefler says to him: Take this seriously. I'm going to give you more resources. I don't need to underline this one, he underlined it himself. And they got those resources. They got Peter Bessman. And look, they tell you what this is all about, though, right in the contemporaneous document. Their goal is to steal deals from Appian. And look at what he says at the top, he puts at the top there "privilege." Privilege, like it's protected by because it's an attorney-client communication, it shouldn't get produced in litigation.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) tells Mr. Schuerman remember Mr. Schuerman, I didn't know about any of this until after the fact. Here he is telling him about exactly what he's doing. He's asking him: Who can I ask about the legality of this? Even Mr. Baril had a moment of conscious. What does Mr. Schuerman say: How much more do you need? Mr. Baril, he's making all the videos strictly internal, he's sending them all over the company. He creates this whole analysis on Appian with all the information he's gathered. They say, you know, it's nothing. You can just Google it. And we showed you from Mr. Fine how they used all of this in realtime in the marketplace. He gave you a lot of testimony about USAA Insurance</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) And what's the response of the leadership, is anyone saying, what are you doing; we don't have a license. Stop? No, Mr. Leon Trefler says to him: Take this seriously. I'm going to give you more resources. I don't need to underline this one, he underlined it himself. And they got those resources. They got Peter Bessman. And look, they tell you what this is all about, though, right in the contemporaneous document. Their goal is to steal deals from Appian. And look at what he says at the top, he puts at the top there "privilege." Privilege, like it's protected by because it's an attorney-client communication, it shouldn't get produced in litigation. I'm afraid it did get produced</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) tells Mr. Schuerman remember Mr. Schuerman, I didn't know about any of this until after the fact. Here he is telling him about exactly what he's doing. He's asking him: Who can I ask about the legality of this? Even Mr. Baril had a moment of conscious. What does Mr. Schuerman say: How much more do you need? Mr. Baril, he's making all the videos strictly internal, he's sending them all over the company. He creates this whole analysis on Appian with all the information he's gathered. They say, you know, it's nothing. You can just Google it. And we showed you from Mr. Fine how they used all of this in realtime in the marketplace. He gave you a lot of testimony about USAA Insurance Company, where they were directly</pre>

	Page 8098		Page 8099
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	And as it went on, remember the	2	Now, what are the trade secrets
3	people in India, they too circulated	3	we're talking about here?
4	information they'd gotten on access to	4	You've seen them through these
5	Appian. They don't capture the	5	documents. You've seen them being
6	username if you make any recordings,	6	developed. You know what they are,
7	because it's not legitimate access.	7	but I thought I would just list them
8	We showed you Mr. Sarada. He	8	to help you.
9	says remember all his cousins, his	9	Concurrent development, we
10	cousins who work for Appian Partners,	10	talked about the specifics on the
11	they live in the same house all	11	reporting tools, the process ID issue,
12	together. He's using all of their	12	specifics on the management tool, star
13	credentials.	13	schema, the specific configuration,
14	He says he always used someone	14	the topology specifics, including,
15	else's credentials. When they finally	15	remember, the experiments they are
16	updated that Interrogatory 13 after we	16	doing. We showed you some videos of
17	had all the information from instant	17	that.
18	messages, not before, then there were	18	We're seeing the kbd+ file
19	a lot more names that came up.	19	building. We're calculating how much
20	The ones you looked at, Ladies	20	hardware is needed. And, of course,
21	and gentlemen, they even had the	21	there's confidential documentation we
22	intern, the summer intern Harry Zhang,	22	showed Mr. Zou sending and we have now
23	even had him breaking into Appian.	23	in the record.
24	Think about the culture, the arrogance	24	All of this about Appian's
25	of this company.	25	architectural and design, things you
	Page 8100		Page 8101
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	need to dig under the surface to get	2	MR. MANGI: Just a little flavor
3	to.	3	to remind you of all the videos you
4	And Dr. Marshall talked to you	4	saw of Mr. Petronio.
5	about another set of trade secrets,	5	And then Mr. Petronio took you
6	how Appian designed and implemented	6	through these, the whole list I showed
7	Smart Services, CDTs, ease of editing,	7	you, one by one, and he told you
8	and out-of-the-box ability to deploy	8	exactly where it came from. It didn't
9	the mobile and integrated social view.	9	come from Google. It came from
10	And, you know, this is important	10	Mr. Zou.
11	because what does Pega do? They said,	11	Concurrent development, where
12	oh, you know, we were working on	12	did you get that? Zou.
13	mobile. We were working on social.	13	Weak reporting tools and the
14	Look at all this other stuff we were	14	specific details, where did you get
15	doing.	15	that? We learned it from Zou.
16	So what?	16	Process ID, where did you get
17	They even have this, the	17	that information? We learned it from
18	specific trade secrets, and you saw	18	Zou.
19	exactly where they got it from.	19	Did you know about any of this
20	Let me show you I showed you	20	management tool stuff before Zou? No.
21	a lot of videos. I'm not going to	21	He knows how to use Google. He
22	repeat them all. I just want to	22	didn't know it.
23	refresh you on where this is coming	23	Star schema, did you know that?
24	from, just one.	24	Where did you get that info? That
25	(Video played.)	25	came from Zou.

	Page 8102		Page 8103
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Configuration and checkpointing,	2	that video?
3	came from Zou.	3	And we explained what about
4	Topology information, the	4	that? And he said, yep, confidential
5	specific details they learned, came	5	documentation. I got that from Zou.
6	from Zou.	6	Now, Mr. Schuerman, he doesn't
7	The confidential documentation	7	admit all of them, but even he admits
8	that Zou shared.	8	some of them not previously available
9	Experiments, we talked about	9	to Pegasystems.
10	this, and this really sums up a lot of	10	Their attitude's Google, right?
11	what was happening. We wanted to try	11	It's not available. They know how to
12	stuff out, pressure testing, do as	12	use Google.
13	many experiments as we could so we	13	And he admits they didn't know
14	could really learn the information	14	about concurrent development,
15	below the surface of what was talked	15	checkpointing specifics, web service.
16	about.	16	He admits it afterwards.
17	Leave no stone unturned to find	17	Now, one of the questions you
18	weaknesses, analyze everything. Big	18	are asked in the instructions is: Did
19	effort. That sums up, you know, in	19	Appian have a trade secret?
20		20	Let's talk about that.
20	some ways, what this was all about. And remember in one of the	20	Dr. Cole testified before you,
	videos, he had this real note of		
22		22	right? You remember him. He has a
23	excitement in his voice. When he	23	Ph.D. in cyber security. He only has
24	looked at the documentation, he said,	24	one Ph.D., but it's one he spent many
25	oh, I'd love to see that. Remember	25	years getting from a serious
1	Page 8104	-	Page 8105
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	university.	2	Now, the definition of trade
3	And look at his career. He was	3	secrets, right, derives independent
4	a hacker for the CIA, right? This is	4	economic value, goes on from there,
5	one of the most serious guys you can	5	and is the subject of efforts that are
6	find in this field. Look, he was the	6	reasonable to maintain the security.
7	chief scientist at Lockheed Martin,	7	This is one of Dr. Cole slides.
8	the chief technology officer at	8	Now, now maybe you see why we used
9	McAfee. He was President Obama's	9	some of phrasing. He is tracking
10	commissioner on cyber security. He	10	exactly what the statute is explaining
11	had cyber wings from the US Air Force.	11	to you why it's satisfied.
12	Remember, he said there are two	12	Well, let's talk about, first,
112		1 2	
13	key certifications in this area? I	13	this issue of reasonable security. He
14	key certifications in this area? I have one, I don't have the other.	14	took you through this in detail. I'm
14 15	key certifications in this area? I have one, I don't have the other. Why don't you have the other?	14 15	took you through this in detail. I'm not going to read all of this, you
14 15 16	key certifications in this area? I have one, I don't have the other. Why don't you have the other? Because I have the one behind it.	14 15 16	took you through this in detail. I'm not going to read all of this, you heard it.
14 15 16 17	key certifications in this area? I have one, I don't have the other. Why don't you have the other? Because I have the one behind it. He took you through all the	14 15 16 17	took you through this in detail. I'm not going to read all of this, you heard it. Protecting against all manner of
14 15 16 17 18	<pre>key certifications in this area? I have one, I don't have the other. Why don't you have the other? Because I have the one behind it. He took you through all the books he wrote, including one about</pre>	14 15 16 17 18	took you through this in detail. I'm not going to read all of this, you heard it. Protecting against all manner of threats not just Zou, hackers,
14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>key certifications in this area? I have one, I don't have the other. Why don't you have the other? Because I have the one behind it. He took you through all the books he wrote, including one about insider threats like we have from Zou.</pre>	14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>took you through this in detail. I'm not going to read all of this, you heard it. Protecting against all manner of threats not just Zou, hackers, everything firewalls, multifactor</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>key certifications in this area? I have one, I don't have the other. Why don't you have the other? Because I have the one behind it. He took you through all the books he wrote, including one about insider threats like we have from Zou. Do you remember this other book?</pre>	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>took you through this in detail. I'm not going to read all of this, you heard it. Protecting against all manner of threats not just Zou, hackers, everything firewalls, multifactor authentication, encryption</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>key certifications in this area? I have one, I don't have the other. Why don't you have the other? Because I have the one behind it. He took you through all the books he wrote, including one about insider threats like we have from Zou. Do you remember this other book? He's the guy who Bill and Melinda</pre>	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>took you through this in detail. I'm not going to read all of this, you heard it. Protecting against all manner of threats not just Zou, hackers, everything firewalls, multifactor authentication, encryption employees focused on these policies,</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>key certifications in this area? I have one, I don't have the other. Why don't you have the other? Because I have the one behind it. He took you through all the books he wrote, including one about insider threats like we have from Zou. Do you remember this other book? He's the guy who Bill and Melinda Gates hired for their cyber security.</pre>	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>took you through this in detail. I'm not going to read all of this, you heard it. Protecting against all manner of threats not just Zou, hackers, everything firewalls, multifactor authentication, encryption employees focused on these policies, trainings, assessments,</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>key certifications in this area? I have one, I don't have the other. Why don't you have the other? Because I have the one behind it. He took you through all the books he wrote, including one about insider threats like we have from Zou. Do you remember this other book? He's the guy who Bill and Melinda Gates hired for their cyber security. President Obama, the Gates, they are</pre>	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>took you through this in detail. I'm not going to read all of this, you heard it. Protecting against all manner of threats not just Zou, hackers, everything firewalls, multifactor authentication, encryption employees focused on these policies, trainings, assessments, certifications, audits on security,</pre>
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>key certifications in this area? I have one, I don't have the other. Why don't you have the other? Because I have the one behind it. He took you through all the books he wrote, including one about insider threats like we have from Zou. Do you remember this other book? He's the guy who Bill and Melinda Gates hired for their cyber security.</pre>	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>took you through this in detail. I'm not going to read all of this, you heard it. Protecting against all manner of threats not just Zou, hackers, everything firewalls, multifactor authentication, encryption employees focused on these policies, trainings, assessments,</pre>

	Page 8106	1	Page 8107
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	And Dr. Cole told you why those	2	to protect what we were doing. And he
3	terms and licenses are so key, because	3	took you through those agreements.
4	in the software industry, you can't	4	It's a VA for Vets Agreement.
5	lock your software in a bank vault,	5	These are full of protections, they're
6	right? You've got to be out there	6	long. But I'll show you some little
7	selling it.	7	samples.
8	Their own expert Mr. Pinto says,	8	Here's part of that agreement:
9	yeah, you know, even the presentation,	9	Both sides agree to protect the
10	well, that has trade secrets in it.	10	confidential information of the other.
11	You've got to be out there	11	You can only disclose it to employees
12	selling it. So how do you protect	12	who have a need to know to do their
13	yourself? Terms of Use and License	13	job for Serco and agree to protect the
14	Agreements. That's what Appian had,	14	information subject to binding
15	and they didn't doubt it.	15	obligations.
16	Look at what Leon Trefler	16	Dr. Easttom says, oh, Appian
17	himself says: Does Pega use licenses	17	wasn't protecting itself with Serco.
18	and Terms of Use? Yes, Appian does	18	Look at what they had in the
19	too. That's what the BPM industry	19	Agreement.
20	does to protect themselves.	20	Did Serco get Mr. Zou to enter
21	Their own head of sales admits	21	into those binding obligations? Yes,
22	that.	22	remember, I showed it to you earlier.
23	Mr. Ross told you, we had	23	He signed the Confidential Information
24	agreements with Serco specifically,	24	Agreement.
25	since this is about Mr. Zou, in part,	25	Lots of other courses. Here's
	Page 8108		Page 8109
1		1	-
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) just one example, permitted usage, you	2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) address.
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) just one example, permitted usage, you can only use it for your job.	2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) address. Now, that was the second part of
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) just one example, permitted usage, you can only use it for your job. Employees who get it, they have to be	2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) address. Now, that was the second part of the instruction. What about the first
2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) just one example, permitted usage, you can only use it for your job. Employees who get it, they have to be people with a need to know who are	2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) address. Now, that was the second part of the instruction. What about the first part, the independent economic value?
2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) just one example, permitted usage, you can only use it for your job. Employees who get it, they have to be people with a need to know who are subject to confidentiality.	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) address. Now, that was the second part of the instruction. What about the first part, the independent economic value? He told you about that, too.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) just one example, permitted usage, you can only use it for your job. Employees who get it, they have to be people with a need to know who are subject to confidentiality. Appian did everything it could	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) address. Now, that was the second part of the instruction. What about the first part, the independent economic value? He told you about that, too. Mr. Ross told you, listen, this</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) just one example, permitted usage, you can only use it for your job. Employees who get it, they have to be people with a need to know who are subject to confidentiality. Appian did everything it could here.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) address. Now, that was the second part of the instruction. What about the first part, the independent economic value? He told you about that, too. Mr. Ross told you, listen, this information is our proprietary trade</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) just one example, permitted usage, you can only use it for your job. Employees who get it, they have to be people with a need to know who are subject to confidentiality. Appian did everything it could here. The other agreement was Appian</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) address. Now, that was the second part of the instruction. What about the first part, the independent economic value? He told you about that, too. Mr. Ross told you, listen, this information is our proprietary trade secrets. This is where we get all of</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) just one example, permitted usage, you can only use it for your job. Employees who get it, they have to be people with a need to know who are subject to confidentiality. Appian did everything it could here. The other agreement was Appian Terms and Conditions. This is a GAO</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) address. Now, that was the second part of the instruction. What about the first part, the independent economic value? He told you about that, too. Mr. Ross told you, listen, this information is our proprietary trade secrets. This is where we get all of our revenue from. That's why this</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) just one example, permitted usage, you can only use it for your job. Employees who get it, they have to be people with a need to know who are subject to confidentiality. Appian did everything it could here. The other agreement was Appian Terms and Conditions. This is a GAO Schedule Agreement. And those Terms</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) address. Now, that was the second part of the instruction. What about the first part, the independent economic value? He told you about that, too. Mr. Ross told you, listen, this information is our proprietary trade secrets. This is where we get all of our revenue from. That's why this matters. That's why they had this</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) just one example, permitted usage, you can only use it for your job. Employees who get it, they have to be people with a need to know who are subject to confidentiality. Appian did everything it could here. The other agreement was Appian Terms and Conditions. This is a GAO Schedule Agreement. And those Terms and Conditions, they are chockablock</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) address. Now, that was the second part of the instruction. What about the first part, the independent economic value? He told you about that, too. Mr. Ross told you, listen, this information is our proprietary trade secrets. This is where we get all of our revenue from. That's why this matters. That's why they had this independent value.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) just one example, permitted usage, you can only use it for your job. Employees who get it, they have to be people with a need to know who are subject to confidentiality. Appian did everything it could here. The other agreement was Appian Terms and Conditions. This is a GAO Schedule Agreement. And those Terms and Conditions, they are chockablock with protections. There is permitted</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) address. Now, that was the second part of the instruction. What about the first part, the independent economic value? He told you about that, too. Mr. Ross told you, listen, this information is our proprietary trade secrets. This is where we get all of our revenue from. That's why this matters. That's why they had this independent value. And Dr. Paul told you, Pega knew</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) just one example, permitted usage, you can only use it for your job. Employees who get it, they have to be people with a need to know who are subject to confidentiality. Appian did everything it could here. The other agreement was Appian Terms and Conditions. This is a GAO Schedule Agreement. And those Terms and Conditions, they are chockablock with protections. There is permitted usage only for the business purposes,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) address. Now, that was the second part of the instruction. What about the first part, the independent economic value? He told you about that, too. Mr. Ross told you, listen, this information is our proprietary trade secrets. This is where we get all of our revenue from. That's why this matters. That's why they had this independent value. And Dr. Paul told you, Pega knew it had value because they used it so</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) just one example, permitted usage, you can only use it for your job. Employees who get it, they have to be people with a need to know who are subject to confidentiality. Appian did everything it could here. The other agreement was Appian Terms and Conditions. This is a GAO Schedule Agreement. And those Terms and Conditions, they are chockablock with protections. There is permitted</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) address. Now, that was the second part of the instruction. What about the first part, the independent economic value? He told you about that, too. Mr. Ross told you, listen, this information is our proprietary trade secrets. This is where we get all of our revenue from. That's why this matters. That's why they had this independent value. And Dr. Paul told you, Pega knew it had value because they used it so</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) just one example, permitted usage, you can only use it for your job. Employees who get it, they have to be people with a need to know who are subject to confidentiality. Appian did everything it could here. The other agreement was Appian Terms and Conditions. This is a GAO Schedule Agreement. And those Terms and Conditions, they are chockablock with protections. There is permitted usage only for the business purposes, only the identified individuals with</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) address. Now, that was the second part of the instruction. What about the first part, the independent economic value? He told you about that, too. Mr. Ross told you, listen, this information is our proprietary trade secrets. This is where we get all of our revenue from. That's why this matters. That's why they had this independent value. And Dr. Paul told you, Pega knew it had value because they used it so much. If it wasn't valuable, why</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) just one example, permitted usage, you can only use it for your job. Employees who get it, they have to be people with a need to know who are subject to confidentiality. Appian did everything it could here. The other agreement was Appian Terms and Conditions. This is a GAO Schedule Agreement. And those Terms and Conditions, they are chockablock with protections. There is permitted usage only for the business purposes, only the identified individuals with the user accounts can have access,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) address. Now, that was the second part of the instruction. What about the first part, the independent economic value? He told you about that, too. Mr. Ross told you, listen, this information is our proprietary trade secrets. This is where we get all of our revenue from. That's why this matters. That's why they had this independent value. And Dr. Paul told you, Pega knew it had value because they used it so much. If it wasn't valuable, why would they use it?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) just one example, permitted usage, you can only use it for your job. Employees who get it, they have to be people with a need to know who are subject to confidentiality. Appian did everything it could here. The other agreement was Appian Terms and Conditions. This is a GAO Schedule Agreement. And those Terms and Conditions, they are chockablock with protections. There is permitted usage only for the business purposes, only the identified individuals with the user accounts can have access, only people with a need to know who</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) address. Now, that was the second part of the instruction. What about the first part, the independent economic value? He told you about that, too. Mr. Ross told you, listen, this information is our proprietary trade secrets. This is where we get all of our revenue from. That's why this matters. That's why they had this independent value. And Dr. Paul told you, Pega knew it had value because they used it so much. If it wasn't valuable, why would they use it? Dr. Easttom says, oh, nothing.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) just one example, permitted usage, you can only use it for your job. Employees who get it, they have to be people with a need to know who are subject to confidentiality. Appian did everything it could here. The other agreement was Appian Terms and Conditions. This is a GAO Schedule Agreement. And those Terms and Conditions, they are chockablock with protections. There is permitted usage only for the business purposes, only the identified individuals with the user accounts can have access, only people with a need to know who are subject to binding agreements.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) address. Now, that was the second part of the instruction. What about the first part, the independent economic value? He told you about that, too. Mr. Ross told you, listen, this information is our proprietary trade secrets. This is where we get all of our revenue from. That's why this matters. That's why they had this independent value. And Dr. Paul told you, Pega knew it had value because they used it so much. If it wasn't valuable, why would they use it? Dr. Easttom says, oh, nothing. It's trivial.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) just one example, permitted usage, you can only use it for your job. Employees who get it, they have to be people with a need to know who are subject to confidentiality. Appian did everything it could here. The other agreement was Appian Terms and Conditions. This is a GAO Schedule Agreement. And those Terms and Conditions, they are chockablock with protections. There is permitted usage only for the business purposes, only the identified individuals with the user accounts can have access, only people with a need to know who are subject to binding agreements. Appian protected itself every</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) address. Now, that was the second part of the instruction. What about the first part, the independent economic value? He told you about that, too. Mr. Ross told you, listen, this information is our proprietary trade secrets. This is where we get all of our revenue from. That's why this matters. That's why they had this independent value. And Dr. Paul told you, Pega knew it had value because they used it so much. If it wasn't valuable, why would they use it? Dr. Easttom says, oh, nothing. It's trivial. Look at how they used it.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) just one example, permitted usage, you can only use it for your job. Employees who get it, they have to be people with a need to know who are subject to confidentiality. Appian did everything it could here. The other agreement was Appian Terms and Conditions. This is a GAO Schedule Agreement. And those Terms and Conditions, they are chockablock with protections. There is permitted usage only for the business purposes, only the identified individuals with the user accounts can have access, only people with a need to know who are subject to binding agreements. Appian protected itself every way it could.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) address. Now, that was the second part of the instruction. What about the first part, the independent economic value? He told you about that, too. Mr. Ross told you, listen, this information is our proprietary trade secrets. This is where we get all of our revenue from. That's why this matters. That's why they had this independent value. And Dr. Paul told you, Pega knew it had value because they used it so much. If it wasn't valuable, why would they use it? Dr. Easttom says, oh, nothing. It's trivial. Look at how they used it. Dr. Cole showed you all of the people</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) just one example, permitted usage, you can only use it for your job. Employees who get it, they have to be people with a need to know who are subject to confidentiality. Appian did everything it could here. The other agreement was Appian Terms and Conditions. This is a GAO Schedule Agreement. And those Terms and Conditions, they are chockablock with protections. There is permitted usage only for the business purposes, only the identified individuals with the user accounts can have access, only people with a need to know who are subject to binding agreements. Appian protected itself every way it could. Reasonable measures, Dr. Cole</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) address. Now, that was the second part of the instruction. What about the first part, the independent economic value? He told you about that, too. Mr. Ross told you, listen, this information is our proprietary trade secrets. This is where we get all of our revenue from. That's why this matters. That's why they had this independent value. And Dr. Paul told you, Pega knew it had value because they used it so much. If it wasn't valuable, why would they use it? Dr. Easttom says, oh, nothing. It's trivial. Look at how they used it. Dr. Cole showed you all of the people at Pega who were spending time on this</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) just one example, permitted usage, you can only use it for your job. Employees who get it, they have to be people with a need to know who are subject to confidentiality. Appian did everything it could here. The other agreement was Appian Terms and Conditions. This is a GAO Schedule Agreement. And those Terms and Conditions, they are chockablock with protections. There is permitted usage only for the business purposes, only the identified individuals with the user accounts can have access, only people with a need to know who are subject to binding agreements. Appian protected itself every way it could. Reasonable measures, Dr. Cole told you how Appian did all of these.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) address. Now, that was the second part of the instruction. What about the first part, the independent economic value? He told you about that, too. Mr. Ross told you, listen, this information is our proprietary trade secrets. This is where we get all of our revenue from. That's why this matters. That's why they had this independent value. And Dr. Paul told you, Pega knew it had value because they used it so much. If it wasn't valuable, why would they use it? Dr. Easttom says, oh, nothing. It's trivial. Look at how they used it. Dr. Cole showed you all of the people at Pega who were spending time on this and receiving copies of this. It</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) just one example, permitted usage, you can only use it for your job. Employees who get it, they have to be people with a need to know who are subject to confidentiality. Appian did everything it could here. The other agreement was Appian Terms and Conditions. This is a GAO Schedule Agreement. And those Terms and Conditions, they are chockablock with protections. There is permitted usage only for the business purposes, only the identified individuals with the user accounts can have access, only people with a need to know who are subject to binding agreements. Appian protected itself every way it could. Reasonable measures, Dr. Cole told you how Appian did all of these. When they had a trial program,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) address. Now, that was the second part of the instruction. What about the first part, the independent economic value? He told you about that, too. Mr. Ross told you, listen, this information is our proprietary trade secrets. This is where we get all of our revenue from. That's why this matters. That's why they had this independent value. And Dr. Paul told you, Pega knew it had value because they used it so much. If it wasn't valuable, why would they use it? Dr. Easttom says, oh, nothing. It's trivial. Look at how they used it. Dr. Cole showed you all of the people at Pega who were spending time on this and receiving copies of this. It includes all of the top people at the</pre>

	D 0110		D 0111
1	Page 8110 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Page 8111 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	all of this. They knew it has value.	2	can back up your claims. You can make
3	And look at what they say	3	very convincing arguments that are
4	themselves about does this information	4	hard to refute.
5	have value.	5	They want to tell you this has
6	Leon Trefler: Do you agree the	6	no value? Look at what they said in
7	information assisted Pegasystems	7	their documents at the time. Here's
8	competing against Appian?	8	Mr. Cardiko, he said: Excellent
9	Yes.	9	information. We can start shaping
10	He knows it's gold dust.	10	RFPs, et cetera.
11	Again, to be clear, the	11	Mr. Malackowski explained to you
12	information was useful, yes.	12	on that e-mail why this matters;
13	Mr. Bearden, he explained it pretty	13	because they are shaping the sales
14	well. He says it was hugely useful.	14	process. They want to eliminate
15	It gave us a level of insight that we	15	Appian before they even get a chance
16	didn't have before. We could back up	16	to show up. That's one of the reasons
17	claims, and he explains even why. He	17	why direct competition doesn't even
18	said: Before we had some anecdotes,	18	tell the whole story because they are
19	but now, this gave us the details.	19	eliminating Appian before they can
20	That's why it mattered. He said	20	show up.
21	it's like having access to the black	21	There are other documents.
22	box.	22	Look, they're saying, they are
23	Remember? Mr. Davison called it	23	delivering information. This will
24	a black box too. He knows it has	24	help you fend off Appian. You
25	value because now you can get in, you	25	couldn't have delivered this at a
	Page 8112		Page 8113
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	-	-
	FIAILICITI CLOSING (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	better time, they say in this e-mail.	1 2	Well, simple, ladies and gentlemen.
2 3			
	better time, they say in this e-mail.	2	Well, simple, ladies and gentlemen.
3	better time, they say in this e-mail. It's great. Mr. Trefler himself	2 3	Well, simple, ladies and gentlemen. If you could Google this, why did they
3 4	better time, they say in this e-mail. It's great. Mr. Trefler himself says, yeah, well, Rabobank would value	2 3 4	Well, simple, ladies and gentlemen. If you could Google this, why did they do all of this?
3 4 5	better time, they say in this e-mail. It's great. Mr. Trefler himself says, yeah, well, Rabobank would value this information.	2 3 4 5	Well, simple, ladies and gentlemen. If you could Google this, why did they do all of this? Dr. Cole explained why. That's
3 4 5 6	better time, they say in this e-mail. It's great. Mr. Trefler himself says, yeah, well, Rabobank would value this information. Project Crush, look at what	2 3 4 5 6	Well, simple, ladies and gentlemen. If you could Google this, why did they do all of this? Dr. Cole explained why. That's the only way they could get it. They
3 4 5 6 7	better time, they say in this e-mail. It's great. Mr. Trefler himself says, yeah, well, Rabobank would value this information. Project Crush, look at what Mr. Baril says. This proved to be	2 3 4 5 6 7	Well, simple, ladies and gentlemen. If you could Google this, why did they do all of this? Dr. Cole explained why. That's the only way they could get it. They had to go find someone who wasn't
3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>better time, they say in this e-mail. It's great. Mr. Trefler himself says, yeah, well, Rabobank would value this information. Project Crush, look at what Mr. Baril says. This proved to be incredibly useful.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Well, simple, ladies and gentlemen. If you could Google this, why did they do all of this? Dr. Cole explained why. That's the only way they could get it. They had to go find someone who wasn't loyal. They had to get it from the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>better time, they say in this e-mail. It's great. Mr. Trefler himself says, yeah, well, Rabobank would value this information. Project Crush, look at what Mr. Baril says. This proved to be incredibly useful. Their experts say, oh, it's</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Well, simple, ladies and gentlemen. If you could Google this, why did they do all of this? Dr. Cole explained why. That's the only way they could get it. They had to go find someone who wasn't loyal. They had to get it from the protected Forum, and they told you it
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>better time, they say in this e-mail.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Well, simple, ladies and gentlemen. If you could Google this, why did they do all of this? Dr. Cole explained why. That's the only way they could get it. They had to go find someone who wasn't loyal. They had to get it from the protected Forum, and they told you it was hard to get. They had to get it</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>better time, they say in this e-mail.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Well, simple, ladies and gentlemen. If you could Google this, why did they do all of this? Dr. Cole explained why. That's the only way they could get it. They had to go find someone who wasn't loyal. They had to get it from the protected Forum, and they told you it was hard to get. They had to get it through Zou.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>better time, they say in this e-mail. It's great. Mr. Trefler himself says, yeah, well, Rabobank would value this information. Project Crush, look at what Mr. Baril says. This proved to be incredibly useful. Their experts say, oh, it's trivial. It's useless. Look at what they say in the contemporaneous instant messages. It</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Well, simple, ladies and gentlemen. If you could Google this, why did they do all of this? Dr. Cole explained why. That's the only way they could get it. They had to go find someone who wasn't loyal. They had to get it from the protected Forum, and they told you it was hard to get. They had to get it through Zou. Now, the definition that
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>better time, they say in this e-mail. It's great. Mr. Trefler himself says, yeah, well, Rabobank would value this information. Project Crush, look at what Mr. Baril says. This proved to be incredibly useful. Their experts say, oh, it's trivial. It's useless. Look at what they say in the contemporaneous instant messages. It is extremely helpful having access to the system, Mr. Baril. Even Mr. Le explains how it's</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Well, simple, ladies and gentlemen. If you could Google this, why did they do all of this? Dr. Cole explained why. That's the only way they could get it. They had to go find someone who wasn't loyal. They had to get it from the protected Forum, and they told you it was hard to get. They had to get it through Zou. Now, the definition that Judge Gardiner read you of
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>better time, they say in this e-mail. It's great. Mr. Trefler himself says, yeah, well, Rabobank would value this information. Project Crush, look at what Mr. Baril says. This proved to be incredibly useful. Their experts say, oh, it's trivial. It's useless. Look at what they say in the contemporaneous instant messages. It is extremely helpful having access to the system, Mr. Baril. Even Mr. Le explains how it's valuable. It's like the analogy of a</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Well, simple, ladies and gentlemen. If you could Google this, why did they do all of this? Dr. Cole explained why. That's the only way they could get it. They had to go find someone who wasn't loyal. They had to get it from the protected Forum, and they told you it was hard to get. They had to get it through Zou. Now, the definition that Judge Gardiner read you of misappropriation, it's detailed. You can go through it, but you'll note it talks about acquisition of a trade
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>better time, they say in this e-mail. It's great. Mr. Trefler himself says, yeah, well, Rabobank would value this information. Project Crush, look at what Mr. Baril says. This proved to be incredibly useful. Their experts say, oh, it's trivial. It's useless. Look at what they say in the contemporaneous instant messages. It is extremely helpful having access to the system, Mr. Baril. Even Mr. Le explains how it's valuable. It's like the analogy of a test drive of a car. Oh, you can</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Well, simple, ladies and gentlemen. If you could Google this, why did they do all of this? Dr. Cole explained why. That's the only way they could get it. They had to go find someone who wasn't loyal. They had to get it from the protected Forum, and they told you it was hard to get. They had to get it through Zou. Now, the definition that Judge Gardiner read you of misappropriation, it's detailed. You can go through it, but you'll note it talks about acquisition of a trade secret, disclosure of a trade secret,</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>better time, they say in this e-mail. It's great. Mr. Trefler himself says, yeah, well, Rabobank would value this information. Project Crush, look at what Mr. Baril says. This proved to be incredibly useful. Their experts say, oh, it's trivial. It's useless. Look at what they say in the contemporaneous instant messages. It is extremely helpful having access to the system, Mr. Baril. Even Mr. Le explains how it's valuable. It's like the analogy of a test drive of a car. Oh, you can read. You can watch a YouTube video.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Well, simple, ladies and gentlemen. If you could Google this, why did they do all of this? Dr. Cole explained why. That's the only way they could get it. They had to go find someone who wasn't loyal. They had to get it from the protected Forum, and they told you it was hard to get. They had to get it through Zou. Now, the definition that Judge Gardiner read you of misappropriation, it's detailed. You can go through it, but you'll note it talks about acquisition of a trade secret, disclosure of a trade secret, use of a trade secret.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>better time, they say in this e-mail. It's great. Mr. Trefler himself says, yeah, well, Rabobank would value this information. Project Crush, look at what Mr. Baril says. This proved to be incredibly useful. Their experts say, oh, it's trivial. It's useless. Look at what they say in the contemporaneous instant messages. It is extremely helpful having access to the system, Mr. Baril. Even Mr. Le explains how it's valuable. It's like the analogy of a test drive of a car. Oh, you can read. You can watch a YouTube video. It's a personal experience when you're</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Well, simple, ladies and gentlemen. If you could Google this, why did they do all of this? Dr. Cole explained why. That's the only way they could get it. They had to go find someone who wasn't loyal. They had to get it from the protected Forum, and they told you it was hard to get. They had to get it through Zou. Now, the definition that Judge Gardiner read you of misappropriation, it's detailed. You can go through it, but you'll note it talks about acquisition of a trade secret, disclosure of a trade secret, use of a trade secret. They met all of these criteria,
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>better time, they say in this e-mail. It's great. Mr. Trefler himself says, yeah, well, Rabobank would value this information. Project Crush, look at what Mr. Baril says. This proved to be incredibly useful. Their experts say, oh, it's trivial. It's useless. Look at what they say in the contemporaneous instant messages. It is extremely helpful having access to the system, Mr. Baril. Even Mr. Le explains how it's valuable. It's like the analogy of a test drive of a car. Oh, you can read. You can watch a YouTube video. It's a personal experience when you're actually driving the car. That's when</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Well, simple, ladies and gentlemen. If you could Google this, why did they do all of this? Dr. Cole explained why. That's the only way they could get it. They had to go find someone who wasn't loyal. They had to get it from the protected Forum, and they told you it was hard to get. They had to get it through Zou. Now, the definition that Judge Gardiner read you of misappropriation, it's detailed. You can go through it, but you'll note it talks about acquisition of a trade secret, disclosure of a trade secret, use of a trade secret. They met all of these criteria, both Pegasystems and Zou in multiple
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>better time, they say in this e-mail. It's great. Mr. Trefler himself says, yeah, well, Rabobank would value this information. Project Crush, look at what Mr. Baril says. This proved to be incredibly useful. Their experts say, oh, it's trivial. It's useless. Look at what they say in the contemporaneous instant messages. It is extremely helpful having access to the system, Mr. Baril. Even Mr. Le explains how it's valuable. It's like the analogy of a test drive of a car. Oh, you can read. You can watch a YouTube video. It's a personal experience when you're actually driving the car. That's when you can poke and prod, test, really</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Well, simple, ladies and gentlemen. If you could Google this, why did they do all of this? Dr. Cole explained why. That's the only way they could get it. They had to go find someone who wasn't loyal. They had to get it from the protected Forum, and they told you it was hard to get. They had to get it through Zou. Now, the definition that Judge Gardiner read you of misappropriation, it's detailed. You can go through it, but you'll note it talks about acquisition of a trade secret, disclosure of a trade secret, use of a trade secret. They met all of these criteria, both Pegasystems and Zou in multiple subcategories.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>better time, they say in this e-mail. It's great. Mr. Trefler himself says, yeah, well, Rabobank would value this information. Project Crush, look at what Mr. Baril says. This proved to be incredibly useful. Their experts say, oh, it's trivial. It's useless. Look at what they say in the contemporaneous instant messages. It is extremely helpful having access to the system, Mr. Baril. Even Mr. Le explains how it's valuable. It's like the analogy of a test drive of a car. Oh, you can read. You can watch a YouTube video. It's a personal experience when you're actually driving the car. That's when you can poke and prod, test, really know what the trade secrets are.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Well, simple, ladies and gentlemen. If you could Google this, why did they do all of this? Dr. Cole explained why. That's the only way they could get it. They had to go find someone who wasn't loyal. They had to get it from the protected Forum, and they told you it was hard to get. They had to get it through Zou. Now, the definition that Judge Gardiner read you of misappropriation, it's detailed. You can go through it, but you'll note it talks about acquisition of a trade secret, disclosure of a trade secret, use of a trade secret. They met all of these criteria, both Pegasystems and Zou in multiple subcategories. And focus also on improper means</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>better time, they say in this e-mail. It's great. Mr. Trefler himself says, yeah, well, Rabobank would value this information. Project Crush, look at what Mr. Baril says. This proved to be incredibly useful. Their experts say, oh, it's trivial. It's useless. Look at what they say in the contemporaneous instant messages. It is extremely helpful having access to the system, Mr. Baril. Even Mr. Le explains how it's valuable. It's like the analogy of a test drive of a car. Oh, you can read. You can watch a YouTube video. It's a personal experience when you're actually driving the car. That's when you can poke and prod, test, really know what the trade secrets are. Now, what about the part about</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Well, simple, ladies and gentlemen. If you could Google this, why did they do all of this? Dr. Cole explained why. That's the only way they could get it. They had to go find someone who wasn't loyal. They had to get it from the protected Forum, and they told you it was hard to get. They had to get it through Zou. Now, the definition that Judge Gardiner read you of misappropriation, it's detailed. You can go through it, but you'll note it talks about acquisition of a trade secret, disclosure of a trade secret, use of a trade secret. They met all of these criteria, both Pegasystems and Zou in multiple subcategories. And focus also on improper means I told you about earlier, right? And</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>better time, they say in this e-mail. It's great. Mr. Trefler himself says, yeah, well, Rabobank would value this information. Project Crush, look at what Mr. Baril says. This proved to be incredibly useful. Their experts say, oh, it's trivial. It's useless. Look at what they say in the contemporaneous instant messages. It is extremely helpful having access to the system, Mr. Baril. Even Mr. Le explains how it's valuable. It's like the analogy of a test drive of a car. Oh, you can read. You can watch a YouTube video. It's a personal experience when you're actually driving the car. That's when you can poke and prod, test, really know what the trade secrets are. Now, what about the part about it not being generally known or</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>Well, simple, ladies and gentlemen. If you could Google this, why did they do all of this? Dr. Cole explained why. That's the only way they could get it. They had to go find someone who wasn't loyal. They had to get it from the protected Forum, and they told you it was hard to get. They had to get it through Zou. Now, the definition that Judge Gardiner read you of misappropriation, it's detailed. You can go through it, but you'll note it talks about acquisition of a trade secret, disclosure of a trade secret, use of a trade secret. They met all of these criteria, both Pegasystems and Zou in multiple subcategories. And focus also on improper means I told you about earlier, right? And this is that instruction. Just about</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>better time, they say in this e-mail. It's great. Mr. Trefler himself says, yeah, well, Rabobank would value this information. Project Crush, look at what Mr. Baril says. This proved to be incredibly useful. Their experts say, oh, it's trivial. It's useless. Look at what they say in the contemporaneous instant messages. It is extremely helpful having access to the system, Mr. Baril. Even Mr. Le explains how it's valuable. It's like the analogy of a test drive of a car. Oh, you can read. You can watch a YouTube video. It's a personal experience when you're actually driving the car. That's when you can poke and prod, test, really know what the trade secrets are. Now, what about the part about</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Well, simple, ladies and gentlemen. If you could Google this, why did they do all of this? Dr. Cole explained why. That's the only way they could get it. They had to go find someone who wasn't loyal. They had to get it from the protected Forum, and they told you it was hard to get. They had to get it through Zou. Now, the definition that Judge Gardiner read you of misappropriation, it's detailed. You can go through it, but you'll note it talks about acquisition of a trade secret, disclosure of a trade secret, use of a trade secret. They met all of these criteria, both Pegasystems and Zou in multiple subcategories. And focus also on improper means I told you about earlier, right? And</pre>

	Page 8114		Page 8115
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	misrepresentation, use of a computer	2	happened.
3	network authority, breach of a duty to	3	They sent all their people. You
4	maintain secrecy. Espionage. They	4	know, Ms. Louis, apparently, they said
5	are calling them spies.	5	wasn't there. Mr. Bixby,
6	Now, what did they do with this	6	Mr. Schuerman, they are all there.
7	information? Dr. Marshall took you	7	And remember, they are asking
8	through this, right? Remember what he	8	Richard. They want Richard's advice.
9	said.	9	What opportunities are we missing?
10	He's also got a Ph.D., one	10	What should we build? They viewed him
11	Ph.D., the one he spent years getting,	11	as the expert.
12	seriously. He worked all over the	12	What did Dr. Marshall tell you?
13	industry, lots of experience in	13	He told you these changes were
14	software. He was the quy at Gartner	14	critical because, otherwise, they are
15		15	· · · ·
	who was in charge of mobile and client	-	going to go the way of Lotus 1-2-3.
16	computing.	16	He went through all of these
17 18	Remember? Pega themselves went to him for advice when he was at	17 18	videos, 123. You saw how he had memorized all those videos. He could
1			
19	Gartner. They had a strategy day.	19	tell you everything that was in every
20	Look at what they said: We want to	20	one of them.
21	get Richard's views. What must Pega	21	And he told you they made
22	do?	22	improvements in all these five areas,
23	They didn't go to ask	23	three of which come under ease of use.
24	Dr. Easttom or Mr. Pinto. They went	24	And he told you, you know, it's the
25	to Dr. Marshall before any of this	25	what versus the how. It's the video.
	Page 8116		Page 8117
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) It's the live access. That enabled	2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) market leadership levels of ease of
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) It's the live access. That enabled them to poke and prod, really learn	2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) market leadership levels of ease of use of these other key areas.
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) It's the live access. That enabled them to poke and prod, really learn how all of this worked.	2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) market leadership levels of ease of use of these other key areas. Their own customers were telling
2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) It's the live access. That enabled them to poke and prod, really learn how all of this worked. And he took you through why ease	2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) market leadership levels of ease of use of these other key areas. Their own customers were telling them, Pega is very complicated. The
2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) It's the live access. That enabled them to poke and prod, really learn how all of this worked. And he took you through why ease of use mattered so much because Pega,	2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) market leadership levels of ease of use of these other key areas. Their own customers were telling them, Pega is very complicated. The product was sold as simple, but it
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) It's the live access. That enabled them to poke and prod, really learn how all of this worked. And he took you through why ease of use mattered so much because Pega, remember, they were the platform that</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) market leadership levels of ease of use of these other key areas. Their own customers were telling them, Pega is very complicated. The product was sold as simple, but it isn't at all. You saw all what their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) It's the live access. That enabled them to poke and prod, really learn how all of this worked. And he took you through why ease of use mattered so much because Pega, remember, they were the platform that was old, clunky technology. Appian,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) market leadership levels of ease of use of these other key areas. Their own customers were telling them, Pega is very complicated. The product was sold as simple, but it isn't at all. You saw all what their customers had to say.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) It's the live access. That enabled them to poke and prod, really learn how all of this worked. And he took you through why ease of use mattered so much because Pega, remember, they were the platform that was old, clunky technology. Appian, remember what they keep saying,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) market leadership levels of ease of use of these other key areas. Their own customers were telling them, Pega is very complicated. The product was sold as simple, but it isn't at all. You saw all what their customers had to say. Mr. Schuerman, he admitted. He
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) It's the live access. That enabled them to poke and prod, really learn how all of this worked. And he took you through why ease of use mattered so much because Pega, remember, they were the platform that was old, clunky technology. Appian, remember what they keep saying, Project Crush, intuitive, intuitive,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) market leadership levels of ease of use of these other key areas. Their own customers were telling them, Pega is very complicated. The product was sold as simple, but it isn't at all. You saw all what their customers had to say. Mr. Schuerman, he admitted. He said: We decided to focus on this</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) It's the live access. That enabled them to poke and prod, really learn how all of this worked. And he took you through why ease of use mattered so much because Pega, remember, they were the platform that was old, clunky technology. Appian, remember what they keep saying, Project Crush, intuitive, intuitive, intuitive because Appian was the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) market leadership levels of ease of use of these other key areas. Their own customers were telling them, Pega is very complicated. The product was sold as simple, but it isn't at all. You saw all what their customers had to say. Mr. Schuerman, he admitted. He said: We decided to focus on this based on the honest feedback from our</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) It's the live access. That enabled them to poke and prod, really learn how all of this worked. And he took you through why ease of use mattered so much because Pega, remember, they were the platform that was old, clunky technology. Appian, remember what they keep saying, Project Crush, intuitive, intuitive, intuitive because Appian was the modern, streamlined platform.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) market leadership levels of ease of use of these other key areas. Their own customers were telling them, Pega is very complicated. The product was sold as simple, but it isn't at all. You saw all what their customers had to say. Mr. Schuerman, he admitted. He said: We decided to focus on this based on the honest feedback from our clients.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) It's the live access. That enabled them to poke and prod, really learn how all of this worked. And he took you through why ease of use mattered so much because Pega, remember, they were the platform that was old, clunky technology. Appian, remember what they keep saying, Project Crush, intuitive, intuitive, intuitive because Appian was the modern, streamlined platform. Pega knew this. Look in their</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) market leadership levels of ease of use of these other key areas. Their own customers were telling them, Pega is very complicated. The product was sold as simple, but it isn't at all. You saw all what their customers had to say. Mr. Schuerman, he admitted. He said: We decided to focus on this based on the honest feedback from our clients. So what did they do?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) It's the live access. That enabled them to poke and prod, really learn how all of this worked. And he took you through why ease of use mattered so much because Pega, remember, they were the platform that was old, clunky technology. Appian, remember what they keep saying, Project Crush, intuitive, intuitive, intuitive because Appian was the modern, streamlined platform. Pega knew this. Look in their e-mails right from the beginning.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) market leadership levels of ease of use of these other key areas. Their own customers were telling them, Pega is very complicated. The product was sold as simple, but it isn't at all. You saw all what their customers had to say. Mr. Schuerman, he admitted. He said: We decided to focus on this based on the honest feedback from our clients. So what did they do? Dr. Marshall just went through this.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) It's the live access. That enabled them to poke and prod, really learn how all of this worked. And he took you through why ease of use mattered so much because Pega, remember, they were the platform that was old, clunky technology. Appian, remember what they keep saying, Project Crush, intuitive, intuitive, intuitive because Appian was the modern, streamlined platform. Pega knew this. Look in their e-mails right from the beginning. Mr. Petronio saying: Appian, it's</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) market leadership levels of ease of use of these other key areas. Their own customers were telling them, Pega is very complicated. The product was sold as simple, but it isn't at all. You saw all what their customers had to say. Mr. Schuerman, he admitted. He said: We decided to focus on this based on the honest feedback from our clients. So what did they do? Dr. Marshall just went through this. I won't do it again in detail, but</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) It's the live access. That enabled them to poke and prod, really learn how all of this worked. And he took you through why ease of use mattered so much because Pega, remember, they were the platform that was old, clunky technology. Appian, remember what they keep saying, Project Crush, intuitive, intuitive, intuitive because Appian was the modern, streamlined platform. Pega knew this. Look in their e-mails right from the beginning. Mr. Petronio saying: Appian, it's easy to use. That's the key criteria.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) market leadership levels of ease of use of these other key areas. Their own customers were telling them, Pega is very complicated. The product was sold as simple, but it isn't at all. You saw all what their customers had to say. Mr. Schuerman, he admitted. He said: We decided to focus on this based on the honest feedback from our clients. So what did they do? Dr. Marshall just went through this. I won't do it again in detail, but just to remind you.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) It's the live access. That enabled them to poke and prod, really learn how all of this worked. And he took you through why ease of use mattered so much because Pega, remember, they were the platform that was old, clunky technology. Appian, remember what they keep saying, Project Crush, intuitive, intuitive, intuitive because Appian was the modern, streamlined platform. Pega knew this. Look in their e-mails right from the beginning. Mr. Petronio saying: Appian, it's easy to use. That's the key criteria. He even talks about creating</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) market leadership levels of ease of use of these other key areas. Their own customers were telling them, Pega is very complicated. The product was sold as simple, but it isn't at all. You saw all what their customers had to say. Mr. Schuerman, he admitted. He said: We decided to focus on this based on the honest feedback from our clients. So what did they do? Dr. Marshall just went through this. I won't do it again in detail, but just to remind you. Smart Services, they didn't have</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) It's the live access. That enabled them to poke and prod, really learn how all of this worked. And he took you through why ease of use mattered so much because Pega, remember, they were the platform that was old, clunky technology. Appian, remember what they keep saying, Project Crush, intuitive, intuitive, intuitive because Appian was the modern, streamlined platform. Pega knew this. Look in their e-mails right from the beginning. Mr. Petronio saying: Appian, it's easy to use. That's the key criteria. He even talks about creating data types, CDTs. He talks about the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) market leadership levels of ease of use of these other key areas. Their own customers were telling them, Pega is very complicated. The product was sold as simple, but it isn't at all. You saw all what their customers had to say. Mr. Schuerman, he admitted. He said: We decided to focus on this based on the honest feedback from our clients. So what did they do? Dr. Marshall just went through this. I won't do it again in detail, but just to remind you. Smart Services, they didn't have it in 6.3. They come and they tell</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) It's the live access. That enabled them to poke and prod, really learn how all of this worked. And he took you through why ease of use mattered so much because Pega, remember, they were the platform that was old, clunky technology. Appian, remember what they keep saying, Project Crush, intuitive, intuitive, intuitive because Appian was the modern, streamlined platform. Pega knew this. Look in their e-mails right from the beginning. Mr. Petronio saying: Appian, it's easy to use. That's the key criteria. He even talks about creating data types, CDTs. He talks about the shapes. You don't need to know our </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) market leadership levels of ease of use of these other key areas. Their own customers were telling them, Pega is very complicated. The product was sold as simple, but it isn't at all. You saw all what their customers had to say. Mr. Schuerman, he admitted. He said: We decided to focus on this based on the honest feedback from our clients. So what did they do? Dr. Marshall just went through this. I won't do it again in detail, but just to remind you. Smart Services, they didn't have it in 6.3. They come and they tell you, oh, you know, we just sort of</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) It's the live access. That enabled them to poke and prod, really learn how all of this worked. And he took you through why ease of use mattered so much because Pega, remember, they were the platform that was old, clunky technology. Appian, remember what they keep saying, Project Crush, intuitive, intuitive, intuitive because Appian was the modern, streamlined platform. Pega knew this. Look in their e-mails right from the beginning. Mr. Petronio saying: Appian, it's easy to use. That's the key criteria. He even talks about creating data types, CDTs. He talks about the shapes. You don't need to know our methods. </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) market leadership levels of ease of use of these other key areas. Their own customers were telling them, Pega is very complicated. The product was sold as simple, but it isn't at all. You saw all what their customers had to say. Mr. Schuerman, he admitted. He said: We decided to focus on this based on the honest feedback from our clients. So what did they do? Dr. Marshall just went through this. I won't do it again in detail, but just to remind you. Smart Services, they didn't have it in 6.3. They come and they tell you, oh, you know, we just sort of kind of had it. We just made it more</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) It's the live access. That enabled them to poke and prod, really learn how all of this worked.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) market leadership levels of ease of use of these other key areas. Their own customers were telling them, Pega is very complicated. The product was sold as simple, but it isn't at all. You saw all what their customers had to say. Mr. Schuerman, he admitted. He said: We decided to focus on this based on the honest feedback from our clients. So what did they do? Dr. Marshall just went through this. I won't do it again in detail, but just to remind you. Smart Services, they didn't have it in 6.3. They come and they tell you, oh, you know, we just sort of kind of had it. We just made it more visible.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) It's the live access. That enabled them to poke and prod, really learn how all of this worked. And he took you through why ease of use mattered so much because Pega, remember, they were the platform that was old, clunky technology. Appian, remember what they keep saying, Project Crush, intuitive, intuitive, intuitive because Appian was the modern, streamlined platform. Pega knew this. Look in their e-mails right from the beginning. Mr. Petronio saying: Appian, it's easy to use. That's the key criteria. He even talks about creating data types, CDTs. He talks about the shapes. You don't need to know our methods. That's why Pega was so complicated. You couldn't use it </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) market leadership levels of ease of use of these other key areas. Their own customers were telling them, Pega is very complicated. The product was sold as simple, but it isn't at all. You saw all what their customers had to say. Mr. Schuerman, he admitted. He said: We decided to focus on this based on the honest feedback from our clients. So what did they do? Dr. Marshall just went through this. I won't do it again in detail, but just to remind you. Smart Services, they didn't have it in 6.3. They come and they tell you, oh, you know, we just sort of kind of had it. We just made it more visible. Dr. Marshall, remember, he went</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) It's the live access. That enabled them to poke and prod, really learn how all of this worked. And he took you through why ease of use mattered so much because Pega, remember, they were the platform that was old, clunky technology. Appian, remember what they keep saying, Project Crush, intuitive, intuitive, intuitive because Appian was the modern, streamlined platform. Pega knew this. Look in their e-mails right from the beginning. Mr. Petronio saying: Appian, it's easy to use. That's the key criteria. He even talks about creating data types, CDTs. He talks about the shapes. You don't need to know our methods. That's why Pega was so complicated. You couldn't use it without knowing all of their methods, </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) market leadership levels of ease of use of these other key areas. Their own customers were telling them, Pega is very complicated. The product was sold as simple, but it isn't at all. You saw all what their customers had to say. Mr. Schuerman, he admitted. He said: We decided to focus on this based on the honest feedback from our clients. So what did they do? Dr. Marshall just went through this. I won't do it again in detail, but just to remind you. Smart Services, they didn't have it in 6.3. They come and they tell you, oh, you know, we just sort of kind of had it. We just made it more visible. Dr. Marshall, remember, he went through every platform, every version.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) It's the live access. That enabled them to poke and prod, really learn how all of this worked. And he took you through why ease of use mattered so much because Pega, remember, they were the platform that was old, clunky technology. Appian, remember what they keep saying, Project Crush, intuitive, intuitive, intuitive because Appian was the modern, streamlined platform. Pega knew this. Look in their e-mails right from the beginning. Mr. Petronio saying: Appian, it's easy to use. That's the key criteria. He even talks about creating data types, CDTs. He talks about the shapes. You don't need to know our methods. That's why Pega was so complicated. You couldn't use it </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) market leadership levels of ease of use of these other key areas. Their own customers were telling them, Pega is very complicated. The product was sold as simple, but it isn't at all. You saw all what their customers had to say. Mr. Schuerman, he admitted. He said: We decided to focus on this based on the honest feedback from our clients. So what did they do? Dr. Marshall just went through this. I won't do it again in detail, but just to remind you. Smart Services, they didn't have it in 6.3. They come and they tell you, oh, you know, we just sort of kind of had it. We just made it more visible. Dr. Marshall, remember, he went</pre>

	Page 8118		Page 8119
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	added it in 7.1. He showed you in the	2	should operate? Look at what they
3	actual platform how it compared the	3	say.
4	old version with you could program	4	Is it there in 6.3? No. Is it
5	it, but it's a lot of work, very hard.	5	there in 7? Yes.
6	You need to know our methods, and the	6	Even Mr. Bixby, you know, while
7	simplified, streamlined version.	7	dancing around and trying to say, oh,
8	Where did it come from?	8	we sort of had it, we kind of had it.
9	Remember, they had videos. We showed	9	He ultimately admits they weren't in
10	you videos where Zou was explaining	10	6.3. They were in 7.1. Oh, yeah.
11	the Smart Services. And then Kerim	11	Ease of editing. Again, we went
12	Akgonul, he has that meeting with Zou	12	through, Dr. Marshall, all of the
13	and then he circulates screenshots to	13	platforms and he told you how
14	everyone off the Smart Services in	14	important this is because developers
15	Appian.	15	are working on this all the time, day
16	And the similarities	16	in and day out. Single button makes
17	Dr. Marshall was showing you,	17	all the difference, huge economic
18	remember, how do they end up? There's	18	value.
19	Appian and there's Pega. Now, they've	19	Remember, he showed you there
20	all got the same key attributes, send	20	was a video with Mr. Zou showing it to
21	e-mail, create PDF, add attachment,	21	Mr. Akgonul where he goes, oh, no,
22	post to feed. That's where they end	22	because he made a mistake and then he
23	up.	23	shows how they fix the mistake.
24	And what do they say in their	24	And then where do they end up?
25	own document about why customers	25	Appian, Pega, and he told you, I know
	-		
	Page 8120		Page 8121
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)		-
1 2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) this is in the developer because I	1 2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	this is in the developer because I	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of them and checked, but they added in
2 3		1 2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of them and checked, but they added in 7.1. They keep refining it to keep up
2 3 4	this is in the developer because I checked it. I went through the versions when I created another	1 2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of them and checked, but they added in
2 3 4 5	this is in the developer because I checked it. I went through the versions when I created another identity account to check. It's not	1 2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of them and checked, but they added in 7.1. They keep refining it to keep up with Appian. We saw them in the videos.
2 3 4	this is in the developer because I checked it. I went through the versions when I created another identity account to check. It's not there, I tried that, no matter what	1 2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of them and checked, but they added in 7.1. They keep refining it to keep up with Appian. We saw them in the videos. Remember, here is Mr. Akgonul, another
2 3 4 5 6 7	this is in the developer because I checked it. I went through the versions when I created another identity account to check. It's not there, I tried that, no matter what everyone else said. He didn't just	1 2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of them and checked, but they added in 7.1. They keep refining it to keep up with Appian. We saw them in the videos.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this is in the developer because I checked it. I went through the versions when I created another identity account to check. It's not there, I tried that, no matter what everyone else said. He didn't just tell you. He showed you.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of them and checked, but they added in 7.1. They keep refining it to keep up with Appian. We saw them in the videos. Remember, here is Mr. Akgonul, another little taste just to remind you of the videos.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this is in the developer because I checked it. I went through the versions when I created another identity account to check. It's not there, I tried that, no matter what everyone else said. He didn't just tell you. He showed you. Even Mr. Bixby, he doesn't	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of them and checked, but they added in 7.1. They keep refining it to keep up with Appian. We saw them in the videos. Remember, here is Mr. Akgonul, another little taste just to remind you of the videos. (Video played.)</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this is in the developer because I checked it. I went through the versions when I created another identity account to check. It's not there, I tried that, no matter what everyone else said. He didn't just tell you. He showed you.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of them and checked, but they added in 7.1. They keep refining it to keep up with Appian. We saw them in the videos. Remember, here is Mr. Akgonul, another little taste just to remind you of the videos.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this is in the developer because I checked it. I went through the versions when I created another identity account to check. It's not there, I tried that, no matter what everyone else said. He didn't just tell you. He showed you. Even Mr. Bixby, he doesn't dispute they added an editing button	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of them and checked, but they added in 7.1. They keep refining it to keep up with Appian. We saw them in the videos. Remember, here is Mr. Akgonul, another little taste just to remind you of the videos. (Video played.) MR. MANGI: They are saying, oh,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	this is in the developer because I checked it. I went through the versions when I created another identity account to check. It's not there, I tried that, no matter what everyone else said. He didn't just tell you. He showed you. Even Mr. Bixby, he doesn't dispute they added an editing button in 7.1.1.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of them and checked, but they added in 7.1. They keep refining it to keep up with Appian. We saw them in the videos. Remember, here is Mr. Akgonul, another little taste just to remind you of the videos. (Video played.) MR. MANGI: They are saying, oh, we already had CDTs. We had them</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this is in the developer because I checked it. I went through the versions when I created another identity account to check. It's not there, I tried that, no matter what everyone else said. He didn't just tell you. He showed you. Even Mr. Bixby, he doesn't dispute they added an editing button in 7.1.1. What about custom data types?	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of them and checked, but they added in 7.1. They keep refining it to keep up with Appian. We saw them in the videos. Remember, here is Mr. Akgonul, another little taste just to remind you of the videos. (Video played.) MR. MANGI: They are saying, oh, we already had CDTs. We had them since the early 2000s. Why we would</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>this is in the developer because I checked it. I went through the versions when I created another identity account to check. It's not there, I tried that, no matter what everyone else said. He didn't just tell you. He showed you. Even Mr. Bixby, he doesn't dispute they added an editing button in 7.1.1. What about custom data types? Remember, Dr. Marshall said that this</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of them and checked, but they added in 7.1. They keep refining it to keep up with Appian. We saw them in the videos. Remember, here is Mr. Akgonul, another little taste just to remind you of the videos. (Video played.) MR. MANGI: They are saying, oh, we already had CDTs. We had them since the early 2000s. Why we would care about Appian?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this is in the developer because I checked it. I went through the versions when I created another identity account to check. It's not there, I tried that, no matter what everyone else said. He didn't just tell you. He showed you. Even Mr. Bixby, he doesn't dispute they added an editing button in 7.1.1. What about custom data types? Remember, Dr. Marshall said that this is complicated, and it is. But it's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of them and checked, but they added in 7.1. They keep refining it to keep up with Appian. We saw them in the videos. Remember, here is Mr. Akgonul, another little taste just to remind you of the videos. (Video played.) MR. MANGI: They are saying, oh, we already had CDTs. We had them since the early 2000s. Why we would care about Appian? Then why is Mr. Akgonul trying</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this is in the developer because I checked it. I went through the versions when I created another identity account to check. It's not there, I tried that, no matter what everyone else said. He didn't just tell you. He showed you. Even Mr. Bixby, he doesn't dispute they added an editing button in 7.1.1. What about custom data types? Remember, Dr. Marshall said that this is complicated, and it is. But it's very important because it's how the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of them and checked, but they added in 7.1. They keep refining it to keep up with Appian. We saw them in the videos. Remember, here is Mr. Akgonul, another little taste just to remind you of the videos. (Video played.) MR. MANGI: They are saying, oh, we already had CDTs. We had them since the early 2000s. Why we would care about Appian? Then why is Mr. Akgonul trying to find out all about it?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>this is in the developer because I checked it. I went through the versions when I created another identity account to check. It's not there, I tried that, no matter what everyone else said. He didn't just tell you. He showed you. Even Mr. Bixby, he doesn't dispute they added an editing button in 7.1.1. What about custom data types? Remember, Dr. Marshall said that this is complicated, and it is. But it's very important because it's how the data is managed. It's a huge</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of them and checked, but they added in 7.1. They keep refining it to keep up with Appian. We saw them in the videos. Remember, here is Mr. Akgonul, another little taste just to remind you of the videos. (Video played.) MR. MANGI: They are saying, oh, we already had CDTs. We had them since the early 2000s. Why we would care about Appian? Then why is Mr. Akgonul trying to find out all about it? Look at what happens in their</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>this is in the developer because I checked it. I went through the versions when I created another identity account to check. It's not there, I tried that, no matter what everyone else said. He didn't just tell you. He showed you. Even Mr. Bixby, he doesn't dispute they added an editing button in 7.1.1. What about custom data types? Remember, Dr. Marshall said that this is complicated, and it is. But it's very important because it's how the data is managed. It's a huge difference for the people on a</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of them and checked, but they added in 7.1. They keep refining it to keep up with Appian. We saw them in the videos. Remember, here is Mr. Akgonul, another little taste just to remind you of the videos. (Video played.) MR. MANGI: They are saying, oh, we already had CDTs. We had them since the early 2000s. Why we would care about Appian? Then why is Mr. Akgonul trying to find out all about it? Look at what happens in their own internal documents. He sends</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this is in the developer because I checked it. I went through the versions when I created another identity account to check. It's not there, I tried that, no matter what everyone else said. He didn't just tell you. He showed you. Even Mr. Bixby, he doesn't dispute they added an editing button in 7.1.1. What about custom data types? Remember, Dr. Marshall said that this is complicated, and it is. But it's very important because it's how the data is managed. It's a huge difference for the people on a day-to-day level.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of them and checked, but they added in 7.1. They keep refining it to keep up with Appian. We saw them in the videos. Remember, here is Mr. Akgonul, another little taste just to remind you of the videos. (Video played.) MR. MANGI: They are saying, oh, we already had CDTs. We had them since the early 2000s. Why we would care about Appian? Then why is Mr. Akgonul trying to find out all about it? Look at what happens in their own internal documents. He sends screenshots, remember, of Smart</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	this is in the developer because I checked it. I went through the versions when I created another identity account to check. It's not there, I tried that, no matter what everyone else said. He didn't just tell you. He showed you. Even Mr. Bixby, he doesn't dispute they added an editing button in 7.1.1. What about custom data types? Remember, Dr. Marshall said that this is complicated, and it is. But it's very important because it's how the data is managed. It's a huge difference for the people on a day-to-day level. We're not saying Appian invented	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of them and checked, but they added in 7.1. They keep refining it to keep up with Appian.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>this is in the developer because I checked it. I went through the versions when I created another identity account to check. It's not there, I tried that, no matter what everyone else said. He didn't just tell you. He showed you. Even Mr. Bixby, he doesn't dispute they added an editing button in 7.1.1. What about custom data types? Remember, Dr. Marshall said that this is complicated, and it is. But it's very important because it's how the data is managed. It's a huge difference for the people on a day-to-day level. We're not saying Appian invented this. But he shows how you deploy and</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of them and checked, but they added in 7.1. They keep refining it to keep up with Appian. We saw them in the videos. Remember, here is Mr. Akgonul, another little taste just to remind you of the videos. (Video played.) MR. MANGI: They are saying, oh, we already had CDTs. We had them since the early 2000s. Why we would care about Appian? Then why is Mr. Akgonul trying to find out all about it? Look at what happens in their own internal documents. He sends screenshots, remember, of Smart Shapes. Look at what he does with CDTs. He's sending around those</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>this is in the developer because I checked it. I went through the versions when I created another identity account to check. It's not there, I tried that, no matter what everyone else said. He didn't just tell you. He showed you. Even Mr. Bixby, he doesn't dispute they added an editing button in 7.1.1. What about custom data types? Remember, Dr. Marshall said that this is complicated, and it is. But it's very important because it's how the data is managed. It's a huge difference for the people on a day-to-day level. We're not saying Appian invented this. But he shows how you deploy and use CDTs in a BPM platform. That's</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of them and checked, but they added in 7.1. They keep refining it to keep up with Appian.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	this is in the developer because I checked it. I went through the versions when I created another identity account to check. It's not there, I tried that, no matter what everyone else said. He didn't just tell you. He showed you. Even Mr. Bixby, he doesn't dispute they added an editing button in 7.1.1. What about custom data types? Remember, Dr. Marshall said that this is complicated, and it is. But it's very important because it's how the data is managed. It's a huge difference for the people on a day-to-day level. We're not saying Appian invented this. But he shows how you deploy and use CDTs in a BPM platform. That's where Appian was innovative and ahead	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of them and checked, but they added in 7.1. They keep refining it to keep up with Appian.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this is in the developer because I checked it. I went through the versions when I created another identity account to check. It's not there, I tried that, no matter what everyone else said. He didn't just tell you. He showed you. Even Mr. Bixby, he doesn't dispute they added an editing button in 7.1.1. What about custom data types? Remember, Dr. Marshall said that this is complicated, and it is. But it's very important because it's how the data is managed. It's a huge difference for the people on a day-to-day level. We're not saying Appian invented this. But he shows how you deploy and use CDTs in a BPM platform. That's where Appian was innovative and ahead of the curve.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of them and checked, but they added in 7.1. They keep refining it to keep up with Appian. We saw them in the videos. Remember, here is Mr. Akgonul, another little taste just to remind you of the videos. (Video played.) MR. MANGI: They are saying, oh, we already had CDTs. We had them since the early 2000s. Why we would care about Appian? Then why is Mr. Akgonul trying to find out all about it? Look at what happens in their own internal documents. He sends screenshots, remember, of Smart Shapes. Look at what he does with CDTs. He's sending around those screenshots too. They had that meeting the next year in February with the 222 slides.</pre>

1 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) rege till 2 own CUTS. They provide all the a details. If they hold this since the 4 details. If they hold this since the a point or improvements. What do you 4 carly 2009s, why are they doing all a point or improvements. What do you about 6 Look at how they study it, slide a fater slide studying 7 and the south Project Crush? 1 9 What about Project Crush? 1 10 haa a whole section on this, on CTF 1 11 an Awhat do they gay at the end 1 12 And what do they gay at the end 1 13 of it? They show a screenshot of it 1 14 and they gay? Discuss improvement, it 14 15 status completed. 17 16 status completed. 18 17 reps int. They say now we've got 18 would never look at this, we had this 19 since the early 2000s. Are they 20 telling you the truth? 21 Look at what they say about 22 roperint. And 2		D		Deve. 0102
2 own CDTS. They provide all the 2 no evidence that they ever looked at 3 details. If they had this since the a Appian for improvements. What do you 4 early 2000s, why are they doing all this? 5 this? And Dr. Marshall toly on about 6 Look at how they study it, slide for this about Project Crush? It 7 Appian CDTS. mot about first memo, hast name. It's 9 What about Project Crush? It 10 together. It's about first memo, last name. It's 10 has a whole section on this, on CDTS 10 together. It's about first memo, last name. It's 11 a ham about first memo, last name. It's a hama brain thinks. That's why 14 adeley say: Discuss improvement to 14 developers can manage the data. It's 15 data modeling with product management, 16 Their own upgrade document Pega 17 They want you to believe we 17 They are working with Zou. 18 would never look at what they say about 17 Texp about about marketing, 19 tealing (Magi) 20 you, no, we didn't change anything. 12 Forot st. May wat they way we'v	1	Page 8122 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)		Page 8123 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
3 details. If they had this since the 3 Appian for improvements. What do you 4 early 2000s, why are they doing all 3 Appian for improvements. What do you about 6 Look at how they study it, slide 6 the striking similarities on where you 7 Appian CDTs. 9 not about first name, last name. It's 9 What about Project Crush? It. 9 not about which columns you put. 10 has a whole section on this, on CDTs 10 together. It's about demonstrating a 11 in Appian. 11 concept. Defore this was complicated. 12 And what do they say at the end 13 a human brain thinks. That's why 13 and nevy aay: Discuss improvement to 14 developers can manage the data. It's 13 status completed. 16 Their own upgrade document Pega 14 developers can manage the data. 17 social. 15 status completed. 16 Their own upgrade document Pega 16 tray and hey say: Dack. 17 Social. Now social and mobile 17 took at what they say shout 21 Social. Now socia	2		2	
4 early 2000s, why are they doing all 4 call this? 5 this? And what how they study it, slide 6 the striking similarities on where you 7 after slide after slide studying 8 not about first name, last name. It's 9 What about Project Crush? It 9 not about which columns you put 10 10 hess a whole section on this, on CDTs 10 together. It's about demonstrating a 11 11 And what do they say at the end 12 fragmented. Now it's logical, the way 12 And what do they say at the end 12 fragmented. Now it's logical, the way 14 and they say: Discuss improvement to 14 developers can manage the data. It's 15 data modeling with product management. 16 Their own upgrade document Pega 17 They want you to believe we 14 this new data model and this makes it 18 sould neever look at they say about 15 cealer. 16 12 Froglect Crush. They say, reedback 22 gentleme. because they say to you, 14 delivered to product marketing. 23 gentleme. because they say to you,			3	
5 this? 5 And Dr. Marshall told you about 6 Look at how they study it, slide 6 the striking similarities on where you 6 after slide after slide studying 8 not about first name, last name. It's 8 Appian CDTS. 9 Nutat about Project Crush? It 9 not about first name, last name. It's 10 has a whole section on this, on CDTS 10 together. It's about demostrating a 11 and what do they say at the end 12 fragmented. Now it's logical, the way 13 and what do they say bicuss inprovement to 14 developers can manage the data. It's 14 and they say: Discuss inprovement to 14 developers can manage the data. It's 16 status completed. 15 fata modeling with product management, 15 16 status completed. 16 They wan you to belive we 18 this new data model and this makes it 17 rey wat they say about 17 explain sit. They say now we've got 18 status completed. 19 fast and call and mobile 19 19 project Crush. They say: FeedBack 20 social. Now socia		-		
6 Look at how they study it, slide 6 the striking similarities on where you 7 after slide after slide studying 8 not about first name, last name. It's 9 Mhat about Project Crush? It 9 not about first name, last name. It's 10 has a whole section on this, on CDTs 10 together. It's about demonstrating a 11 in Appian. 11 concept. Before this was complicated. 12 And what do they say at the end 13 in mem brain thinks. That's why 14 and they say: Discuss improvement to 14 dealword product management. 15 satus completed. 15 innew hat model and this makes it 19 since the early 2000s. Are they 10 you, no. we didn't charge arything. 20 took at what they say about 21 Social. Now social and mobile 21 Project Crush. They say: Peedback 23 enters. 14 24 improvements underway. And they would 24 ch, look, we were already working on 25 forth t reason. 0 reage tis'. They are working with zou. He <tr< td=""><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td></tr<>	1			
7 after slide after slide studying 7 end up. Remember, he told you. It's 8 Appian CDTs. not about first name, last name. It's 10 has a whole section on this, on CDTs 10 11 in Appian. 10 to about which columns you put 12 And what do they say at the end 11 concept. Before this was complicated, 13 of it? They show a screenshot of it 13 a human brain thinks. That's why 14 add what do they say its closus inprovement to 12 fragmented. Now it's logical, the way 15 data modeling with product management, 15 is since the early 2000s. Are they 16 19 since the early 2000s. Are they 19 faster and easier. They are telling 21 Look at what they say about 21 Social. Now social and mobile 22 Project Crush. They say: Feedback 22 and upen, heecause they say to you, 23 delivered to product marketing, 23 gentlemen, because they say to you, 24 improvenents. Moderway. And they would 24 oh, look, we were alreading heecause 24 they took specific features from us 5 rifk'				-
8 Appian CDTs. 8 not about first name, last name. It's 9 What about Project Crush? It not about which columns you put 11 in Appian. not about which columns you put 12 And what do they say at the end 10 together. It's about demonstrating a 12 And what do they say at the end 11 together. It's about demonstrating a 14 and they say: Discuss improvement to 13 a human brain thinks. That's why 14 and they say: Discuss improvement to 14 deliver colo at this, we had this 15 status completed. 16 They want you to believe we 17 They want you to believe we 16 status completed. 16 Their own upgrade document Pega 17 They want you to believe we 17 7 explains it. They say now we've got 18 would never look at this, we had this 19 faster and easier. They are telling 12 Look at what they say about 21 Social. Now social and mobile 21 22 Project Crush. They say: Feedback 23 enteresting, hadies and			-	
9What about Project Crush? It9not about which columns you put10has a whole section on this, on CDPs10together. It's about demonstrating a11concept. Before this was complicated,12And what do they say at the end11fragmented. Now it's logical, the way13of it? They show a screenshot of it13a human brain thinks. That's why14and they say: Discuss improvement to14developers can manage the data. It's15data modeling with product management,16Their own upgrade document Pega16They want you to believe we177 explains it. They say now we've got18would never look at this, we had this19faster and easier. They are telling20telling you the truth?20you, no, we didn't change anything.21Look at what they say about21Social. Now social and mobile23delivered to product marketing,23gentlemen, because they say to you,24improvements underway. And they would25this. And yeah, they were, but they25vok specific features from us5right? It's all about application3That's the whole point. And1Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)4they took specific features from us5right? It's all about application6On social, they were very basic.7Appian had the innovative approach8here.1Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)115she admits, we admit it. Ms. Garg,11				
10has a whole section on this, on CDTs10together. It's about demonstrating a11in Appian.11concept. Before this was complicated,12And what do they say at the end12fragmented. Now it's logical, the way13of it? They show a screenshot of it13a human brain thinks. That's why14and they say: Discuss improvement to14developers can manage the data. It's16status completed.16Their own upgrade document Pega17They want you to believe we177 explains it. They say now we've got18would never look at this, we had this18this new data model and this makes it19shce the early 2000s. Are they19faster and easier. They are telling20telling you the truth?20you, no, we didn't change anything.21Look at what they say about21Social. Now social and mobile22revise working on23gentlemen, because they say to you,24ingrovements underway. And they would24oh, look, we were already working on25that's the whole point. And3had papian's documentation before they4they took specific features from us5right? It's all about application5for that reason.7gapian's documentation.6On social, they were very basic.7Appian 6.7 documents.7sheadmits, we only had basic10Forum. See, it says right there,11it do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg,			-	
11 in Appian. 11 concept. Before this was complicated, 12 And what do they say at the end 13 a human brain thinks. That's uhy 14 and they say: Discuss improvement to 14 a human brain thinks. That's uhy 14 and they say: Discuss improvement, 15 data modeling with product management, 16 Their own upgrade document Pega 17 They want you to believe we 17 7 explains it. They say now we've got 18 would never look at this, we had this 18 this new data model and this makes it 19 faster and easier. They are telling you, no, we didn't change anything. 20 they you the truth? 20 you, no, we didn't change anything. 21 Look at what they say about 21 Social. Now social and mobile 22 Project Crush. They say: Peedback 22 are very interesting, ladies and 23 delivered to product marketing, 24 oh, look, we were already working on 24 hol post, hew yeis social 24 hol post, were already working on 25 for that reason. 5 right? It's all about application 6 On social, the		-	-	
12And what do they say at the end12fragmented.Now it's logical, the way13of it? They show a screenshot of ita human brain thinks. That's why14and they say: Discuss improvement to14developers can manage the data. It's15data modeling with product management,15it's they say now we've got16status completed.16Their own upgrade document Pega17They wanty you to believe we177 explains it. They say now we've got18would never look at this, we had this18this new data model and this makes it19since the early 2000s. Are they20you, no, we dich't change anything.21Look at what they say about21Social. Now social and mobile22Project Crush. They say: Feedback23gentlemen, because they say to you,24improvements underway. And they would24oh, look, we were already working on25this. And yeah, they were, but they24had Appian's documentation before they26mare't succeeding.1Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)27weren't succeeding.1Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)28for that reason.1Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)29They knew it. Look at their120On social, they were very basic.13They knew it. Look at their103They knew it. Look at their1010internal documents. Sociale are hard1121been around for			-	
13of it? They show a screenshot of it13a human brain thinks. That's why14and they say: Discuss improvement todevelopers can manage the data. It's15data modeling with product management,1516status completed.1717They want you to believe we1818would never look at this, we had this1919since the early 2000s. Are they1920telling you the truth?2021Look at what they say about2122Project Crush. They say: Feedback2223delivered to product marketing,2324improvement underway. And they would2425have you believe Dr. Easttom says, oh,2526They took specific features from us263That's the whole point. And274they took specific features from us265for that reason.276On social, they were very basic.287Appian had the innovative approach38here.89They knew it. Look at their1010internal documents. Socials are hard1111to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg,1314been around for a long time.1415What did Appian have?1516Out-of-the-box integration of work1617Look at what their won documents18Dr. Marshall looked through all of19them and he tel				
14and they say: Discuss improvement to 1514developers can manage the data. It's easier.15data modeling with product management, 16status completed.16Their own upgrade document Pega17They want you to believe we 18177 explains it. They say now we've got18would never look at this, we had this 1918this new data model and this makes it that read easier. They are telling 				
15 data modeling with product management, 15 easier. 16 status completed. 16 They want you to believe we 17 They want you to believe we 17 They many tyou to believe we 18 would never look at this, we had this 16 Their own upgrade document Pega 19 since the early 2000s. Are they 19 faster and easier. They are telling 20 telling you the truth? 20 you, no, we didn't change anything. 21 Look at what they say about 21 Social. Now social and mobile 22 Project Crush. They say: Peedback 23 gentlemen, because they say to you, 24 improvements underway. And they would 24 are very interesting, ladies and 23 gentlemen, because they say to you, 0 hok, we were already working on 25 this. And yeah, they were, but they 25 this. And yeah, they were, but they 24 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) 2 They are working with Zou. He 3 That's the whole point. And 3 had Appian's documentation before they 4 they are working with Zou. He 3 had Appian's documentati		_		_
16 status completed. 16 Their own upgrade document Pega 17 They want you to believe we 17 7 explains it. They say now we've got 18 would never look at this, we had this 18 this new data model and this makes it 19 since the early 2000s. Are they 19 faster and easier. They rare telling 20 telling you the truth? 20 you, no, we didn't change anything. 21 Look at what they say about 21 Social. Now social and mobile 23 delivered to product marketing, 23 gentlemen, because they say to you, 24 improvements underway. And they would 25 this. And yeah, they were, but they 24 mave you believe Dr. Easttom says, oh, 25 this. And yeah, they were, but they 25 have you kelieve Dr. Easttom says. 7 explain's documentation before they 24 they took specific features from us 1 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) 2 25 they took specific features from us 5 right? It's all about application 6 6 On social are hard 0 Forum. See, it says right there, 11 11				
17They want you to believe we would never look at this, we had this since the early 2000s. Are they telling you the truth? 1177 explains it. They say now we've got this new data model and this makes it faster and easier. They are telling you, no, we didn't change anything.21Look at what they say about 22Project Crush. They say: Feedback 23 delivered to product marketing, at improvements underway. And they would have you believe Dr. Easttom says, oh,177 explains it. They say now we've got this new data model and this makes it to any on, we didn't change anything.24improvements underway. And they would have you believe Dr. Easttom says, oh,21Social. Now social and mobile gentlemen, because they say to you, 24 oh, look, we were already working on 252Prege 8124 That's the whole point. And they took specific features from us 5 for that reason. 61Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) 223They tree working yith Zou. He 331Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)41Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)25for that reason. 66mahacement on social and Tempo6On social, they were very basic. 77 ight? It's all about application 667Appian had the innovative approach 88Here he is sending the 99They knew it. Look at their 10101011to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg, 1111And then remember how, remember 1313commenting capabilities, stuff that's 1313And then remember how, remembe			_	
18 would never look at this, we had this 18 this new data model and this makes it 19 since the early 2000s. Are they 20 20 telling you the truth? 20 21 Look at what they say about 21 22 Project Crush. They say: Feedback 22 23 delivered to product marketing, 20 24 improvements underway. And they would 23 25 have you believe Dr. Easttom says, oh, 25 26 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Page 8124 27 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Page 8124 28 That's the whole point. And 3 had Appian's documentation before they 4 they took specific features from us 5 right? It's all about application 6 On social, they were very basic. 7 Appian had the innovative approach 8 8 here. 8 Here his sending the 9 9 They knew it. Look at their 10 Forum. See, it says right there, 11 to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg, 11 Appian 6.7 documentation. 12 she admits, we onl		-	-	
19since the early 2000s. Are they telling you the truth?19faster and easier. They are telling you, no, we didn't change anything.21Look at what they say about20you, no, we didn't change anything.21Look at what they say: Feedback21Social. Now social and mobile23delivered to product marketing, improvements underway. And they would22are very interesting, ladies and23delivered to product marketing, improvements underway. And they would23gentlemen, because they say to you, oth. Now social and mobile24improvements underway. And they would24oh, look, we were already working on this. And yeah, they were, but they1Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)2They are working with Zou. He3That's the whole point. And they took specific features from us 51Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)4they took specific features from us 55right? It's all about application 66On social, they were very basic. 76Here he is sending the 97Appian had the innovative approach 810Forum. See, it says right there, 1110internal documents. Socials are hard 1110Forum. See, it says right there, 1211to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg, 121Appian 6.7 documentation. 1212And then remember how, remember1313commenting capabilities, stuff that's 131314been around for a long time. 141415Ieader. Mhy	1			
20 telling you the truth? 20 you, no, we didn't change anything. 21 Look at what they say about 21 Social. Now social and mobile 22 Project Crush. They say: Feedback 22 are very interesting, ladies and 23 delivered to product marketing, 24 are very interesting, ladies and 24 improvements underway. And they would 24 oh, look, we were already working on 25 this. And yeah, they were, but they 26 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) 24 27 They are working with Zou. He 3 That's the whole point. And 3 4 they took specific features from us 5 5 for that reason. 6 6 On social, they were very basic. 7 7 Appian had the innovative approach 8 8 Here he is sending the 9 9 They knew it. Look at their 9 10 Forum. See, it says right there, 11 to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg, 11 12 And then remember how, remember 13 commenting capabilities, stu		-	-	
21Look at what they say about21Social. Now social and mobile22Project Crush. They say: Feedback22are very interesting, ladies and23delivered to product marketing,23gentlemen, because they say to you,24improvements underway. And they would23gentlemen, because they say to you,25have you believe Dr. Easttom says, oh,24oh, look, we were already working on7Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)1Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)2weren't succeeding.1Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)3That's the whole point. And4had Appian's documentation before they4they took specific features from us5for that reason.6On social, they were very basic.6enhancement on social and Tempo7Appian had the innovative approach8Here he is sending the9They knew it. Look at their9confidential documents.10internal documents. Socials are hard10Forum. See, it says right there,11to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg,12And then remember how, remember12she admits, we only had basic12And then remember how, remember13commenting capabilities, stuff that's13how their experts say to you: Appian?14been around for a long time.14is terrible. Appian is not the15What did Appian have?16Look at what their own documents16Out-of-the-box integration of work16 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>				
22Project Crush. They say: Feedback23are very interesting, ladies and23delivered to product marketing,23gentlemen, because they say to you,24improvements underway. And they would24oh, look, we were already working on25have you believe Dr. Easttom says, oh,25this. And yeah, they were, but they1Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)2They are working with Zou. He3That's the whole point. And3had Appian's documentation before they4they took specific features from us5right? It's all about application6On social, they were very basic.6enhancement on social and Tempo7Appian had the innovative approach7documents.8here.9They knew it. Look at their10internal documents. Socials are hard10Forum. See, it says right there,11to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg,11Appian 6.7 documentation12she admits, we only had basic12And then remember how, remember13commenting capabilities, stuff that's14is terrible. Appian is not the14been around for a long time.15leader. Why would we look at Appian?16Out-of-the-box integration of work16Look at what their own documents17lists and social. There's a note.17from 'H eses it.18pr. Marshall looked through all of19solution allows us to compete with19them and he tells you, it showed up <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>				
23delivered to product marketing, improvements underway. And they would have you believe Dr. Easttom says, oh,23gentlemen, because they say to you, oh, look, we were already working on this. And yeah, they were, but they1Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)1Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)2weren't succeeding.1Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)3That's the whole point. And 43had Appian's documentation before they 44they took specific features from us 55right? It's all about application 66On social, they were very basic. 76neethere is sending the 97Appian had the innovative approach 88Here he is sending the 99They knew it. Look at their 99confidential documentation. 1011to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg, 1111Appian 6.7 documentation. 1212she admits, we only had basic 1312And then remember how, remember 1414been around for a long time. 1511Appian for documents 1615What did Appian have? 1616Look at what their own documents 1716Out-of-the-box integration of work 1716Look at what their own documents 1718Dr. Marshall looked through all of 1919solution allows us to compete with 2019after Zou in 7.1. Where did it come 2110The why Upgrade document 2222He doesn't just tell you. He 2323The why Upgrade document 24 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>				
24improvements underway. And they would have you believe Dr. Easttom says, oh,24oh, look, we were already working on 2524Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)25this. And yeah, they were, but they25Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)2Page 812426IPlaintiff - Closing (Mangi)227Meren't succeeding.1Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)28Meren't succeeding.2They are working with Zou. He3That's the whole point. And3had Appian's documentation before they4they took specific features from us5right? It's all about application6On social, they were very basic.6enhancement on social and Tempo7Appian had the innovative approach8Here he is sending the9They knew it. Look at their9confidential documentation from within10internal documents. Socials are hard10Forum. See, it says right there,11to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg,11Appian 6.7 documentation.12she admits, we only had basic12And then remember how, remember14been around for a long time.14is terrible. Appian is not the15Mat did Appian have?16Look at what their own documents16Out-of-the-box integration of work16Look at what their own documents17Iists and social. There's a note.17from the times say. They're talking18Dr. Marshall looked through all of18abou	1			
25have you believe Dr. Easttom says, oh,25this. And yeah, they were, but theyPage 8124Page 81241Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)12Weren't succeeding.23That's the whole point. And34they took specific features from us35for that reason.46On social, they were very basic.77Appian had the innovative approach78here.99They knew it. Look at their910internal documents. Socials are hard1011to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg,1112she admits, we only had basic1213commenting capabilities, stuff that's1314been around for a long time.1415What did Appian have?1516Out-of-the-box integration of work1617lists and social. There's a note.1719them and he tells you, it showed up1920after Zou in 7.1. Where did it come2121He doesn't just tell you. He2223showed in the platform, look, here it2324is. Here's where it shows up and he2425collaboration, fully integrated.	1		_	
Page 8124Page 81241Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)2weren't succeeding.3That's the whole point. And4they took specific features from us5for that reason.6On social, they were very basic.7Appian had the innovative approach8here.9They knew it. Look at their10internal documents. Socials are hard11to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg,12she admits, we only had basic13commenting capabilities, stuff that's14been around for a long time.15What did Appian have?16Out-of-thebox integration of work17lists and social. There's a note.18Dr. Marshall looked through all of19them and he tells you, it showed up11the doesn't just tell you. He22He doesn't just tell you. He23showed in the platform, look, here it24is. Here's where it shows up and he				
1Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)1Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)2weren't succeeding.They are working with Zou. He3That's the whole point. And3had Appian's documentation before they4they took specific features from us5for that reason.75for that reason.5right? It's all about application6On social, they were very basic.6enhancement on social and Tempo7Appian had the innovative approach7documents.8here.8Here he is sending the9They knew it. Look at their9confidential documentation from within10internal documents. Socials are hard10Forum. See, it says right there,11to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg,11Appian 6.7 documentation.12she admits, we only had basic12And then remember how, remember13commenting capabilities, stuff that's13how their experts say to you: Appian14been around for a long time.15leader. Why would we look at Appian?15Udt-of-the-box integration of work16Look at what their own documents18Dr. Marshall looked through all of18about 7.1. Great job, everyone. This19them and he tells you, it showed up19solution allows us to compete with20after Zou in 7.1. Where did it come21The Why Upgrade document21He doesn't just tell you. He23Here's whyre it shows up and he22	25	have you believe br. Eastton says, on,	25	this. And yean, they were, but they
2weren't succeeding.2They are working with Zou. He3That's the whole point. And3had Appian's documentation before they4they took specific features from us4had Appian's documentation before they5for that reason.4made the change. The to-do meeting,6On social, they were very basic.7Appian had the innovative approach67Appian had the innovative approach7documents.8here.7documents.9They knew it. Look at their9confidential documentation from within10internal documents. Socials are hard10Forum. See, it says right there,11to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg,11Appian 6.7 documentation.12she admits, we only had basic12And then remember how, remember13commenting capabilities, stuff that's13how their experts say to you: Appian14been around for a long time.14is terrible. Appian is not the15What did Appian have?15leader. Why would we look at Appian?16Out-of-the-box integration of work16Look at what their own documents17lists and social. There's a note.17from the times say. They're talking18Dr. Marshall looked through all of18about 7.1. Great job, everyone. This19them and he tells you, it showed up19solution allows us to compete with20After Zou in 7.1. Where did it come21The Why Upg				
3That's the whole point. And 43had Appian's documentation before they made the change. The to-do meeting, 54they took specific features from us 5for that reason.76On social, they were very basic. 7Appian had the innovative approach 86enhancement on social and Tempo 77Appian had the innovative approach 8here.8Here he is sending the 99They knew it. Look at their 99confidential documentation from within 1010internal documents. Socials are hard 1110Forum. See, it says right there, 1112she admits, we only had basic 1311Appian 6.7 documentation.13commenting capabilities, stuff that's 1413how their experts say to you: Appian 1414been around for a long time.14is terrible. Appian is not the 1515What did Appian have?15leader. Why would we look at Appian?16Out-of-the-box integration of work 11161817lists and social. There's a note.17from the times say. They're talking 1818Dr. Marshall looked through all of 1918about 7.1. Great job, everyone. This 1919the ades't just tell you. He 23showed in the platform, look, here it 2423Here's why you should upgrade, social 2424is. Here's where it shows up and he24collaboration, fully integrated.		-	-	-
4they took specific features from us4made the change. The to-do meeting,5for that reason.5right? It's all about application6On social, they were very basic.6enhancement on social and Tempo7Appian had the innovative approach6enhancement on social and Tempo8here.8Here he is sending the9They knew it. Look at their9confidential documentation from within10internal documents. Socials are hard10Forum. See, it says right there,11to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg,11Appian 6.7 documentation.12she admits, we only had basic12And then remember how, remember13commenting capabilities, stuff that's13how their experts say to you: Appian14been around for a long time.14is terrible. Appian is not the15What did Appian have?16Look at what their own documents17lists and social. There's a note.17from the times say. They're talking18Dr. Marshall looked through all of18about 7.1. Great job, everyone. This19them and he tells you, it showed up19solution allows us to compete with20after Zou in 7.1. Where did it come21The Why Upgrade document21He doesn't just tell you. He23showed in the platform, look, here it2424is. Here's where it shows up and he24collaboration, fully integrated.		Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)		Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
5for that reason.5right? It's all about application6On social, they were very basic.6enhancement on social and Tempo7Appian had the innovative approach7documents.8here.9They knew it. Look at their99They knew it. Look at their9confidential documentation from within10internal documents. Socials are hard10Forum. See, it says right there,11to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg,11Appian 6.7 documentation.12she admits, we only had basic12And then remember how, remember13commenting capabilities, stuff that's13how their experts say to you: Appian14been around for a long time.14is terrible. Appian is not the15What did Appian have?16Look at what their own documents16Out-of-the-box integration of work16Look at what their own documents17lists and social. There's a note.17from the times say. They're talking18Dr. Marshall looked through all of19solution allows us to compete with20after Zou in 7.1. Where did it come21The Why Upgrade document21He doesn't just tell you. He22explains it. 7.1, got something new.23showed in the platform, look, here it24collaboration, fully integrated.	2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) weren't succeeding.	2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) They are working with Zou. He
6On social, they were very basic.6enhancement on social and Tempo7Appian had the innovative approach7documents.8here.8Here he is sending the9They knew it. Look at their9confidential documentation from within10internal documents. Socials are hard10Forum. See, it says right there,11to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg,11Appian 6.7 documentation.12she admits, we only had basic12And then remember how, remember13commenting capabilities, stuff that's13how their experts say to you: Appian14been around for a long time.14is terrible. Appian is not the15What did Appian have?16Look at what their own documents16Out-of-the-box integration of work16Look at what their own documents17lists and social. There's a note.17from the times say. They're talking18Dr. Marshall looked through all of18about 7.1. Great job, everyone. This19them and he tells you, it showed up20other leaders like Appian Tempo.21from? He sees it.21The Why Upgrade document22He doesn't just tell you. He22explains it. 7.1, got something new.23showed in the platform, look, here it23Here's why you should upgrade, social24is. Here's where it shows up and he24collaboration, fully integrated.	2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) weren't succeeding. That's the whole point. And	2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) They are working with Zou. He had Appian's documentation before they
7Appian had the innovative approach7documents.8here.9They knew it. Look at their9confidential documentation from within10internal documents. Socials are hard10Forum. See, it says right there,11to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg,11Appian 6.7 documentation.12she admits, we only had basic12And then remember how, remember13commenting capabilities, stuff that's13how their experts say to you: Appian14been around for a long time.14is terrible. Appian is not the15What did Appian have?15leader. Why would we look at Appian?16Out-of-the-box integration of work16Look at what their own documents17lists and social. There's a note.17from the times say. They're talking18Dr. Marshall looked through all of18about 7.1. Great job, everyone. This19them and he tells you, it showed up19solution allows us to compete with20after Zou in 7.1. Where did it come20other leaders like Appian Tempo.21from? He sees it.21The Why Upgrade document22He doesn't just tell you. He22explains it. 7.1, got something new.23showed in the platform, look, here it23Here's where it shows up and he24is. Here's where it shows up and he24collaboration, fully integrated.	2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) weren't succeeding. That's the whole point. And they took specific features from us	2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) They are working with Zou. He had Appian's documentation before they made the change. The to-do meeting,
8here.8Here he is sending the9They knew it. Look at their9confidential documentation from within10internal documents. Socials are hard10Forum. See, it says right there,11to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg,11Appian 6.7 documentation.12she admits, we only had basic12And then remember how, remember13commenting capabilities, stuff that's13how their experts say to you: Appian14been around for a long time.14is terrible. Appian is not the15What did Appian have?15leader. Why would we look at Appian?16Out-of-the-box integration of work16Look at what their own documents17lists and social. There's a note.17from the times say. They're talking18Dr. Marshall looked through all of18about 7.1. Great job, everyone. This19them and he tells you, it showed up19solution allows us to compete with20after Zou in 7.1. Where did it come20other leaders like Appian Tempo.21from? He sees it.21The Why Upgrade document22He doesn't just tell you. He22explains it. 7.1, got something new.23showed in the platform, look, here it23Here's where it shows up and he24is. Here's where it shows up and he24collaboration, fully integrated.	2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) weren't succeeding. That's the whole point. And they took specific features from us for that reason.	2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) They are working with Zou. He had Appian's documentation before they made the change. The to-do meeting, right? It's all about application
9They knew it. Look at their9confidential documentation from within10internal documents. Socials are hard10Forum. See, it says right there,11to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg,11Appian 6.7 documentation.12she admits, we only had basic12And then remember how, remember13commenting capabilities, stuff that's13how their experts say to you: Appian14been around for a long time.14is terrible. Appian is not the15What did Appian have?15leader. Why would we look at Appian?16Out-of-the-box integration of work16Look at what their own documents17lists and social. There's a note.17from the times say. They're talking18Dr. Marshall looked through all of18about 7.1. Great job, everyone. This19them and he tells you, it showed up19solution allows us to compete with20after Zou in 7.1. Where did it come21The Why Upgrade document21He doesn't just tell you. He22explains it. 7.1, got something new.23showed in the platform, look, here it23Here's why you should upgrade, social24is. Here's where it shows up and he24collaboration, fully integrated.	2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) weren't succeeding. That's the whole point. And they took specific features from us for that reason. On social, they were very basic.	2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) They are working with Zou. He had Appian's documentation before they made the change. The to-do meeting, right? It's all about application enhancement on social and Tempo
10internal documents. Socials are hard10Forum. See, it says right there,11to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg,11Appian 6.7 documentation.12she admits, we only had basic12And then remember how, remember13commenting capabilities, stuff that's13how their experts say to you: Appian14been around for a long time.14is terrible. Appian is not the15What did Appian have?16Look at what their own documents16Out-of-the-box integration of work16Look at what their own documents17lists and social. There's a note.17from the times say. They're talking18Dr. Marshall looked through all of18about 7.1. Great job, everyone. This19them and he tells you, it showed up19solution allows us to compete with20after Zou in 7.1. Where did it come21The Why Upgrade document21He doesn't just tell you. He22explains it. 7.1, got something new.23showed in the platform, look, here it24collaboration, fully integrated.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) weren't succeeding. That's the whole point. And they took specific features from us for that reason. On social, they were very basic. Appian had the innovative approach</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) They are working with Zou. He had Appian's documentation before they made the change. The to-do meeting, right? It's all about application enhancement on social and Tempo documents.
11to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg,11Appian 6.7 documentation.12she admits, we only had basic12And then remember how, remember13commenting capabilities, stuff that's13how their experts say to you: Appian14been around for a long time.14is terrible. Appian is not the15What did Appian have?14is terrible. Appian is not the16Out-of-the-box integration of work16Look at what their own documents17lists and social. There's a note.17from the times say. They're talking18Dr. Marshall looked through all of18about 7.1. Great job, everyone. This19them and he tells you, it showed up19solution allows us to compete with20after Zou in 7.1. Where did it come21The Why Upgrade document21From? He sees it.21The Why Upgrade document23showed in the platform, look, here it23Here's where it shows up and he24is. Here's where it shows up and he24collaboration, fully integrated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) weren't succeeding. That's the whole point. And they took specific features from us for that reason. On social, they were very basic. Appian had the innovative approach here.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) They are working with Zou. He had Appian's documentation before they made the change. The to-do meeting, right? It's all about application enhancement on social and Tempo documents. Here he is sending the
12she admits, we only had basic12And then remember how, remember13commenting capabilities, stuff that's13how their experts say to you: Appian14been around for a long time.13how their experts say to you: Appian15What did Appian have?14is terrible. Appian is not the16Out-of-the-box integration of work16Look at what their own documents17lists and social. There's a note.17from the times say. They're talking18Dr. Marshall looked through all of18about 7.1. Great job, everyone. This19them and he tells you, it showed up19solution allows us to compete with20after Zou in 7.1. Where did it come21The Why Upgrade document21from? He sees it.21The Why Upgrade document23showed in the platform, look, here it24collaboration, fully integrated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) weren't succeeding. That's the whole point. And they took specific features from us for that reason. On social, they were very basic. Appian had the innovative approach here. They knew it. Look at their</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) They are working with Zou. He had Appian's documentation before they made the change. The to-do meeting, right? It's all about application enhancement on social and Tempo documents. Here he is sending the confidential documentation from within
 13 commenting capabilities, stuff that's 14 been around for a long time. 15 What did Appian have? 16 Out-of-the-box integration of work 17 lists and social. There's a note. 18 Dr. Marshall looked through all of 19 them and he tells you, it showed up 20 after Zou in 7.1. Where did it come 21 from? He sees it. 22 He doesn't just tell you. He 23 showed in the platform, look, here it 24 is. Here's where it shows up and he 13 how their experts say to you: Appian 14 is terrible. Appian is not the 15 leader. Why would we look at Appian? 16 Look at what their own documents 17 from the times say. They're talking 18 about 7.1. Great job, everyone. This 19 solution allows us to compete with 20 other leaders like Appian Tempo. 21 The Why Upgrade document 22 explains it. 7.1, got something new. 23 showed in the platform, look, here it 24 is. Here's where it shows up and he 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) weren't succeeding. That's the whole point. And they took specific features from us for that reason. On social, they were very basic. Appian had the innovative approach here. They knew it. Look at their internal documents. Socials are hard</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) They are working with Zou. He had Appian's documentation before they made the change. The to-do meeting, right? It's all about application enhancement on social and Tempo documents. Here he is sending the confidential documentation from within Forum. See, it says right there,
14been around for a long time.14is terrible. Appian is not the15What did Appian have?14is terrible. Appian is not the16Out-of-the-box integration of work15leader. Why would we look at Appian?16Out-of-the-box integration of work16Look at what their own documents17lists and social. There's a note.17from the times say. They're talking18Dr. Marshall looked through all of18about 7.1. Great job, everyone. This19them and he tells you, it showed up19solution allows us to compete with20after Zou in 7.1. Where did it come20other leaders like Appian Tempo.21from? He sees it.21The Why Upgrade document22He doesn't just tell you. He22explains it. 7.1, got something new.23showed in the platform, look, here it23Here's why you should upgrade, social24is. Here's where it shows up and he24collaboration, fully integrated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) weren't succeeding. That's the whole point. And they took specific features from us for that reason. On social, they were very basic. Appian had the innovative approach here. They knew it. Look at their internal documents. Socials are hard to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) They are working with Zou. He had Appian's documentation before they made the change. The to-do meeting, right? It's all about application enhancement on social and Tempo documents. Here he is sending the confidential documentation from within Forum. See, it says right there, Appian 6.7 documentation.
15What did Appian have?15leader. Why would we look at Appian?16Out-of-the-box integration of work16Look at what their own documents17lists and social. There's a note.16Look at what their own documents18Dr. Marshall looked through all of18about 7.1. Great job, everyone. This19them and he tells you, it showed up19solution allows us to compete with20after Zou in 7.1. Where did it come20other leaders like Appian Tempo.21from? He sees it.21The Why Upgrade document22He doesn't just tell you. He22explains it. 7.1, got something new.23showed in the platform, look, here it23Here's why you should upgrade, social24is. Here's where it shows up and he24collaboration, fully integrated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) weren't succeeding. That's the whole point. And they took specific features from us for that reason. On social, they were very basic. Appian had the innovative approach here. They knew it. Look at their internal documents. Socials are hard to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg, she admits, we only had basic</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) They are working with Zou. He had Appian's documentation before they made the change. The to-do meeting, right? It's all about application enhancement on social and Tempo documents. Here he is sending the confidential documentation from within Forum. See, it says right there, Appian 6.7 documentation. And then remember how, remember
16Out-of-the-box integration of work16Look at what their own documents17lists and social. There's a note.17from the times say. They're talking18Dr. Marshall looked through all of18about 7.1. Great job, everyone. This19them and he tells you, it showed up19solution allows us to compete with20after Zou in 7.1. Where did it come20other leaders like Appian Tempo.21from? He sees it.21The Why Upgrade document22He doesn't just tell you. He22explains it. 7.1, got something new.23showed in the platform, look, here it24collaboration, fully integrated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) weren't succeeding. That's the whole point. And they took specific features from us for that reason. On social, they were very basic. Appian had the innovative approach here. They knew it. Look at their internal documents. Socials are hard to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg, she admits, we only had basic commenting capabilities, stuff that's</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) They are working with Zou. He had Appian's documentation before they made the change. The to-do meeting, right? It's all about application enhancement on social and Tempo documents. Here he is sending the confidential documentation from within Forum. See, it says right there, Appian 6.7 documentation. And then remember how, remember how their experts say to you: Appian
17lists and social. There's a note.17from the times say. They're talking18Dr. Marshall looked through all of18about 7.1. Great job, everyone. This19them and he tells you, it showed up19solution allows us to compete with20after Zou in 7.1. Where did it come20other leaders like Appian Tempo.21from? He sees it.21The Why Upgrade document22He doesn't just tell you. He22explains it. 7.1, got something new.23showed in the platform, look, here it23Here's why you should upgrade, social24is. Here's where it shows up and he24collaboration, fully integrated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) weren't succeeding. That's the whole point. And they took specific features from us for that reason. On social, they were very basic. Appian had the innovative approach here. They knew it. Look at their internal documents. Socials are hard to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg, she admits, we only had basic commenting capabilities, stuff that's been around for a long time.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) They are working with Zou. He had Appian's documentation before they made the change. The to-do meeting, right? It's all about application enhancement on social and Tempo documents. Here he is sending the confidential documentation from within Forum. See, it says right there, Appian 6.7 documentation. And then remember how, remember how their experts say to you: Appian is terrible. Appian is not the
18Dr. Marshall looked through all of18about 7.1. Great job, everyone. This19them and he tells you, it showed up19solution allows us to compete with20after Zou in 7.1. Where did it come19solution allows us to compete with21from? He sees it.20other leaders like Appian Tempo.22He doesn't just tell you. He22explains it. 7.1, got something new.23showed in the platform, look, here it23Here's why you should upgrade, social24is. Here's where it shows up and he24collaboration, fully integrated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) weren't succeeding. That's the whole point. And they took specific features from us for that reason. On social, they were very basic. Appian had the innovative approach here. They knew it. Look at their internal documents. Socials are hard to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg, she admits, we only had basic commenting capabilities, stuff that's been around for a long time. What did Appian have?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) They are working with Zou. He had Appian's documentation before they made the change. The to-do meeting, right? It's all about application enhancement on social and Tempo documents. Here he is sending the confidential documentation from within Forum. See, it says right there, Appian 6.7 documentation. And then remember how, remember how their experts say to you: Appian is terrible. Appian is not the leader. Why would we look at Appian?
19them and he tells you, it showed up19solution allows us to compete with20after Zou in 7.1. Where did it come20other leaders like Appian Tempo.21from? He sees it.21The Why Upgrade document22He doesn't just tell you. He22explains it. 7.1, got something new.23showed in the platform, look, here it23Here's why you should upgrade, social24is. Here's where it shows up and he24collaboration, fully integrated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) weren't succeeding. That's the whole point. And they took specific features from us for that reason. On social, they were very basic. Appian had the innovative approach here. They knew it. Look at their internal documents. Socials are hard to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg, she admits, we only had basic commenting capabilities, stuff that's been around for a long time. What did Appian have? Out-of-the-box integration of work</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) They are working with Zou. He had Appian's documentation before they made the change. The to-do meeting, right? It's all about application enhancement on social and Tempo documents. Here he is sending the confidential documentation from within Forum. See, it says right there, Appian 6.7 documentation. And then remember how, remember how their experts say to you: Appian is terrible. Appian is not the leader. Why would we look at Appian? Look at what their own documents
20after Zou in 7.1. Where did it come20other leaders like Appian Tempo.21from? He sees it.21The Why Upgrade document22He doesn't just tell you. He22explains it. 7.1, got something new.23showed in the platform, look, here it23Here's why you should upgrade, social24is. Here's where it shows up and he24collaboration, fully integrated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) weren't succeeding. That's the whole point. And they took specific features from us for that reason. On social, they were very basic. Appian had the innovative approach here. They knew it. Look at their internal documents. Socials are hard to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg, she admits, we only had basic commenting capabilities, stuff that's been around for a long time. What did Appian have? Out-of-the-box integration of work lists and social. There's a note.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) They are working with Zou. He had Appian's documentation before they made the change. The to-do meeting, right? It's all about application enhancement on social and Tempo documents. Here he is sending the confidential documentation from within Forum. See, it says right there, Appian 6.7 documentation. And then remember how, remember how their experts say to you: Appian is terrible. Appian is not the leader. Why would we look at Appian? Look at what their own documents from the times say. They're talking
21from? He sees it.21The Why Upgrade document22He doesn't just tell you. He22explains it. 7.1, got something new.23showed in the platform, look, here it23Here's why you should upgrade, social24is. Here's where it shows up and he24collaboration, fully integrated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) weren't succeeding. That's the whole point. And they took specific features from us for that reason. On social, they were very basic. Appian had the innovative approach here. They knew it. Look at their internal documents. Socials are hard to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg, she admits, we only had basic commenting capabilities, stuff that's been around for a long time. What did Appian have? Out-of-the-box integration of work lists and social. There's a note. Dr. Marshall looked through all of</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) They are working with Zou. He had Appian's documentation before they made the change. The to-do meeting, right? It's all about application enhancement on social and Tempo documents. Here he is sending the confidential documentation from within Forum. See, it says right there, Appian 6.7 documentation. And then remember how, remember how their experts say to you: Appian is terrible. Appian is not the leader. Why would we look at Appian? Look at what their own documents from the times say. They're talking about 7.1. Great job, everyone. This
22He doesn't just tell you. He22explains it.7.1, got something new.23showed in the platform, look, here it23Here's why you should upgrade, social24is. Here's where it shows up and he24collaboration, fully integrated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) weren't succeeding. That's the whole point. And they took specific features from us for that reason. On social, they were very basic. Appian had the innovative approach here. They knew it. Look at their internal documents. Socials are hard to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg, she admits, we only had basic commenting capabilities, stuff that's been around for a long time. What did Appian have? Out-of-the-box integration of work lists and social. There's a note. Dr. Marshall looked through all of them and he tells you, it showed up</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) They are working with Zou. He had Appian's documentation before they made the change. The to-do meeting, right? It's all about application enhancement on social and Tempo documents. Here he is sending the confidential documentation from within Forum. See, it says right there, Appian 6.7 documentation. And then remember how, remember how their experts say to you: Appian is terrible. Appian is not the leader. Why would we look at Appian? Look at what their own documents from the times say. They're talking about 7.1. Great job, everyone. This solution allows us to compete with
23showed in the platform, look, here it23Here's why you should upgrade, social24is. Here's where it shows up and he24collaboration, fully integrated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) weren't succeeding. That's the whole point. And they took specific features from us for that reason. On social, they were very basic. Appian had the innovative approach here. They knew it. Look at their internal documents. Socials are hard to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg, she admits, we only had basic commenting capabilities, stuff that's been around for a long time. What did Appian have? Out-of-the-box integration of work lists and social. There's a note. Dr. Marshall looked through all of them and he tells you, it showed up after Zou in 7.1. Where did it come</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) They are working with Zou. He had Appian's documentation before they made the change. The to-do meeting, right? It's all about application enhancement on social and Tempo documents. Here he is sending the confidential documentation from within Forum. See, it says right there, Appian 6.7 documentation. And then remember how, remember how their experts say to you: Appian is terrible. Appian is not the leader. Why would we look at Appian? Look at what their own documents from the times say. They're talking about 7.1. Great job, everyone. This solution allows us to compete with other leaders like Appian Tempo.
24 is. Here's where it shows up and he 24 collaboration, fully integrated.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) weren't succeeding. That's the whole point. And they took specific features from us for that reason. On social, they were very basic. Appian had the innovative approach here. They knew it. Look at their internal documents. Socials are hard to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg, she admits, we only had basic commenting capabilities, stuff that's been around for a long time. What did Appian have? Out-of-the-box integration of work lists and social. There's a note. Dr. Marshall looked through all of them and he tells you, it showed up after Zou in 7.1. Where did it come from? He sees it.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) They are working with Zou. He had Appian's documentation before they made the change. The to-do meeting, right? It's all about application enhancement on social and Tempo documents. Here he is sending the confidential documentation from within Forum. See, it says right there, Appian 6.7 documentation. And then remember how, remember how their experts say to you: Appian is terrible. Appian is not the leader. Why would we look at Appian? Look at what their own documents from the times say. They're talking about 7.1. Great job, everyone. This solution allows us to compete with other leaders like Appian Tempo. The Why Upgrade document
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) weren't succeeding. That's the whole point. And they took specific features from us for that reason. On social, they were very basic. Appian had the innovative approach here. They knew it. Look at their internal documents. Socials are hard to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg, she admits, we only had basic commenting capabilities, stuff that's been around for a long time. What did Appian have? Out-of-the-box integration of work lists and social. There's a note. Dr. Marshall looked through all of them and he tells you, it showed up after Zou in 7.1. Where did it come from? He sees it. He doesn't just tell you. He</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) They are working with Zou. He had Appian's documentation before they made the change. The to-do meeting, right? It's all about application enhancement on social and Tempo documents. Here he is sending the confidential documentation from within Forum. See, it says right there, Appian 6.7 documentation. And then remember how, remember how their experts say to you: Appian is terrible. Appian is not the leader. Why would we look at Appian? Look at what their own documents from the times say. They're talking about 7.1. Great job, everyone. This solution allows us to compete with other leaders like Appian Tempo. The Why Upgrade document explains it. 7.1, got something new.
25 tells you where it all came from. 25 Remember, that's what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) weren't succeeding. That's the whole point. And they took specific features from us for that reason. On social, they were very basic. Appian had the innovative approach here. They knew it. Look at their internal documents. Socials are hard to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg, she admits, we only had basic commenting capabilities, stuff that's been around for a long time. What did Appian have? Out-of-the-box integration of work lists and social. There's a note. Dr. Marshall looked through all of them and he tells you, it showed up after Zou in 7.1. Where did it come from? He sees it. He doesn't just tell you. He showed in the platform, look, here it</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) They are working with Zou. He had Appian's documentation before they made the change. The to-do meeting, right? It's all about application enhancement on social and Tempo documents. Here he is sending the confidential documentation from within Forum. See, it says right there, Appian 6.7 documentation. And then remember how, remember how their experts say to you: Appian is terrible. Appian is not the leader. Why would we look at Appian? Look at what their own documents from the times say. They're talking about 7.1. Great job, everyone. This solution allows us to compete with other leaders like Appian Tempo. The Why Upgrade document explains it. 7.1, got something new. Here's why you should upgrade, social
	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) weren't succeeding. That's the whole point. And they took specific features from us for that reason. On social, they were very basic. Appian had the innovative approach here. They knew it. Look at their internal documents. Socials are hard to do for us. We admit it. Ms. Garg, she admits, we only had basic commenting capabilities, stuff that's been around for a long time. What did Appian have? Out-of-the-box integration of work lists and social. There's a note. Dr. Marshall looked through all of them and he tells you, it showed up after Zou in 7.1. Where did it come from? He sees it. He doesn't just tell you. He showed in the platform, look, here it is. Here's where it shows up and he</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) They are working with Zou. He had Appian's documentation before they made the change. The to-do meeting, right? It's all about application enhancement on social and Tempo documents. Here he is sending the confidential documentation from within Forum. See, it says right there, Appian 6.7 documentation. And then remember how, remember how their experts say to you: Appian is terrible. Appian is not the leader. Why would we look at Appian? Look at what their own documents from the times say. They're talking about 7.1. Great job, everyone. This solution allows us to compete with other leaders like Appian Tempo. The Why Upgrade document explains it. 7.1, got something new. Here's why you should upgrade, social collaboration, fully integrated.

	Page 8126		Page 8127
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Dr. Marshall is emphasizing. Now	2	with it.
3	they've got this out-of-the-box	3	Now, on mobile same story. They
4	capability.	4	weren't a leader before, Ms. Louis
5	You know where that came from.	5	admits that. Pega was basic.
6	We should create a view just like this	6	Remember, they were using third
7	and ship with it. That is what they	7	parties at Sencha for a Band-Aid
8	did after studying Appian Tempo in a	8	solution. So Appian was way ahead.
9	live meeting with Mr. Zou.	9	Out-of-the-box solutions, what
10	And Mr. Bixby? Yeah, he admits	10	did they say themselves about their
11	it. The social view, that's part	11	mobile? Never deployed even by a
12	of 6.3? No. Ms. Garg is saying add	12	customer in the pre-Zou era.
13	it? Yes. She makes that suggestion	13	And again, remember, they are
14	right after attending a meeting with	14	saying Appian was terrible and why
15	Zou? Yes, correct.	15	would we look at Appian. Look at what
16	You can see where it came from.	16	their document says: Appian was the
17	And he admits it, one of the	17	first mover to the mobile as a BPM
18	improvements in 7. Remember, they're	18	provider.
19	saying, no, no, you now, we already	19	So their own contemporaneous
20	had everything.	20	document, that's what it says.
21	Look at what he admits. One of	21	And think about their mindset,
22	the improvements they made was	22	their mindset. This is the
23	out-of-the-box integration of work	23	information they were getting from a
24	lists into the social feed. That's	24	Gartner person who Mr. Trefler said he
25	what Dr. Marshall said. He agrees	25	had a lot of respect for. They were
	Daga 9129		Dago 9120
1	Page 8128 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Page 8129 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) being told Appian's mobile was		Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Pega 7. You wouldn't just say they
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Pega 7. You wouldn't just say they made an upgrade if it wasn't true, no.
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) being told Appian's mobile was available and better than theirs, so they were worried about this.	2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Pega 7. You wouldn't just say they
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) being told Appian's mobile was available and better than theirs, so they were worried about this. And Dr. Marshall went through	2 3 4	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Pega 7. You wouldn't just say they made an upgrade if it wasn't true, no. Dr. Marshall told you why all of this matters, because it's key to the</pre>
2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) being told Appian's mobile was available and better than theirs, so they were worried about this.	2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Pega 7. You wouldn't just say they made an upgrade if it wasn't true, no. Dr. Marshall told you why all of
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) being told Appian's mobile was available and better than theirs, so they were worried about this. And Dr. Marshall went through them all, explains to you, no out-of-the-box capability before or</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Pega 7. You wouldn't just say they made an upgrade if it wasn't true, no. Dr. Marshall told you why all of this matters, because it's key to the architecture and design. They would have gone the way of Lotus 123.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) being told Appian's mobile was available and better than theirs, so they were worried about this. And Dr. Marshall went through them all, explains to you, no</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Pega 7. You wouldn't just say they made an upgrade if it wasn't true, no. Dr. Marshall told you why all of this matters, because it's key to the architecture and design. They would have gone the way of Lotus 123. That's why that's so critical.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) being told Appian's mobile was available and better than theirs, so they were worried about this. And Dr. Marshall went through them all, explains to you, no out-of-the-box capability before or after Zou, prebuilt configuration</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Pega 7. You wouldn't just say they made an upgrade if it wasn't true, no. Dr. Marshall told you why all of this matters, because it's key to the architecture and design. They would have gone the way of Lotus 123.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) being told Appian's mobile was available and better than theirs, so they were worried about this. And Dr. Marshall went through them all, explains to you, no out-of-the-box capability before or after Zou, prebuilt configuration out-of-the-box.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Pega 7. You wouldn't just say they made an upgrade if it wasn't true, no. Dr. Marshall told you why all of this matters, because it's key to the architecture and design. They would have gone the way of Lotus 123. That's why that's so critical. And remember, Dr. Marshall told</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) being told Appian's mobile was available and better than theirs, so they were worried about this. And Dr. Marshall went through them all, explains to you, no out-of-the-box capability before or after Zou, prebuilt configuration out-of-the-box. Their own software says inside</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Pega 7. You wouldn't just say they made an upgrade if it wasn't true, no. Dr. Marshall told you why all of this matters, because it's key to the architecture and design. They would have gone the way of Lotus 123. That's why that's so critical. And remember, Dr. Marshall told you, they kept doing this all</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) being told Appian's mobile was available and better than theirs, so they were worried about this. And Dr. Marshall went through them all, explains to you, no out-of-the-box capability before or after Zou, prebuilt configuration out-of-the-box. Their own software says inside of it: Now in Pega 7, no</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Pega 7. You wouldn't just say they made an upgrade if it wasn't true, no. Dr. Marshall told you why all of this matters, because it's key to the architecture and design. They would have gone the way of Lotus 123. That's why that's so critical. And remember, Dr. Marshall told you, they kept doing this all throughout the Zou era. Remember,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) being told Appian's mobile was available and better than theirs, so they were worried about this. And Dr. Marshall went through them all, explains to you, no out-of-the-box capability before or after Zou, prebuilt configuration out-of-the-box. Their own software says inside of it: Now in Pega 7, no customization required.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Pega 7. You wouldn't just say they made an upgrade if it wasn't true, no. Dr. Marshall told you why all of this matters, because it's key to the architecture and design. They would have gone the way of Lotus 123. That's why that's so critical. And remember, Dr. Marshall told you, they kept doing this all throughout the Zou era. Remember, later he showed you some examples.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) being told Appian's mobile was available and better than theirs, so they were worried about this. And Dr. Marshall went through them all, explains to you, no out-of-the-box capability before or after Zou, prebuilt configuration out-of-the-box. Their own software says inside of it: Now in Pega 7, no customization required. Where did it come from? Their</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Pega 7. You wouldn't just say they made an upgrade if it wasn't true, no. Dr. Marshall told you why all of this matters, because it's key to the architecture and design. They would have gone the way of Lotus 123. That's why that's so critical. And remember, Dr. Marshall told you, they kept doing this all throughout the Zou era. Remember, later he showed you some examples. Here's Ben Baril working with</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) being told Appian's mobile was available and better than theirs, so they were worried about this. And Dr. Marshall went through them all, explains to you, no out-of-the-box capability before or after Zou, prebuilt configuration out-of-the-box. Their own software says inside of it: Now in Pega 7, no customization required. Where did it come from? Their own meeting minutes. Remember that</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Pega 7. You wouldn't just say they made an upgrade if it wasn't true, no. Dr. Marshall told you why all of this matters, because it's key to the architecture and design. They would have gone the way of Lotus 123. That's why that's so critical. And remember, Dr. Marshall told you, they kept doing this all throughout the Zou era. Remember, later he showed you some examples. Here's Ben Baril working with Ms. Barak in 2019, product management</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) being told Appian's mobile was available and better than theirs, so they were worried about this. And Dr. Marshall went through them all, explains to you, no out-of-the-box capability before or after Zou, prebuilt configuration out-of-the-box. Their own software says inside of it: Now in Pega 7, no customization required. Where did it come from? Their own meeting minutes. Remember that meeting with Mr. Trefler, they are</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Pega 7. You wouldn't just say they made an upgrade if it wasn't true, no. Dr. Marshall told you why all of this matters, because it's key to the architecture and design. They would have gone the way of Lotus 123. That's why that's so critical. And remember, Dr. Marshall told you, they kept doing this all throughout the Zou era. Remember, later he showed you some examples. Here's Ben Baril working with Ms. Barak in 2019, product management people. They're talking about, oh,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) being told Appian's mobile was available and better than theirs, so they were worried about this. And Dr. Marshall went through them all, explains to you, no out-of-the-box capability before or after Zou, prebuilt configuration out-of-the-box. Their own software says inside of it: Now in Pega 7, no customization required. Where did it come from? Their own meeting minutes. Remember that meeting with Mr. Trefler, they are doing a comparison of Pega and Appian</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Pega 7. You wouldn't just say they made an upgrade if it wasn't true, no. Dr. Marshall told you why all of this matters, because it's key to the architecture and design. They would have gone the way of Lotus 123. That's why that's so critical. And remember, Dr. Marshall told you, they kept doing this all throughout the Zou era. Remember, later he showed you some examples. Here's Ben Baril working with Ms. Barak in 2019, product management people. They're talking about, oh, what else can we do? Yeah, here's</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) being told Appian's mobile was available and better than theirs, so they were worried about this. And Dr. Marshall went through them all, explains to you, no out-of-the-box capability before or after Zou, prebuilt configuration out-of-the-box. Their own software says inside of it: Now in Pega 7, no customization required. Where did it come from? Their own meeting minutes. Remember that meeting with Mr. Trefler, they are doing a comparison of Pega and Appian mobile. They said, oh, no, no, we</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Pega 7. You wouldn't just say they made an upgrade if it wasn't true, no. Dr. Marshall told you why all of this matters, because it's key to the architecture and design. They would have gone the way of Lotus 123. That's why that's so critical. And remember, Dr. Marshall told you, they kept doing this all throughout the Zou era. Remember, later he showed you some examples. Here's Ben Baril working with Ms. Barak in 2019, product management people. They're talking about, oh, what else can we do? Yeah, here's what we can do on integration. Can I</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) being told Appian's mobile was available and better than theirs, so they were worried about this. And Dr. Marshall went through them all, explains to you, no out-of-the-box capability before or after Zou, prebuilt configuration out-of-the-box. Their own software says inside of it: Now in Pega 7, no customization required. Where did it come from? Their own meeting minutes. Remember that meeting with Mr. Trefler, they are doing a comparison of Pega and Appian mobile. They said, oh, no, no, we would never look at a competitor. Do you believe that?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Pega 7. You wouldn't just say they made an upgrade if it wasn't true, no. Dr. Marshall told you why all of this matters, because it's key to the architecture and design. They would have gone the way of Lotus 123. That's why that's so critical. And remember, Dr. Marshall told you, they kept doing this all throughout the Zou era. Remember, later he showed you some examples. Here's Ben Baril working with Ms. Barak in 2019, product management people. They're talking about, oh, what else can we do? Yeah, here's what we can do on integration. Can I play with it a bit.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) being told Appian's mobile was available and better than theirs, so they were worried about this. And Dr. Marshall went through them all, explains to you, no out-of-the-box capability before or after Zou, prebuilt configuration out-of-the-box. Their own software says inside of it: Now in Pega 7, no customization required. Where did it come from? Their own meeting minutes. Remember that meeting with Mr. Trefler, they are doing a comparison of Pega and Appian mobile. They said, oh, no, no, we would never look at a competitor. Do you believe that? Their own operating document</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Pega 7. You wouldn't just say they made an upgrade if it wasn't true, no. Dr. Marshall told you why all of this matters, because it's key to the architecture and design. They would have gone the way of Lotus 123. That's why that's so critical. And remember, Dr. Marshall told you, they kept doing this all throughout the Zou era. Remember, later he showed you some examples. Here's Ben Baril working with Ms. Barak in 2019, product management people. They're talking about, oh, what else can we do? Yeah, here's what we can do on integration. Can I play with it a bit. The people in India, remember what they are studying. They're</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) being told Appian's mobile was available and better than theirs, so they were worried about this. And Dr. Marshall went through them all, explains to you, no out-of-the-box capability before or after Zou, prebuilt configuration out-of-the-box. Their own software says inside of it: Now in Pega 7, no customization required. Where did it come from? Their own meeting minutes. Remember that meeting with Mr. Trefler, they are doing a comparison of Pega and Appian mobile. They said, oh, no, no, we would never look at a competitor. Do you believe that?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Pega 7. You wouldn't just say they made an upgrade if it wasn't true, no. Dr. Marshall told you why all of this matters, because it's key to the architecture and design. They would have gone the way of Lotus 123. That's why that's so critical. And remember, Dr. Marshall told you, they kept doing this all throughout the Zou era. Remember, later he showed you some examples. Here's Ben Baril working with Ms. Barak in 2019, product management people. They're talking about, oh, what else can we do? Yeah, here's what we can do on integration. Can I play with it a bit. The people in India, remember</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) being told Appian's mobile was available and better than theirs, so they were worried about this. And Dr. Marshall went through them all, explains to you, no out-of-the-box capability before or after Zou, prebuilt configuration out-of-the-box. Their own software says inside of it: Now in Pega 7, no customization required. Where did it come from? Their own meeting minutes. Remember that meeting with Mr. Trefler, they are doing a comparison of Pega and Appian mobile. They said, oh, no, no, we would never look at a competitor. Do you believe that? Their own operating document says, now, Pega 7, post-Zou, no coding</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Pega 7. You wouldn't just say they made an upgrade if it wasn't true, no. Dr. Marshall told you why all of this matters, because it's key to the architecture and design. They would have gone the way of Lotus 123. That's why that's so critical. And remember, Dr. Marshall told you, they kept doing this all throughout the Zou era. Remember, later he showed you some examples. Here's Ben Baril working with Ms. Barak in 2019, product management people. They're talking about, oh, what else can we do? Yeah, here's what we can do on integration. Can I play with it a bit. The people in India, remember what they are studying. They're take-away is from our study of Appian,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) being told Appian's mobile was available and better than theirs, so they were worried about this. And Dr. Marshall went through them all, explains to you, no out-of-the-box capability before or after Zou, prebuilt configuration out-of-the-box. Their own software says inside of it: Now in Pega 7, no customization required. Where did it come from? Their own meeting minutes. Remember that meeting with Mr. Trefler, they are doing a comparison of Pega and Appian mobile. They said, oh, no, no, we would never look at a competitor. Do you believe that? Their own operating document says, now, Pega 7, post-Zou, no coding required, exactly what Dr. Marshall told you had come from Appian.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Pega 7. You wouldn't just say they made an upgrade if it wasn't true, no. Dr. Marshall told you why all of this matters, because it's key to the architecture and design. They would have gone the way of Lotus 123. That's why that's so critical. And remember, Dr. Marshall told you, they kept doing this all throughout the Zou era. Remember, later he showed you some examples. Here's Ben Baril working with Ms. Barak in 2019, product management people. They're talking about, oh, what else can we do? Yeah, here's what we can do on integration. Can I play with it a bit. The people in India, remember what they are studying. They're take-away is from our study of Appian, which they got using all those cousins's credentials from Appian</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) being told Appian's mobile was available and better than theirs, so they were worried about this. And Dr. Marshall went through them all, explains to you, no out-of-the-box capability before or after Zou, prebuilt configuration out-of-the-box. Their own software says inside of it: Now in Pega 7, no customization required. Where did it come from? Their own meeting minutes. Remember that meeting with Mr. Trefler, they are doing a comparison of Pega and Appian mobile. They said, oh, no, no, we would never look at a competitor. Do you believe that? Their own operating document says, now, Pega 7, post-Zou, no coding required, exactly what Dr. Marshall</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Pega 7. You wouldn't just say they made an upgrade if it wasn't true, no. Dr. Marshall told you why all of this matters, because it's key to the architecture and design. They would have gone the way of Lotus 123. That's why that's so critical. And remember, Dr. Marshall told you, they kept doing this all throughout the Zou era. Remember, later he showed you some examples. Here's Ben Baril working with Ms. Barak in 2019, product management people. They're talking about, oh, what else can we do? Yeah, here's what we can do on integration. Can I play with it a bit. The people in India, remember what they are studying. They're take-away is from our study of Appian, which they got using all those</pre>

	Page 8130		Page 8131
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	platform?	2	himself says: I've seen evidence that
3	Now, they admit that what they	3	suggests that Zou did things for which
4	were doing was wrong, at least	4	he was not entitled.
5	sometimes. And let's look at that	5	Interesting fact, Ben Hoffman,
6	evidence.	6	we played his deposition. He showed
7	Look at what Mr. Trefler says.	7	up after the Zou stuff, and he worked
8	And we danced around a little bit, but	8	in competitive intelligence. He
9	I played for you his sworn deposition	9	didn't know about the Zou stuff,
10	testimony where he says: I don't	10	right?
11	think it was appropriate to hire	11	But look at what he said: Did
12	Zou talking about Petronio.	12	you ever try to contact someone about
13	Why not? He didn't check he was	13	Appian software? No, because I
14	fully clear.	14	wouldn't feel comfortable doing that.
15	I said to him: I just want to	15	It would be stepping over my personal
16	understand what your testimony is	16	ethical boundaries.
17	going to be at trial. You're not	17	He doesn't even know they'd
18	going to show up and say, no, no, it	18	already done it. Do you want know how
19	was appropriate. This was all fine?	19	pervasively they were using this
20	I wouldn't say it.	20	stuff, why it was gold dust? Even
21	That's their CEO. You have to	21	this guy, we showed you his
22	decide if this was right or not. Take	22	deposition, was circulating the
23	his word for it.	23	Zou-created documents. He just didn't
24	He did have access he was	24	know where they'd come from.
25	permitted to share. Mr. Trefler	25	Mr. Oleksiak, who worked on the
	Page 8132		Page 8133
1	Page 8132 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Page 8133 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
1 2	-	1 2	-
	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)		Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Census opportunity, you know, he	2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) with him from employer to employer.
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Census opportunity, you know, he talked about his grand idea that he	2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) with him from employer to employer. He admits it was associated with
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Census opportunity, you know, he talked about his grand idea that he got to access government systems, just	2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) with him from employer to employer. He admits it was associated with Serco. Yeah.
2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Census opportunity, you know, he talked about his grand idea that he got to access government systems, just like Mr. Zou had for Appian. Well,	2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) with him from employer to employer. He admits it was associated with Serco. Yeah. And we say to him: Can you use your employer's property to make money
2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Census opportunity, you know, he talked about his grand idea that he got to access government systems, just like Mr. Zou had for Appian. Well, what if someone offered you money to	2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) with him from employer to employer. He admits it was associated with Serco. Yeah. And we say to him: Can you use
2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Census opportunity, you know, he talked about his grand idea that he got to access government systems, just like Mr. Zou had for Appian. Well, what if someone offered you money to let them use your credentials?	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) with him from employer to employer. He admits it was associated with Serco. Yeah. And we say to him: Can you use your employer's property to make money on the side? And he admits, no.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Census opportunity, you know, he talked about his grand idea that he got to access government systems, just like Mr. Zou had for Appian. Well, what if someone offered you money to let them use your credentials? He says: I would have reported	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) with him from employer to employer. He admits it was associated with Serco. Yeah. And we say to him: Can you use your employer's property to make money on the side? And he admits, no. Even he, on some level, knows</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Census opportunity, you know, he talked about his grand idea that he got to access government systems, just like Mr. Zou had for Appian. Well, what if someone offered you money to let them use your credentials? He says: I would have reported them to the US Department of Commerce.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) with him from employer to employer. He admits it was associated with Serco. Yeah. And we say to him: Can you use your employer's property to make money on the side? And he admits, no. Even he, on some level, knows what he did was wrong.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Census opportunity, you know, he talked about his grand idea that he got to access government systems, just like Mr. Zou had for Appian. Well, what if someone offered you money to let them use your credentials? He says: I would have reported them to the US Department of Commerce. So these are their own</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) with him from employer to employer. He admits it was associated with Serco. Yeah. And we say to him: Can you use your employer's property to make money on the side? And he admits, no. Even he, on some level, knows what he did was wrong. What about the later stuff?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Census opportunity, you know, he talked about his grand idea that he got to access government systems, just like Mr. Zou had for Appian. Well, what if someone offered you money to let them use your credentials? He says: I would have reported them to the US Department of Commerce. So these are their own employees.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) with him from employer to employer. He admits it was associated with Serco. Yeah. And we say to him: Can you use your employer's property to make money on the side? And he admits, no. Even he, on some level, knows what he did was wrong. What about the later stuff? Well, Mr. Trefler, is this okay what</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Census opportunity, you know, he talked about his grand idea that he got to access government systems, just like Mr. Zou had for Appian. Well, what if someone offered you money to let them use your credentials? He says: I would have reported them to the US Department of Commerce. So these are their own employees. What about Mr. Zou? You know,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) with him from employer to employer. He admits it was associated with Serco. Yeah. And we say to him: Can you use your employer's property to make money on the side? And he admits, no. Even he, on some level, knows what he did was wrong. What about the later stuff? Well, Mr. Trefler, is this okay what Mr. Baril is doing? And he says, no,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Census opportunity, you know, he talked about his grand idea that he got to access government systems, just like Mr. Zou had for Appian. Well, what if someone offered you money to let them use your credentials? He says: I would have reported them to the US Department of Commerce. So these are their own employees. What about Mr. Zou? You know, Mr. Zou, he doesn't want to come</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) with him from employer to employer. He admits it was associated with Serco. Yeah. And we say to him: Can you use your employer's property to make money on the side? And he admits, no. Even he, on some level, knows what he did was wrong. What about the later stuff? Well, Mr. Trefler, is this okay what Mr. Baril is doing? And he says, no, he shouldn't have done it.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Census opportunity, you know, he talked about his grand idea that he got to access government systems, just like Mr. Zou had for Appian. Well, what if someone offered you money to let them use your credentials? He says: I would have reported them to the US Department of Commerce. So these are their own employees. What about Mr. Zou? You know, Mr. Zou, he doesn't want to come straight out and say, what I did was</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) with him from employer to employer. He admits it was associated with Serco. Yeah. And we say to him: Can you use your employer's property to make money on the side? And he admits, no. Even he, on some level, knows what he did was wrong. What about the later stuff? Well, Mr. Trefler, is this okay what Mr. Baril is doing? And he says, no, he shouldn't have done it. Masking your identity</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Census opportunity, you know, he talked about his grand idea that he got to access government systems, just like Mr. Zou had for Appian. Well, what if someone offered you money to let them use your credentials? He says: I would have reported them to the US Department of Commerce. So these are their own employees. What about Mr. Zou? You know, Mr. Zou, he doesn't want to come straight out and say, what I did was wrong, but he comes pretty close:</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) with him from employer to employer. He admits it was associated with Serco. Yeah. And we say to him: Can you use your employer's property to make money on the side? And he admits, no. Even he, on some level, knows what he did was wrong. What about the later stuff? Well, Mr. Trefler, is this okay what Mr. Baril is doing? And he says, no, he shouldn't have done it. Masking your identity remember, that's the phrase from the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Census opportunity, you know, he talked about his grand idea that he got to access government systems, just like Mr. Zou had for Appian. Well, what if someone offered you money to let them use your credentials? He says: I would have reported them to the US Department of Commerce. So these are their own employees. What about Mr. Zou? You know, Mr. Zou, he doesn't want to come straight out and say, what I did was wrong, but he comes pretty close: Even using your personal laptop, you</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) with him from employer to employer. He admits it was associated with Serco. Yeah. And we say to him: Can you use your employer's property to make money on the side? And he admits, no. Even he, on some level, knows what he did was wrong. What about the later stuff? Well, Mr. Trefler, is this okay what Mr. Baril is doing? And he says, no, he shouldn't have done it. Masking your identity remember, that's the phrase from the Code of Conduct isn't that exactly</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Census opportunity, you know, he talked about his grand idea that he got to access government systems, just like Mr. Zou had for Appian. Well, what if someone offered you money to let them use your credentials? He says: I would have reported them to the US Department of Commerce. So these are their own employees. What about Mr. Zou? You know, Mr. Zou, he doesn't want to come straight out and say, what I did was wrong, but he comes pretty close: Even using your personal laptop, you only had credentials because it was through your employer, right? He said: Yeah.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) with him from employer to employer. He admits it was associated with Serco. Yeah. And we say to him: Can you use your employer's property to make money on the side? And he admits, no. Even he, on some level, knows what he did was wrong. What about the later stuff? Well, Mr. Trefler, is this okay what Mr. Baril is doing? And he says, no, he shouldn't have done it. Masking your identity remember, that's the phrase from the Code of Conduct isn't that exactly what these guys are doing? Yes, they</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Census opportunity, you know, he talked about his grand idea that he got to access government systems, just like Mr. Zou had for Appian. Well, what if someone offered you money to let them use your credentials? He says: I would have reported them to the US Department of Commerce. So these are their own employees. What about Mr. Zou? You know, Mr. Zou, he doesn't want to come straight out and say, what I did was wrong, but he comes pretty close: Even using your personal laptop, you only had credentials because it was through your employer, right?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) with him from employer to employer. He admits it was associated with Serco. Yeah. And we say to him: Can you use your employer's property to make money on the side? And he admits, no. Even he, on some level, knows what he did was wrong. What about the later stuff? Well, Mr. Trefler, is this okay what Mr. Baril is doing? And he says, no, he shouldn't have done it. Masking your identity remember, that's the phrase from the Code of Conduct isn't that exactly what these guys are doing? Yes, they shouldn't have done it.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Census opportunity, you know, he talked about his grand idea that he got to access government systems, just like Mr. Zou had for Appian. Well, what if someone offered you money to let them use your credentials? He says: I would have reported them to the US Department of Commerce. So these are their own employees. What about Mr. Zou? You know, Mr. Zou, he doesn't want to come straight out and say, what I did was wrong, but he comes pretty close: Even using your personal laptop, you only had credentials because it was through your employer, right? He said: Yeah.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) with him from employer to employer. He admits it was associated with Serco. Yeah. And we say to him: Can you use your employer's property to make money on the side? And he admits, no. Even he, on some level, knows what he did was wrong. What about the later stuff? Well, Mr. Trefler, is this okay what Mr. Baril is doing? And he says, no, he shouldn't have done it. Masking your identity remember, that's the phrase from the Code of Conduct isn't that exactly what these guys are doing? Yes, they shouldn't have done it. Take his word for on whether it</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Census opportunity, you know, he talked about his grand idea that he got to access government systems, just like Mr. Zou had for Appian. Well, what if someone offered you money to let them use your credentials? He says: I would have reported them to the US Department of Commerce. So these are their own employees. What about Mr. Zou? You know, Mr. Zou, he doesn't want to come straight out and say, what I did was wrong, but he comes pretty close: Even using your personal laptop, you only had credentials because it was through your employer, right? He said: Yeah. We said: You only got access</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) with him from employer to employer. He admits it was associated with Serco. Yeah. And we say to him: Can you use your employer's property to make money on the side? And he admits, no. Even he, on some level, knows what he did was wrong. What about the later stuff? Well, Mr. Trefler, is this okay what Mr. Baril is doing? And he says, no, he shouldn't have done it. Masking your identity remember, that's the phrase from the Code of Conduct isn't that exactly what these guys are doing? Yes, they shouldn't have done it. Take his word for on whether it was right or wrong on that issue. </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Census opportunity, you know, he talked about his grand idea that he got to access government systems, just like Mr. Zou had for Appian. Well, what if someone offered you money to let them use your credentials? He says: I would have reported them to the US Department of Commerce. So these are their own employees. What about Mr. Zou? You know, Mr. Zou, he doesn't want to come straight out and say, what I did was wrong, but he comes pretty close: Even using your personal laptop, you only had credentials because it was through your employer, right? He said: Yeah. We said: You only got access because you were working on government</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) with him from employer to employer. He admits it was associated with Serco. Yeah. And we say to him: Can you use your employer's property to make money on the side? And he admits, no. Even he, on some level, knows what he did was wrong. What about the later stuff? Well, Mr. Trefler, is this okay what Mr. Baril is doing? And he says, no, he shouldn't have done it. Masking your identity remember, that's the phrase from the Code of Conduct isn't that exactly what these guys are doing? Yes, they shouldn't have done it. Take his word for on whether it was right or wrong on that issue. What about all the cousins of </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) Census opportunity, you know, he talked about his grand idea that he got to access government systems, just like Mr. Zou had for Appian. Well, what if someone offered you money to let them use your credentials? He says: I would have reported them to the US Department of Commerce. So these are their own employees. What about Mr. Zou? You know, Mr. Zou, he doesn't want to come straight out and say, what I did was wrong, but he comes pretty close: Even using your personal laptop, you only had credentials because it was through your employer, right? He said: Yeah. We said: You only got access because you were working on government projects for all these government</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) with him from employer to employer. He admits it was associated with Serco. Yeah. And we say to him: Can you use your employer's property to make money on the side? And he admits, no. Even he, on some level, knows what he did was wrong. What about the later stuff? Well, Mr. Trefler, is this okay what Mr. Baril is doing? And he says, no, he shouldn't have done it. Masking your identity remember, that's the phrase from the Code of Conduct isn't that exactly what these guys are doing? Yes, they shouldn't have done it. Take his word for on whether it was right or wrong on that issue. What about all the cousins of Mr. Sarada, right. He was using the </pre>

	Page 8134		Page 8135
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	you know, Vijay Vaddem, remember,	2	gentlemen, we can look at that all day
3	ladies and gentlemen, you know, these	3	long, there's nothing about the trade
4	are the people who they decided to	4	secrets that we know came from Zou.
5	discipline. Not the people sitting in	5	Look at the examples. This is
6	America, the Treflers or Schuermans.	6	what they showed you. They said,
7	It's these guys. Even he admits,	7	yeah, we knew about KX Systems.
8	shouldn't have done it.	8	So what? We don't claim that
9	Where does the buck stop, with	9	was a secret.
10	the man who sets the culture and the	10	They say, oh, you know, we knew
11	tone of the company, Paul Foon, right	11	that they lacked AES.
12	there. He's responsible for this	12	Who cares? That's got nothing
13	scheme.	13	to do with the issues in the case. If
14	Now, what do they say to defend	14	there was, they would have shown you.
15	against all of this? They are going	15	There's nothing in those documents on
16	to talk about that, and then I'll come	16	these trade secrets.
17	back again and I'll talk about it	17	Here's another one. All they
18	more. But let me give you a preview.	18	knew about was that there's kdb+. So
19	One thing they say is they say,	19	what?
20	oh, you know, nothing to see here. We	20	Mr. Schuerman, he basically
21	already knew all of this.	21	admitted all of this. He showed you
22	Okay. Show me. Where are the	22	these two documents, but they don't
23	documents?	23	talk about the checkpointing settings.
24	They showed you this one	24	Nope, they don't.
25	document from 2010. Well, ladies and	25	We asked him, you know, what
1	Page 8136 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Page 8137 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	about unified management chart-making	2	anything in those older documents
3	issues, what is your basis for saying	3	about these specifics on chart types,
4	we knew about this?	4	do they? No, he admits it.
5	And what does he explain? He	5	They didn't know this before,
6	says, well, we were making assumptions	6	you know. Where did you get it from?
7	that Appian had these limitations	7	Mr. Zou could run at our behest, he
8	because we talked about ourselves and	8	admits it.
9	we weren't hearing anything back from	9	You know where this came from.
10	the market.	10	Those documents they are showing you,
11	I mean, that is how tenuous	11	the predocuments, what about process
12	their argument is when they say, we	12	ID, did you know that? No, we learned
13	knew about all of this.	13	that from Zou, too.
14	And we point out that they're	14	Then you have Dr. Easttom.
15	going to say, so this is just	15	Let's spend a moment on him.
16	assumptions because you didn't have	16	Remember, this is a guy who says to
17	access to the live running version?	17	me: I was working full time, 60 hours
18	And he says, yes, we did.	18	a week, and in the same three-year
19	And then after you got the	19	period, from online universities, I
20			got myself three Ph.D.s and a master's
	access, then you no longer had to rely	20	got mysell three ph.D.s and a master s
21	access, then you no longer had to rely on the site because you could see it?	20 21	degree.
21	on the site because you could see it?	21	degree.
21 22	on the site because you could see it? He admits it, yes.	21 22	degree. Who do you think is credible

	Page 8138		Page 8139
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	topic of his credibility, you know, he	2	answer, absolutely. Is this still the
3	says: I'm independent. I'm not here	3	conduct you'd expect from an expert
4	to help Pega. I'm so independent I	4	coming to testify in court? Have you
5	don't even talk to anyone at Pega.	5	ever seen anything like this?
6	Look at what he says: Using	6	Look at what he then says, he
7	fake names, is that all okay?	7	says to you: All of this information
8	He says: I don't know of a	8	is out there, it's on Google. There's
9	standard it violates. It's well	9	no secret. You know, I did web
10	within the bounds of proper	10	searches, found everything before my
11	competitive intelligence.	11	coffee got cold.
12	Dr. Cole said the opposite. Who	12	Okay. Great, Dr. Easttom. Now
13	do you think is credible?	13	show me. Remember what the judge
14	And then, don't forget this,	14	said, don't rely on speculation, rely
15	ladies and gentlemen, he is the man	15	on evidence. Show me.
16	for Pegasystems. He fits with them to	16	What does he show you? He shows
17	a T. He himself used a fake name to	17	you this document, nothing about any
18	try and get access to Appian, and it	18	of the trade secrets at issue. He's
19	got revoked right away because their	19	trying to throw up smoke.
20	security measures are that good. But	20	Process model, Dr. Marshall told
21	he tried, and he knew that there are	21	you explicitly, yeah, process model,
22	Terms of Use that prohibited what he	22	that's not a secret. Social, well,
23	was doing.	23	it's actually a part of the
24	He made a false representation.	24	out-of-the-box, integrated business
25	He violated the Terms of Use. His	25	rules, process registry, who cares?
	Page 8140		Page 8141
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	It's not showing anything.	2	improvements were made in the versions
3	Remember, he's saying, this was	3	of the Pega platform.
4	all out there throughout the time	4	So, you know, remember, this is
5	period. This document, what he showed	5	a tech company, Pega. These are their
6	you, remember what you were instructed	6	own products. What's the most basic
7	by the Court, this is for the purposes	7	thing they could have done to try and
8	of what was in the public domain five	8	prove their case? Get someone to
9	months ago.	9	- study the platform and say, I've
10	So they want to say from	10	looked at the platform. I can tell
11	Dr. Easttom, oh, all of this was out	11	you none of this stuff was here or
12	there, no secret. Well, two answers:	12	this stuff was there before, right?
13	One, then, why were you doing all of	13	That's how you tell, you look at
14	this; and number two, show me, where	14	the platform. What did Pinto do?
15	is it? They haven't shown you a	15	Look at this. He did not build
16	thing.	16	in any platforms. Why do you think
17	Mr. Petronio, he told you, I	17	they didn't have him do that? If you
18	know how to use Google. I was keeping	18	want to compare Marshall and Pinto and
19	up with the public sources. Wasn't	19	decide who you want to believe, who
20	enough, it wasn't what he needed.	20	did the work?
21	Remember, he wanted the access.	21	Notice all those details I
22	Then we have Mr. Pinto, don't	22	showed you, smart shape, CDTs, and you
23	forget Mr. Pinto. Remember, he's the	23	remember the level of detail
24	guy they hired to rebut Dr. Marshall.	24	Dr. Marshall and not just in the
25	That's his own job, right? Say no	25	opinions, he explained where it came

	Page 8142		Page 8143
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	from, how it works. He showed you the	2	believe between these two experts,
3	images in the platform.	3	credibility.
4	What did Mr. Pinto give you? He	4	Then they say, oh, you know,
5	gave you a picture of a see-saw. This	5	there are these VASP Agreements.
6	is what he gave you, and he says, oh,	6	Remember, they showed you all these
7	you know, features and functions	7	blank templates. Zero evidence of
8	versus usability and you've got to	8	anything that happened in the market,
9	pick one or the other.	9	zero evidence that any of these trade
10	Maybe that's how it works in	10	secrets were ever discussed in any
11	Pega. That's not how it works in	11	meeting with anyone.
12	Appian. That's the whole point.	12	But what do they say? Well,
13		13	Mr. Ross explained what this was all
	Appian showed you can have both.	-	about. Look, these VASP Agreements,
14 15	That's why they came to Appian.	14	
	On the specific issues Dr. Marshall talked about, he's the	15 16	they're just so that some of Appian
16		-	partners, they build solutions using
17	guy there to rebut Dr. Marshall. He	17	Appian, they wanted to be able to
18 19	gave you nothing. Why?	18 19	market their solutions. Zero evidence of any Appian
20	He also says, remember, he says,	20	
20	oh, no one would look at Appian. We said, well, what about document after		trade secret being disclosed. And by the way, these are not the agreements,
21		21	
22	document where they're looking at	22	just to be clear, that relate to the
23	Appian to consider improvement? He	23	work Zou was doing. These are
24	admits, yeah, you showed me that.	24	separate, unrelated agreements that
25	You decide who you want to	25	happened to be with other business
-	Page 8144		Page 8145
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) partners.	1 2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he was an authorized user who was
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) partners. And even those templates that	1 2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he was an authorized user who was given free trial to use on his own
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) partners. And even those templates that they showed you, the unsigned ones,	1 2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he was an authorized user who was given free trial to use on his own computer. It was their lawyer, Pega's
2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) partners. And even those templates that they showed you, the unsigned ones, look at them, they're full of	1 2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he was an authorized user who was given free trial to use on his own computer. It was their lawyer, Pega's lawyer who told you that. That was
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) partners. And even those templates that they showed you, the unsigned ones, look at them, they're full of restrictions and protections. Only</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he was an authorized user who was given free trial to use on his own computer. It was their lawyer, Pega's lawyer who told you that. That was their defense.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) partners. And even those templates that they showed you, the unsigned ones, look at them, they're full of restrictions and protections. Only named users with a need to know and	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he was an authorized user who was given free trial to use on his own computer. It was their lawyer, Pega's lawyer who told you that. That was their defense. What did you hear from Mr. Zou?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) partners. And even those templates that they showed you, the unsigned ones, look at them, they're full of restrictions and protections. Only named users with a need to know and are subject to binding agreements can	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he was an authorized user who was given free trial to use on his own computer. It was their lawyer, Pega's lawyer who told you that. That was their defense. What did you hear from Mr. Zou? I didn't have a free trial. I never
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) partners. And even those templates that they showed you, the unsigned ones, look at them, they're full of restrictions and protections. Only named users with a need to know and are subject to binding agreements can have access. Anyone who is getting	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he was an authorized user who was given free trial to use on his own computer. It was their lawyer, Pega's lawyer who told you that. That was their defense. What did you hear from Mr. Zou? I didn't have a free trial. I never used a free trial.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) partners. And even those templates that they showed you, the unsigned ones, look at them, they're full of restrictions and protections. Only named users with a need to know and are subject to binding agreements can have access. Anyone who is getting access has to agree to the Terms of	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he was an authorized user who was given free trial to use on his own computer. It was their lawyer, Pega's lawyer who told you that. That was their defense. What did you hear from Mr. Zou? I didn't have a free trial. I never used a free trial. Here in openings, we lawyers, we</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) partners. And even those templates that they showed you, the unsigned ones, look at them, they're full of restrictions and protections. Only named users with a need to know and are subject to binding agreements can have access. Anyone who is getting access has to agree to the Terms of Use.</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he was an authorized user who was given free trial to use on his own computer. It was their lawyer, Pega's lawyer who told you that. That was their defense. What did you hear from Mr. Zou? I didn't have a free trial. I never used a free trial. Here in openings, we lawyers, we made promises to you. And then with</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) partners. And even those templates that they showed you, the unsigned ones, look at them, they're full of restrictions and protections. Only named users with a need to know and are subject to binding agreements can have access. Anyone who is getting access has to agree to the Terms of Use. Mr. Ross told you that, too.</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he was an authorized user who was given free trial to use on his own computer. It was their lawyer, Pega's lawyer who told you that. That was their defense. What did you hear from Mr. Zou? I didn't have a free trial. I never used a free trial. Here in openings, we lawyers, we made promises to you. And then with the evidence, we've got to prove those</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) partners. And even those templates that they showed you, the unsigned ones, look at them, they're full of restrictions and protections. Only named users with a need to know and are subject to binding agreements can have access. Anyone who is getting access has to agree to the Terms of Use. Mr. Ross told you that, too. In these agreements, there are</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he was an authorized user who was given free trial to use on his own computer. It was their lawyer, Pega's lawyer who told you that. That was their defense. What did you hear from Mr. Zou? I didn't have a free trial. I never used a free trial. Here in openings, we lawyers, we made promises to you. And then with the evidence, we've got to prove those promises. Remember, I told you the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) partners. And even those templates that they showed you, the unsigned ones, look at them, they're full of restrictions and protections. Only named users with a need to know and are subject to binding agreements can have access. Anyone who is getting access has to agree to the Terms of Use. Mr. Ross told you that, too. In these agreements, there are confidentiality provisions, again,</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he was an authorized user who was given free trial to use on his own computer. It was their lawyer, Pega's lawyer who told you that. That was their defense. What did you hear from Mr. Zou? I didn't have a free trial. I never used a free trial. Here in openings, we lawyers, we made promises to you. And then with the evidence, we've got to prove those promises. Remember, I told you the documents will guide you to the truth.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) partners. And even those templates that they showed you, the unsigned ones, look at them, they're full of restrictions and protections. Only named users with a need to know and are subject to binding agreements can have access. Anyone who is getting access has to agree to the Terms of Use. Mr. Ross told you that, too. In these agreements, there are confidentiality provisions, again, detailed protections of the kind you</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he was an authorized user who was given free trial to use on his own computer. It was their lawyer, Pega's lawyer who told you that. That was their defense. What did you hear from Mr. Zou? I didn't have a free trial. I never used a free trial. Here in openings, we lawyers, we made promises to you. And then with the evidence, we've got to prove those promises. Remember, I told you the documents will guide you to the truth. That's the promise I made. This is</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) partners. And even those templates that they showed you, the unsigned ones, look at them, they're full of restrictions and protections. Only named users with a need to know and are subject to binding agreements can have access. Anyone who is getting access has to agree to the Terms of Use. Mr. Ross told you that, too. In these agreements, there are confidentiality provisions, again, detailed protections of the kind you saw in the earlier agreements.</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he was an authorized user who was given free trial to use on his own computer. It was their lawyer, Pega's lawyer who told you that. That was their defense. What did you hear from Mr. Zou? I didn't have a free trial. I never used a free trial. Here in openings, we lawyers, we made promises to you. And then with the evidence, we've got to prove those promises. Remember, I told you the documents will guide you to the truth. That's the promise I made. This is the promise that they made.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) partners. And even those templates that they showed you, the unsigned ones, look at them, they're full of restrictions and protections. Only named users with a need to know and are subject to binding agreements can have access. Anyone who is getting access has to agree to the Terms of Use. Mr. Ross told you that, too. In these agreements, there are confidentiality provisions, again, detailed protections of the kind you saw in the earlier agreements. They also showed you templates</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he was an authorized user who was given free trial to use on his own computer. It was their lawyer, Pega's lawyer who told you that. That was their defense. What did you hear from Mr. Zou? I didn't have a free trial. I never used a free trial. Here in openings, we lawyers, we made promises to you. And then with the evidence, we've got to prove those promises. Remember, I told you the documents will guide you to the truth. That's the promise I made. This is the promise that they made. Here's another promise they made</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) partners. And even those templates that they showed you, the unsigned ones, look at them, they're full of restrictions and protections. Only named users with a need to know and are subject to binding agreements can have access. Anyone who is getting access has to agree to the Terms of Use. Mr. Ross told you that, too. In these agreements, there are confidentiality provisions, again, detailed protections of the kind you saw in the earlier agreements. They also showed you templates of Business Partner Agreements, again</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he was an authorized user who was given free trial to use on his own computer. It was their lawyer, Pega's lawyer who told you that. That was their defense. What did you hear from Mr. Zou? I didn't have a free trial. I never used a free trial. Here in openings, we lawyers, we made promises to you. And then with the evidence, we've got to prove those promises. Remember, I told you the documents will guide you to the truth. That's the promise I made. This is the promise that they made. Here's another promise they made to you. Remember, this slide from</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) partners. And even those templates that they showed you, the unsigned ones, look at them, they're full of restrictions and protections. Only named users with a need to know and are subject to binding agreements can have access. Anyone who is getting access has to agree to the Terms of Use. Mr. Ross told you that, too. In these agreements, there are confidentiality provisions, again, detailed protections of the kind you saw in the earlier agreements. They also showed you templates of Business Partner Agreements, again unsigned, not a shred of evidence of</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he was an authorized user who was given free trial to use on his own computer. It was their lawyer, Pega's lawyer who told you that. That was their defense. What did you hear from Mr. Zou? I didn't have a free trial. I never used a free trial. Here in openings, we lawyers, we made promises to you. And then with the evidence, we've got to prove those promises. Remember, I told you the documents will guide you to the truth. That's the promise I made. This is the promise that they made. Here's another promise they made to you. Remember, this slide from their opening? They said, oh, Appian</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) partners. And even those templates that they showed you, the unsigned ones, look at them, they're full of restrictions and protections. Only named users with a need to know and are subject to binding agreements can have access. Anyone who is getting access has to agree to the Terms of Use. Mr. Ross told you that, too. In these agreements, there are confidentiality provisions, again, detailed protections of the kind you saw in the earlier agreements. They also showed you templates of Business Partner Agreements, again unsigned, not a shred of evidence of any trade secret ever being disclosed,</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he was an authorized user who was given free trial to use on his own computer. It was their lawyer, Pega's lawyer who told you that. That was their defense. What did you hear from Mr. Zou? I didn't have a free trial. I never used a free trial. Here in openings, we lawyers, we made promises to you. And then with the evidence, we've got to prove those promises. Remember, I told you the documents will guide you to the truth. That's the promise I made. This is the promise that they made. Here's another promise they made to you. Remember, this slide from their opening? They said, oh, Appian has terrible security. Look at all of</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) partners. And even those templates that they showed you, the unsigned ones, look at them, they're full of restrictions and protections. Only named users with a need to know and are subject to binding agreements can have access. Anyone who is getting access has to agree to the Terms of Use. Mr. Ross told you that, too. In these agreements, there are confidentiality provisions, again, detailed protections of the kind you saw in the earlier agreements. They also showed you templates of Business Partner Agreements, again unsigned, not a shred of evidence of any trade secret ever being disclosed, but same stuff throughout, all the</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he was an authorized user who was given free trial to use on his own computer. It was their lawyer, Pega's lawyer who told you that. That was their defense. What did you hear from Mr. Zou? I didn't have a free trial. I never used a free trial. Here in openings, we lawyers, we made promises to you. And then with the evidence, we've got to prove those promises. Remember, I told you the documents will guide you to the truth. That's the promise I made. This is the promise that they made. Here's another promise they made to you. Remember, this slide from their opening? They said, oh, Appian has terrible security. Look at all of these users who they let into the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) partners. And even those templates that they showed you, the unsigned ones, look at them, they're full of restrictions and protections. Only named users with a need to know and are subject to binding agreements can have access. Anyone who is getting access has to agree to the Terms of Use. Mr. Ross told you that, too. In these agreements, there are confidentiality provisions, again, detailed protections of the kind you saw in the earlier agreements. They also showed you templates of Business Partner Agreements, again unsigned, not a shred of evidence of any trade secret ever being disclosed, but same stuff throughout, all the same protections, confidential</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he was an authorized user who was given free trial to use on his own computer. It was their lawyer, Pega's lawyer who told you that. That was their defense. What did you hear from Mr. Zou? I didn't have a free trial. I never used a free trial. Here in openings, we lawyers, we made promises to you. And then with the evidence, we've got to prove those promises. Remember, I told you the documents will guide you to the truth. That's the promise I made. This is the promise that they made. Here's another promise they made to you. Remember, this slide from their opening? They said, oh, Appian has terrible security. Look at all of these users who they let into the trial.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) partners. And even those templates that they showed you, the unsigned ones, look at them, they're full of restrictions and protections. Only named users with a need to know and are subject to binding agreements can have access. Anyone who is getting access has to agree to the Terms of Use. Mr. Ross told you that, too. In these agreements, there are confidentiality provisions, again, detailed protections of the kind you saw in the earlier agreements. They also showed you templates of Business Partner Agreements, again unsigned, not a shred of evidence of any trade secret ever being disclosed, but same stuff throughout, all the same protections, confidential information.</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he was an authorized user who was given free trial to use on his own computer. It was their lawyer, Pega's lawyer who told you that. That was their defense. What did you hear from Mr. Zou? I didn't have a free trial. I never used a free trial. Here in openings, we lawyers, we made promises to you. And then with the evidence, we've got to prove those promises. Remember, I told you the documents will guide you to the truth. That's the promise I made. This is the promise that they made. Here's another promise they made to you. Remember, this slide from their opening? They said, oh, Appian has terrible security. Look at all of these users who they let into the trial. Remember, Mr. Ross looked at</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) partners. And even those templates that they showed you, the unsigned ones, look at them, they're full of restrictions and protections. Only named users with a need to know and are subject to binding agreements can have access. Anyone who is getting access has to agree to the Terms of Use. Mr. Ross told you that, too. In these agreements, there are confidentiality provisions, again, detailed protections of the kind you saw in the earlier agreements. They also showed you templates of Business Partner Agreements, again unsigned, not a shred of evidence of any trade secret ever being disclosed, but same stuff throughout, all the same protections, confidential</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) he was an authorized user who was given free trial to use on his own computer. It was their lawyer, Pega's lawyer who told you that. That was their defense. What did you hear from Mr. Zou? I didn't have a free trial. I never used a free trial. Here in openings, we lawyers, we made promises to you. And then with the evidence, we've got to prove those promises. Remember, I told you the documents will guide you to the truth. That's the promise I made. This is the promise that they made. Here's another promise they made to you. Remember, this slide from their opening? They said, oh, Appian has terrible security. Look at all of these users who they let into the trial.</pre>

	Page 8146		Page 8147
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	testified, yeah, I looked at the full	2	see this. It's readily ascertainable
3	record and confirmed they're	3	to developers. How can it be a
4	legitimate users. One wasn't, and	4	secret?
5	Appian security was so good we blocked	5	The judge has instructed you,
6	that one before access.	6	the number of users who can access
7	They can't show you one example	7	from Forum is not relevant to any
8	of anyone getting illicit access,	8	issues in this case. Why? Because
9	other than this. This was how tight	9	they are all under license. If they
10	Appian security was.	10	are under license, that is how
11	Then, look at all of this. They	11	software companies protect themselves.
12	say, oh, it was software. How can	12	Nothing wrong with that. Doesn't go
13	software be a secret? But their own	13	to whether it's a secret.
14	expert Mr. Pinto says, yeah, you can	14	And then what does Mr. Trefler
15	have trade secrets in the presentation	15	say about those agreements? He says,
16	there.	16	oh, they are garbage. They are
17	This is Pega's own document.	17	garbage, but he doesn't say that about
18	This is what they say about their own	18	their own agreements.
19	software and documentation. Look at	19	Their own agreements, they're
20	what they say. It's confidential,	20	important to protect them from getting
21	subject to your license agreement.	21	sued.
22	That's what they think about their own	22	And, you know, what about all
23	software.	23	the fake names? Remember, those
24	And, you know, when their	24	people, they had to check and agree to
25	experts say, oh, but developers could	25	the Terms of Use, no competitor
			, <u>1</u>
1	Page 8148 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Page 8149 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) access, every time they logged in.	2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) never made public because that
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) access, every time they logged in. They say, oh, Mr. Petronio, he	2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) never made public because that protects our revenue.
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) access, every time they logged in. They say, oh, Mr. Petronio, he wasn't very good. At the time, they	2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) never made public because that protects our revenue. And then Mr. Akgonul says, oh,
2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) access, every time they logged in. They say, oh, Mr. Petronio, he wasn't very good. At the time, they thought he was great. Nicely done,	2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) never made public because that protects our revenue. And then Mr. Akgonul says, oh, you know, our platforms are so
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) access, every time they logged in. They say, oh, Mr. Petronio, he wasn't very good. At the time, they thought he was great. Nicely done, JP. They used all of his stuff.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) never made public because that protects our revenue. And then Mr. Akgonul says, oh, you know, our platforms are so fundamentally different, we would
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) access, every time they logged in. They say, oh, Mr. Petronio, he wasn't very good. At the time, they thought he was great. Nicely done, JP. They used all of his stuff. And then, you know, they attack</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) never made public because that protects our revenue. And then Mr. Akgonul says, oh, you know, our platforms are so fundamentally different, we would never look at anything from a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) access, every time they logged in. They say, oh, Mr. Petronio, he wasn't very good. At the time, they thought he was great. Nicely done, JP. They used all of his stuff. And then, you know, they attack Mr. Ross because they say, oh, you</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) never made public because that protects our revenue. And then Mr. Akgonul says, oh, you know, our platforms are so fundamentally different, we would never look at anything from a competitor.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) access, every time they logged in. They say, oh, Mr. Petronio, he wasn't very good. At the time, they thought he was great. Nicely done, JP. They used all of his stuff. And then, you know, they attack Mr. Ross because they say, oh, you know, you're in deposition looking not</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) never made public because that protects our revenue. And then Mr. Akgonul says, oh, you know, our platforms are so fundamentally different, we would never look at anything from a competitor. Okay. Remember what happened
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) access, every time they logged in. They say, oh, Mr. Petronio, he wasn't very good. At the time, they thought he was great. Nicely done, JP. They used all of his stuff. And then, you know, they attack Mr. Ross because they say, oh, you know, you're in deposition looking not at Appian materials, not at videos</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) never made public because that protects our revenue. And then Mr. Akgonul says, oh, you know, our platforms are so fundamentally different, we would never look at anything from a competitor. Okay. Remember what happened when Mr. Baril told his product</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) access, every time they logged in. They say, oh, Mr. Petronio, he wasn't very good. At the time, they thought he was great. Nicely done, JP. They used all of his stuff. And then, you know, they attack Mr. Ross because they say, oh, you know, you're in deposition looking not at Appian materials, not at videos because they hadn't given the videos</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) never made public because that protects our revenue. And then Mr. Akgonul says, oh, you know, our platforms are so fundamentally different, we would never look at anything from a competitor. Okay. Remember what happened when Mr. Baril told his product management colleagues that, oh, you</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) access, every time they logged in. They say, oh, Mr. Petronio, he wasn't very good. At the time, they thought he was great. Nicely done, JP. They used all of his stuff. And then, you know, they attack Mr. Ross because they say, oh, you know, you're in deposition looking not at Appian materials, not at videos because they hadn't given the videos to us yet just looking at the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) never made public because that protects our revenue. And then Mr. Akgonul says, oh, you know, our platforms are so fundamentally different, we would never look at anything from a competitor. Okay. Remember what happened when Mr. Baril told his product management colleagues that, oh, you know, I got access to an Appian trial,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) access, every time they logged in. They say, oh, Mr. Petronio, he wasn't very good. At the time, they thought he was great. Nicely done, JP. They used all of his stuff. And then, you know, they attack Mr. Ross because they say, oh, you know, you're in deposition looking not at Appian materials, not at videos because they hadn't given the videos to us yet just looking at the attack documents, we would like you to</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) never made public because that protects our revenue. And then Mr. Akgonul says, oh, you know, our platforms are so fundamentally different, we would never look at anything from a competitor. Okay. Remember what happened when Mr. Baril told his product management colleagues that, oh, you know, I got access to an Appian trial, they so much interest that he sent</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) access, every time they logged in. They say, oh, Mr. Petronio, he wasn't very good. At the time, they thought he was great. Nicely done, JP. They used all of his stuff. And then, you know, they attack Mr. Ross because they say, oh, you know, you're in deposition looking not at Appian materials, not at videos because they hadn't given the videos to us yet just looking at the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) never made public because that protects our revenue. And then Mr. Akgonul says, oh, you know, our platforms are so fundamentally different, we would never look at anything from a competitor. Okay. Remember what happened when Mr. Baril told his product management colleagues that, oh, you know, I got access to an Appian trial, they so much interest that he sent this meme from Arrested Development</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) access, every time they logged in. They say, oh, Mr. Petronio, he wasn't very good. At the time, they thought he was great. Nicely done, JP. They used all of his stuff. And then, you know, they attack Mr. Ross because they say, oh, you know, you're in deposition looking not at Appian materials, not at videos because they hadn't given the videos to us yet just looking at the attack documents, we would like you to pluck out every trade secret then and there.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) never made public because that protects our revenue. And then Mr. Akgonul says, oh, you know, our platforms are so fundamentally different, we would never look at anything from a competitor. Okay. Remember what happened when Mr. Baril told his product management colleagues that, oh, you know, I got access to an Appian trial, they so much interest that he sent this meme from Arrested Development say, oh, I've made a huge mistake</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) access, every time they logged in. They say, oh, Mr. Petronio, he wasn't very good. At the time, they thought he was great. Nicely done, JP. They used all of his stuff. And then, you know, they attack Mr. Ross because they say, oh, you know, you're in deposition looking not at Appian materials, not at videos because they hadn't given the videos to us yet just looking at the attack documents, we would like you to pluck out every trade secret then and</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) never made public because that protects our revenue. And then Mr. Akgonul says, oh, you know, our platforms are so fundamentally different, we would never look at anything from a competitor. Okay. Remember what happened when Mr. Baril told his product management colleagues that, oh, you know, I got access to an Appian trial, they so much interest that he sent this meme from Arrested Development say, oh, I've made a huge mistake because now everyone wants to know</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) access, every time they logged in. They say, oh, Mr. Petronio, he wasn't very good. At the time, they thought he was great. Nicely done, JP. They used all of his stuff. And then, you know, they attack Mr. Ross because they say, oh, you know, you're in deposition looking not at Appian materials, not at videos because they hadn't given the videos to us yet just looking at the attack documents, we would like you to pluck out every trade secret then and there. And Mr. Ross explained it, I</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) never made public because that protects our revenue. And then Mr. Akgonul says, oh, you know, our platforms are so fundamentally different, we would never look at anything from a competitor. Okay. Remember what happened when Mr. Baril told his product management colleagues that, oh, you know, I got access to an Appian trial, they so much interest that he sent this meme from Arrested Development say, oh, I've made a huge mistake because now everyone wants to know about Appian.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) access, every time they logged in. They say, oh, Mr. Petronio, he wasn't very good. At the time, they thought he was great. Nicely done, JP. They used all of his stuff. And then, you know, they attack Mr. Ross because they say, oh, you know, you're in deposition looking not at Appian materials, not at videos because they hadn't given the videos to us yet just looking at the attack documents, we would like you to pluck out every trade secret then and there. And Mr. Ross explained it, I haven't seen the videos. I need the videos to be able to know what</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) never made public because that protects our revenue. And then Mr. Akgonul says, oh, you know, our platforms are so fundamentally different, we would never look at anything from a competitor. Okay. Remember what happened when Mr. Baril told his product management colleagues that, oh, you know, I got access to an Appian trial, they so much interest that he sent this meme from Arrested Development say, oh, I've made a huge mistake because now everyone wants to know about Appian. They want to tell you they had</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) access, every time they logged in. They say, oh, Mr. Petronio, he wasn't very good. At the time, they thought he was great. Nicely done, JP. They used all of his stuff. And then, you know, they attack Mr. Ross because they say, oh, you know, you're in deposition looking not at Appian materials, not at videos because they hadn't given the videos to us yet just looking at the attack documents, we would like you to pluck out every trade secret then and there. And Mr. Ross explained it, I haven't seen the videos. I need the videos to be able to know what happened here. And he explains it,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) never made public because that protects our revenue. And then Mr. Akgonul says, oh, you know, our platforms are so fundamentally different, we would never look at anything from a competitor. Okay. Remember what happened when Mr. Baril told his product management colleagues that, oh, you know, I got access to an Appian trial, they so much interest that he sent this meme from Arrested Development say, oh, I've made a huge mistake because now everyone wants to know about Appian. They want to tell you they had no interest in this, look at what</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) access, every time they logged in. They say, oh, Mr. Petronio, he wasn't very good. At the time, they thought he was great. Nicely done, JP. They used all of his stuff. And then, you know, they attack Mr. Ross because they say, oh, you know, you're in deposition looking not at Appian materials, not at videos because they hadn't given the videos to us yet just looking at the attack documents, we would like you to pluck out every trade secret then and there. And Mr. Ross explained it, I haven't seen the videos. I need the videos to be able to know what happened here. And he explains it, when I reviewed the videos, then it</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) never made public because that protects our revenue. And then Mr. Akgonul says, oh, you know, our platforms are so fundamentally different, we would never look at anything from a competitor. Okay. Remember what happened when Mr. Baril told his product management colleagues that, oh, you know, I got access to an Appian trial, they so much interest that he sent this meme from Arrested Development say, oh, I've made a huge mistake because now everyone wants to know about Appian. They want to tell you they had no interest in this, look at what their own document says.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) access, every time they logged in. They say, oh, Mr. Petronio, he wasn't very good. At the time, they thought he was great. Nicely done, JP. They used all of his stuff. And then, you know, they attack Mr. Ross because they say, oh, you know, you're in deposition looking not at Appian materials, not at videos because they hadn't given the videos to us yet just looking at the attack documents, we would like you to pluck out every trade secret then and there. And Mr. Ross explained it, I haven't seen the videos. I need the videos to be able to know what happened here. And he explains it, when I reviewed the videos, then it was very clear exactly what happened.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) never made public because that protects our revenue. And then Mr. Akgonul says, oh, you know, our platforms are so fundamentally different, we would never look at anything from a competitor. Okay. Remember what happened when Mr. Baril told his product management colleagues that, oh, you know, I got access to an Appian trial, they so much interest that he sent this meme from Arrested Development say, oh, I've made a huge mistake because now everyone wants to know about Appian. They want to tell you they had no interest in this, look at what their own document says. And the people in India, don't</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) access, every time they logged in. They say, oh, Mr. Petronio, he wasn't very good. At the time, they thought he was great. Nicely done, JP. They used all of his stuff. And then, you know, they attack Mr. Ross because they say, oh, you know, you're in deposition looking not at Appian materials, not at videos because they hadn't given the videos to us yet just looking at the attack documents, we would like you to pluck out every trade secret then and there. And Mr. Ross explained it, I haven't seen the videos. I need the videos to be able to know what happened here. And he explains it, when I reviewed the videos, then it was very clear exactly what happened. On our documentation, was it</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) never made public because that protects our revenue. And then Mr. Akgonul says, oh, you know, our platforms are so fundamentally different, we would never look at anything from a competitor. Okay. Remember what happened when Mr. Baril told his product management colleagues that, oh, you know, I got access to an Appian trial, they so much interest that he sent this meme from Arrested Development say, oh, I've made a huge mistake because now everyone wants to know about Appian. They want to tell you they had no interest in this, look at what their own document says. And the people in India, don't forget them. They're sharing around</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) access, every time they logged in. They say, oh, Mr. Petronio, he wasn't very good. At the time, they thought he was great. Nicely done, JP. They used all of his stuff. And then, you know, they attack Mr. Ross because they say, oh, you know, you're in deposition looking not at Appian materials, not at videos because they hadn't given the videos to us yet just looking at the attack documents, we would like you to pluck out every trade secret then and there. And Mr. Ross explained it, I haven't seen the videos. I need the videos to be able to know what happened here. And he explains it, when I reviewed the videos, then it was very clear exactly what happened. On our documentation, was it made public? Yeah, in 2017, some of</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) never made public because that protects our revenue. And then Mr. Akgonul says, oh, you know, our platforms are so fundamentally different, we would never look at anything from a competitor. Okay. Remember what happened when Mr. Baril told his product management colleagues that, oh, you know, I got access to an Appian trial, they so much interest that he sent this meme from Arrested Development say, oh, I've made a huge mistake because now everyone wants to know about Appian. They want to tell you they had no interest in this, look at what their own document says. And the people in India, don't forget them. They're sharing around all of this Appian information.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) access, every time they logged in. They say, oh, Mr. Petronio, he wasn't very good. At the time, they thought he was great. Nicely done, JP. They used all of his stuff. And then, you know, they attack Mr. Ross because they say, oh, you know, you're in deposition looking not at Appian materials, not at videos because they hadn't given the videos to us yet just looking at the attack documents, we would like you to pluck out every trade secret then and there. And Mr. Ross explained it, I haven't seen the videos. I need the videos to be able to know what happened here. And he explains it, when I reviewed the videos, then it was very clear exactly what happened. On our documentation, was it</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) never made public because that protects our revenue. And then Mr. Akgonul says, oh, you know, our platforms are so fundamentally different, we would never look at anything from a competitor. Okay. Remember what happened when Mr. Baril told his product management colleagues that, oh, you know, I got access to an Appian trial, they so much interest that he sent this meme from Arrested Development say, oh, I've made a huge mistake because now everyone wants to know about Appian. They want to tell you they had no interest in this, look at what their own document says. And the people in India, don't forget them. They're sharing around</pre>

	Dama 0150		Deres 0151
1	Page 8150 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Page 8151 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Anything we could use in 8.6?	2	this, it's on them to prove that, and
3	Let's talk now about the	3	they have to show any expenses to be
4	calculation of damages.	4	deducted. Very important point.
5	Mr. Ross told you about why this	5	How does that work as a
6	hurt Appian so much, right? It's the	6	practical matter? I'm going to show
7	gold dust they used competing against	7	you an illustration of that.
8	us and they can steal our secrets to	8	So Appian has the burden of
9	improve their own platform.	9	showing Pega sales, right? So here
10	And here's a very important	10	are the customers. Here are the sales
11	point, ladies and gentlemen, now the	11	Pega's making. We got to show that
12	judge has explained once we've shown	12	and Mr. Malackowski did.
13	that they misappropriated our	13	Pega then has the burden to
14	information, what's the burden on us?	14	show, you know, there's some part of
15	We have to show, by the greater	15	this that's untainted by the trade
16	weight of the evidence, Pegasystems's	16	secret, so we should take that out.
17	sales. We've just got to show the	17	So when you see in the graphic,
18	sales that they made. The burden is	18	okay, if they can show that, you take
19	then on them.	19	that piece out. But everything that
20	Pegasystems has the burden to	20	is then left, where does that go.
21	show that any portion of their sales	21	That is the unjust enrichment
22	were not attributable to the trade	22	damages back to Appian; so that is how
23	secrets.	23	this is supposed to work.
24	So if any of their sales that	24	Now, what did Mr. Malackowski
25	they say were innocent, untainted by	25	tell you? He calculated look,
	Page 8152		Page 8153
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	there's a couple of ways you can look	2	oh, use this information, we'd never
3	at this.	3	use this information.
4	On direct competition, if you	4	So remember, they've got to show
5	just look at those opportunities, here	5	you if any of their sales are innocent
6	are the important numbers. We'll name	6	and untainted, right?
7	some of these later.	7	So what are their favorite
8	He said \$479,029,000, that's a	8	examples that they say were innocent?
9	direct competition number. But if you	9	They didn't give you numbers on any of
10	look at the platform improvements,	10	them, but let's just look at a couple
11	then the damages number for unjust	11	of them.
12	enrichment, \$3,032,847,000.	12	They said that the Census
13	And let's not forget about	13	project, innocent and untainted.
14	Mr. Zou, right? His number, 23,608.	14	What did Mr. Oleksiak say? He
15	Okay. How does he get there?	15	said while he's working on Census
16	So on the direct competition, he	16	project, he's downloading all the Zou
17	looked at the number of competitions,	17	materials. He says that it's an
18	he told you in detail,	18	interesting war chest of materials,
19	Mr. Malackowski, about why this was	19	the stuff from Zou, while working on
20	all infected.	20	Census. He is working with Lockheed
21	Use your own ego. If you know	21	Martin on this.
22	your enemy and know yourself, you will	22	He says: I'm familiar with all
23	not be imperiled in a hundred battles	23	of this information. He says he's
24	or 202 battles as they say.	24	having continuous conversations with
		0-	
25	And remember, they kept saying,	25	Pega's competitive intelligence team

	Page 8154		Page 8155
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	while working on Census. Doesn't	2	So he sat there, oh, you didn't
3	remember what they talked about.	3	find these documents useful, did you,
4	And he says remember, we went	4	in the competition, the Zou documents?
5	through all of the people on Census	5	And look at what he said. He
6	who were working with Zou? There's a	6	said: Yeah, you know, it was
7	whole host of them: Louis, Bixby,	7	directionally helpful.
8	Leon. And he says: Did you tell them	8	He admits it.
9	that they didn't bring information	9	What about Amazon? Another
10	from this into the Census?	10	example they talk about. They were
11	He can't. He admits it.	11	sent presentations that Petronio put
12	And then, you know, he put up	12	together with Zou. Mr. Bearden
13	this report Census had prepared, and	13	connected these to the Zou work. They
14	we showed you other things even in	14	used it at Amazon, and they used these
15	that report that refer to the Zou	15	Appian documents.
16	work. But he says at the time Census	16	But look at what the CEO of
17	drafted this, did they know about	17	Appian is saying: Were we even aware
18	this?	18	this was happening?
19	No, they didn't. Of course	19	Of course Appian was taken by
20	there's no reference in the report.	20	surprise. They didn't know about what
21	You can see how that sale was tainted.	21	the other side had.
22	Then remember this? Mr. Kay at	22	And look, what was Amazon then
23	the end asking his own witness	23	expressing concerns about
24	questions. He wanted to kind of seal	24	infrastructure? Where did all the
25	the deal.	25	trade secrets go to? That wasn't
			-
1	Page 8156 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Page 8157 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) untainted.	2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But even after he does those
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) untainted. Then Mr. Malackowski, it's not	2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But even after he does those bogus deductions, their own expert is
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) untainted. Then Mr. Malackowski, it's not on him to do the revenue deductions,	2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But even after he does those bogus deductions, their own expert is saying it should be \$187 million in
2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) untainted. Then Mr. Malackowski, it's not on him to do the revenue deductions, but he does them because he's being	2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But even after he does those bogus deductions, their own expert is saying it should be \$187 million in damages to Appian. You know, if there
2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) untainted. Then Mr. Malackowski, it's not on him to do the revenue deductions, but he does them because he's being thorough. That's the number he gets	2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But even after he does those bogus deductions, their own expert is saying it should be \$187 million in damages to Appian. You know, if there is a floor, that's what their own
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) untainted. Then Mr. Malackowski, it's not on him to do the revenue deductions, but he does them because he's being thorough. That's the number he gets through the calculation, \$479,029,000.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But even after he does those bogus deductions, their own expert is saying it should be \$187 million in damages to Appian. You know, if there is a floor, that's what their own expert says, but it's bogus
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) untainted. Then Mr. Malackowski, it's not on him to do the revenue deductions, but he does them because he's being thorough. That's the number he gets through the calculation, \$479,029,000. Their own expert, he says, well,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But even after he does those bogus deductions, their own expert is saying it should be \$187 million in damages to Appian. You know, if there is a floor, that's what their own expert says, but it's bogus deductions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) untainted. Then Mr. Malackowski, it's not on him to do the revenue deductions, but he does them because he's being thorough. That's the number he gets through the calculation, \$479,029,000. Their own expert, he says, well, you know, there are a couple of other</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But even after he does those bogus deductions, their own expert is saying it should be \$187 million in damages to Appian. You know, if there is a floor, that's what their own expert says, but it's bogus deductions. These are the categories of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) untainted. Then Mr. Malackowski, it's not on him to do the revenue deductions, but he does them because he's being thorough. That's the number he gets through the calculation, \$479,029,000. Their own expert, he says, well, you know, there are a couple of other adjustments I think you've got to</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But even after he does those bogus deductions, their own expert is saying it should be \$187 million in damages to Appian. You know, if there is a floor, that's what their own expert says, but it's bogus deductions. These are the categories of costs that Mr. Malackowski deducted,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) untainted. Then Mr. Malackowski, it's not on him to do the revenue deductions, but he does them because he's being thorough. That's the number he gets through the calculation, \$479,029,000. Their own expert, he says, well, you know, there are a couple of other adjustments I think you've got to make. You've got to take out</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But even after he does those bogus deductions, their own expert is saying it should be \$187 million in damages to Appian. You know, if there is a floor, that's what their own expert says, but it's bogus deductions. These are the categories of costs that Mr. Malackowski deducted, just so we're clear, same ones that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) untainted. Then Mr. Malackowski, it's not on him to do the revenue deductions, but he does them because he's being thorough. That's the number he gets through the calculation, \$479,029,000. Their own expert, he says, well, you know, there are a couple of other adjustments I think you've got to make. You've got to take out incumbency like Bank of America</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But even after he does those bogus deductions, their own expert is saying it should be \$187 million in damages to Appian. You know, if there is a floor, that's what their own expert says, but it's bogus deductions. These are the categories of costs that Mr. Malackowski deducted, just so we're clear, same ones that their expert did.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) untainted. Then Mr. Malackowski, it's not on him to do the revenue deductions, but he does them because he's being thorough. That's the number he gets through the calculation, \$479,029,000. Their own expert, he says, well, you know, there are a couple of other adjustments I think you've got to make. You've got to take out incumbency like Bank of America because there's no way Appian could</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But even after he does those bogus deductions, their own expert is saying it should be \$187 million in damages to Appian. You know, if there is a floor, that's what their own expert says, but it's bogus deductions. These are the categories of costs that Mr. Malackowski deducted, just so we're clear, same ones that their expert did. Now, let's talk about the real</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) untainted. Then Mr. Malackowski, it's not on him to do the revenue deductions, but he does them because he's being thorough. That's the number he gets through the calculation, \$479,029,000. Their own expert, he says, well, you know, there are a couple of other adjustments I think you've got to make. You've got to take out incumbency like Bank of America because there's no way Appian could win it once you're an incumbent.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But even after he does those bogus deductions, their own expert is saying it should be \$187 million in damages to Appian. You know, if there is a floor, that's what their own expert says, but it's bogus deductions. These are the categories of costs that Mr. Malackowski deducted, just so we're clear, same ones that their expert did. Now, let's talk about the real issue here: The product improvement</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) untainted. Then Mr. Malackowski, it's not on him to do the revenue deductions, but he does them because he's being thorough. That's the number he gets through the calculation, \$479,029,000. Their own expert, he says, well, you know, there are a couple of other adjustments I think you've got to make. You've got to take out incumbency like Bank of America because there's no way Appian could</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But even after he does those bogus deductions, their own expert is saying it should be \$187 million in damages to Appian. You know, if there is a floor, that's what their own expert says, but it's bogus deductions. These are the categories of costs that Mr. Malackowski deducted, just so we're clear, same ones that their expert did. Now, let's talk about the real issue here: The product improvement category. You know, Mr. Malackowski</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) untainted. Then Mr. Malackowski, it's not on him to do the revenue deductions, but he does them because he's being thorough. That's the number he gets through the calculation, \$479,029,000. Their own expert, he says, well, you know, there are a couple of other adjustments I think you've got to make. You've got to take out incumbency like Bank of America because there's no way Appian could win it once you're an incumbent. You saw the documents, fight of</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But even after he does those bogus deductions, their own expert is saying it should be \$187 million in damages to Appian. You know, if there is a floor, that's what their own expert says, but it's bogus deductions. These are the categories of costs that Mr. Malackowski deducted, just so we're clear, same ones that their expert did. Now, let's talk about the real issue here: The product improvement
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) untainted. Then Mr. Malackowski, it's not on him to do the revenue deductions, but he does them because he's being thorough. That's the number he gets through the calculation, \$479,029,000. Their own expert, he says, well, you know, there are a couple of other adjustments I think you've got to make. You've got to take out incumbency like Bank of America because there's no way Appian could win it once you're an incumbent. You saw the documents, fight of our lives. Those are real competitions. The whole reason</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But even after he does those bogus deductions, their own expert is saying it should be \$187 million in damages to Appian. You know, if there is a floor, that's what their own expert says, but it's bogus deductions. These are the categories of costs that Mr. Malackowski deducted, just so we're clear, same ones that their expert did. Now, let's talk about the real issue here: The product improvement category. You know, Mr. Malackowski went through the revenues. He talked</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) untainted. Then Mr. Malackowski, it's not on him to do the revenue deductions, but he does them because he's being thorough. That's the number he gets through the calculation, \$479,029,000. Their own expert, he says, well, you know, there are a couple of other adjustments I think you've got to make. You've got to take out incumbency like Bank of America because there's no way Appian could win it once you're an incumbent. You saw the documents, fight of our lives. Those are real competitions. The whole reason they're on our list is because one of</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But even after he does those bogus deductions, their own expert is saying it should be \$187 million in damages to Appian. You know, if there is a floor, that's what their own expert says, but it's bogus deductions. These are the categories of costs that Mr. Malackowski deducted, just so we're clear, same ones that their expert did. Now, let's talk about the real issue here: The product improvement category. You know, Mr. Malackowski went through the revenues. He talked about how he he was very</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) untainted. Then Mr. Malackowski, it's not on him to do the revenue deductions, but he does them because he's being thorough. That's the number he gets through the calculation, \$479,029,000. Their own expert, he says, well, you know, there are a couple of other adjustments I think you've got to make. You've got to take out incumbency like Bank of America because there's no way Appian could win it once you're an incumbent. You saw the documents, fight of our lives. Those are real competitions. The whole reason they're on our list is because one of the other company's systems identified</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But even after he does those bogus deductions, their own expert is saying it should be \$187 million in damages to Appian. You know, if there is a floor, that's what their own expert says, but it's bogus deductions. These are the categories of costs that Mr. Malackowski deducted, just so we're clear, same ones that their expert did. Now, let's talk about the real issue here: The product improvement category. You know, Mr. Malackowski went through the revenues. He talked about how he he was very conservative. He eliminated revenues for contracts that closed before Zou</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) untainted. Then Mr. Malackowski, it's not on him to do the revenue deductions, but he does them because he's being thorough. That's the number he gets through the calculation, \$479,029,000. Their own expert, he says, well, you know, there are a couple of other adjustments I think you've got to make. You've got to take out incumbency like Bank of America because there's no way Appian could win it once you're an incumbent. You saw the documents, fight of our lives. Those are real competitions. The whole reason they're on our list is because one of the other company's systems identified it as a real competition. That one's</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But even after he does those bogus deductions, their own expert is saying it should be \$187 million in damages to Appian. You know, if there is a floor, that's what their own expert says, but it's bogus deductions. These are the categories of costs that Mr. Malackowski deducted, just so we're clear, same ones that their expert did. Now, let's talk about the real issue here: The product improvement category. You know, Mr. Malackowski went through the revenues. He talked about how he he was very conservative. He eliminated revenues for contracts that closed before Zou even if the revenues came in later on,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) untainted. Then Mr. Malackowski, it's not on him to do the revenue deductions, but he does them because he's being thorough. That's the number he gets through the calculation, \$479,029,000. Their own expert, he says, well, you know, there are a couple of other adjustments I think you've got to make. You've got to take out incumbency like Bank of America because there's no way Appian could win it once you're an incumbent. You saw the documents, fight of our lives. Those are real competitions. The whole reason they're on our list is because one of the other company's systems identified</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But even after he does those bogus deductions, their own expert is saying it should be \$187 million in damages to Appian. You know, if there is a floor, that's what their own expert says, but it's bogus deductions. These are the categories of costs that Mr. Malackowski deducted, just so we're clear, same ones that their expert did. Now, let's talk about the real issue here: The product improvement category. You know, Mr. Malackowski went through the revenues. He talked about how he he was very conservative. He eliminated revenues for contracts that closed before Zou</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) untainted. Then Mr. Malackowski, it's not on him to do the revenue deductions, but he does them because he's being thorough. That's the number he gets through the calculation, \$479,029,000. Their own expert, he says, well, you know, there are a couple of other adjustments I think you've got to make. You've got to take out incumbency like Bank of America because there's no way Appian could win it once you're an incumbent. You saw the documents, fight of our lives. Those are real competitions. The whole reason they're on our list is because one of the other company's systems identified it as a real competition. That one's bogus. Then he said customers</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But even after he does those bogus deductions, their own expert is saying it should be \$187 million in damages to Appian. You know, if there is a floor, that's what their own expert says, but it's bogus deductions. These are the categories of costs that Mr. Malackowski deducted, just so we're clear, same ones that their expert did. Now, let's talk about the real issue here: The product improvement category. You know, Mr. Malackowski went through the revenues. He talked about how he he was very conservative. He eliminated revenues for contracts that closed before Zou even if the revenues came in later on, right? So he took those revenues and </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) untainted. Then Mr. Malackowski, it's not on him to do the revenue deductions, but he does them because he's being thorough. That's the number he gets through the calculation, \$479,029,000. Their own expert, he says, well, you know, there are a couple of other adjustments I think you've got to make. You've got to take out incumbency like Bank of America because there's no way Appian could win it once you're an incumbent. You saw the documents, fight of our lives. Those are real competitions. The whole reason they're on our list is because one of the other company's systems identified it as a real competition. That one's bogus.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But even after he does those bogus deductions, their own expert is saying it should be \$187 million in damages to Appian. You know, if there is a floor, that's what their own expert says, but it's bogus deductions. These are the categories of costs that Mr. Malackowski deducted, just so we're clear, same ones that their expert did. Now, let's talk about the real issue here: The product improvement category. You know, Mr. Malackowski went through the revenues. He talked about how he he was very conservative. He eliminated revenues for contracts that closed before Zou even if the revenues came in later on, right?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) untainted. Then Mr. Malackowski, it's not on him to do the revenue deductions, but he does them because he's being thorough. That's the number he gets through the calculation, \$479,029,000. Their own expert, he says, well, you know, there are a couple of other adjustments I think you've got to make. You've got to take out incumbency like Bank of America because there's no way Appian could win it once you're an incumbent. You saw the documents, fight of our lives. Those are real competitions. The whole reason they're on our list is because one of the other company's systems identified it as a real competition. That one's bogus. Then he said customers specifically that's why he's taking </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) But even after he does those bogus deductions, their own expert is saying it should be \$187 million in damages to Appian. You know, if there is a floor, that's what their own expert says, but it's bogus deductions. These are the categories of costs that Mr. Malackowski deducted, just so we're clear, same ones that their expert did. Now, let's talk about the real issue here: The product improvement category. You know, Mr. Malackowski went through the revenues. He talked about how he he was very conservative. He eliminated revenues for contracts that closed before Zou even if the revenues came in later on, right? So he took those revenues and then he deducted the appropriate</pre>

	Page 8158		Page 8159
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Mr. Malackowski specializes in trade	2	misappropriator and you spend all your
3	secret and intellectual property	3	money, then there's nothing for the
4	calculations.	4	victim. If you save \$5 billion, same
5	Their expert Mr. Platt, he said:	5	situation, they can have \$5 billion.
6	Maybe I did one or two cases many	6	Does that make a moment of sense
7	years ago.	7	to you?
8	Who do you want to go with?	8	Pega is hiring the Goo Goo
9	And he came to the number	9	Dolls. If they are hiring Train, oh,
10	finally, \$3,032,847,000. Remember	10	it's fine. Spend as much as you can.
11	now, again, the burden's on them. If	11	Don't leave anything for the victim to
12	they want to show you some of those	12	recover. That's not how this can
13	sales were innocent, right, because	13	work.
14	it's about some other feature than	14	Mr. Malackowski gave you the
15	ours, they have to show that.	15	right cost deduction. These are the
16	Their expert Mr. Platt, he said:	16	categories he deducted, the same
17	I haven't even tried to do that.	17	categories that both experts used on
18	He hasn't even tried to	18	the smaller number, and he explained
19	apportion between things tied to trade	19	why that makes sense, right?
20	secrets or not. He didn't do that.	20	Because when you look at their
21	All he did is he said: Well,	21	tax returns, they show a loss. That
22	you've got to deduct all the costs.	22	doesn't mean they didn't get a
23	But remember what he said? He	23	benefit. They just took that money
24	said: Oh, no, it's all dependent on	24	and redeployed it.
25	how much you spend. If you're a	25	So if you find there was
	Page 8160		Page 8161
1	Page 8160 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Page 8161 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) misappropriation, they've got to give		Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) definitions, but exerting control over
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) misappropriation, they've got to give that back. You can't steal money and	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) definitions, but exerting control over property in denial or inconsistent
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) misappropriation, they've got to give that back. You can't steal money and then say, oh, sorry, I spent it. I	1 2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) definitions, but exerting control over property in denial or inconsistent with the owner's right contrary to our
2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) misappropriation, they've got to give that back. You can't steal money and then say, oh, sorry, I spent it. I would rather call a doctor than give	1 2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) definitions, but exerting control over property in denial or inconsistent with the owner's right contrary to our terms. Exactly what they did.
2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) misappropriation, they've got to give that back. You can't steal money and then say, oh, sorry, I spent it. I would rather call a doctor than give it back to you.	1 2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) definitions, but exerting control over property in denial or inconsistent with the owner's right contrary to our terms. Exactly what they did. Persons without authority when
2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) misappropriation, they've got to give that back. You can't steal money and then say, oh, sorry, I spent it. I would rather call a doctor than give it back to you. Once again, Mr. Zou, right, he	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) definitions, but exerting control over property in denial or inconsistent with the owner's right contrary to our terms. Exactly what they did. Persons without authority when they know or should have known they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) misappropriation, they've got to give that back. You can't steal money and then say, oh, sorry, I spent it. I would rather call a doctor than give it back to you. Once again, Mr. Zou, right, he also made money, \$23,608.19.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) definitions, but exerting control over property in denial or inconsistent with the owner's right contrary to our terms. Exactly what they did. Persons without authority when they know or should have known they had no right, they absolutely knew
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) misappropriation, they've got to give that back. You can't steal money and then say, oh, sorry, I spent it. I would rather call a doctor than give it back to you. Once again, Mr. Zou, right, he also made money, \$23,608.19. Now briefly, coming to the end	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) definitions, but exerting control over property in denial or inconsistent with the owner's right contrary to our terms. Exactly what they did. Persons without authority when they know or should have known they had no right, they absolutely knew that. Mr. Baril sent himself the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) misappropriation, they've got to give that back. You can't steal money and then say, oh, sorry, I spent it. I would rather call a doctor than give it back to you. Once again, Mr. Zou, right, he also made money, \$23,608.19. Now briefly, coming to the end of this, let me talk about the</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) definitions, but exerting control over property in denial or inconsistent with the owner's right contrary to our terms. Exactly what they did. Persons without authority when they know or should have known they had no right, they absolutely knew that. Mr. Baril sent himself the terms.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) misappropriation, they've got to give that back. You can't steal money and then say, oh, sorry, I spent it. I would rather call a doctor than give it back to you. Once again, Mr. Zou, right, he also made money, \$23,608.19. Now briefly, coming to the end of this, let me talk about the Computer Crimes Act claim. This is</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) definitions, but exerting control over property in denial or inconsistent with the owner's right contrary to our terms. Exactly what they did. Persons without authority when they know or should have known they had no right, they absolutely knew that. Mr. Baril sent himself the terms. And property includes software</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) misappropriation, they've got to give that back. You can't steal money and then say, oh, sorry, I spent it. I would rather call a doctor than give it back to you. Once again, Mr. Zou, right, he also made money, \$23,608.19. Now briefly, coming to the end of this, let me talk about the Computer Crimes Act claim. This is important, ladies and gentlemen,</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) definitions, but exerting control over property in denial or inconsistent with the owner's right contrary to our terms. Exactly what they did. Persons without authority when they know or should have known they had no right, they absolutely knew that. Mr. Baril sent himself the terms. And property includes software just to round that out for you.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) misappropriation, they've got to give that back. You can't steal money and then say, oh, sorry, I spent it. I would rather call a doctor than give it back to you. Once again, Mr. Zou, right, he also made money, \$23,608.19. Now briefly, coming to the end of this, let me talk about the Computer Crimes Act claim. This is important, ladies and gentlemen, because we want them to be accountable</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) definitions, but exerting control over property in denial or inconsistent with the owner's right contrary to our terms. Exactly what they did. Persons without authority when they know or should have known they had no right, they absolutely knew that. Mr. Baril sent himself the terms. And property includes software just to round that out for you. So clearly they satisfied all of</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) misappropriation, they've got to give that back. You can't steal money and then say, oh, sorry, I spent it. I would rather call a doctor than give it back to you. Once again, Mr. Zou, right, he also made money, \$23,608.19. Now briefly, coming to the end of this, let me talk about the Computer Crimes Act claim. This is important, ladies and gentlemen, because we want them to be accountable under the law for what they did, and</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) definitions, but exerting control over property in denial or inconsistent with the owner's right contrary to our terms. Exactly what they did. Persons without authority when they know or should have known they had no right, they absolutely knew that. Mr. Baril sent himself the terms. And property includes software just to round that out for you. So clearly they satisfied all of this and, of course, Appian was</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) misappropriation, they've got to give that back. You can't steal money and then say, oh, sorry, I spent it. I would rather call a doctor than give it back to you. Once again, Mr. Zou, right, he also made money, \$23,608.19. Now briefly, coming to the end of this, let me talk about the Computer Crimes Act claim. This is important, ladies and gentlemen, because we want them to be accountable under the law for what they did, and it fit and satisfy the computer crime.</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) definitions, but exerting control over property in denial or inconsistent with the owner's right contrary to our terms. Exactly what they did. Persons without authority when they know or should have known they had no right, they absolutely knew that. Mr. Baril sent himself the terms. And property includes software just to round that out for you. So clearly they satisfied all of this and, of course, Appian was injured. Mr. Ross told you why;</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) misappropriation, they've got to give that back. You can't steal money and then say, oh, sorry, I spent it. I would rather call a doctor than give it back to you. Once again, Mr. Zou, right, he also made money, \$23,608.19. Now briefly, coming to the end of this, let me talk about the Computer Crimes Act claim. This is important, ladies and gentlemen, because we want them to be accountable under the law for what they did, and it fit and satisfy the computer crime. The question is: Did they use a</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) definitions, but exerting control over property in denial or inconsistent with the owner's right contrary to our terms. Exactly what they did. Persons without authority when they know or should have known they had no right, they absolutely knew that. Mr. Baril sent himself the terms. And property includes software just to round that out for you. So clearly they satisfied all of this and, of course, Appian was injured. Mr. Ross told you why; because when they have access, they</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) misappropriation, they've got to give that back. You can't steal money and then say, oh, sorry, I spent it. I would rather call a doctor than give it back to you. Once again, Mr. Zou, right, he also made money, \$23,608.19. Now briefly, coming to the end of this, let me talk about the Computer Crimes Act claim. This is important, ladies and gentlemen, because we want them to be accountable under the law for what they did, and it fit and satisfy the computer crime. The question is: Did they use a computer network without authority</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) definitions, but exerting control over property in denial or inconsistent with the owner's right contrary to our terms. Exactly what they did. Persons without authority when they know or should have known they had no right, they absolutely knew that. Mr. Baril sent himself the terms. And property includes software just to round that out for you. So clearly they satisfied all of this and, of course, Appian was injured. Mr. Ross told you why; because when they have access, they have insider knowledge, they can take</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) misappropriation, they've got to give that back. You can't steal money and then say, oh, sorry, I spent it. I would rather call a doctor than give it back to you. Once again, Mr. Zou, right, he also made money, \$23,608.19. Now briefly, coming to the end of this, let me talk about the Computer Crimes Act claim. This is important, ladies and gentlemen, because we want them to be accountable under the law for what they did, and it fit and satisfy the computer crime. The question is: Did they use a computer network without authority after 2015 to obtain property by false</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) definitions, but exerting control over property in denial or inconsistent with the owner's right contrary to our terms. Exactly what they did. Persons without authority when they know or should have known they had no right, they absolutely knew that. Mr. Baril sent himself the terms. And property includes software just to round that out for you. So clearly they satisfied all of this and, of course, Appian was injured. Mr. Ross told you why; because when they have access, they have insider knowledge, they can take our stuff and improvement it.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) misappropriation, they've got to give that back. You can't steal money and then say, oh, sorry, I spent it. I would rather call a doctor than give it back to you. Once again, Mr. Zou, right, he also made money, \$23,608.19. Now briefly, coming to the end of this, let me talk about the Computer Crimes Act claim. This is important, ladies and gentlemen, because we want them to be accountable under the law for what they did, and it fit and satisfy the computer crime. The question is: Did they use a computer network without authority after 2015 to obtain property by false pretenses or converting the property</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) definitions, but exerting control over property in denial or inconsistent with the owner's right contrary to our terms. Exactly what they did. Persons without authority when they know or should have known they had no right, they absolutely knew that. Mr. Baril sent himself the terms. And property includes software just to round that out for you. So clearly they satisfied all of this and, of course, Appian was injured. Mr. Ross told you why; because when they have access, they have insider knowledge, they can take our stuff and improvement it. So using all of this, ladies and</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) misappropriation, they've got to give that back. You can't steal money and then say, oh, sorry, I spent it. I would rather call a doctor than give it back to you. Once again, Mr. Zou, right, he also made money, \$23,608.19. Now briefly, coming to the end of this, let me talk about the Computer Crimes Act claim. This is important, ladies and gentlemen, because we want them to be accountable under the law for what they did, and it fit and satisfy the computer crime. The question is: Did they use a computer network without authority after 2015 to obtain property by false pretenses or converting the property of another? Absolutely they did.</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) definitions, but exerting control over property in denial or inconsistent with the owner's right contrary to our terms. Exactly what they did. Persons without authority when they know or should have known they had no right, they absolutely knew that. Mr. Baril sent himself the terms. And property includes software just to round that out for you. So clearly they satisfied all of this and, of course, Appian was injured. Mr. Ross told you why; because when they have access, they have insider knowledge, they can take our stuff and improvement it. So using all of this, ladies and gentlemen, just guide you on the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) misappropriation, they've got to give that back. You can't steal money and then say, oh, sorry, I spent it. I would rather call a doctor than give it back to you. Once again, Mr. Zou, right, he also made money, \$23,608.19. Now briefly, coming to the end of this, let me talk about the Computer Crimes Act claim. This is important, ladies and gentlemen, because we want them to be accountable under the law for what they did, and it fit and satisfy the computer crime. The question is: Did they use a computer network without authority after 2015 to obtain property by false pretenses or converting the property of another? Absolutely they did. Why? Computer network just</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) definitions, but exerting control over property in denial or inconsistent with the owner's right contrary to our terms. Exactly what they did. Persons without authority when they know or should have known they had no right, they absolutely knew that. Mr. Baril sent himself the terms. And property includes software just to round that out for you. So clearly they satisfied all of this and, of course, Appian was injured. Mr. Ross told you why; because when they have access, they have insider knowledge, they can take our stuff and improvement it. So using all of this, ladies and gentlemen, just guide you on the verdict form you're going to have to</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) misappropriation, they've got to give that back. You can't steal money and then say, oh, sorry, I spent it. I would rather call a doctor than give it back to you. Once again, Mr. Zou, right, he also made money, \$23,608.19. Now briefly, coming to the end of this, let me talk about the Computer Crimes Act claim. This is important, ladies and gentlemen, because we want them to be accountable under the law for what they did, and it fit and satisfy the computer crime. The question is: Did they use a computer network without authority after 2015 to obtain property by false pretenses or converting the property of another? Absolutely they did. Why? Computer network just means two computers connected. Of</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) definitions, but exerting control over property in denial or inconsistent with the owner's right contrary to our terms. Exactly what they did. Persons without authority when they know or should have known they had no right, they absolutely knew that. Mr. Baril sent himself the terms. And property includes software just to round that out for you. So clearly they satisfied all of this and, of course, Appian was injured. Mr. Ross told you why; because when they have access, they have insider knowledge, they can take our stuff and improvement it. So using all of this, ladies and gentlemen, just guide you on the verdict form you're going to have to fill out at the end of this and tell</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) misappropriation, they've got to give that back. You can't steal money and then say, oh, sorry, I spent it. I would rather call a doctor than give it back to you. Once again, Mr. Zou, right, he also made money, \$23,608.19. Now briefly, coming to the end of this, let me talk about the Computer Crimes Act claim. This is important, ladies and gentlemen, because we want them to be accountable under the law for what they did, and it fit and satisfy the computer crime. The question is: Did they use a computer network without authority after 2015 to obtain property by false pretenses or converting the property of another? Absolutely they did. Why? Computer network just means two computers connected. Of course they were doing this to get the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) definitions, but exerting control over property in denial or inconsistent with the owner's right contrary to our terms. Exactly what they did. Persons without authority when they know or should have known they had no right, they absolutely knew that. Mr. Baril sent himself the terms. And property includes software just to round that out for you. So clearly they satisfied all of this and, of course, Appian was injured. Mr. Ross told you why; because when they have access, they have insider knowledge, they can take our stuff and improvement it. So using all of this, ladies and gentlemen, just guide you on the verdict form you're going to have to fill out at the end of this and tell you what the questions you're going to</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) misappropriation, they've got to give that back. You can't steal money and then say, oh, sorry, I spent it. I would rather call a doctor than give it back to you. Once again, Mr. Zou, right, he also made money, \$23,608.19. Now briefly, coming to the end of this, let me talk about the Computer Crimes Act claim. This is important, ladies and gentlemen, because we want them to be accountable under the law for what they did, and it fit and satisfy the computer crime. The question is: Did they use a computer network without authority after 2015 to obtain property by false pretenses or converting the property of another? Absolutely they did. Why? Computer network just means two computers connected. Of</pre>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) definitions, but exerting control over property in denial or inconsistent with the owner's right contrary to our terms. Exactly what they did. Persons without authority when they know or should have known they had no right, they absolutely knew that. Mr. Baril sent himself the terms. And property includes software just to round that out for you. So clearly they satisfied all of this and, of course, Appian was injured. Mr. Ross told you why; because when they have access, they have insider knowledge, they can take our stuff and improvement it. So using all of this, ladies and gentlemen, just guide you on the verdict form you're going to have to fill out at the end of this and tell</pre>

	Page 8162		Page 8163
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	first on Count 1, misappropriation of	2	pay anything? There is no justice in
3	trade secrets, who do you find for?	3	the world that should allow for that.
4	Do you find for Appian? And I submit	4	So what should the answer here
5	to you your answer to that should be	5	be? It should be \$3,032,847,000,
6	yes, find for Appian.	6	exactly what Mr. Malackowski
7	Then you'll be asked: Is it	7	calculated. And I ask when you fill
8	just against Pega or against Zou or	8	out the verdict form, so there's no
9	both? Your answer should be both	9	confusion about numbers or zeros, that
10	based on the record we've shown you.	10	you write it out like that for
11	Then you'll be asked: What	11	clarity.
12	-	12	-
	should the number be? And let me say		And as for Mr. Zou, we wrote the
13	this, ladies and gentlemen. This is	13	number for him too, \$23,608.
14	up to you. Okay? You decide what	14	Now, you will also be asked:
15	number you think is appropriate based	15	Did they act willfully and
16	on the records you have seen.	16	maliciously? And the standard there
17	But I would submit to you based	17	is very simple.
18	on what you have seen, and remember	18	Willful is when they act without
19	the shifting burdens, it's their	19	regard to the rights of another
20	burden to show you any sales they made	20	knowing that injury will follow.
21	were innocent. Their own expert told	21	Malicious is when a party acts with
22	you he hasn't even attempted to do	22	ill will or spite.
23	that.	23	The record I've just taken you
24	And this cost deduction, they	24	through shows that in spades for both
25	got everything so they don't have to	25	of them. Let me just show you
	Page 8164		Page 8165
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	one piece of evidence each to remind	2	Find your verdict for Appian against
3			
	you. You know, look at what Mr. Baril	3	Peqa. This was only against Pega.
		3	Pega. This was only against Pega. And let me be clear on the
4	you. You know, look at what Mr. Barii said about what Alan and Leon were up to here.		And let me be clear on the
4 5	said about what Alan and Leon were up to here.	4	And let me be clear on the damages here. We're not asking for
4 5 6	said about what Alan and Leon were up to here. He said: They are focused on	4 5 6	And let me be clear on the damages here. We're not asking for our money twice. Okay?
4 5 6 7	said about what Alan and Leon were up to here. He said: They are focused on destroying Appian, like making it go	4 5 6 7	And let me be clear on the damages here. We're not asking for our money twice. Okay? We ask for our damages under the
4 5 6 7 8	said about what Alan and Leon were up to here. He said: They are focused on destroying Appian, like making it go away for good. Willful and malicious?	4 5 6 7 8	And let me be clear on the damages here. We're not asking for our money twice. Okay? We ask for our damages under the VUTSA in the way I just showed, which
4 5 6 7 8 9	said about what Alan and Leon were up to here. He said: They are focused on destroying Appian, like making it go away for good. Willful and malicious? There you have it.	4 5 6 7 8 9	And let me be clear on the damages here. We're not asking for our money twice. Okay? We ask for our damages under the VUTSA in the way I just showed, which covers sales.
4 5 7 8 9 10	said about what Alan and Leon were up to here. He said: They are focused on destroying Appian, like making it go away for good. Willful and malicious? There you have it. Let me say this, ladies and	4 5 6 7 8 9 10	And let me be clear on the damages here. We're not asking for our money twice. Okay? We ask for our damages under the VUTSA in the way I just showed, which covers sales. But here, we want them to be
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>said about what Alan and Leon were up to here. He said: They are focused on destroying Appian, like making it go away for good. Willful and malicious? There you have it. Let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. Mr. Zou, he's not a</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	And let me be clear on the damages here. We're not asking for our money twice. Okay? We ask for our damages under the VUTSA in the way I just showed, which covers sales. But here, we want them to be held accountable in the eyes of the
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>said about what Alan and Leon were up to here. He said: They are focused on destroying Appian, like making it go away for good. Willful and malicious? There you have it. Let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. Mr. Zou, he's not a criminal mastermind, no doubt, but he</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	And let me be clear on the damages here. We're not asking for our money twice. Okay? We ask for our damages under the VUTSA in the way I just showed, which covers sales. But here, we want them to be held accountable in the eyes of the law for having committed the computer
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>said about what Alan and Leon were up to here. He said: They are focused on destroying Appian, like making it go away for good. Willful and malicious? There you have it. Let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. Mr. Zou, he's not a criminal mastermind, no doubt, but he doesn't get a pass. Okay? Because</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	And let me be clear on the damages here. We're not asking for our money twice. Okay? We ask for our damages under the VUTSA in the way I just showed, which covers sales. But here, we want them to be held accountable in the eyes of the law for having committed the computer crimes that they did. We're asking to
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>said about what Alan and Leon were up to here. He said: They are focused on destroying Appian, like making it go away for good. Willful and malicious? There you have it. Let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. Mr. Zou, he's not a criminal mastermind, no doubt, but he doesn't get a pass. Okay? Because remember what he was doing, shoulder</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And let me be clear on the damages here. We're not asking for our money twice. Okay? We ask for our damages under the VUTSA in the way I just showed, which covers sales. But here, we want them to be held accountable in the eyes of the law for having committed the computer crimes that they did. We're asking to award us \$1 of damages to make the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>said about what Alan and Leon were up to here. He said: They are focused on destroying Appian, like making it go away for good. Willful and malicious? There you have it. Let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. Mr. Zou, he's not a criminal mastermind, no doubt, but he doesn't get a pass. Okay? Because remember what he was doing, shoulder by shoulder, he's working with Serco</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And let me be clear on the damages here. We're not asking for our money twice. Okay? We ask for our damages under the VUTSA in the way I just showed, which covers sales. But here, we want them to be held accountable in the eyes of the law for having committed the computer crimes that they did. We're asking to award us \$1 of damages to make the statement that they violated the law
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>said about what Alan and Leon were up to here. He said: They are focused on destroying Appian, like making it go away for good. Willful and malicious? There you have it. Let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. Mr. Zou, he's not a criminal mastermind, no doubt, but he doesn't get a pass. Okay? Because remember what he was doing, shoulder by shoulder, he's working with Serco and Appian people by day, and by night</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And let me be clear on the damages here. We're not asking for our money twice. Okay? We ask for our damages under the VUTSA in the way I just showed, which covers sales. But here, we want them to be held accountable in the eyes of the law for having committed the computer crimes that they did. We're asking to award us \$1 of damages to make the statement that they violated the law here.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>said about what Alan and Leon were up to here. He said: They are focused on destroying Appian, like making it go away for good. Willful and malicious? There you have it. Let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. Mr. Zou, he's not a criminal mastermind, no doubt, but he doesn't get a pass. Okay? Because remember what he was doing, shoulder by shoulder, he's working with Serco and Appian people by day, and by night he's selling these same secrets to</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And let me be clear on the damages here. We're not asking for our money twice. Okay? We ask for our damages under the VUTSA in the way I just showed, which covers sales. But here, we want them to be held accountable in the eyes of the law for having committed the computer crimes that they did. We're asking to award us \$1 of damages to make the statement that they violated the law here. The actual damages, we're asking
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>said about what Alan and Leon were up to here. He said: They are focused on destroying Appian, like making it go away for good. Willful and malicious? There you have it. Let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. Mr. Zou, he's not a criminal mastermind, no doubt, but he doesn't get a pass. Okay? Because remember what he was doing, shoulder by shoulder, he's working with Serco and Appian people by day, and by night he's selling these same secrets to which he has access, knowing he</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And let me be clear on the damages here. We're not asking for our money twice. Okay? We ask for our damages under the VUTSA in the way I just showed, which covers sales. But here, we want them to be held accountable in the eyes of the law for having committed the computer crimes that they did. We're asking to award us \$1 of damages to make the statement that they violated the law here. The actual damages, we're asking all of that under the misappropriation
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>said about what Alan and Leon were up to here. He said: They are focused on destroying Appian, like making it go away for good. Willful and malicious? There you have it. Let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. Mr. Zou, he's not a criminal mastermind, no doubt, but he doesn't get a pass. Okay? Because remember what he was doing, shoulder by shoulder, he's working with Serco and Appian people by day, and by night he's selling these same secrets to which he has access, knowing he shouldn't, to Pega. He doesn't get a</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And let me be clear on the damages here. We're not asking for our money twice. Okay? We ask for our damages under the VUTSA in the way I just showed, which covers sales. But here, we want them to be held accountable in the eyes of the law for having committed the computer crimes that they did. We're asking to award us \$1 of damages to make the statement that they violated the law here. The actual damages, we're asking all of that under the misappropriation statute.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>said about what Alan and Leon were up to here. He said: They are focused on destroying Appian, like making it go away for good. Willful and malicious? There you have it. Let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. Mr. Zou, he's not a criminal mastermind, no doubt, but he doesn't get a pass. Okay? Because remember what he was doing, shoulder by shoulder, he's working with Serco and Appian people by day, and by night he's selling these same secrets to which he has access, knowing he shouldn't, to Pega. He doesn't get a pass. Willful and malicious conduct</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And let me be clear on the damages here. We're not asking for our money twice. Okay? We ask for our damages under the VUTSA in the way I just showed, which covers sales. But here, we want them to be held accountable in the eyes of the law for having committed the computer crimes that they did. We're asking to award us \$1 of damages to make the statement that they violated the law here. The actual damages, we're asking all of that under the misappropriation statute. Now, ladies and gentlemen, let
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>said about what Alan and Leon were up to here. He said: They are focused on destroying Appian, like making it go away for good. Willful and malicious? There you have it. Let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. Mr. Zou, he's not a criminal mastermind, no doubt, but he doesn't get a pass. Okay? Because remember what he was doing, shoulder by shoulder, he's working with Serco and Appian people by day, and by night he's selling these same secrets to which he has access, knowing he shouldn't, to Pega. He doesn't get a pass. Willful and malicious conduct for him too.</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And let me be clear on the damages here. We're not asking for our money twice. Okay? We ask for our damages under the VUTSA in the way I just showed, which covers sales. But here, we want them to be held accountable in the eyes of the law for having committed the computer crimes that they did. We're asking to award us \$1 of damages to make the statement that they violated the law here. The actual damages, we're asking all of that under the misappropriation statute. Now, ladies and gentlemen, let me end here. These are all the
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>said about what Alan and Leon were up to here. He said: They are focused on destroying Appian, like making it go away for good. Willful and malicious? There you have it. Let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. Mr. Zou, he's not a criminal mastermind, no doubt, but he doesn't get a pass. Okay? Because remember what he was doing, shoulder by shoulder, he's working with Serco and Appian people by day, and by night he's selling these same secrets to which he has access, knowing he shouldn't, to Pega. He doesn't get a pass. Willful and malicious conduct for him too. And so both of those questions</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And let me be clear on the damages here. We're not asking for our money twice. Okay? We ask for our damages under the VUTSA in the way I just showed, which covers sales. But here, we want them to be held accountable in the eyes of the law for having committed the computer crimes that they did. We're asking to award us \$1 of damages to make the statement that they violated the law here. The actual damages, we're asking all of that under the misappropriation statute. Now, ladies and gentlemen, let me end here. These are all the witnesses we called in our case. It's
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>said about what Alan and Leon were up to here. He said: They are focused on destroying Appian, like making it go away for good. Willful and malicious? There you have it. Let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. Mr. Zou, he's not a criminal mastermind, no doubt, but he doesn't get a pass. Okay? Because remember what he was doing, shoulder by shoulder, he's working with Serco and Appian people by day, and by night he's selling these same secrets to which he has access, knowing he shouldn't, to Pega. He doesn't get a pass. Willful and malicious conduct for him too. And so both of those questions you should answer yes.</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And let me be clear on the damages here. We're not asking for our money twice. Okay? We ask for our damages under the VUTSA in the way I just showed, which covers sales. But here, we want them to be held accountable in the eyes of the law for having committed the computer crimes that they did. We're asking to award us \$1 of damages to make the statement that they violated the law here. The actual damages, we're asking all of that under the misappropriation statute. Now, ladies and gentlemen, let me end here. These are all the witnesses we called in our case. It's been a while so I'll just remind you
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>said about what Alan and Leon were up to here. He said: They are focused on destroying Appian, like making it go away for good. Willful and malicious? There you have it. Let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. Mr. Zou, he's not a criminal mastermind, no doubt, but he doesn't get a pass. Okay? Because remember what he was doing, shoulder by shoulder, he's working with Serco and Appian people by day, and by night he's selling these same secrets to which he has access, knowing he shouldn't, to Pega. He doesn't get a pass. Willful and malicious conduct for him too. And so both of those questions you should answer yes. Then you will be asked about the</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	And let me be clear on the damages here. We're not asking for our money twice. Okay? We ask for our damages under the VUTSA in the way I just showed, which covers sales. But here, we want them to be held accountable in the eyes of the law for having committed the computer crimes that they did. We're asking to award us \$1 of damages to make the statement that they violated the law here. The actual damages, we're asking all of that under the misappropriation statute. Now, ladies and gentlemen, let me end here. These are all the witnesses we called in our case. It's been a while so I'll just remind you of all of them. And you will see what
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>said about what Alan and Leon were up to here. He said: They are focused on destroying Appian, like making it go away for good. Willful and malicious? There you have it. Let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. Mr. Zou, he's not a criminal mastermind, no doubt, but he doesn't get a pass. Okay? Because remember what he was doing, shoulder by shoulder, he's working with Serco and Appian people by day, and by night he's selling these same secrets to which he has access, knowing he shouldn't, to Pega. He doesn't get a pass. Willful and malicious conduct for him too. And so both of those questions you should answer yes.</pre>	4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And let me be clear on the damages here. We're not asking for our money twice. Okay? We ask for our damages under the VUTSA in the way I just showed, which covers sales. But here, we want them to be held accountable in the eyes of the law for having committed the computer crimes that they did. We're asking to award us \$1 of damages to make the statement that they violated the law here. The actual damages, we're asking all of that under the misappropriation statute. Now, ladies and gentlemen, let me end here. These are all the witnesses we called in our case. It's been a while so I'll just remind you

	Page 8166		Page 8167
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)
2	With all of the Pega employees,	2	just tell me. Show me the proof.
3	we have taken you through Mr. Trefler,	3	Show me the evidence like we did here.
4	all the people in India, Mr. Petronio,	4	After you hear from them, I'll
5	all the product management people, and	5	be back. Hopefully it won't be too
6	of course, our experts, Dr. Cole,	6	late. Thank you for your attention.
7	Dr. Marshall, and Mr. Malackowski.	7	Thank you, Your Honor.
8	All right? This sets forth for	8	THE COURT: Ladies and
9	you the record of the evidence, all of	9	gentlemen, we'll take a 15-minute
10	the documents, the contemporaneous	10	recess at this point and when we come
11	documents that will guide you to the	11	back, Mr. Frank will have the floor.
12	truth.	12	(Jury exits.)
13	And I will ask you when you	13	(Recess taken.)
14	deliberate on this case, right, when	14	MR. FRANK: Your Honor, let me
15	you go back and finally talk about it,	15	ask you this. I guess we'll start up
16	I will ask each of you to be a warrior	16	at about 12:30. When did you want me
17	for what is right here. Hold	17	to stop?
18	shadiness and arrogance to account and	18	THE COURT: 12:35. No.
19	ensure that Appian is properly and	19	Mr. Frank, you've got two hours and
20	fully compensated.	20	six minutes, so.
21	And when you now hear from the	21	MR. FRANK: So I know. I
22	very fine lawyers representing Pega	22	understand that, but presumably you're
23	and Mr. Zou, keep this in mind.	23	going to want the jury to take some
24	Number one, then why did you do	24	lunch.
25	it? And number two, show me. Don't	25	THE COURT: I guess it's kind
120			
1	Page 8168 Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Page 8169 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
1	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of it's up to you. Do you want to	2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) THE COURT OFFICER: All rise.
2 3	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of it's up to you. Do you want to go all the way through to 2:30?	2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Please be seated and come to order.
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of it's up to you. Do you want to go all the way through to 2:30? MR. FRANK: I doubt that's a	2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Please be seated and come to order. THE COURT: All right. Please
2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of it's up to you. Do you want to go all the way through to 2:30? MR. FRANK: I doubt that's a good idea.	2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Please be seated and come to order. THE COURT: All right. Please bring the jury back in.
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of it's up to you. Do you want to go all the way through to 2:30? MR. FRANK: I doubt that's a good idea. THE COURT: Do you want to take</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Please be seated and come to order. THE COURT: All right. Please bring the jury back in. (Jury enters.)
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of it's up to you. Do you want to go all the way through to 2:30? MR. FRANK: I doubt that's a good idea. THE COURT: Do you want to take a break at some point in the middle of</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Please be seated and come to order. THE COURT: All right. Please bring the jury back in. (Jury enters.) THE COURT: All members of the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of it's up to you. Do you want to go all the way through to 2:30?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Please be seated and come to order. THE COURT: All right. Please bring the jury back in. (Jury enters.) THE COURT: All members of the jury are present. Everyone may be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of it's up to you. Do you want to go all the way through to 2:30? MR. FRANK: I doubt that's a good idea. THE COURT: Do you want to take a break at some point in the middle of your or did you want to MR. FRANK: The alternative is</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Please be seated and come to order. THE COURT: All right. Please bring the jury back in. (Jury enters.) THE COURT: All members of the jury are present. Everyone may be seated.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of it's up to you. Do you want to go all the way through to 2:30? MR. FRANK: I doubt that's a good idea. THE COURT: Do you want to take a break at some point in the middle of your or did you want to MR. FRANK: The alternative is to send the jury to lunch now and then</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Please be seated and come to order. THE COURT: All right. Please bring the jury back in. (Jury enters.) THE COURT: All members of the jury are present. Everyone may be seated. Mr. Frank, the floor is yours.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of it's up to you. Do you want to go all the way through to 2:30? MR. FRANK: I doubt that's a good idea. THE COURT: Do you want to take a break at some point in the middle of your or did you want to MR. FRANK: The alternative is to send the jury to lunch now and then I can make an unbroken presentation.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Please be seated and come to order. THE COURT: All right. Please bring the jury back in. (Jury enters.) THE COURT: All members of the jury are present. Everyone may be seated. Mr. Frank, the floor is yours. MR. FRANK: Thank you, Your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of it's up to you. Do you want to go all the way through to 2:30? MR. FRANK: I doubt that's a good idea. THE COURT: Do you want to take a break at some point in the middle of your or did you want to MR. FRANK: The alternative is to send the jury to lunch now and then I can make an unbroken presentation. I think that's my preference.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Please be seated and come to order. THE COURT: All right. Please bring the jury back in. (Jury enters.) THE COURT: All members of the jury are present. Everyone may be seated. Mr. Frank, the floor is yours. MR. FRANK: Thank you, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of it's up to you. Do you want to go all the way through to 2:30? MR. FRANK: I doubt that's a good idea. THE COURT: Do you want to take a break at some point in the middle of your or did you want to MR. FRANK: The alternative is to send the jury to lunch now and then I can make an unbroken presentation. I think that's my preference. THE COURT: All right. I don't</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Please be seated and come to order. THE COURT: All right. Please bring the jury back in. (Jury enters.) THE COURT: All members of the jury are present. Everyone may be seated. Mr. Frank, the floor is yours. MR. FRANK: Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon, my name is Bob
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of it's up to you. Do you want to go all the way through to 2:30? MR. FRANK: I doubt that's a good idea. THE COURT: Do you want to take a break at some point in the middle of your or did you want to MR. FRANK: The alternative is to send the jury to lunch now and then I can make an unbroken presentation. I think that's my preference.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Please be seated and come to order. THE COURT: All right. Please bring the jury back in. (Jury enters.) THE COURT: All members of the jury are present. Everyone may be seated. Mr. Frank, the floor is yours. MR. FRANK: Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon, my name is Bob Frank.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of it's up to you. Do you want to go all the way through to 2:30? MR. FRANK: I doubt that's a good idea. THE COURT: Do you want to take a break at some point in the middle of your or did you want to MR. FRANK: The alternative is to send the jury to lunch now and then I can make an unbroken presentation. I think that's my preference. THE COURT: All right. I don't know what the cafeteria is like right now.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Please be seated and come to order. THE COURT: All right. Please bring the jury back in. (Jury enters.) THE COURT: All members of the jury are present. Everyone may be seated. Mr. Frank, the floor is yours. MR. FRANK: Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon, my name is Bob Frank. I agree with Mr. Mangi about one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of it's up to you. Do you want to go all the way through to 2:30?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Please be seated and come to order. THE COURT: All right. Please bring the jury back in. (Jury enters.) THE COURT: All members of the jury are present. Everyone may be seated. Mr. Frank, the floor is yours. MR. FRANK: Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon, my name is Bob Frank. I agree with Mr. Mangi about one thing and that is that jury service is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of it's up to you. Do you want to go all the way through to 2:30? MR. FRANK: I doubt that's a good idea. THE COURT: Do you want to take a break at some point in the middle of your or did you want to MR. FRANK: The alternative is to send the jury to lunch now and then I can make an unbroken presentation. I think that's my preference. THE COURT: All right. I don't know what the cafeteria is like right now.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Please be seated and come to order. THE COURT: All right. Please bring the jury back in. (Jury enters.) THE COURT: All members of the jury are present. Everyone may be seated. Mr. Frank, the floor is yours. MR. FRANK: Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon, my name is Bob Frank. I agree with Mr. Mangi about one thing and that is that jury service is a vital, critical part of our justice
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of it's up to you. Do you want to go all the way through to 2:30?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Please be seated and come to order. THE COURT: All right. Please bring the jury back in. (Jury enters.) THE COURT: All members of the jury are present. Everyone may be seated. Mr. Frank, the floor is yours. MR. FRANK: Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon, my name is Bob Frank. I agree with Mr. Mangi about one thing and that is that jury service is a vital, critical part of our justice system in the sense that people come
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of it's up to you. Do you want to go all the way through to 2:30?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Please be seated and come to order. THE COURT: All right. Please bring the jury back in. (Jury enters.) THE COURT: All members of the jury are present. Everyone may be seated. Mr. Frank, the floor is yours. MR. FRANK: Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon, my name is Bob Frank. I agree with Mr. Mangi about one thing and that is that jury service is a vital, critical part of our justice system in the sense that people come to this with different backgrounds and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of it's up to you. Do you want to go all the way through to 2:30?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Please be seated and come to order. THE COURT: All right. Please bring the jury back in. (Jury enters.) THE COURT: All members of the jury are present. Everyone may be seated. Mr. Frank, the floor is yours. MR. FRANK: Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon, my name is Bob Frank. I agree with Mr. Mangi about one thing and that is that jury service is a vital, critical part of our justice system in the sense that people come to this with different backgrounds and different understandings, in the sense
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of it's up to you. Do you want to go all the way through to 2:30?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Please be seated and come to order. THE COURT: All right. Please bring the jury back in. (Jury enters.) THE COURT: All members of the jury are present. Everyone may be seated. Mr. Frank, the floor is yours. MR. FRANK: Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon, my name is Bob Frank. I agree with Mr. Mangi about one thing and that is that jury service is a vital, critical part of our justice system in the sense that people come to this with different backgrounds and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of it's up to you. Do you want to go all the way through to 2:30?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Please be seated and come to order. THE COURT: All right. Please bring the jury back in. (Jury enters.) THE COURT: All members of the jury are present. Everyone may be seated. Mr. Frank, the floor is yours. MR. FRANK: Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon, my name is Bob Frank. I agree with Mr. Mangi about one thing and that is that jury service is a vital, critical part of our justice system in the sense that people come to this with different backgrounds and different understandings, in the sense that they pay attention and try to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of it's up to you. Do you want to go all the way through to 2:30?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Please be seated and come to order. THE COURT: All right. Please bring the jury back in. (Jury enters.) THE COURT: All members of the jury are present. Everyone may be seated. Mr. Frank, the floor is yours. MR. FRANK: Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon, my name is Bob Frank. I agree with Mr. Mangi about one thing and that is that jury service is a vital, critical part of our justice system in the sense that people come to this with different backgrounds and different understandings, in the sense that they pay attention and try to reach a fair result, which is critical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Closing (Mangi) of it's up to you. Do you want to go all the way through to 2:30?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) THE COURT OFFICER: All rise. Please be seated and come to order. THE COURT: All right. Please bring the jury back in. (Jury enters.) THE COURT: All members of the jury are present. Everyone may be seated. Mr. Frank, the floor is yours. MR. FRANK: Thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon, my name is Bob Frank. I agree with Mr. Mangi about one thing and that is that jury service is a vital, critical part of our justice system in the sense that people come to this with different backgrounds and different understandings, in the sense that they pay attention and try to reach a fair result, which is critical to the system.

	Page 8170		Page 8171
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	that it's appreciated. And I'm	2	facts in this case, what they show,
3	speaking for everybody here.	3	what makes sense, and what doesn't
4	Ms. Spieth told you in her	4	make sense.
5	opening that you would see a lot of	5	This case is an effort by Appian
6	drama, and she was right. You've seen	6	to achieve in court what Appian has
7	a lot of drama.	7	failed to achieve in the marketplace,
8	But this is a trade secret case.	8	because Appian's software doesn't have
9	I want to talk about what the trade	9	certain of the product designs,
10	secrets are, whether they were secret,	10	product features that caused Pega's
11	whether they were adequately	11	customers to want to buy from Pega.
12	protected, whether there were real	12	And at a very high level, those
13	trade secrets in Pega's marketing	13	product features are the ability to
14	materials, whether the product changes	14	build applications that can serve tens
15	that Pega made were made just because	15	of thousands of people at the same
16	they were in progress or completed or	16	time without the slightest risk of
17	had been in Pega's products for years,	17	failure or loss of data.
18	or whether they are something that was	18	And the ability to construct
19	taken or stolen from some secret from	19	apps that work across an entire
20	Amazon [sic]. And I want to talk	20	enormous business that sells different
21	about commercial realties and how they	21	products to different people in
22	related to Appian's damage claim.	22	different locations and then allows
23	So I somewhat regret that I	23	you to expand that app to meet a new
24	don't have Mr. Mangi's flair, but I'm	24	situation, a new product, a new type
25	going to try to talk to you about the	25	of customer, a new location simply by
1	Page 8172 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Page 8173 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	layering on one thing on the top and	2	read it? I should just read it.
3	adopting inheriting everything else	3	So during the time when you were
4	from within the system.	4	at Appian this is Ms. Spieth asking
5	Now, as Appian's own documents	5	Mr. Hughes these questions.
6	establish, Appian lost sales to Pega	6	So during the time when you were
7	because Appian's software didn't have	7	at Appian, at least for part of the
8	the capabilities and features that	8	time, were Pega and Appian competing
9	Pega's software has.	9	for sales to the same customers?
10	And that's not that's not	10	Answer: No.
11	intended as a criticism of Appian's	11	Question: Pega and Appian were
12	product. Appian is a successful	12	not competing for sales to the same
13	company. There are plenty of	13	customers?
14	customers who don't need what it is	14	Answer: Not for the same
15	that Pega brings to them; but there	15	solutions, no.
16	are also plenty of customers who do,	16	Were they selling different
17	and that's what drove Pega sales.	17	solutions?
18	Don't take my word for it. This	18	
19	is the testimony of Edward Hughes.	19	Answer: Absolutely. Question: How so?
20	Josh, could we put that up?	20 21	
21	This is from his videotaped	21	metaphor, it's a little bit like
22	testimony.		trying to find a solution to
23	No, just the sound, Josh. We	23	communicate to Washington from Fairfax. One solution would be to
24	can't put up the image.	24	
25	Do you have it or should I just	25	take the subway, one solution would be

	Page 8174		Page 8175
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	to take an automobile, another one	2	identify weaknesses in Appian
3	would be to walk or take a helicopter.	3	software.
4	So are they competing?	4	And that, Appian says, were the
5	Well, no. Appian and Pega have	5	trade secrets, those 6. And then
6	fundamentally different technologies,	6	Appian says that those 6 statements
7	so it was a different way of looking	7	out of 21 were the cause of every
8	at a problem and solving it in a	8	single sale that Pega made in
9	different way.	9	competition with Appian over a 9-year
10	Appian and Pega are both BPM	10	period to 201 separate customers.
11	companies, but their products are	11	Appian's claim is that every
12	designed differently. And to a large	12	single time Pega won, Appian,
13	extent, those design features are	13	Appian that is unjust enrichment.
14	different and address different types	14	And the first question that
15	of customers and different types of	15	you're going to be asked, or a
16	customer needs.	16	question that you're going to be
17	When the drama and when the	17	asked, is: Does that, does that meet
18	sideshows and distractions are	18	the test of common sense?
19	stripped away from this case, Appian	19	You're asked that no sale was
20	makes two extraordinary claims. One	20	attributable to any of the 15
21	claim is that 6 out of 21 statements	21	statements in the Understanding Appian
22	in the "Understanding Appian"	22	documents or the other 8 statements in
23	documents and 4 of 12 entries in that	23	the 12 Challenges documents.
24	"12 Challenges" document, that are	24	You're asked to believe that no
25	referred to as marketing material,	25	sale made by Pega to any customer
		1	D
1	Page 8176 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Page 8177 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Page 8177 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) establish that the 6 of 21 statements
	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) during an almost 9-year period was the	_	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) establish that the 6 of 21 statements
2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) during an almost 9-year period was the result of the capabilities and	2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) establish that the 6 of 21 statements weren't trade secrets at all. They
2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) during an almost 9-year period was the result of the capabilities and qualities of Pega's products or the	2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) establish that the 6 of 21 statements weren't trade secrets at all. They were facts that Pega knew, facts that
2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) during an almost 9-year period was the result of the capabilities and qualities of Pega's products or the result of the different capabilities	2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) establish that the 6 of 21 statements weren't trade secrets at all. They
2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) during an almost 9-year period was the result of the capabilities and qualities of Pega's products or the result of the different capabilities of Appian's products or the result of	2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) establish that the 6 of 21 statements weren't trade secrets at all. They were facts that Pega knew, facts that you can see in Pega's market coaching materials from before it saw, and
2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) during an almost 9-year period was the result of the capabilities and qualities of Pega's products or the result of the different capabilities	2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) establish that the 6 of 21 statements weren't trade secrets at all. They were facts that Pega knew, facts that you can see in Pega's market coaching materials from before it saw, and trade secrets that Appian's own
2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) during an almost 9-year period was the result of the capabilities and qualities of Pega's products or the result of the different capabilities of Appian's products or the result of particular customer's needs.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) establish that the 6 of 21 statements weren't trade secrets at all. They were facts that Pega knew, facts that you can see in Pega's market coaching materials from before it saw, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) during an almost 9-year period was the result of the capabilities and qualities of Pega's products or the result of the different capabilities of Appian's products or the result of particular customer's needs. You're asked to believe that even clients who are using both Pega	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) establish that the 6 of 21 statements weren't trade secrets at all. They were facts that Pega knew, facts that you can see in Pega's market coaching materials from before it saw, and trade secrets that Appian's own employees were unable to point out
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) during an almost 9-year period was the result of the capabilities and qualities of Pega's products or the result of the different capabilities of Appian's products or the result of particular customer's needs. You're asked to believe that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) establish that the 6 of 21 statements weren't trade secrets at all. They were facts that Pega knew, facts that you can see in Pega's market coaching materials from before it saw, and trade secrets that Appian's own employees were unable to point out during discovery in this case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) during an almost 9-year period was the result of the capabilities and qualities of Pega's products or the result of the different capabilities of Appian's products or the result of particular customer's needs. You're asked to believe that even clients who are using both Pega and Appian, big, sophisticated clients	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) establish that the 6 of 21 statements weren't trade secrets at all. They were facts that Pega knew, facts that you can see in Pega's market coaching materials from before it saw, and trade secrets that Appian's own employees were unable to point out during discovery in this case. The Appian now says Appian
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) during an almost 9-year period was the result of the capabilities and qualities of Pega's products or the result of the different capabilities of Appian's products or the result of particular customer's needs. You're asked to believe that even clients who are using both Pega and Appian, big, sophisticated clients who had their hands on both parties'	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) establish that the 6 of 21 statements weren't trade secrets at all. They were facts that Pega knew, facts that you can see in Pega's market coaching materials from before it saw, and trade secrets that Appian's own employees were unable to point out during discovery in this case. The Appian now says Appian now sorry the other thing I'd
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) during an almost 9-year period was the result of the capabilities and qualities of Pega's products or the result of the different capabilities of Appian's products or the result of particular customer's needs. You're asked to believe that even clients who are using both Pega and Appian, big, sophisticated clients who had their hands on both parties' software, that they made their buying	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) establish that the 6 of 21 statements weren't trade secrets at all. They were facts that Pega knew, facts that you can see in Pega's market coaching materials from before it saw, and trade secrets that Appian's own employees were unable to point out during discovery in this case. The Appian now says Appian now sorry the other thing I'd like to ask you to keep in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) during an almost 9-year period was the result of the capabilities and qualities of Pega's products or the result of the different capabilities of Appian's products or the result of particular customer's needs. You're asked to believe that even clients who are using both Pega and Appian, big, sophisticated clients who had their hands on both parties' software, that they made their buying decision based upon two-page marketing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) establish that the 6 of 21 statements weren't trade secrets at all. They were facts that Pega knew, facts that you can see in Pega's market coaching materials from before it saw, and trade secrets that Appian's own employees were unable to point out during discovery in this case. The Appian now says Appian now sorry the other thing I'd like to ask you to keep in consideration is that the marketing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) during an almost 9-year period was the result of the capabilities and qualities of Pega's products or the result of the different capabilities of Appian's products or the result of particular customer's needs. You're asked to believe that even clients who are using both Pega and Appian, big, sophisticated clients who had their hands on both parties' software, that they made their buying decision based upon two-page marketing document and not on their own	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) establish that the 6 of 21 statements weren't trade secrets at all. They were facts that Pega knew, facts that you can see in Pega's market coaching materials from before it saw, and trade secrets that Appian's own employees were unable to point out during discovery in this case. The Appian now says Appian now sorry the other thing I'd like to ask you to keep in consideration is that the marketing side of this case has said that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) during an almost 9-year period was the result of the capabilities and qualities of Pega's products or the result of the different capabilities of Appian's products or the result of particular customer's needs. You're asked to believe that even clients who are using both Pega and Appian, big, sophisticated clients who had their hands on both parties' software, that they made their buying decision based upon two-page marketing document and not on their own experience over a period of years with	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) establish that the 6 of 21 statements weren't trade secrets at all. They were facts that Pega knew, facts that you can see in Pega's market coaching materials from before it saw, and trade secrets that Appian's own employees were unable to point out during discovery in this case. The Appian now says Appian now sorry the other thing I'd like to ask you to keep in consideration is that the marketing side of this case has said that somehow Pega discovered weaknesses in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) during an almost 9-year period was the result of the capabilities and qualities of Pega's products or the result of the different capabilities of Appian's products or the result of particular customer's needs. You're asked to believe that even clients who are using both Pega and Appian, big, sophisticated clients who had their hands on both parties' software, that they made their buying decision based upon two-page marketing document and not on their own experience over a period of years with both companies' software.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) establish that the 6 of 21 statements weren't trade secrets at all. They were facts that Pega knew, facts that you can see in Pega's market coaching materials from before it saw, and trade secrets that Appian's own employees were unable to point out during discovery in this case. The Appian now says Appian now sorry the other thing I'd like to ask you to keep in consideration is that the marketing side of this case has said that somehow Pega discovered weaknesses in Appian's product that Appian was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) during an almost 9-year period was the result of the capabilities and qualities of Pega's products or the result of the different capabilities of Appian's products or the result of particular customer's needs. You're asked to believe that even clients who are using both Pega and Appian, big, sophisticated clients who had their hands on both parties' software, that they made their buying decision based upon two-page marketing document and not on their own experience over a period of years with both companies' software. You're asked to believe that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) establish that the 6 of 21 statements weren't trade secrets at all. They were facts that Pega knew, facts that you can see in Pega's market coaching materials from before it saw, and trade secrets that Appian's own employees were unable to point out during discovery in this case. The Appian now says Appian now sorry the other thing I'd like to ask you to keep in consideration is that the marketing side of this case has said that somehow Pega discovered weaknesses in Appian's product that Appian was entitled to keep secret, was that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) during an almost 9-year period was the result of the capabilities and qualities of Pega's products or the result of the different capabilities of Appian's products or the result of particular customer's needs. You're asked to believe that even clients who are using both Pega and Appian, big, sophisticated clients who had their hands on both parties' software, that they made their buying decision based upon two-page marketing document and not on their own experience over a period of years with both companies' software. You're asked to believe that what Appian says were trade secrets	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) establish that the 6 of 21 statements weren't trade secrets at all. They were facts that Pega knew, facts that you can see in Pega's market coaching materials from before it saw, and trade secrets that Appian's own employees were unable to point out during discovery in this case. The Appian now says Appian now sorry the other thing I'd like to ask you to keep in consideration is that the marketing side of this case has said that somehow Pega discovered weaknesses in Appian's product that Appian was entitled to keep secret, was that Appian was entitled to broadcast to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) during an almost 9-year period was the result of the capabilities and qualities of Pega's products or the result of the different capabilities of Appian's products or the result of particular customer's needs. You're asked to believe that even clients who are using both Pega and Appian, big, sophisticated clients who had their hands on both parties' software, that they made their buying decision based upon two-page marketing document and not on their own experience over a period of years with both companies' software. You're asked to believe that what Appian says were trade secrets that were used in Pega's marketing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) establish that the 6 of 21 statements weren't trade secrets at all. They were facts that Pega knew, facts that you can see in Pega's market coaching materials from before it saw, and trade secrets that Appian's own employees were unable to point out during discovery in this case. The Appian now says Appian now sorry the other thing I'd like to ask you to keep in consideration is that the marketing side of this case has said that somehow Pega discovered weaknesses in Appian's product that Appian was entitled to keep secret, was that Appian was entitled to broadcast to the world the good things about its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) during an almost 9-year period was the result of the capabilities and qualities of Pega's products or the result of the different capabilities of Appian's products or the result of particular customer's needs. You're asked to believe that even clients who are using both Pega and Appian, big, sophisticated clients who had their hands on both parties' software, that they made their buying decision based upon two-page marketing document and not on their own experience over a period of years with both companies' software. You're asked to believe that what Appian says were trade secrets that were used in Pega's marketing materials and sales efforts were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) establish that the 6 of 21 statements weren't trade secrets at all. They were facts that Pega knew, facts that you can see in Pega's market coaching materials from before it saw, and trade secrets that Appian's own employees were unable to point out during discovery in this case. The Appian now says Appian now sorry the other thing I'd like to ask you to keep in consideration is that the marketing side of this case has said that somehow Pega discovered weaknesses in Appian's product that Appian was entitled to keep secret, was that Appian was entitled to broadcast to the world the good things about its product, but to take the things that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) during an almost 9-year period was the result of the capabilities and qualities of Pega's products or the result of the different capabilities of Appian's products or the result of particular customer's needs. You're asked to believe that even clients who are using both Pega and Appian, big, sophisticated clients who had their hands on both parties' software, that they made their buying decision based upon two-page marketing document and not on their own experience over a period of years with both companies' software. You're asked to believe that what Appian says were trade secrets that were used in Pega's marketing materials and sales efforts were actually trade secrets as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) establish that the 6 of 21 statements weren't trade secrets at all. They were facts that Pega knew, facts that you can see in Pega's market coaching materials from before it saw, and trade secrets that Appian's own employees were unable to point out during discovery in this case. The Appian now says Appian now sorry the other thing I'd like to ask you to keep in consideration is that the marketing side of this case has said that somehow Pega discovered weaknesses in Appian's product that Appian was entitled to keep secret, was that Appian was entitled to broadcast to the world the good things about its product, but to take the things that were disadvantages or weaknesses or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) during an almost 9-year period was the result of the capabilities and qualities of Pega's products or the result of the different capabilities of Appian's products or the result of particular customer's needs. You're asked to believe that even clients who are using both Pega and Appian, big, sophisticated clients who had their hands on both parties' software, that they made their buying decision based upon two-page marketing document and not on their own experience over a period of years with both companies' software. You're asked to believe that what Appian says were trade secrets that were used in Pega's marketing materials and sales efforts were actually trade secrets as distinguished from something that Pega	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) establish that the 6 of 21 statements weren't trade secrets at all. They were facts that Pega knew, facts that you can see in Pega's market coaching materials from before it saw, and trade secrets that Appian's own employees were unable to point out during discovery in this case. The Appian now says Appian now sorry the other thing I'd like to ask you to keep in consideration is that the marketing side of this case has said that somehow Pega discovered weaknesses in Appian's product that Appian was entitled to keep secret, was that Appian was entitled to broadcast to the world the good things about its product, but to take the things that were disadvantages or weaknesses or absences of capabilities and treat
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) during an almost 9-year period was the result of the capabilities and qualities of Pega's products or the result of the different capabilities of Appian's products or the result of particular customer's needs. You're asked to believe that even clients who are using both Pega and Appian, big, sophisticated clients who had their hands on both parties' software, that they made their buying decision based upon two-page marketing document and not on their own experience over a period of years with both companies' software. You're asked to believe that what Appian says were trade secrets that were used in Pega's marketing materials and sales efforts were actually trade secrets as distinguished from something that Pega had in its marketing materials before	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) establish that the 6 of 21 statements weren't trade secrets at all. They were facts that Pega knew, facts that you can see in Pega's market coaching materials from before it saw, and trade secrets that Appian's own employees were unable to point out during discovery in this case. The Appian now says Appian now sorry the other thing I'd like to ask you to keep in consideration is that the marketing side of this case has said that somehow Pega discovered weaknesses in Appian's product that Appian was entitled to keep secret, was that Appian was entitled to broadcast to the world the good things about its product, but to take the things that were disadvantages or weaknesses or absences of capabilities and treat them as trade secrets, keep them from

	Page 8178		Page 8179
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	hide the deficiencies in their	2	instantly become it's product would
3	product.	3	have instantly become obsolete and,
4	And you're also asked to ignore	4	therefore, every single sale that Pega
5	the buying decisions of actual very	5	made to anyone, whether or not they
6	sophisticated customers. Amazon,	6	were in competition with Appian or
7	United States Census Bureau,	7	competing with somebody else for
8	U.S. Air Force, Bank of America, these	8	who had, gosh knows, what products
9	are some of the smartest buyers in the	9	they were selling, that every single
10	world.	10	sale made by Pega for the next
11	And you're asked to believe that	11	eight years was attributable to the
12	two pages of marketing materials	12	information that they received.
13	caused them to make million-dollar	13	According to Appian Appian
14	purchases or larger.	14	asked you to conclude that, although
15	Now, that's the first	15	Pega was, in Dr. Marshall's words, a
16	extraordinary overreach.	16	leading supplier of BPM software in
17	The second is an even more	17	2012 and although Pega's sales had
18	spectacular overreach, and it's an	18	been growing, as you've seen, on a
19	even less plausible claim.	19	steady, indeed, rapid basis for eight
20	Dr. Marshall testified and	20	or nine consecutive years up to then,
21	Mr. Malackowski relied on the	21	that Pega would have been unable to
22	remarkable statement that without the	22	sell anything to anybody substantially
23	information provided to Mr. Zou	23	and immediately and that the product
24	provided by Mr. Zou to Appian in 2012	24	features that they point to were the
25	and 2013, Pegasystems would have	25	only drivers of sales.
			_
1	Page 8180 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Page 8181 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	Customer relationships didn't	2	That shouldn't have happened.
3	matter, product features didn't	3	I'm not here to defend someone
4	matter, just the points that Appian	4	misrepresenting their identity and
5	says Pega got and declares are the	5	using that to get something that they
6	driving force, that's what would have	6	couldn't have otherwise have gotten.
7	taken that you can attribute every	7	That was wrong, and Mr. Trefler
8	single sale that Pega made for the	8	has acknowledged that it was wrong.
9	next eight years to information that	9	And all of the employees have been
10	was provided in 2012 and 2013, and	10	reprimanded. Technical measures have
11	I'll talk about that in great detail.	11	been taken to make sure it doesn't
12	But I want to start first with	12	happen again. But none of that is in
13	what I respectfully suggest are	13	dispute, and I'm not here to defend
14	intentional distractions in this case.	14	any of that.
15	No thanks, Josh.	15	But it's also not what this case
16	First, it's absolutely correct	16	is about. We're talking about a
17	that between 2017 and 2019, eight	17	period of time when Appian had made
18	employees of Pega Pega has 6,000	18	free trials broadly available to
19	employees eight employees of Pega	10 19	virtually anyone who asked, anyone
20	obtained copies of Appian's free trial	20	with a .edu address, whether they were
20	software by misrepresenting their	20 21	a student or not.
22	identities. And in the case of the	22	They made copies available to
22	Indian employees certain Indian	22	IBM. IBM and IBM employees who were
23	employees were getting copies from a	23 24	permitted to pass them around to other
24	cousin one of the employees.	24 25	IBM employees. IBM was at the time
1 4 7	constri one or one emproyees.	2.5	TEN CIENTOYCOS, TEN WAS AU UNE UTILE

	Page 8182		Page 8183
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	one of their principal competitors.	2	findings were from the free trials,
3	At the same time, Appian had	3	which should not have happened, was
4	made a conscious business decision to	4	readily available at docs.appian.com,
5	make its documentation available to	5	where Appian's documentation was
6	anyone who wanted to Google that	6	placed was located.
7	documentation.	7	Now, there are two three
8	And they did that, because as	8	salient facts here.
9	Dr. Marshall, their expert testified,	9	One, Dr. Cole, their trade
10	they concluded that it was in Appian's	10	secret expert, did not identify any
11	interest, that it was beneficial to	11	trade secret that was obtained by the
12	Appian to make that documentation	12	use of free trials. That's not
13	available.	13	contested.
14	And the decision was made to	14	And he didn't because he
15	give real free trials, make	15	couldn't, and he couldn't because a
16	documentation available, even though	16	very large he couldn't because free
17	competitors could see the	17	trials were being offered without,
18	documentation.	18	without material limitations, except
19	And Appian offered no evidence	19	to Pega employees. I'm not suggesting
20	of any information obtained in those	20	that that makes it right for the Pega
21	free trials that wasn't available	21	employees. It just the point is
22	right from the Appian website. And	22	that it's not a trade secret issue,
23	Dr. Easttom testified, and wasn't	23	it's a bad behavior issue.
24	challenged, that everything in the	24	Second, Mr. Malackowski, their
25	memorandum that summarized what the	25	damages expert, did not identify any
	Page 8184		Page 8185
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	damages arising from those from the	2	to make or the next distraction that I
3	access to the free trials.	3	want to point to is sort of impugning
4	And third, the proof in the	4	various of Pega's employees,
5	pudding is that with respect to the	5	including, particularly, Mr. Trefler.
6	Computer Crime the Virginia	6	In opening day of this trial and
7	Computer Crimes Act, Appian told you	7	for the next two following days,
8	today that they were not seeking	8	three days in a row, Mr. Mangi spent
9	anymore \$1 of damages. That's the	9	pages and pages of transcript
10	statute that applies to the behavior	10	cross-examining Mr. Trefler about
11	that's in question there. And the	11	Mr. Trefler's use of the name
12	reason that they are only claiming \$1	12	"ascii0."
13	in damages Mr. Mangi's statements	13	But what he didn't say this
14	not to the to the contrary	14	morning but which I'm going to say now
15	notwithstanding is they didn't and	15	is that, first, Mr. Trefler used that
16	couldn't prove any damages arising	16	as a way of getting access to
17	from that behavior.	17	materials that he could divert to a
18	So that and a large amount of	18	separate place so they didn't get in
19	what you heard this morning was	19	the way of his Pega e-mail, so he
20	focusing attention on that, the \$1	20	didn't get tons of e-mail.
21	claim, and not on questions like	21	What Mr. Mangi didn't say is
22	what's a trade secret, how is it	22	that anything that was secret,
23	protected, was it really a trade	23	anything that was not available to the
24	secret, and so on.	24	world was obtained by Mr. Trefler's
25	Now, the next point that I want	25	use of the ascii0 name, nothing that

1 2	Page 8186		Page 8187
2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
	was that wasn't publicly available.	2	the middle, second line, second row
3	What he got was an Appian blog	3	right in the center, John Petronio.
4	that you or I or anyone else could	4	John Petronio is now an Appian
5	have gotten at the same period of	5	employee, but in 2012 through 2014 he
6	time. And there's nothing, there is	6	was a Pega employee. He's the person
7	no evidence that Mr. Trefler ever	7	who Appian claims failed to determine
8	obtained anything that any member of	8	whether Mr. Zou was authorized to
9	the public couldn't obtain.	9	provide to Pega the information that
10	So a lot of character	10	Mr. Zou did provide to Pega.
11	assassination, not a lot of substance.	11	John Petronio is the person who,
12	Now I want to talk a little	12	by his own testimony, directed
13		13	
	bit so now I want to get closer to	14	Mr. Zou's activities, supposedly concealed Mr. Zou's activities from
14	the merits of the case and talk a		
15	little bit about the people that	15	Appian, used valuable trade secret
16	Appian called as their witnesses.	16	information that Mr. Zou provided
17	Let me just start with that.	17	that Mr. Zou provided, which
18	The first is John Petronio.	18	Mr. Petronio then wrote into sales
19	And I'm going to ask Josh to put	19	materials to train Pega sales people.
20	up the very last slide that we saw	20	John Petronio is the person who
21	from Appian. I hope he's going to put	21	created the spy image that you've been
22	it up.	22	shown, who called Mr. Zou "the other
23	John Petronio was there we	23	Matt," and who brought Mr. Baril into
24	go.	24	the Project Crush project to
25	You'll see him right there in	25	participate in what Appian accuses of
1	Page 8188	-	Page 8189
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant – Pega – Closing (Frank)
2	trade secret misappropriation.	2	that position, even though they have
3	John Petronio is the person who	3	sued Mr. Zou, the person that he
3 4	John Petronio is the person who was constantly pressing inside Pega	3 4	sued Mr. Zou, the person that he brought into this, and even though
3 4 5	John Petronio is the person who was constantly pressing inside Pega for more assets to do more work with	3 4 5	sued Mr. Zou, the person that he brought into this, and even though they have sued Pega for John
3 4 5 6	John Petronio is the person who was constantly pressing inside Pega for more assets to do more work with Mr. Zou.	3 4 5 6	sued Mr. Zou, the person that he brought into this, and even though they have sued Pega for John Petronio's activities.
3 4 5 6 7	John Petronio is the person who was constantly pressing inside Pega for more assets to do more work with Mr. Zou. Well, where is Mr. Petronio	3 4 5 6 7	sued Mr. Zou, the person that he brought into this, and even though they have sued Pega for John Petronio's activities. The second witness I want to
3 4 5 6 7 8	John Petronio is the person who was constantly pressing inside Pega for more assets to do more work with Mr. Zou. Well, where is Mr. Petronio today? He's employed by Appian, and	3 4 5 6 7 8	sued Mr. Zou, the person that he brought into this, and even though they have sued Pega for John Petronio's activities. The second witness I want to talk about is Malcolm Ross. Mr. Ross
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	John Petronio is the person who was constantly pressing inside Pega for more assets to do more work with Mr. Zou. Well, where is Mr. Petronio today? He's employed by Appian, and they have put him in charge of their	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sued Mr. Zou, the person that he brought into this, and even though they have sued Pega for John Petronio's activities. The second witness I want to talk about is Malcolm Ross. Mr. Ross is deputy chief technology officer at
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	John Petronio is the person who was constantly pressing inside Pega for more assets to do more work with Mr. Zou. Well, where is Mr. Petronio today? He's employed by Appian, and they have put him in charge of their competitive intelligence activity,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	sued Mr. Zou, the person that he brought into this, and even though they have sued Pega for John Petronio's activities. The second witness I want to talk about is Malcolm Ross. Mr. Ross is deputy chief technology officer at Appian, and he's been here at the
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	John Petronio is the person who was constantly pressing inside Pega for more assets to do more work with Mr. Zou. Well, where is Mr. Petronio today? He's employed by Appian, and they have put him in charge of their competitive intelligence activity, their competitive intelligence	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	sued Mr. Zou, the person that he brought into this, and even though they have sued Pega for John Petronio's activities. The second witness I want to talk about is Malcolm Ross. Mr. Ross is deputy chief technology officer at Appian, and he's been here at the trial as Appian's corporate
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	John Petronio is the person who was constantly pressing inside Pega for more assets to do more work with Mr. Zou. Well, where is Mr. Petronio today? He's employed by Appian, and they have put him in charge of their competitive intelligence activity, their competitive intelligence activity. When he allegedly told them	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	sued Mr. Zou, the person that he brought into this, and even though they have sued Pega for John Petronio's activities. The second witness I want to talk about is Malcolm Ross. Mr. Ross is deputy chief technology officer at Appian, and he's been here at the trial as Appian's corporate representative.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	John Petronio is the person who was constantly pressing inside Pega for more assets to do more work with Mr. Zou. Well, where is Mr. Petronio today? He's employed by Appian, and they have put him in charge of their competitive intelligence activity, their competitive intelligence activity. When he allegedly told them about these events, they kept him on	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	sued Mr. Zou, the person that he brought into this, and even though they have sued Pega for John Petronio's activities. The second witness I want to talk about is Malcolm Ross. Mr. Ross is deputy chief technology officer at Appian, and he's been here at the trial as Appian's corporate representative. He's also the person that Appian
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	John Petronio is the person who was constantly pressing inside Pega for more assets to do more work with Mr. Zou. Well, where is Mr. Petronio today? He's employed by Appian, and they have put him in charge of their competitive intelligence activity, their competitive intelligence activity. When he allegedly told them about these events, they kept him on as the head of their competitive	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>sued Mr. Zou, the person that he brought into this, and even though they have sued Pega for John Petronio's activities. The second witness I want to talk about is Malcolm Ross. Mr. Ross is deputy chief technology officer at Appian, and he's been here at the trial as Appian's corporate representative. He's also the person that Appian put up as its corporate representative</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	John Petronio is the person who was constantly pressing inside Pega for more assets to do more work with Mr. Zou. Well, where is Mr. Petronio today? He's employed by Appian, and they have put him in charge of their competitive intelligence activity, their competitive intelligence activity. When he allegedly told them about these events, they kept him on as the head of their competitive intelligence activities at Appian,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>sued Mr. Zou, the person that he brought into this, and even though they have sued Pega for John Petronio's activities. The second witness I want to talk about is Malcolm Ross. Mr. Ross is deputy chief technology officer at Appian, and he's been here at the trial as Appian's corporate representative. He's also the person that Appian put up as its corporate representative during pretrial discovery. His</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	John Petronio is the person who was constantly pressing inside Pega for more assets to do more work with Mr. Zou. Well, where is Mr. Petronio today? He's employed by Appian, and they have put him in charge of their competitive intelligence activity, their competitive intelligence activity. When he allegedly told them about these events, they kept him on as the head of their competitive intelligence activities at Appian, raised his salary, indemnified him	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	sued Mr. Zou, the person that he brought into this, and even though they have sued Pega for John Petronio's activities. The second witness I want to talk about is Malcolm Ross. Mr. Ross is deputy chief technology officer at Appian, and he's been here at the trial as Appian's corporate representative. He's also the person that Appian put up as its corporate representative during pretrial discovery. His Twitter handle is mrappian.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	John Petronio is the person who was constantly pressing inside Pega for more assets to do more work with Mr. Zou. Well, where is Mr. Petronio today? He's employed by Appian, and they have put him in charge of their competitive intelligence activity, their competitive intelligence activity. When he allegedly told them about these events, they kept him on as the head of their competitive intelligence activities at Appian, raised his salary, indemnified him against the suit by Pega.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>sued Mr. Zou, the person that he brought into this, and even though they have sued Pega for John Petronio's activities. The second witness I want to talk about is Malcolm Ross. Mr. Ross is deputy chief technology officer at Appian, and he's been here at the trial as Appian's corporate representative. He's also the person that Appian put up as its corporate representative during pretrial discovery. His Twitter handle is mrappian. Mr. Ross, testifying on behalf</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	John Petronio is the person who was constantly pressing inside Pega for more assets to do more work with Mr. Zou. Well, where is Mr. Petronio today? He's employed by Appian, and they have put him in charge of their competitive intelligence activity, their competitive intelligence activity. When he allegedly told them about these events, they kept him on as the head of their competitive intelligence activities at Appian, raised his salary, indemnified him against the suit by Pega. And this is the guy who,	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>sued Mr. Zou, the person that he brought into this, and even though they have sued Pega for John Petronio's activities. The second witness I want to talk about is Malcolm Ross. Mr. Ross is deputy chief technology officer at Appian, and he's been here at the trial as Appian's corporate representative. He's also the person that Appian put up as its corporate representative during pretrial discovery. His Twitter handle is mrappian. Mr. Ross, testifying on behalf of Appian, denied that that which is</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	John Petronio is the person who was constantly pressing inside Pega for more assets to do more work with Mr. Zou. Well, where is Mr. Petronio today? He's employed by Appian, and they have put him in charge of their competitive intelligence activity, their competitive intelligence activity. When he allegedly told them about these events, they kept him on as the head of their competitive intelligence activities at Appian, raised his salary, indemnified him against the suit by Pega. And this is the guy who, according to Appian, caused Appian to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>sued Mr. Zou, the person that he brought into this, and even though they have sued Pega for John Petronio's activities. The second witness I want to talk about is Malcolm Ross. Mr. Ross is deputy chief technology officer at Appian, and he's been here at the trial as Appian's corporate representative. He's also the person that Appian put up as its corporate representative during pretrial discovery. His Twitter handle is mrappian. Mr. Ross, testifying on behalf of Appian, denied that that which is today described as Appian trade</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	John Petronio is the person who was constantly pressing inside Pega for more assets to do more work with Mr. Zou. Well, where is Mr. Petronio today? He's employed by Appian, and they have put him in charge of their competitive intelligence activity, their competitive intelligence activity. When he allegedly told them about these events, they kept him on as the head of their competitive intelligence activities at Appian, raised his salary, indemnified him against the suit by Pega. And this is the guy who, according to Appian, caused Appian to lose the business of 201 customers	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>sued Mr. Zou, the person that he brought into this, and even though they have sued Pega for John Petronio's activities. The second witness I want to talk about is Malcolm Ross. Mr. Ross is deputy chief technology officer at Appian, and he's been here at the trial as Appian's corporate representative. He's also the person that Appian put up as its corporate representative during pretrial discovery. His Twitter handle is mrappian. Mr. Ross, testifying on behalf of Appian, denied that that which is</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	John Petronio is the person who was constantly pressing inside Pega for more assets to do more work with Mr. Zou. Well, where is Mr. Petronio today? He's employed by Appian, and they have put him in charge of their competitive intelligence activity, their competitive intelligence activity. When he allegedly told them about these events, they kept him on as the head of their competitive intelligence activities at Appian, raised his salary, indemnified him against the suit by Pega. And this is the guy who, according to Appian, caused Appian to lose the business of 201 customers over an 8-year period, worth enormous	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>sued Mr. Zou, the person that he brought into this, and even though they have sued Pega for John Petronio's activities. The second witness I want to talk about is Malcolm Ross. Mr. Ross is deputy chief technology officer at Appian, and he's been here at the trial as Appian's corporate representative. He's also the person that Appian put up as its corporate representative during pretrial discovery. His Twitter handle is mrappian. Mr. Ross, testifying on behalf of Appian, denied that that which is today described as Appian trade secrets denied that they were trade secrets.</pre>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	John Petronio is the person who was constantly pressing inside Pega for more assets to do more work with Mr. Zou. Well, where is Mr. Petronio today? He's employed by Appian, and they have put him in charge of their competitive intelligence activity, their competitive intelligence activity. When he allegedly told them about these events, they kept him on as the head of their competitive intelligence activities at Appian, raised his salary, indemnified him against the suit by Pega. And this is the guy who, according to Appian, caused Appian to lose the business of 201 customers over an 8-year period, worth enormous amounts of money, and they have him	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	 sued Mr. Zou, the person that he brought into this, and even though they have sued Pega for John Petronio's activities. The second witness I want to talk about is Malcolm Ross. Mr. Ross is deputy chief technology officer at Appian, and he's been here at the trial as Appian's corporate representative. He's also the person that Appian put up as its corporate representative during pretrial discovery. His Twitter handle is mrappian. Mr. Ross, testifying on behalf of Appian, denied that that which is today described as Appian trade secrets. Mr. Ross came to this trial a
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	John Petronio is the person who was constantly pressing inside Pega for more assets to do more work with Mr. Zou. Well, where is Mr. Petronio today? He's employed by Appian, and they have put him in charge of their competitive intelligence activity, their competitive intelligence activity. When he allegedly told them about these events, they kept him on as the head of their competitive intelligence activities at Appian, raised his salary, indemnified him against the suit by Pega. And this is the guy who, according to Appian, caused Appian to lose the business of 201 customers over an 8-year period, worth enormous amounts of money, and they have him today running their competitive	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	 sued Mr. Zou, the person that he brought into this, and even though they have sued Pega for John Petronio's activities. The second witness I want to talk about is Malcolm Ross. Mr. Ross is deputy chief technology officer at Appian, and he's been here at the trial as Appian's corporate representative. He's also the person that Appian put up as its corporate representative during pretrial discovery. His Twitter handle is mrappian. Mr. Ross, testifying on behalf of Appian, denied that that which is today described as Appian trade secrets. Mr. Ross came to this trial a 17-year Appian veteran, and Appian
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	John Petronio is the person who was constantly pressing inside Pega for more assets to do more work with Mr. Zou. Well, where is Mr. Petronio today? He's employed by Appian, and they have put him in charge of their competitive intelligence activity, their competitive intelligence activity. When he allegedly told them about these events, they kept him on as the head of their competitive intelligence activities at Appian, raised his salary, indemnified him against the suit by Pega. And this is the guy who, according to Appian, caused Appian to lose the business of 201 customers over an 8-year period, worth enormous amounts of money, and they have him	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>sued Mr. Zou, the person that he brought into this, and even though they have sued Pega for John Petronio's activities. The second witness I want to talk about is Malcolm Ross. Mr. Ross is deputy chief technology officer at Appian, and he's been here at the trial as Appian's corporate representative. He's also the person that Appian put up as its corporate representative during pretrial discovery. His Twitter handle is mrappian. Mr. Ross, testifying on behalf of Appian, denied that that which is today described as Appian trade secrets denied that they were trade secrets. Mr. Ross came to this trial a</pre>

	Page 8190		Page 8191
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	deposition, what were Appian trade	2	Pega over an eight-year period
3	secrets.	3	claiming \$479 million of unjust
4	This is a case that had been	4	enrichment to Pega. And he said he
5	pending for 16 months at the time,	5	was asked to identify the trade
6	involving documents that had been in	6	secrets that were misappropriated and
7	Appian's possession for months before	7	responded that he didn't know.
8	the deposition. And he testified here	8	Do you believe that Appian
9	at trial that at the time of his	9	concealed or treated as secret any
10	deposition, he didn't know what	10	observable feature or functionality of
11	Appian's trade secrets were. And it's	11	Appian's Tempo product?
12	for you to judge the credibility of	12	Answer: I can't tell you for
13	that statement.	13	sure what Appian considered to be a
14	We also showed a clip, a short	14	secret and protected as such with
15	clip, of Matt Calkins' deposition.	15	regards to the Tempo product.
16	Matt Calkins is the founder and chief	16	Can you tell me anything that
17	executive officer at Appian. At his	17	Appian regarded as secret with regard
18	deposition, in January of this year,	18	to the observable features or
19	that is two months before this trial,	19	functionality of Tempo observable by
20	I asked Mr. Calkins to tell us what	20	users of Tempo?
21	were Appian's trade secrets. And he	21	The crux of that question is in
22	testified under oath that he did not	22	the word "observable," I believe,
23	know what they were.	23	because if you ask that question
24	His testimony, his company is	24	without the word "observable," I could
25	claiming that it lost 201 customers to	25	have just said no. I'm not involved
	Page 8192		Dage 8193
1	Page 8192 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Page 8193 Defendant – Pega – Closing (Frank)
1 2		1 2	5
	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)		Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) in what we decide is a secret and what	2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) secret in Tempo and what we thought
2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) in what we decide is a secret and what we don't. We have engineers. We have	2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) secret in Tempo and what we thought was not a secret.
2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) in what we decide is a secret and what we don't. We have engineers. We have marketers to decide things like that,	2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) secret in Tempo and what we thought was not a secret. Two months prior to the trial,
2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) in what we decide is a secret and what we don't. We have engineers. We have marketers to decide things like that, so I'm not involved.	2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) secret in Tempo and what we thought was not a secret. Two months prior to the trial, an allegation of \$479 million of
2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) in what we decide is a secret and what we don't. We have engineers. We have marketers to decide things like that, so I'm not involved. But I want to come back to you	2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) secret in Tempo and what we thought was not a secret. Two months prior to the trial, an allegation of \$479 million of unjust enrichment and the CEO of
2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) in what we decide is a secret and what we don't. We have engineers. We have marketers to decide things like that, so I'm not involved. But I want to come back to you and say, what do you mean observable?	2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) secret in Tempo and what we thought was not a secret. Two months prior to the trial, an allegation of \$479 million of unjust enrichment and the CEO of Appian didn't know what the trade
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) in what we decide is a secret and what we don't. We have engineers. We have marketers to decide things like that, so I'm not involved. But I want to come back to you and say, what do you mean observable? Like, does that mean does that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) secret in Tempo and what we thought was not a secret. Two months prior to the trial, an allegation of \$479 million of unjust enrichment and the CEO of Appian didn't know what the trade secrets were, just as Mr. Ross said at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) in what we decide is a secret and what we don't. We have engineers. We have marketers to decide things like that, so I'm not involved. But I want to come back to you and say, what do you mean observable? Like, does that mean does that effectively mean not a secret? Is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) secret in Tempo and what we thought was not a secret. Two months prior to the trial, an allegation of \$479 million of unjust enrichment and the CEO of Appian didn't know what the trade secrets were, just as Mr. Ross said at his deposition that he didn't know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) in what we decide is a secret and what we don't. We have engineers. We have marketers to decide things like that, so I'm not involved. But I want to come back to you and say, what do you mean observable? Like, does that mean does that effectively mean not a secret? Is that what you're trying to say, right?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) secret in Tempo and what we thought was not a secret. Two months prior to the trial, an allegation of \$479 million of unjust enrichment and the CEO of Appian didn't know what the trade secrets were, just as Mr. Ross said at his deposition that he didn't know what the trade secrets were six months
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) in what we decide is a secret and what we don't. We have engineers. We have marketers to decide things like that, so I'm not involved. But I want to come back to you and say, what do you mean observable? Like, does that mean does that effectively mean not a secret? Is that what you're trying to say, right? But what do you mean observable?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) secret in Tempo and what we thought was not a secret. Two months prior to the trial, an allegation of \$479 million of unjust enrichment and the CEO of Appian didn't know what the trade secrets were, just as Mr. Ross said at his deposition that he didn't know what the trade secrets were six months ago.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) in what we decide is a secret and what we don't. We have engineers. We have marketers to decide things like that, so I'm not involved. But I want to come back to you and say, what do you mean observable? Like, does that mean does that effectively mean not a secret? Is that what you're trying to say, right? But what do you mean observable? Question: I mean by observable,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) secret in Tempo and what we thought was not a secret. Two months prior to the trial, an allegation of \$479 million of unjust enrichment and the CEO of Appian didn't know what the trade secrets were, just as Mr. Ross said at his deposition that he didn't know what the trade secrets were six months ago. That's what we're dealing with
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) in what we decide is a secret and what we don't. We have engineers. We have marketers to decide things like that, so I'm not involved. But I want to come back to you and say, what do you mean observable? Like, does that mean does that effectively mean not a secret? Is that what you're trying to say, right? But what do you mean observable? Question: I mean by observable, any capability that a developer of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) secret in Tempo and what we thought was not a secret. Two months prior to the trial, an allegation of \$479 million of unjust enrichment and the CEO of Appian didn't know what the trade secrets were, just as Mr. Ross said at his deposition that he didn't know what the trade secrets were six months ago. That's what we're dealing with here.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) in what we decide is a secret and what we don't. We have engineers. We have marketers to decide things like that, so I'm not involved. But I want to come back to you and say, what do you mean observable? Like, does that mean does that effectively mean not a secret? Is that what you're trying to say, right? But what do you mean observable? Question: I mean by observable, any capability that a developer of Appian apps could ascertain by using	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) secret in Tempo and what we thought was not a secret. Two months prior to the trial, an allegation of \$479 million of unjust enrichment and the CEO of Appian didn't know what the trade secrets were, just as Mr. Ross said at his deposition that he didn't know what the trade secrets were six months ago. That's what we're dealing with here. Now, I want to talk about what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) in what we decide is a secret and what we don't. We have engineers. We have marketers to decide things like that, so I'm not involved. But I want to come back to you and say, what do you mean observable? Like, does that mean does that effectively mean not a secret? Is that what you're trying to say, right? But what do you mean observable? Question: I mean by observable, any capability that a developer of Appian apps could ascertain by using the product. I'm asking whether	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) secret in Tempo and what we thought was not a secret. Two months prior to the trial, an allegation of \$479 million of unjust enrichment and the CEO of Appian didn't know what the trade secrets were, just as Mr. Ross said at his deposition that he didn't know what the trade secrets were six months ago. That's what we're dealing with here. Now, I want to talk about what those trade secrets are claimed to be
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) in what we decide is a secret and what we don't. We have engineers. We have marketers to decide things like that, so I'm not involved. But I want to come back to you and say, what do you mean observable? Like, does that mean does that effectively mean not a secret? Is that what you're trying to say, right? But what do you mean observable? Question: I mean by observable, any capability that a developer of Appian apps could ascertain by using the product. I'm asking whether Appian considered any of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) secret in Tempo and what we thought was not a secret. Two months prior to the trial, an allegation of \$479 million of unjust enrichment and the CEO of Appian didn't know what the trade secrets were, just as Mr. Ross said at his deposition that he didn't know what the trade secrets were six months ago. That's what we're dealing with here. Now, I want to talk about what those trade secrets are claimed to be and I want to talk about whether they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) in what we decide is a secret and what we don't. We have engineers. We have marketers to decide things like that, so I'm not involved. But I want to come back to you and say, what do you mean observable? Like, does that mean does that effectively mean not a secret? Is that what you're trying to say, right? But what do you mean observable? Question: I mean by observable, any capability that a developer of Appian apps could ascertain by using the product. I'm asking whether Appian considered any of the observable features and functionality	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) secret in Tempo and what we thought was not a secret. Two months prior to the trial, an allegation of \$479 million of unjust enrichment and the CEO of Appian didn't know what the trade secrets were, just as Mr. Ross said at his deposition that he didn't know what the trade secrets were six months ago. That's what we're dealing with here. Now, I want to talk about what those trade secrets are claimed to be and I want to talk about whether they were secrets or not secrets.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) in what we decide is a secret and what we don't. We have engineers. We have marketers to decide things like that, so I'm not involved. But I want to come back to you and say, what do you mean observable? Like, does that mean does that effectively mean not a secret? Is that what you're trying to say, right? But what do you mean observable? Question: I mean by observable, any capability that a developer of Appian apps could ascertain by using the product. I'm asking whether Appian considered any of the observable features and functionality of Appian Tempo to be a trade secret	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) secret in Tempo and what we thought was not a secret. Two months prior to the trial, an allegation of \$479 million of unjust enrichment and the CEO of Appian didn't know what the trade secrets were, just as Mr. Ross said at his deposition that he didn't know what the trade secrets were six months ago. That's what we're dealing with here. Now, I want to talk about what those trade secrets are claimed to be and I want to talk about whether they were secrets or not secrets. Judge Gardiner has explained to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) in what we decide is a secret and what we don't. We have engineers. We have marketers to decide things like that, so I'm not involved. But I want to come back to you and say, what do you mean observable? Like, does that mean does that effectively mean not a secret? Is that what you're trying to say, right? But what do you mean observable? Question: I mean by observable, any capability that a developer of Appian apps could ascertain by using the product. I'm asking whether Appian considered any of the observable features and functionality of Appian Tempo to be a trade secret in 2011 and 2012.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) secret in Tempo and what we thought was not a secret. Two months prior to the trial, an allegation of \$479 million of unjust enrichment and the CEO of Appian didn't know what the trade secrets were, just as Mr. Ross said at his deposition that he didn't know what the trade secrets were six months ago. That's what we're dealing with here. Now, I want to talk about what those trade secrets are claimed to be and I want to talk about whether they were secrets or not secrets. Judge Gardiner has explained to you that in order for something to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) in what we decide is a secret and what we don't. We have engineers. We have marketers to decide things like that, so I'm not involved. But I want to come back to you and say, what do you mean observable? Like, does that mean does that effectively mean not a secret? Is that what you're trying to say, right? But what do you mean observable? Question: I mean by observable, any capability that a developer of Appian apps could ascertain by using the product. I'm asking whether Appian considered any of the observable features and functionality of Appian Tempo to be a trade secret in 2011 and 2012. Answer: I'm just going to fall	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) secret in Tempo and what we thought was not a secret. Two months prior to the trial, an allegation of \$479 million of unjust enrichment and the CEO of Appian didn't know what the trade secrets were, just as Mr. Ross said at his deposition that he didn't know what the trade secrets were six months ago. That's what we're dealing with here. Now, I want to talk about what those trade secrets are claimed to be and I want to talk about whether they were secrets or not secrets. Judge Gardiner has explained to you that in order for something to qualify as a trade secret, it must
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) in what we decide is a secret and what we don't. We have engineers. We have marketers to decide things like that, so I'm not involved. But I want to come back to you and say, what do you mean observable? Like, does that mean does that effectively mean not a secret? Is that what you're trying to say, right? But what do you mean observable? Question: I mean by observable, any capability that a developer of Appian apps could ascertain by using the product. I'm asking whether Appian considered any of the observable features and functionality of Appian Tempo to be a trade secret in 2011 and 2012. Answer: I'm just going to fall back on the core of secret. And since	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) secret in Tempo and what we thought was not a secret. Two months prior to the trial, an allegation of \$479 million of unjust enrichment and the CEO of Appian didn't know what the trade secrets were, just as Mr. Ross said at his deposition that he didn't know what the trade secrets were six months ago. That's what we're dealing with here. Now, I want to talk about what those trade secrets are claimed to be and I want to talk about whether they were secrets or not secrets. Judge Gardiner has explained to you that in order for something to qualify as a trade secret, it must derive independent economic value by
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) in what we decide is a secret and what we don't. We have engineers. We have marketers to decide things like that, so I'm not involved. But I want to come back to you and say, what do you mean observable? Like, does that mean does that effectively mean not a secret? Is that what you're trying to say, right? But what do you mean observable? Question: I mean by observable, any capability that a developer of Appian apps could ascertain by using the product. I'm asking whether Appian considered any of the observable features and functionality of Appian Tempo to be a trade secret in 2011 and 2012. Answer: I'm just going to fall back on the core of secret. And since I don't know, I'm not going to get	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) secret in Tempo and what we thought was not a secret. Two months prior to the trial, an allegation of \$479 million of unjust enrichment and the CEO of Appian didn't know what the trade secrets were, just as Mr. Ross said at his deposition that he didn't know what the trade secrets were six months ago. That's what we're dealing with here. Now, I want to talk about what those trade secrets are claimed to be and I want to talk about whether they were secrets or not secrets. Judge Gardiner has explained to you that in order for something to qualify as a trade secret, it must derive independent economic value by not being generally known to and not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) in what we decide is a secret and what we don't. We have engineers. We have marketers to decide things like that, so I'm not involved. But I want to come back to you and say, what do you mean observable? Like, does that mean does that effectively mean not a secret? Is that what you're trying to say, right? But what do you mean observable? Question: I mean by observable, any capability that a developer of Appian apps could ascertain by using the product. I'm asking whether Appian considered any of the observable features and functionality of Appian Tempo to be a trade secret in 2011 and 2012. Answer: I'm just going to fall back on the core of secret. And since I don't know, I'm not going to get tangled up in what's observable or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) secret in Tempo and what we thought was not a secret. Two months prior to the trial, an allegation of \$479 million of unjust enrichment and the CEO of Appian didn't know what the trade secrets were, just as Mr. Ross said at his deposition that he didn't know what the trade secrets were six months ago. That's what we're dealing with here. Now, I want to talk about what those trade secrets are claimed to be and I want to talk about whether they were secrets or not secrets. Judge Gardiner has explained to you that in order for something to qualify as a trade secret, it must derive independent economic value by not being generally known to and not being readily ascertainable by proper

	D	1	Deve 0105
1	Page 8194 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Page 8195 Defendant – Pega – Closing (Frank)
2	use.	2	the circumstances to protect the
3	So there are two parts to that:	3	information in Appian Forum.
4	One, it has to have independent	4	And that's relevant because
5	economic value from not being	5	that's where Mr. Zou got copies of
6	generally known to or being readily	6	Appian's software. That's where he
7	ascertainable by proper means by other	7	accessed Appian's documentation.
8	persons who can obtain economic value	8	Now, let me start by saying that
9	from this disclosure and, two, it must	9	Mr. Travell is going to argue this at
10	be the subject of efforts that are	10	further length, but I want to touch on
11	reasonable under the circumstances to	11	a couple of things.
12	maintain its secrecy. Those are the	12	Mr. Zou learned a lot about
13	tests.	13	Appian software when he was an
14	Now, the test is not if you keep	14	employee at Lockheed Martin. Not at
15	it secret from one competitor. The	15	issue here. Then he went to work for
16	test is far broader than that.	16	company called CollabraLink and he
17	The first question for you to	17	worked for CollabraLink for a period
18	address with respect to Appian's claim	18	of time, but he worked for that he
19	that Pega used Appian's trade secret	19	was consulting for Pega. He didn't
20	is whether the information contained	20	join Serco until September or October
21	in Appian Forum from the 2012 to 2014	21	of 2012, but he started collaborating
22	period, whether that was actually	22	with Pega or consulting with Pega in
23	was an actual trade secret and, in	23	February of 2012.
24	particular, whether it was the subject	24	And there's no evidence that
25	of efforts which were reasonable under	25	Mr. Zou had any obligation either to
			Mr. Zou maa any obrigation crener to
1	Page 8196 Defendant - Deca - Closing (Frank)	1	Page 8197
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Lockheed or CollabraLink not to share	2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) says: Terms of Use.
2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Lockheed or CollabraLink not to share his knowledge about Appian software	2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) says: Terms of Use. Mr. Ross testified that if you
2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Lockheed or CollabraLink not to share his knowledge about Appian software with anybody.	2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) says: Terms of Use. Mr. Ross testified that if you clicked on those Terms of Use, that
2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Lockheed or CollabraLink not to share his knowledge about Appian software with anybody. Now, let's get to the Appian	2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) says: Terms of Use. Mr. Ross testified that if you clicked on those Terms of Use, that you did not get the Terms of Use for
2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Lockheed or CollabraLink not to share his knowledge about Appian software with anybody. Now, let's get to the Appian Forum which is where the supposed	2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) says: Terms of Use. Mr. Ross testified that if you clicked on those Terms of Use, that you did not get the Terms of Use for Appian Forum. Mr. Ross' testimony was
2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Lockheed or CollabraLink not to share his knowledge about Appian software with anybody. Now, let's get to the Appian Forum which is where the supposed secrets were kept.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) says: Terms of Use. Mr. Ross testified that if you clicked on those Terms of Use, that you did not get the Terms of Use for Appian Forum. Mr. Ross' testimony was that Mr. Ross' testimony is as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Lockheed or CollabraLink not to share his knowledge about Appian software with anybody. Now, let's get to the Appian Forum which is where the supposed secrets were kept. Josh, can we put up Plaintiff's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) says: Terms of Use. Mr. Ross testified that if you clicked on those Terms of Use, that you did not get the Terms of Use for Appian Forum. Mr. Ross' testimony was that Mr. Ross' testimony is as follows:
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Lockheed or CollabraLink not to share his knowledge about Appian software with anybody. Now, let's get to the Appian Forum which is where the supposed secrets were kept. Josh, can we put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 274?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) says: Terms of Use. Mr. Ross testified that if you clicked on those Terms of Use, that you did not get the Terms of Use for Appian Forum. Mr. Ross' testimony was that Mr. Ross' testimony is as follows: Question: So if you click on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Lockheed or CollabraLink not to share his knowledge about Appian software with anybody. Now, let's get to the Appian Forum which is where the supposed secrets were kept. Josh, can we put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 274? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 274,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) says: Terms of Use. Mr. Ross testified that if you clicked on those Terms of Use, that you did not get the Terms of Use for Appian Forum. Mr. Ross' testimony was that Mr. Ross' testimony is as follows: Question: So if you click on Terms of Use
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Lockheed or CollabraLink not to share his knowledge about Appian software with anybody. Now, let's get to the Appian Forum which is where the supposed secrets were kept. Josh, can we put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 274? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 274, Appian Forum form, was identified.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) says: Terms of Use. Mr. Ross testified that if you clicked on those Terms of Use, that you did not get the Terms of Use for Appian Forum. Mr. Ross' testimony was that Mr. Ross' testimony is as follows: Question: So if you click on Terms of Use Answer: Correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Lockheed or CollabraLink not to share his knowledge about Appian software with anybody. Now, let's get to the Appian Forum which is where the supposed secrets were kept. Josh, can we put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 274? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 274, Appian Forum form, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is, this is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) says: Terms of Use. Mr. Ross testified that if you clicked on those Terms of Use, that you did not get the Terms of Use for Appian Forum. Mr. Ross' testimony was that Mr. Ross' testimony is as follows: Question: So if you click on Terms of Use Answer: Correct. Question: what do you get?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Lockheed or CollabraLink not to share his knowledge about Appian software with anybody. Now, let's get to the Appian Forum which is where the supposed secrets were kept. Josh, can we put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 274? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 274, Appian Forum form, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is, this is the form that Appian was using for people	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) says: Terms of Use. Mr. Ross testified that if you clicked on those Terms of Use, that you did not get the Terms of Use for Appian Forum. Mr. Ross' testimony was that Mr. Ross' testimony is as follows: Question: So if you click on Terms of Use Answer: Correct. Question: what do you get? Answer: Terms of Use for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Lockheed or CollabraLink not to share his knowledge about Appian software with anybody. Now, let's get to the Appian Forum which is where the supposed secrets were kept. Josh, can we put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 274? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 274, Appian Forum form, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is, this is the form that Appian was using for people to register in the first instance for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) says: Terms of Use. Mr. Ross testified that if you clicked on those Terms of Use, that you did not get the Terms of Use for Appian Forum. Mr. Ross' testimony was that Mr. Ross' testimony is as follows: Question: So if you click on Terms of Use Answer: Correct. Question: what do you get? Answer: Terms of Use for Appian.com website, not Forum.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Lockheed or CollabraLink not to share his knowledge about Appian software with anybody. Now, let's get to the Appian Forum which is where the supposed secrets were kept. Josh, can we put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 274? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 274, Appian Forum form, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is, this is the form that Appian was using for people to register in the first instance for the opportunity to use Appian Forum.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) says: Terms of Use. Mr. Ross testified that if you clicked on those Terms of Use, that you did not get the Terms of Use for Appian Forum. Mr. Ross' testimony was that Mr. Ross' testimony is as follows: Question: So if you click on Terms of Use Answer: Correct. Question: what do you get? Answer: Terms of Use for Appian.com website, not Forum. Question: Which are different
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Lockheed or CollabraLink not to share his knowledge about Appian software with anybody. Now, let's get to the Appian Forum which is where the supposed secrets were kept. Josh, can we put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 274? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 274, Appian Forum form, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is, this is the form that Appian was using for people to register in the first instance for the opportunity to use Appian Forum. And as you can see, what it it is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) says: Terms of Use. Mr. Ross testified that if you clicked on those Terms of Use, that you did not get the Terms of Use for Appian Forum. Mr. Ross' testimony was that Mr. Ross' testimony is as follows: Question: So if you click on Terms of Use Answer: Correct. Question: what do you get? Answer: Terms of Use for Appian.com website, not Forum. Question: Which are different from the Terms of Use for Appian
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Lockheed or CollabraLink not to share his knowledge about Appian software with anybody. Now, let's get to the Appian Forum which is where the supposed secrets were kept. Josh, can we put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 274? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 274, Appian Forum form, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is, this is the form that Appian was using for people to register in the first instance for the opportunity to use Appian Forum. And as you can see, what it it is the form and certain information has	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) says: Terms of Use. Mr. Ross testified that if you clicked on those Terms of Use, that you did not get the Terms of Use for Appian Forum. Mr. Ross' testimony was that Mr. Ross' testimony is as follows: Question: So if you click on Terms of Use Answer: Correct. Question: what do you get? Answer: Terms of Use for Appian.com website, not Forum. Question: Which are different from the Terms of Use for Appian Forum; is that right?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Lockheed or CollabraLink not to share his knowledge about Appian software with anybody. Now, let's get to the Appian Forum which is where the supposed secrets were kept. Josh, can we put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 274? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 274, Appian Forum form, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is, this is the form that Appian was using for people to register in the first instance for the opportunity to use Appian Forum. And as you can see, what it it is the form and certain information has to be filled in. Over on the right,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) says: Terms of Use. Mr. Ross testified that if you clicked on those Terms of Use, that you did not get the Terms of Use for Appian Forum. Mr. Ross' testimony was that Mr. Ross' testimony is as follows: Question: So if you click on Terms of Use Answer: Correct. Question: what do you get? Answer: Terms of Use for Appian.com website, not Forum. Question: Which are different from the Terms of Use for Appian Forum; is that right? Answer: Correct. Appian Forum
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Lockheed or CollabraLink not to share his knowledge about Appian software with anybody. Now, let's get to the Appian Forum which is where the supposed secrets were kept. Josh, can we put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 274? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 274, Appian Forum form, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is, this is the form that Appian was using for people to register in the first instance for the opportunity to use Appian Forum. And as you can see, what it it is the form and certain information has to be filled in. Over on the right, you're encouraged to you're told	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) says: Terms of Use. Mr. Ross testified that if you clicked on those Terms of Use, that you did not get the Terms of Use for Appian Forum. Mr. Ross' testimony was that Mr. Ross' testimony is as follows: Question: So if you click on Terms of Use Answer: Correct. Question: what do you get? Answer: Terms of Use for Appian.com website, not Forum. Question: Which are different from the Terms of Use for Appian Forum; is that right? Answer: Correct. Appian Forum Terms of Use were presented on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Lockheed or CollabraLink not to share his knowledge about Appian software with anybody. Now, let's get to the Appian Forum which is where the supposed secrets were kept. Josh, can we put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 274? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 274, Appian Forum form, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is, this is the form that Appian was using for people to register in the first instance for the opportunity to use Appian Forum. And as you can see, what it it is the form and certain information has to be filled in. Over on the right, you're encouraged to you're told about the good things about Appian	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) says: Terms of Use. Mr. Ross testified that if you clicked on those Terms of Use, that you did not get the Terms of Use for Appian Forum. Mr. Ross' testimony was that Mr. Ross' testimony is as follows: Question: So if you click on Terms of Use Answer: Correct. Question: what do you get? Answer: Terms of Use for Appian.com website, not Forum. Question: Which are different from the Terms of Use for Appian Forum; is that right? Answer: Correct. Appian Forum Terms of Use were presented on the log-on to the Forum environment, not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Lockheed or CollabraLink not to share his knowledge about Appian software with anybody. Now, let's get to the Appian Forum which is where the supposed secrets were kept. Josh, can we put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 274? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 274, Appian Forum form, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is, this is the form that Appian was using for people to register in the first instance for the opportunity to use Appian Forum. And as you can see, what it it is the form and certain information has to be filled in. Over on the right, you're encouraged to you're told about the good things about Appian Forum. And down at the very bottom,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) says: Terms of Use. Mr. Ross testified that if you clicked on those Terms of Use, that you did not get the Terms of Use for Appian Forum. Mr. Ross' testimony was that Mr. Ross' testimony is as follows: Question: So if you click on Terms of Use Answer: Correct. Question: what do you get? Answer: Terms of Use for Appian.com website, not Forum. Question: Which are different from the Terms of Use for Appian Forum; is that right? Answer: Correct. Appian Forum Terms of Use were presented on the log-on to the Forum environment, not the registration.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Lockheed or CollabraLink not to share his knowledge about Appian software with anybody. Now, let's get to the Appian Forum which is where the supposed secrets were kept. Josh, can we put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 274? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 274, Appian Forum form, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is, this is the form that Appian was using for people to register in the first instance for the opportunity to use Appian Forum. And as you can see, what it it is the form and certain information has to be filled in. Over on the right, you're encouraged to you're told about the good things about Appian Forum. And down at the very bottom, there are six things listed across the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) says: Terms of Use. Mr. Ross testified that if you clicked on those Terms of Use, that you did not get the Terms of Use for Appian Forum. Mr. Ross' testimony was that Mr. Ross' testimony is as follows: Question: So if you click on Terms of Use Answer: Correct. Question: what do you get? Answer: Terms of Use for Appian.com website, not Forum. Question: Which are different from the Terms of Use for Appian Forum; is that right? Answer: Correct. Appian Forum Terms of Use were presented on the log-on to the Forum environment, not the registration. Okay. So let's look at the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Lockheed or CollabraLink not to share his knowledge about Appian software with anybody. Now, let's get to the Appian Forum which is where the supposed secrets were kept. Josh, can we put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 274? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 274, Appian Forum form, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is, this is the form that Appian was using for people to register in the first instance for the opportunity to use Appian Forum. And as you can see, what it it is the form and certain information has to be filled in. Over on the right, you're encouraged to you're told about the good things about Appian Forum. And down at the very bottom, there are six things listed across the bottom.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) says: Terms of Use. Mr. Ross testified that if you clicked on those Terms of Use, that you did not get the Terms of Use for Appian Forum. Mr. Ross' testimony was that Mr. Ross' testimony is as follows: Question: So if you click on Terms of Use Answer: Correct. Question: what do you get? Answer: Terms of Use for Appian.com website, not Forum. Question: Which are different from the Terms of Use for Appian Forum; is that right? Answer: Correct. Appian Forum Terms of Use were presented on the log-on to the Forum environment, not the registration. Okay. So let's look at the let's look at the what you got when
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Lockheed or CollabraLink not to share his knowledge about Appian software with anybody. Now, let's get to the Appian Forum which is where the supposed secrets were kept. Josh, can we put up Plaintiff's Exhibit 274? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 274, Appian Forum form, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is, this is the form that Appian was using for people to register in the first instance for the opportunity to use Appian Forum. And as you can see, what it it is the form and certain information has to be filled in. Over on the right, you're encouraged to you're told about the good things about Appian Forum. And down at the very bottom, there are six things listed across the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) says: Terms of Use. Mr. Ross testified that if you clicked on those Terms of Use, that you did not get the Terms of Use for Appian Forum. Mr. Ross' testimony was that Mr. Ross' testimony is as follows: Question: So if you click on Terms of Use Answer: Correct. Question: what do you get? Answer: Terms of Use for Appian.com website, not Forum. Question: Which are different from the Terms of Use for Appian Forum; is that right? Answer: Correct. Appian Forum Terms of Use were presented on the log-on to the Forum environment, not the registration. Okay. So let's look at the

	Page 8198		Page 8199
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	(Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 397,	2	iPhone, when you buy new software,
3	Document, was identified.)	3	when you rent a car, do you read do
4	MR. FRANK: Now, this is here	4	you read those Terms of Use?
5	the only protection here is if you put	5	And the question I'd ask
6	in your user name and a password,	6	yourself is: Not are you bound by the
7	which you've been given by Appian.	7	Terms of Use, but can you reasonably
8	Now I'm going to ask you to take	8	expect people who don't read Terms of
9	a minute and see if you can notice how	9	Use to comply with the Terms of Use?
10	conspicuously reference is made to the	10	In my view, that's a
11	Terms of Use. You'll find it in the	11	self-answering question. If you don't
12	extreme lower right-hand corner	12	read something, you're not going to
13	against a background that makes it as	13	know what it says and you're not going
14	inconspicuous, I suggest, as possible,	14	to know what restrictions apply to
15	and you are not invited to read it.	15	you.
16	There's no warning that if you	16	So Appian placed that notice in
17	don't read it, you may be subject to	17	as inconspicuous a place as possible.
18	its to the terms of Appian Forum.	18	It did not warn people that they
19	And the point here is not the point	19	should read it. There's no evidence
20	here, I guess, is to ask you or I	20	that they required anybody to click on
21	suppose I could ask myself, but I	21	anything and that, that alone is the
22	probably should ask you, when you get	22	sole protection for all the
23	a when you're offered an	23	information that's on Appian Forum.
24	opportunity to when you get sent a	24	Now, you're going to you
25	new version of software on your	25	heard during this trial that Appian
		-	
1	Page 8200 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Page 8201 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) has firewalls and Appian has	2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Appian users were required to accept
2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) has firewalls and Appian has two-factor identification and Appian	2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Appian users were required to accept Appian Forum Terms of Use before they
2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) has firewalls and Appian has two-factor identification and Appian has a lot of other things, but none of	2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Appian users were required to accept Appian Forum Terms of Use before they got access to Appian Forum. But
2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) has firewalls and Appian has two-factor identification and Appian has a lot of other things, but none of that was the protection for Appian	2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Appian users were required to accept Appian Forum Terms of Use before they got access to Appian Forum. But Appian has never shown us any document
2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) has firewalls and Appian has two-factor identification and Appian has a lot of other things, but none of that was the protection for Appian Forum.	2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Appian users were required to accept Appian Forum Terms of Use before they got access to Appian Forum. But Appian has never shown us any document that contains those terms, that
2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) has firewalls and Appian has two-factor identification and Appian has a lot of other things, but none of that was the protection for Appian Forum. The protection for Appian Forum	2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Appian users were required to accept Appian Forum Terms of Use before they got access to Appian Forum. But Appian has never shown us any document that contains those terms, that contains that requirement. And if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) has firewalls and Appian has two-factor identification and Appian has a lot of other things, but none of that was the protection for Appian Forum. The protection for Appian Forum is what I just showed you. And if you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Appian users were required to accept Appian Forum Terms of Use before they got access to Appian Forum. But Appian has never shown us any document that contains those terms, that contains that requirement. And if there were any, you can be sure that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) has firewalls and Appian has two-factor identification and Appian has a lot of other things, but none of that was the protection for Appian Forum. The protection for Appian Forum is what I just showed you. And if you assume, form a percentage in your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Appian users were required to accept Appian Forum Terms of Use before they got access to Appian Forum. But Appian has never shown us any document that contains those terms, that contains that requirement. And if there were any, you can be sure that we would have been shown such a thing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) has firewalls and Appian has two-factor identification and Appian has a lot of other things, but none of that was the protection for Appian Forum. The protection for Appian Forum is what I just showed you. And if you assume, form a percentage in your head of how many what percentage of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Appian users were required to accept Appian Forum Terms of Use before they got access to Appian Forum. But Appian has never shown us any document that contains those terms, that contains that requirement. And if there were any, you can be sure that we would have been shown such a thing. I asked Mr. Ross if he could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) has firewalls and Appian has two-factor identification and Appian has a lot of other things, but none of that was the protection for Appian Forum. The protection for Appian Forum is what I just showed you. And if you assume, form a percentage in your head of how many what percentage of the people Appian, I should say,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Appian users were required to accept Appian Forum Terms of Use before they got access to Appian Forum. But Appian has never shown us any document that contains those terms, that contains that requirement. And if there were any, you can be sure that we would have been shown such a thing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) has firewalls and Appian has two-factor identification and Appian has a lot of other things, but none of that was the protection for Appian Forum. The protection for Appian Forum is what I just showed you. And if you assume, form a percentage in your head of how many what percentage of the people Appian, I should say, has no records of who read the Terms	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Appian users were required to accept Appian Forum Terms of Use before they got access to Appian Forum. But Appian has never shown us any document that contains those terms, that contains that requirement. And if there were any, you can be sure that we would have been shown such a thing. I asked Mr. Ross if he could identify any such document, and he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) has firewalls and Appian has two-factor identification and Appian has a lot of other things, but none of that was the protection for Appian Forum. The protection for Appian Forum is what I just showed you. And if you assume, form a percentage in your head of how many what percentage of the people Appian, I should say, has no records of who read the Terms of Use. Appian has no records of what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Appian users were required to accept Appian Forum Terms of Use before they got access to Appian Forum. But Appian has never shown us any document that contains those terms, that contains that requirement. And if there were any, you can be sure that we would have been shown such a thing. I asked Mr. Ross if he could identify any such document, and he told me he could not. I understand that Mr. Travell is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) has firewalls and Appian has two-factor identification and Appian has a lot of other things, but none of that was the protection for Appian Forum. The protection for Appian Forum is what I just showed you. And if you assume, form a percentage in your head of how many what percentage of the people Appian, I should say, has no records of who read the Terms of Use. Appian has no records of what percentage of users use the Terms of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Appian users were required to accept Appian Forum Terms of Use before they got access to Appian Forum. But Appian has never shown us any document that contains those terms, that contains that requirement. And if there were any, you can be sure that we would have been shown such a thing. I asked Mr. Ross if he could identify any such document, and he told me he could not. I understand that Mr. Travell is going to be coming after me, but it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) has firewalls and Appian has two-factor identification and Appian has a lot of other things, but none of that was the protection for Appian Forum. The protection for Appian Forum is what I just showed you. And if you assume, form a percentage in your head of how many what percentage of the people Appian, I should say, has no records of who read the Terms of Use. Appian has no records of what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Appian users were required to accept Appian Forum Terms of Use before they got access to Appian Forum. But Appian has never shown us any document that contains those terms, that contains that requirement. And if there were any, you can be sure that we would have been shown such a thing. I asked Mr. Ross if he could identify any such document, and he told me he could not. I understand that Mr. Travell is going to be coming after me, but it's also my understanding that he's going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) has firewalls and Appian has two-factor identification and Appian has a lot of other things, but none of that was the protection for Appian Forum. The protection for Appian Forum is what I just showed you. And if you assume, form a percentage in your head of how many what percentage of the people Appian, I should say, has no records of who read the Terms of Use. Appian has no records of what percentage of users use the Terms of Use, and so we are left to try and decide ourselves.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Appian users were required to accept Appian Forum Terms of Use before they got access to Appian Forum. But Appian has never shown us any document that contains those terms, that contains that requirement. And if there were any, you can be sure that we would have been shown such a thing. I asked Mr. Ross if he could identify any such document, and he told me he could not. I understand that Mr. Travell is going to be coming after me, but it's also my understanding that he's going show you a clip that will show Mr. Zou
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) has firewalls and Appian has two-factor identification and Appian has a lot of other things, but none of that was the protection for Appian Forum. The protection for Appian Forum is what I just showed you. And if you assume, form a percentage in your head of how many what percentage of the people Appian, I should say, has no records of who read the Terms of Use. Appian has no records of what percentage of users use the Terms of Use, and so we are left to try and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Appian users were required to accept Appian Forum Terms of Use before they got access to Appian Forum. But Appian has never shown us any document that contains those terms, that contains that requirement. And if there were any, you can be sure that we would have been shown such a thing. I asked Mr. Ross if he could identify any such document, and he told me he could not. I understand that Mr. Travell is going to be coming after me, but it's also my understanding that he's going show you a clip that will show Mr. Zou logging on and you can see what he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) has firewalls and Appian has two-factor identification and Appian has a lot of other things, but none of that was the protection for Appian Forum. The protection for Appian Forum is what I just showed you. And if you assume, form a percentage in your head of how many what percentage of the people Appian, I should say, has no records of who read the Terms of Use. Appian has no records of what percentage of users use the Terms of Use, and so we are left to try and decide ourselves. Pick whatever percentage you like and then consider the number of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Appian users were required to accept Appian Forum Terms of Use before they got access to Appian Forum. But Appian has never shown us any document that contains those terms, that contains that requirement. And if there were any, you can be sure that we would have been shown such a thing. I asked Mr. Ross if he could identify any such document, and he told me he could not. I understand that Mr. Travell is going to be coming after me, but it's also my understanding that he's going show you a clip that will show Mr. Zou
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) has firewalls and Appian has two-factor identification and Appian has a lot of other things, but none of that was the protection for Appian Forum. The protection for Appian Forum is what I just showed you. And if you assume, form a percentage in your head of how many what percentage of the people Appian, I should say, has no records of who read the Terms of Use. Appian has no records of what percentage of users use the Terms of Use, and so we are left to try and decide ourselves. Pick whatever percentage you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Appian users were required to accept Appian Forum Terms of Use before they got access to Appian Forum. But Appian has never shown us any document that contains those terms, that contains that requirement. And if there were any, you can be sure that we would have been shown such a thing. I asked Mr. Ross if he could identify any such document, and he told me he could not. I understand that Mr. Travell is going to be coming after me, but it's also my understanding that he's going show you a clip that will show Mr. Zou logging on and you can see what he encountered. And to deprive Mr. Travell of the drama associated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) has firewalls and Appian has two-factor identification and Appian has a lot of other things, but none of that was the protection for Appian Forum. The protection for Appian Forum is what I just showed you. And if you assume, form a percentage in your head of how many what percentage of the people Appian, I should say, has no records of who read the Terms of Use. Appian has no records of what percentage of users use the Terms of Use, and so we are left to try and decide ourselves. Pick whatever percentage you like and then consider the number of people who never read the Appian Forum	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Appian users were required to accept Appian Forum Terms of Use before they got access to Appian Forum. But Appian has never shown us any document that contains those terms, that contains that requirement. And if there were any, you can be sure that we would have been shown such a thing. I asked Mr. Ross if he could identify any such document, and he told me he could not. I understand that Mr. Travell is going to be coming after me, but it's also my understanding that he's going show you a clip that will show Mr. Zou logging on and you can see what he encountered. And to deprive Mr. Travell of the drama associated with showing that, I suspect you're
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) has firewalls and Appian has two-factor identification and Appian has a lot of other things, but none of that was the protection for Appian Forum. The protection for Appian Forum is what I just showed you. And if you assume, form a percentage in your head of how many what percentage of the people Appian, I should say, has no records of who read the Terms of Use. Appian has no records of what percentage of users use the Terms of Use, and so we are left to try and decide ourselves. Pick whatever percentage you like and then consider the number of people who never read the Appian Forum Terms of Use and, therefore, had no	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Appian users were required to accept Appian Forum Terms of Use before they got access to Appian Forum. But Appian has never shown us any document that contains those terms, that contains that requirement. And if there were any, you can be sure that we would have been shown such a thing. I asked Mr. Ross if he could identify any such document, and he told me he could not. I understand that Mr. Travell is going to be coming after me, but it's also my understanding that he's going show you a clip that will show Mr. Zou logging on and you can see what he encountered. And to deprive Mr. Travell of the drama associated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) has firewalls and Appian has two-factor identification and Appian has a lot of other things, but none of that was the protection for Appian Forum. The protection for Appian Forum is what I just showed you. And if you assume, form a percentage in your head of how many what percentage of the people Appian, I should say, has no records of who read the Terms of Use. Appian has no records of what percentage of users use the Terms of Use, and so we are left to try and decide ourselves. Pick whatever percentage you like and then consider the number of people who never read the Appian Forum Terms of Use and, therefore, had no idea what restrictions they were	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Appian users were required to accept Appian Forum Terms of Use before they got access to Appian Forum. But Appian has never shown us any document that contains those terms, that contains that requirement. And if there were any, you can be sure that we would have been shown such a thing. I asked Mr. Ross if he could identify any such document, and he told me he could not. I understand that Mr. Travell is going to be coming after me, but it's also my understanding that he's going show you a clip that will show Mr. Zou logging on and you can see what he encountered. And to deprive Mr. Travell of the drama associated with showing that, I suspect you're not going to see anyone being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) has firewalls and Appian has two-factor identification and Appian has a lot of other things, but none of that was the protection for Appian Forum. The protection for Appian Forum is what I just showed you. And if you assume, form a percentage in your head of how many what percentage of the people Appian, I should say, has no records of who read the Terms of Use. Appian has no records of what percentage of users use the Terms of Use, and so we are left to try and decide ourselves. Pick whatever percentage you like and then consider the number of people who never read the Appian Forum Terms of Use and, therefore, had no idea what restrictions they were under, if they were under any	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Appian users were required to accept Appian Forum Terms of Use before they got access to Appian Forum. But Appian has never shown us any document that contains those terms, that contains that requirement. And if there were any, you can be sure that we would have been shown such a thing. I asked Mr. Ross if he could identify any such document, and he told me he could not. I understand that Mr. Travell is going to be coming after me, but it's also my understanding that he's going show you a clip that will show Mr. Zou logging on and you can see what he encountered. And to deprive Mr. Travell of the drama associated with showing that, I suspect you're not going to see anyone being presented with the Terms of Use to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) has firewalls and Appian has two-factor identification and Appian has a lot of other things, but none of that was the protection for Appian Forum. The protection for Appian Forum is what I just showed you. And if you assume, form a percentage in your head of how many what percentage of the people Appian, I should say, has no records of who read the Terms of Use. Appian has no records of what percentage of users use the Terms of Use, and so we are left to try and decide ourselves. Pick whatever percentage you like and then consider the number of people who never read the Appian Forum Terms of Use and, therefore, had no idea what restrictions they were under, if they were under any restrictions at all. And that's the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Appian users were required to accept Appian Forum Terms of Use before they got access to Appian Forum. But Appian has never shown us any document that contains those terms, that contains that requirement. And if there were any, you can be sure that we would have been shown such a thing. I asked Mr. Ross if he could identify any such document, and he told me he could not. I understand that Mr. Travell is going to be coming after me, but it's also my understanding that he's going show you a clip that will show Mr. Zou logging on and you can see what he encountered. And to deprive Mr. Travell of the drama associated with showing that, I suspect you're not going to see anyone being presented with the Terms of Use to consider.

	Page 8202		Page 8203
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	material that Mr. Zou obtained	2	(Whereupon, Exhibit PX 210,
3	adequately protected?	3	Document, was identified.)
4	Mr. Mangi did not address that	4	MR. FRANK: That's you'll
5	question. He'll have a chance after	5	recognize that. That's PX 210.
6	me and we'll see what he says.	6	You've seen it lots of times. It's
7	The second question is: Are the	7	the Understanding Appian document,
8	things that are said to be trade	8	sometimes called an Appian technical
9	secrets, were they something that Pega	9	brief.
10	learned from Mr. Zou or did Pega know	10	And the first of the the
11	those things before it ever met	11	first of the so-called trade secrets
12	Mr. Zou?	12	is said to be found in the first
13	And there were six identified by	13	paragraph with a headline on the top
14	Dr. Cole. I'm going to go through	14	of it, the one that says: All
15	each one of them one at a time and try	15	in-flight processes and application
16	to show you exactly what what the	16	logic lives in a risky in-memory
17	statement was in the Understanding	17	database with undesirable tradeoffs.
18	Appian document that's said to be a	18	What I'm going to do in each
19	trade secret and compare that against	19	case is present to you on the
20	what the documentary evidence of what	20	left-hand side of the screen the
21	Pega knew in the period of time 2010	21	statement that is said to be a trade
22	or 2011 before it had any contact with	22	secret, put up on the right-hand side
23	Mr. Zou at all.	23	of the screen documents that
24	Now, Josh, let's go to Slide 1,	24	preexisted Pega's contact with Mr. Zou
25	first.	25	and show you what Pega knew about the
1	Page 8204 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Page 8205 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	subject matter so that you can look	2	brand-new from Mr. Zou. This is
3	for them yourself. I will be	3	written by Mr. Petronio and you'll see
4	incapable of smoke and mirrors here	4	that it's sourced to publicly
5	because you're going to have	5	available materials. And I'm going to
6	everything right in front of you.	6	be asking about internal pages in this
7	Slide 3, please, Josh.	7	document as we go along.
8	(Whereupon, Exhibit PX 580,	8	So let's go back to Slide 3,
9		9	
	Document, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is taken from a		please, Josh. This is from Page 4 of the
10 11	document which is PX 580 and it's in	10 11	-
12	evidence. And I asked Mr. Schuerman	12	document that I just showed you. And perhaps you could help us a
1			
13	about it at his deposition. And what I'm showing you is	13 14	little bit by blowing up the very
14		14	first of the entries on the right
15	Actually, Josh, could we	15 16	side.
16	first maybe it would be helpful to	16 17	Referring to Appian, it says:
17	show the jury the cover of PX 580 so		They use a proprietary database of a
18	that they understand what they are	18	strategic partner, KX Systems, see
19	looking at and then we'll go back to	19	www.KX.com.
20	this slide. It must be the next	20	And the third line down is:
21	yes. Yes, thanks. And would you just blow up the half of the page?	21	Large memory requirements for the
	DIOW UD LOP DALL OT THE DAGE?	22	machine and if there is a failure,
22		22	data gan ba lagt
23	This is Appian attack plan,	23	data can be lost.
		23 24 25	data can be lost. Now, bring that down in size and blow up the next.

1	Page 8206		Page 8207
	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	That is a drawing of the	2	between in-memory checkpoints.
3	architecture of Appian's system from a	3	So there's the knowledge of
4	publicly available source, and the	4	checkpoints. And it's undisputed that
5	thing that is second from the right is	5	with checkpoints go a set of
6	a drawing of Appian's in-memory	6	tradeoffs.
7	database. And you can see all of the	7	On the one hand, there an
8	data going into that database and out	8	in-memory database, as Dr. Cole
9	of that database which is right in the	9	testified and as Dr. Marshall
10	center of the architecture.	10	testified yesterday, run the risk of
11	And then the third thing in the	11	data loss because if the server goes
12	same document, which refers to the	12	down, whatever is in the in-memory
13	in-memory database, is at the bottom	13	database at the moment the server goes
14	and it says: Realtime data tier, a	14	-
15	unique feature of Appian Enterprises,	15	down is lost, same as you could lose
		16	something if your own laptop goes
16	is its realtime in-memory database. I think it's our real in-memory	17	down.
17	1		The reason for checkpointing is
18	database. It's very fast slash	18	that if you can move data out of the
19	it's very fast read/write access	19	in-memory database on a periodic basis
20	supports both realtime process	20	and move it to disk, you can put that
21	performance, monitoring, and system	21	much data beyond risk, but there's new
22	error recovery. The realtime	22	data coming in so there's always some
23	in-memory database realtime	23	risk of data loss.
24	synchronous transaction allows for	24	The longer between checkpoints,
25	capture of all events that occur	25	the greater the amount of data
1	Page 8208	-	Page 8209
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant – Pega – Closing (Frank)
2		2	tone that is the default setting. Now
	potentially in the in-memory database	2	tone, that's the default setting. You
3	if there is a failure. The shorter,	3	can switch to the phone shouldn't ring
4	if there is a failure. The shorter, the lesser the amount of data that's	3 4	can switch to the phone shouldn't ring simply by flicking a switch and
4 5	if there is a failure. The shorter, the lesser the amount of data that's at risk. But as Dr. Montgomery [sic]	3 4 5	can switch to the phone shouldn't ring simply by flicking a switch and because a default setting is a default
4 5 6	if there is a failure. The shorter, the lesser the amount of data that's at risk. But as Dr. Montgomery [sic] testified yesterday, it was well known	3 4 5 6	can switch to the phone shouldn't ring simply by flicking a switch and because a default setting is a default setting and it's changeable by the
4 5 6 7	if there is a failure. The shorter, the lesser the amount of data that's at risk. But as Dr. Montgomery [sic] testified yesterday, it was well known that the moving checkpointing slows	3 4 5 6 7	can switch to the phone shouldn't ring simply by flicking a switch and because a default setting is a default setting and it's changeable by the customer.
4 5 6 7 8	if there is a failure. The shorter, the lesser the amount of data that's at risk. But as Dr. Montgomery [sic] testified yesterday, it was well known that the moving checkpointing slows the process down so that there is a	3 4 5 6 7 8	can switch to the phone shouldn't ring simply by flicking a switch and because a default setting is a default setting and it's changeable by the customer. And as Mr. Schuerman testified,
4 5 6 7 8 9	if there is a failure. The shorter, the lesser the amount of data that's at risk. But as Dr. Montgomery [sic] testified yesterday, it was well known that the moving checkpointing slows the process down so that there is a kind of a known tradeoff between those	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	can switch to the phone shouldn't ring simply by flicking a switch and because a default setting is a default setting and it's changeable by the customer. And as Mr. Schuerman testified, the commercial value of knowing what
4 5 7 8 9 10	if there is a failure. The shorter, the lesser the amount of data that's at risk. But as Dr. Montgomery [sic] testified yesterday, it was well known that the moving checkpointing slows the process down so that there is a kind of a known tradeoff between those two things.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>can switch to the phone shouldn't ring simply by flicking a switch and because a default setting is a default setting and it's changeable by the customer. And as Mr. Schuerman testified, the commercial value of knowing what the default setting is zero because if</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11	if there is a failure. The shorter, the lesser the amount of data that's at risk. But as Dr. Montgomery [sic] testified yesterday, it was well known that the moving checkpointing slows the process down so that there is a kind of a known tradeoff between those two things. Now, what did Pega not know	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>can switch to the phone shouldn't ring simply by flicking a switch and because a default setting is a default setting and it's changeable by the customer. And as Mr. Schuerman testified, the commercial value of knowing what the default setting is zero because if you attack the customer by the choice</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12	if there is a failure. The shorter, the lesser the amount of data that's at risk. But as Dr. Montgomery [sic] testified yesterday, it was well known that the moving checkpointing slows the process down so that there is a kind of a known tradeoff between those two things. Now, what did Pega not know about the all in-flight processes?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>can switch to the phone shouldn't ring simply by flicking a switch and because a default setting is a default setting and it's changeable by the customer. And as Mr. Schuerman testified, the commercial value of knowing what the default setting is zero because if you attack the customer by the choice of the default setting, all they do is</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	if there is a failure. The shorter, the lesser the amount of data that's at risk. But as Dr. Montgomery [sic] testified yesterday, it was well known that the moving checkpointing slows the process down so that there is a kind of a known tradeoff between those two things. Now, what did Pega not know about the all in-flight processes? What did they the only thing that	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>can switch to the phone shouldn't ring simply by flicking a switch and because a default setting is a default setting and it's changeable by the customer. And as Mr. Schuerman testified, the commercial value of knowing what the default setting is zero because if you attack the customer by the choice of the default setting, all they do is change the default setting. There's</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	if there is a failure. The shorter, the lesser the amount of data that's at risk. But as Dr. Montgomery [sic] testified yesterday, it was well known that the moving checkpointing slows the process down so that there is a kind of a known tradeoff between those two things. Now, what did Pega not know about the all in-flight processes? What did they the only thing that Pega did not know, and Mr. Schuerman	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>can switch to the phone shouldn't ring simply by flicking a switch and because a default setting is a default setting and it's changeable by the customer. And as Mr. Schuerman testified, the commercial value of knowing what the default setting is zero because if you attack the customer by the choice of the default setting, all they do is change the default setting. There's no commercial value to that.</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>if there is a failure. The shorter, the lesser the amount of data that's at risk. But as Dr. Montgomery [sic] testified yesterday, it was well known that the moving checkpointing slows the process down so that there is a kind of a known tradeoff between those two things.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>can switch to the phone shouldn't ring simply by flicking a switch and because a default setting is a default setting and it's changeable by the customer. And as Mr. Schuerman testified, the commercial value of knowing what the default setting is zero because if you attack the customer by the choice of the default setting, all they do is change the default setting. There's no commercial value to that. Nevertheless, there's one bit of</pre>
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>if there is a failure. The shorter, the lesser the amount of data that's at risk. But as Dr. Montgomery [sic] testified yesterday, it was well known that the moving checkpointing slows the process down so that there is a kind of a known tradeoff between those two things. Now, what did Pega not know about the all in-flight processes? What did they the only thing that Pega did not know, and Mr. Schuerman acknowledged this forthrightly, is the time interval between checkpoints,</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>can switch to the phone shouldn't ring simply by flicking a switch and because a default setting is a default setting and it's changeable by the customer. And as Mr. Schuerman testified, the commercial value of knowing what the default setting is zero because if you attack the customer by the choice of the default setting, all they do is change the default setting. There's no commercial value to that. Nevertheless, there's one bit of information, perhaps not enough to</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	if there is a failure. The shorter, the lesser the amount of data that's at risk. But as Dr. Montgomery [sic] testified yesterday, it was well known that the moving checkpointing slows the process down so that there is a kind of a known tradeoff between those two things. Now, what did Pega not know about the all in-flight processes? What did they the only thing that Pega did not know, and Mr. Schuerman acknowledged this forthrightly, is the time interval between checkpoints, which everyone agrees is a default	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>can switch to the phone shouldn't ring simply by flicking a switch and because a default setting is a default setting and it's changeable by the customer. And as Mr. Schuerman testified, the commercial value of knowing what the default setting is zero because if you attack the customer by the choice of the default setting, all they do is change the default setting. There's no commercial value to that. Nevertheless, there's one bit of information, perhaps not enough to drive all sales to 201 customers over</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>if there is a failure. The shorter, the lesser the amount of data that's at risk. But as Dr. Montgomery [sic] testified yesterday, it was well known that the moving checkpointing slows the process down so that there is a kind of a known tradeoff between those two things. Now, what did Pega not know about the all in-flight processes? What did they the only thing that Pega did not know, and Mr. Schuerman acknowledged this forthrightly, is the time interval between checkpoints, which everyone agrees is a default setting in which the customer can</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>can switch to the phone shouldn't ring simply by flicking a switch and because a default setting is a default setting and it's changeable by the customer. And as Mr. Schuerman testified, the commercial value of knowing what the default setting is zero because if you attack the customer by the choice of the default setting, all they do is change the default setting. There's no commercial value to that. Nevertheless, there's one bit of information, perhaps not enough to drive all sales to 201 customers over the next eight years.</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>if there is a failure. The shorter, the lesser the amount of data that's at risk. But as Dr. Montgomery [sic] testified yesterday, it was well known that the moving checkpointing slows the process down so that there is a kind of a known tradeoff between those two things.</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>can switch to the phone shouldn't ring simply by flicking a switch and because a default setting is a default setting and it's changeable by the customer. And as Mr. Schuerman testified, the commercial value of knowing what the default setting is zero because if you attack the customer by the choice of the default setting, all they do is change the default setting. There's no commercial value to that. Nevertheless, there's one bit of information, perhaps not enough to drive all sales to 201 customers over the next eight years. Here's the second, the</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>if there is a failure. The shorter, the lesser the amount of data that's at risk. But as Dr. Montgomery [sic] testified yesterday, it was well known that the moving checkpointing slows the process down so that there is a kind of a known tradeoff between those two things. Now, what did Pega not know about the all in-flight processes? What did they the only thing that Pega did not know, and Mr. Schuerman acknowledged this forthrightly, is the time interval between checkpoints, which everyone agrees is a default setting in which the customer can change, depending upon the customer's own judgment as to what the right</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>can switch to the phone shouldn't ring simply by flicking a switch and because a default setting is a default setting and it's changeable by the customer. And as Mr. Schuerman testified, the commercial value of knowing what the default setting is zero because if you attack the customer by the choice of the default setting, all they do is change the default setting. There's no commercial value to that. Nevertheless, there's one bit of information, perhaps not enough to drive all sales to 201 customers over the next eight years. Here's the second, the Josh, can you take that down?</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	if there is a failure. The shorter, the lesser the amount of data that's at risk. But as Dr. Montgomery [sic] testified yesterday, it was well known that the moving checkpointing slows the process down so that there is a kind of a known tradeoff between those two things. Now, what did Pega not know about the all in-flight processes? What did they the only thing that Pega did not know, and Mr. Schuerman acknowledged this forthrightly, is the time interval between checkpoints, which everyone agrees is a default setting in which the customer can change, depending upon the customer's own judgment as to what the right amount of risk and cost, cost is.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>can switch to the phone shouldn't ring simply by flicking a switch and because a default setting is a default setting and it's changeable by the customer. And as Mr. Schuerman testified, the commercial value of knowing what the default setting is zero because if you attack the customer by the choice of the default setting, all they do is change the default setting. There's no commercial value to that. Nevertheless, there's one bit of information, perhaps not enough to drive all sales to 201 customers over the next eight years. Here's the second, the Josh, can you take that down? And the second is the second</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	if there is a failure. The shorter, the lesser the amount of data that's at risk. But as Dr. Montgomery [sic] testified yesterday, it was well known that the moving checkpointing slows the process down so that there is a kind of a known tradeoff between those two things. Now, what did Pega not know about the all in-flight processes? What did they the only thing that Pega did not know, and Mr. Schuerman acknowledged this forthrightly, is the time interval between checkpoints, which everyone agrees is a default setting in which the customer can change, depending upon the customer's own judgment as to what the right amount of risk and cost, cost is. And as I think the example	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>can switch to the phone shouldn't ring simply by flicking a switch and because a default setting is a default setting and it's changeable by the customer. And as Mr. Schuerman testified, the commercial value of knowing what the default setting is zero because if you attack the customer by the choice of the default setting, all they do is change the default setting. There's no commercial value to that. Nevertheless, there's one bit of information, perhaps not enough to drive all sales to 201 customers over the next eight years. Here's the second, the Josh, can you take that down? And the second is the second so-called trade secret is Appian's</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>if there is a failure. The shorter, the lesser the amount of data that's at risk. But as Dr. Montgomery [sic] testified yesterday, it was well known that the moving checkpointing slows the process down so that there is a kind of a known tradeoff between those two things. Now, what did Pega not know about the all in-flight processes? What did they the only thing that Pega did not know, and Mr. Schuerman acknowledged this forthrightly, is the time interval between checkpoints, which everyone agrees is a default setting in which the customer can change, depending upon the customer's own judgment as to what the right amount of risk and cost, cost is. And as I think the example Mr. Schuerman gave, if your phone is</pre>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>can switch to the phone shouldn't ring simply by flicking a switch and because a default setting is a default setting and it's changeable by the customer. And as Mr. Schuerman testified, the commercial value of knowing what the default setting is zero because if you attack the customer by the choice of the default setting, all they do is change the default setting. There's no commercial value to that. Nevertheless, there's one bit of information, perhaps not enough to drive all sales to 201 customers over the next eight years. Here's the second, the Josh, can you take that down? And the second is the second so-called trade secret is Appian's integration is limited and requires</pre>
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	if there is a failure. The shorter, the lesser the amount of data that's at risk. But as Dr. Montgomery [sic] testified yesterday, it was well known that the moving checkpointing slows the process down so that there is a kind of a known tradeoff between those two things. Now, what did Pega not know about the all in-flight processes? What did they the only thing that Pega did not know, and Mr. Schuerman acknowledged this forthrightly, is the time interval between checkpoints, which everyone agrees is a default setting in which the customer can change, depending upon the customer's own judgment as to what the right amount of risk and cost, cost is. And as I think the example	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>can switch to the phone shouldn't ring simply by flicking a switch and because a default setting is a default setting and it's changeable by the customer. And as Mr. Schuerman testified, the commercial value of knowing what the default setting is zero because if you attack the customer by the choice of the default setting, all they do is change the default setting. There's no commercial value to that. Nevertheless, there's one bit of information, perhaps not enough to drive all sales to 201 customers over the next eight years. Here's the second, the Josh, can you take that down? And the second is the second so-called trade secret is Appian's</pre>

	Page 8210		Page 8211
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	from the Understanding Appian	2	number of integration connectors and
3	document. And as you can see that it,	3	services, it's implementation of web
4	it is criticizing Appian for	4	services is limited, and this
5	supporting a small number of	5	additional text there.
6	integration connectors and services.	6	Now, Josh, let's look at the
	And I'll give just a little time to	7	material from DX 1695. First, the one
8	read it so you understand what the	8	on the top.
9	context is and so you're confident	9	(Whereupon, Exhibit DX 1695,
10	you're getting it yourself.	10	Document re Appian SOA, was
11	And then I'm going to ask Josh	11	identified.)
12	to blow up what's on the right, which	12	MR. FRANK: Appian SOA, SOA
13	is taken from another document that	13	refers to the connectability of this
14	Mr. Petronio created prior to meeting	14	to other systems. But when you I
15	Mr. Zou.	15	should stop and say that when you
16	And perhaps we can put that the	16	install a new app, of course, you have
17	cover sheet of that up, Josh it's	17	to connect it up to all the other
18	DX 1695. And its title is Why Appian	18	software from which it receives
19	is Not the Choice for Enterprise BPM.	19	information.
20	And the excerpts that I have are	20	And so the simpler that process
21	Slides 62, 66, and 67. They should be	21	is, particularly in a big company, the
22	in Slide 5, please, Josh.	22	better it is.
23	So the criticism on the left in	23	So the first criticism is
24	the marketing materials that are	24	that this is by Mr. Petronio before
25	excluded is: Appian supports a small	25	anybody met Mr. Zou in 2011, Appian's
	Page 8212		Page 8213
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	SOA is less capable than Pega's SOA	2	that appeared in Exhibit 210.
3	capabilities, not many out-of-the-box	3	Bring that down, Josh, so the
4	connectors, and some further	4	jury can see and match it against what
5	information about Appian and	5	is said in Exhibit 210.
6	doesn't have, for example,	6	Now, at his deposition, Mr. Ross
7	wizard-driven interfaces to pull data	7	described that as not a trade secret,
8	from databases or consume WSDL and SOA	8	as a false statement. Here at the
9	services, et cetera.	9	trial, he testified that he
10	Could we have the next	10	misunderstood at his deposition and
11	criticism, pre-Zou criticism of	11	that he thought it was a trade secret,
12	Appian's work?	12	-
	Appian S WOLK:		and he did that because he saw videos
13	Strong support for	13	
13 14		13 14	and he did that because he saw videos that had been created by Mr. Zou after his deposition testimony.
	Strong support for integration this is describing		that had been created by Mr. Zou after
14	Strong support for integration this is describing	14	that had been created by Mr. Zou after his deposition testimony. But wait a minute, he's the
14 15	Strong support for integration this is describing Pega. Strong support for integration	14 15	that had been created by Mr. Zou after his deposition testimony. But wait a minute, he's the deputy chief technology officer of the
14 15 16	Strong support for integration this is describing Pega. Strong support for integration with wizards, protocols include stuff that you can read there.	14 15 16	that had been created by Mr. Zou after his deposition testimony. But wait a minute, he's the
14 15 16 17	Strong support for integration this is describing Pega. Strong support for integration with wizards, protocols include stuff that you can read there. Now, while it's still up there,	14 15 16 17 18	that had been created by Mr. Zou after his deposition testimony. But wait a minute, he's the deputy chief technology officer of the company. He's been there 17 years. You would think he would know at the
14 15 16 17 18 19	Strong support for integration this is describing Pega. Strong support for integration with wizards, protocols include stuff that you can read there. Now, while it's still up there, why don't you bring the No. 3 entry	14 15 16 17	that had been created by Mr. Zou after his deposition testimony. But wait a minute, he's the deputy chief technology officer of the company. He's been there 17 years. You would think he would know at the time of his deposition what Appian
14 15 16 17 18	Strong support for integration this is describing Pega. Strong support for integration with wizards, protocols include stuff that you can read there. Now, while it's still up there, why don't you bring the No. 3 entry up, which is describing Appian.	14 15 16 17 18 19	that had been created by Mr. Zou after his deposition testimony. But wait a minute, he's the deputy chief technology officer of the company. He's been there 17 years. You would think he would know at the time of his deposition what Appian thought was a trade secret, what was
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Strong support for integration this is describing Pega. Strong support for integration with wizards, protocols include stuff that you can read there. Now, while it's still up there, why don't you bring the No. 3 entry up, which is describing Appian. The No. 3, thank you.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that had been created by Mr. Zou after his deposition testimony. But wait a minute, he's the deputy chief technology officer of the company. He's been there 17 years. You would think he would know at the time of his deposition what Appian thought was a trade secret, what was secret, what had value because it was
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Strong support for integration this is describing Pega. Strong support for integration with wizards, protocols include stuff that you can read there. Now, while it's still up there, why don't you bring the No. 3 entry up, which is describing Appian. The No. 3, thank you. So there is a direct comparison	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that had been created by Mr. Zou after his deposition testimony. But wait a minute, he's the deputy chief technology officer of the company. He's been there 17 years. You would think he would know at the time of his deposition what Appian thought was a trade secret, what was secret, what had value because it was secret, and what was properly
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Strong support for integration this is describing Pega. Strong support for integration with wizards, protocols include stuff that you can read there. Now, while it's still up there, why don't you bring the No. 3 entry up, which is describing Appian. The No. 3, thank you. So there is a direct comparison between Pega and Appian, and it is	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that had been created by Mr. Zou after his deposition testimony. But wait a minute, he's the deputy chief technology officer of the company. He's been there 17 years. You would think he would know at the time of his deposition what Appian thought was a trade secret, what was secret, what had value because it was secret, and what was properly protected at that time.
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Strong support for integration this is describing Pega. Strong support for integration with wizards, protocols include stuff that you can read there. Now, while it's still up there, why don't you bring the No. 3 entry up, which is describing Appian. The No. 3, thank you. So there is a direct comparison	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	that had been created by Mr. Zou after his deposition testimony. But wait a minute, he's the deputy chief technology officer of the company. He's been there 17 years. You would think he would know at the time of his deposition what Appian thought was a trade secret, what was secret, what had value because it was secret, and what was properly

	Page 8214		Page 8215
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	know.	2	And this is a drawing of Pega's
3	The let's go to the next	3	BI system. BIX stands for business
4	to the next thing that is said to be a	4	information exchange.
5	trade secret in Exhibit 210. And it's	5	So the point that's being made
6	the one that is headlined "Appian	6	in the Understanding Appian document
7	doesn't support exporting process data	7	and the point that was being made a
8	in a way that can be leveraged by	8	year before anyone at Pega met Mr. Zou
9	external BI systems."	9	is that Pega had this capability and
10	So there you can see that, see	10	Appian didn't have much.
11	the statement in Exhibit 210.	11	I appreciate that this is not as
12	And on the right, I've asked	12	exciting as some other stuff, but
13	Josh to bring up a statement from	13	these are the real facts in this case.
14	DX 1695, which is and so let's look	14	And it's important for you to
15	at the first one, please, Josh, the	15	understand how we've seen nothing so
16	one at the top.	16	far that could possibly be have
17	And Pega, in 2011, before it met	17	been taught to Pega by Mr. Zou because
18	Mr. Zou, is saying to its sales folks:	18	in every instance Pega knew it.
19	Appian has limited capacities for	19	Now I'm going to go on to the
20	learning and gaining insight from	20	next before it ever met Dr. Zou
21	operational and historic data and	21	[sic].
22	limited integration with external BI	22	The next supposed trade secret
23	applications.	23	is the paragraph that says: Can't
24	And bring that down in size,	24	report with I'm sorry.
25	bring the other one up, please.	25	It's the paragraph that says:
1	Page 8216 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Page 8217 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) No unified management tools.	2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) JMX. AES, Mr. Schuerman testified and
2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) No unified management tools. Administrators have no unified	2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) JMX. AES, Mr. Schuerman testified and it's undisputed, is a system that runs
2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) No unified management tools. Administrators have no unified management tools.	2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) JMX. AES, Mr. Schuerman testified and it's undisputed, is a system that runs inside Pega apps that determines
2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) No unified management tools. Administrators have no unified management tools. On the left is the statement	2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) JMX. AES, Mr. Schuerman testified and it's undisputed, is a system that runs inside Pega apps that determines when in a particular company, it
2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) No unified management tools. Administrators have no unified management tools. On the left is the statement that Mr. Petronio drafted in 2012 to	2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) JMX. AES, Mr. Schuerman testified and it's undisputed, is a system that runs inside Pega apps that determines when in a particular company, it looks across all of the company's Pega
2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) No unified management tools. Administrators have no unified management tools. On the left is the statement that Mr. Petronio drafted in 2012 to 2013, after he met with Mr. Zou. On	2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) JMX. AES, Mr. Schuerman testified and it's undisputed, is a system that runs inside Pega apps that determines when in a particular company, it looks across all of the company's Pega apps and determines when there's signs
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) No unified management tools. Administrators have no unified management tools. On the left is the statement that Mr. Petronio drafted in 2012 to 2013, after he met with Mr. Zou. On the right is a statement that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) JMX. AES, Mr. Schuerman testified and it's undisputed, is a system that runs inside Pega apps that determines when in a particular company, it looks across all of the company's Pega apps and determines when there's signs of trouble before they have actually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) No unified management tools. Administrators have no unified management tools. On the left is the statement that Mr. Petronio drafted in 2012 to 2013, after he met with Mr. Zou. On the right is a statement that Mr. Petronio drafted before he met	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) JMX. AES, Mr. Schuerman testified and it's undisputed, is a system that runs inside Pega apps that determines when in a particular company, it looks across all of the company's Pega apps and determines when there's signs of trouble before they have actually manifested themselves in a specific
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) No unified management tools. Administrators have no unified management tools. On the left is the statement that Mr. Petronio drafted in 2012 to 2013, after he met with Mr. Zou. On the right is a statement that Mr. Petronio drafted before he met Mr. Zou in PX 580.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) JMX. AES, Mr. Schuerman testified and it's undisputed, is a system that runs inside Pega apps that determines when in a particular company, it looks across all of the company's Pega apps and determines when there's signs of trouble before they have actually manifested themselves in a specific in a specific outcome, in a specific
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) No unified management tools. Administrators have no unified management tools. On the left is the statement that Mr. Petronio drafted in 2012 to 2013, after he met with Mr. Zou. On the right is a statement that Mr. Petronio drafted before he met Mr. Zou in PX 580. (Whereupon, Exhibit PX 580,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) JMX. AES, Mr. Schuerman testified and it's undisputed, is a system that runs inside Pega apps that determines when in a particular company, it looks across all of the company's Pega apps and determines when there's signs of trouble before they have actually manifested themselves in a specific in a specific outcome, in a specific bad outcome. It alerts the system.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) No unified management tools. Administrators have no unified management tools. On the left is the statement that Mr. Petronio drafted in 2012 to 2013, after he met with Mr. Zou. On the right is a statement that Mr. Petronio drafted before he met Mr. Zou in PX 580. (Whereupon, Exhibit PX 580, Document, was identified.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) JMX. AES, Mr. Schuerman testified and it's undisputed, is a system that runs inside Pega apps that determines when in a particular company, it looks across all of the company's Pega apps and determines when there's signs of trouble before they have actually manifested themselves in a specific in a specific outcome, in a specific bad outcome. It alerts the system. Administrator tells them what to do to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) No unified management tools. Administrators have no unified management tools. On the left is the statement that Mr. Petronio drafted in 2012 to 2013, after he met with Mr. Zou. On the right is a statement that Mr. Petronio drafted before he met Mr. Zou in PX 580. (Whereupon, Exhibit PX 580, Document, was identified.) MR. FRANK: Now, the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) JMX. AES, Mr. Schuerman testified and it's undisputed, is a system that runs inside Pega apps that determines when in a particular company, it looks across all of the company's Pega apps and determines when there's signs of trouble before they have actually manifested themselves in a specific in a specific outcome, in a specific bad outcome. It alerts the system. Administrator tells them what to do to deal with it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) No unified management tools. Administrators have no unified management tools. On the left is the statement that Mr. Petronio drafted in 2012 to 2013, after he met with Mr. Zou. On the right is a statement that Mr. Petronio drafted before he met Mr. Zou in PX 580. (Whereupon, Exhibit PX 580, Document, was identified.) MR. FRANK: Now, the statement and this requires	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) JMX. AES, Mr. Schuerman testified and it's undisputed, is a system that runs inside Pega apps that determines when in a particular company, it looks across all of the company's Pega apps and determines when there's signs of trouble before they have actually manifested themselves in a specific in a specific outcome, in a specific bad outcome. It alerts the system. Administrator tells them what to do to deal with it. JMX is a capability that's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) No unified management tools. Administrators have no unified management tools. On the left is the statement that Mr. Petronio drafted in 2012 to 2013, after he met with Mr. Zou. On the right is a statement that Mr. Petronio drafted before he met Mr. Zou in PX 580. (Whereupon, Exhibit PX 580, Document, was identified.) MR. FRANK: Now, the statement and this requires slightly more explanation. The text	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) JMX. AES, Mr. Schuerman testified and it's undisputed, is a system that runs inside Pega apps that determines when in a particular company, it looks across all of the company's Pega apps and determines when there's signs of trouble before they have actually manifested themselves in a specific in a specific outcome, in a specific bad outcome. It alerts the system. Administrator tells them what to do to deal with it. JMX is a capability that's provided in connection with Java
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) No unified management tools. Administrators have no unified management tools. On the left is the statement that Mr. Petronio drafted in 2012 to 2013, after he met with Mr. Zou. On the right is a statement that Mr. Petronio drafted before he met Mr. Zou in PX 580. (Whereupon, Exhibit PX 580, Document, was identified.) MR. FRANK: Now, the statement and this requires slightly more explanation. The text in 2010 I'm sorry, in Exhibit 210,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) JMX. AES, Mr. Schuerman testified and it's undisputed, is a system that runs inside Pega apps that determines when in a particular company, it looks across all of the company's Pega apps and determines when there's signs of trouble before they have actually manifested themselves in a specific in a specific outcome, in a specific bad outcome. It alerts the system. Administrator tells them what to do to deal with it. JMX is a capability that's provided in connection with Java programming, and it allows an
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) No unified management tools. Administrators have no unified management tools. On the left is the statement that Mr. Petronio drafted in 2012 to 2013, after he met with Mr. Zou. On the right is a statement that Mr. Petronio drafted before he met Mr. Zou in PX 580. (Whereupon, Exhibit PX 580, Document, was identified.) MR. FRANK: Now, the statement and this requires slightly more explanation. The text in 2010 I'm sorry, in Exhibit 210, the accused information, is that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) JMX. AES, Mr. Schuerman testified and it's undisputed, is a system that runs inside Pega apps that determines when in a particular company, it looks across all of the company's Pega apps and determines when there's signs of trouble before they have actually manifested themselves in a specific in a specific outcome, in a specific bad outcome. It alerts the system. Administrator tells them what to do to deal with it. JMX is a capability that's provided in connection with Java programming, and it allows an administrator at a client to look
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) No unified management tools. Administrators have no unified management tools. On the left is the statement that Mr. Petronio drafted in 2012 to 2013, after he met with Mr. Zou. On the right is a statement that Mr. Petronio drafted before he met Mr. Zou in PX 580. (Whereupon, Exhibit PX 580, Document, was identified.) MR. FRANK: Now, the statement and this requires slightly more explanation. The text in 2010 I'm sorry, in Exhibit 210, the accused information, is that Appian lacks a set of management tools	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) JMX. AES, Mr. Schuerman testified and it's undisputed, is a system that runs inside Pega apps that determines when in a particular company, it looks across all of the company's Pega apps and determines when there's signs of trouble before they have actually manifested themselves in a specific in a specific outcome, in a specific bad outcome. It alerts the system. Administrator tells them what to do to deal with it. JMX is a capability that's provided in connection with Java programming, and it allows an administrator at a client to look across all of its Java-based programs,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) No unified management tools. Administrators have no unified management tools. On the left is the statement that Mr. Petronio drafted in 2012 to 2013, after he met with Mr. Zou. On the right is a statement that Mr. Petronio drafted before he met Mr. Zou in PX 580. (Whereupon, Exhibit PX 580, Document, was identified.) MR. FRANK: Now, the statement and this requires slightly more explanation. The text in 2010 I'm sorry, in Exhibit 210, the accused information, is that Appian lacks a set of management tools to manage the performance and health	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) JMX. AES, Mr. Schuerman testified and it's undisputed, is a system that runs inside Pega apps that determines when in a particular company, it looks across all of the company's Pega apps and determines when there's signs of trouble before they have actually manifested themselves in a specific in a specific outcome, in a specific bad outcome. It alerts the system. Administrator tells them what to do to deal with it. JMX is a capability that's provided in connection with Java programming, and it allows an administrator at a client to look across all of its Java-based programs, whether they're Pega programs or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) No unified management tools. Administrators have no unified management tools.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) JMX. AES, Mr. Schuerman testified and it's undisputed, is a system that runs inside Pega apps that determines when in a particular company, it looks across all of the company's Pega apps and determines when there's signs of trouble before they have actually manifested themselves in a specific in a specific outcome, in a specific bad outcome. It alerts the system. Administrator tells them what to do to deal with it. JMX is a capability that's provided in connection with Java programming, and it allows an administrator at a client to look across all of its Java-based programs, whether they're Pega programs or anyone else, and manage them as a kind
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) No unified management tools. Administrators have no unified management tools. On the left is the statement that Mr. Petronio drafted in 2012 to 2013, after he met with Mr. Zou. On the right is a statement that Mr. Petronio drafted before he met Mr. Zou in PX 580. (Whereupon, Exhibit PX 580, Document, was identified.) MR. FRANK: Now, the statement and this requires slightly more explanation. The text in 2010 I'm sorry, in Exhibit 210, the accused information, is that Appian lacks a set of management tools to manage the performance and health of applications running across an enterprise. The system lacks tools to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) JMX. AES, Mr. Schuerman testified and it's undisputed, is a system that runs inside Pega apps that determines when in a particular company, it looks across all of the company's Pega apps and determines when there's signs of trouble before they have actually manifested themselves in a specific in a specific outcome, in a specific bad outcome. It alerts the system. Administrator tells them what to do to deal with it. JMX is a capability that's provided in connection with Java programming, and it allows an administrator at a client to look across all of its Java-based programs, whether they're Pega programs or anyone else, and manage them as a kind of a unit.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) No unified management tools. Administrators have no unified management tools.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) JMX. AES, Mr. Schuerman testified and it's undisputed, is a system that runs inside Pega apps that determines when in a particular company, it looks across all of the company's Pega apps and determines when there's signs of trouble before they have actually manifested themselves in a specific in a specific outcome, in a specific bad outcome. It alerts the system. Administrator tells them what to do to deal with it. JMX is a capability that's provided in connection with Java programming, and it allows an administrator at a client to look across all of its Java-based programs, whether they're Pega programs or anyone else, and manage them as a kind of a unit. That's what Pega was touting and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) No unified management tools. Administrators have no unified management tools.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) JMX. AES, Mr. Schuerman testified and it's undisputed, is a system that runs inside Pega apps that determines when in a particular company, it looks across all of the company's Pega apps and determines when there's signs of trouble before they have actually manifested themselves in a specific in a specific outcome, in a specific bad outcome. It alerts the system. Administrator tells them what to do to deal with it. JMX is a capability that's provided in connection with Java programming, and it allows an administrator at a client to look across all of its Java-based programs, whether they're Pega programs or anyone else, and manage them as a kind of a unit. That's what Pega was touting and what Pega was saying it had and Appian
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) No unified management tools. Administrators have no unified management tools.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) JMX. AES, Mr. Schuerman testified and it's undisputed, is a system that runs inside Pega apps that determines when in a particular company, it looks across all of the company's Pega apps and determines when there's signs of trouble before they have actually manifested themselves in a specific in a specific outcome, in a specific bad outcome. It alerts the system. Administrator tells them what to do to deal with it. JMX is a capability that's provided in connection with Java programming, and it allows an administrator at a client to look across all of its Java-based programs, whether they're Pega programs or anyone else, and manage them as a kind of a unit. That's what Pega was touting and

	Page 8218		Page 8219
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	please, Josh, so we can see it?	2	he was not challenged on
3	- Referring to Appian, it says:	3	cross-examination with respect to
4	Lacks AES AES and JMX. They have	4	this, this refers to a Pega feature
5	the ability to create a process that	5	called DCO, directly captured
6	wakes up and pings. And if it doesn't	6	objectives, which in Pega allows a
7	get anything back, they can trigger a	7	businessperson to create a design for
8	process not as sophisticated as AES.	8	a business process and put that, put
9	On the right side is before	9	that person's ideas directly into the
10	Mr. Zou was involved. On the left is	10	software as to how the process should
11	after Mr. Zou was involved. They are	11	work, and the software interprets the
12	different articulations of the same	12	inputs and builds the process from the
13	idea.	13	information provided by the
14	Next, the weak reporting tools,	14	businessperson, as distinguished from
15	weak reporting tools and limited chart	15	the businessperson handing the design
16	types. This is two paragraphs, and	16	off to a classical developer and the
17	this is, again, PX 210.	17	developer uses the Pega or Appian
18	The first paragraph says: With	18	business process software development.
19	Appian's developer-centric approach to	19	The this goes back to a core
20	reporting, business users must wait	20	idea that underlies Pega's technology.
21	for developers to create reports.	21	It goes back nearly to the beginning.
22	Business users must define the	22	Now, that's what's being
23	requirements and then hope that the	23	described on the left so-called trade
24	report meets their needs.	24	secret.
25	As Mr. Schuerman explained, and	25	On the right, I have put I've
	Dama (200		Dama (101
1	Page 8220 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Page 8221 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
1 2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1 2	Page 8221 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Count down I think it is
	5		Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Count down I think it is
2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) asked Josh to put up statements both from the 2011 pre-Zou document and the	2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Count down I think it is three. Left, go left, go left, go
2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) asked Josh to put up statements both	2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Count down I think it is three. Left, go left, go left, go left. There you go.
2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) asked Josh to put up statements both from the 2011 pre-Zou document and the statements from the 2010 pre-Zou document.	2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Count down I think it is three. Left, go left, go left, go
2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) asked Josh to put up statements both from the 2011 pre-Zou document and the statements from the 2010 pre-Zou	2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Count down I think it is three. Left, go left, go left, go left. There you go. Now go down, one, two, three.
2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) asked Josh to put up statements both from the 2011 pre-Zou document and the statements from the 2010 pre-Zou document. So, Josh, can we put up for the jury that which is on the top and	2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Count down I think it is three. Left, go left, go left, go left. There you go. Now go down, one, two, three. Maybe you see the word "reports"? Let me just walk up and point to
2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) asked Josh to put up statements both from the 2011 pre-Zou document and the statements from the 2010 pre-Zou document. So, Josh, can we put up for the jury that which is on the top and which is sort of criticizing Appian?	2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Count down I think it is three. Left, go left, go left, go left. There you go. Now go down, one, two, three. Maybe you see the word "reports"? Let me just walk up and point to it. There you go.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) asked Josh to put up statements both from the 2011 pre-Zou document and the statements from the 2010 pre-Zou document. So, Josh, can we put up for the jury that which is on the top and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Count down I think it is three. Left, go left, go left, go left. There you go. Now go down, one, two, three. Maybe you see the word "reports"? Let me just walk up and point to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) asked Josh to put up statements both from the 2011 pre-Zou document and the statements from the 2010 pre-Zou document. So, Josh, can we put up for the jury that which is on the top and which is sort of criticizing Appian? Lacks support for	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Count down I think it is three. Left, go left, go left, go left. There you go. Now go down, one, two, three. Maybe you see the word "reports"? Let me just walk up and point to it. There you go. High tech, I hope you all note.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) asked Josh to put up statements both from the 2011 pre-Zou document and the statements from the 2010 pre-Zou document. So, Josh, can we put up for the jury that which is on the top and which is sort of criticizing Appian? Lacks support for software-enabled methodology, DCO	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Count down I think it is three. Left, go left, go left, go left. There you go. Now go down, one, two, three. Maybe you see the word "reports"? Let me just walk up and point to it. There you go. High tech, I hope you all note. And so there is there in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) asked Josh to put up statements both from the 2011 pre-Zou document and the statements from the 2010 pre-Zou document. So, Josh, can we put up for the jury that which is on the top and which is sort of criticizing Appian? Lacks support for software-enabled methodology, DCO testing and project management,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Count down I think it is three. Left, go left, go left, go left. There you go. Now go down, one, two, three. Maybe you see the word "reports"? Let me just walk up and point to it. There you go. High tech, I hope you all note. And so there is there in 2011, before Pega met Mr. Zou, is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) asked Josh to put up statements both from the 2011 pre-Zou document and the statements from the 2010 pre-Zou document. So, Josh, can we put up for the jury that which is on the top and which is sort of criticizing Appian? Lacks support for software-enabled methodology, DCO testing and project management, rule-approval flow, direct feedback,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Count down I think it is three. Left, go left, go left, go left. There you go. Now go down, one, two, three. Maybe you see the word "reports"? Let me just walk up and point to it. There you go. High tech, I hope you all note. And so there is there in 2011, before Pega met Mr. Zou, is exactly what is being said by Mr
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) asked Josh to put up statements both from the 2011 pre-Zou document and the statements from the 2010 pre-Zou document. So, Josh, can we put up for the jury that which is on the top and which is sort of criticizing Appian? Lacks support for software-enabled methodology, DCO testing and project management, rule-approval flow, direct feedback, lacks horizontal frameworks.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Count down I think it is three. Left, go left, go left, go left. There you go. Now go down, one, two, three. Maybe you see the word "reports"? Let me just walk up and point to it. There you go. High tech, I hope you all note. And so there is there in 2011, before Pega met Mr. Zou, is exactly what is being said by Mr this is Mr. Petronio on the right and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) asked Josh to put up statements both from the 2011 pre-Zou document and the statements from the 2010 pre-Zou document. So, Josh, can we put up for the jury that which is on the top and which is sort of criticizing Appian? Lacks support for software-enabled methodology, DCO testing and project management, rule-approval flow, direct feedback, lacks horizontal frameworks. Now, let's drop down to the next	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Count down I think it is three. Left, go left, go left, go left. There you go. Now go down, one, two, three. Maybe you see the word "reports"? Let me just walk up and point to it. There you go. High tech, I hope you all note. And so there is there in 2011, before Pega met Mr. Zou, is exactly what is being said by Mr this is Mr. Petronio on the right and Mr. Petronio on the left, except that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) asked Josh to put up statements both from the 2011 pre-Zou document and the statements from the 2010 pre-Zou document. So, Josh, can we put up for the jury that which is on the top and which is sort of criticizing Appian? Lacks support for software-enabled methodology, DCO testing and project management, rule-approval flow, direct feedback, lacks horizontal frameworks. Now, let's drop down to the next one.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Count down I think it is three. Left, go left, go left, go left. There you go. Now go down, one, two, three. Maybe you see the word "reports"? Let me just walk up and point to it. There you go. High tech, I hope you all note. And so there is there in 2011, before Pega met Mr. Zou, is exactly what is being said by Mr this is Mr. Petronio on the right and Mr. Petronio told you that he learned
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) asked Josh to put up statements both from the 2011 pre-Zou document and the statements from the 2010 pre-Zou document. So, Josh, can we put up for the jury that which is on the top and which is sort of criticizing Appian? Lacks support for software-enabled methodology, DCO testing and project management, rule-approval flow, direct feedback, lacks horizontal frameworks. Now, let's drop down to the next one. This is in the 2011 pre-Zou	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Count down I think it is three. Left, go left, go left, go left. There you go. Now go down, one, two, three. Maybe you see the word "reports"? Let me just walk up and point to it. There you go. High tech, I hope you all note. And so there is there in 2011, before Pega met Mr. Zou, is exactly what is being said by Mr this is Mr. Petronio on the right and Mr. Petronio told you that he learned all the stuff on the left when, in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) asked Josh to put up statements both from the 2011 pre-Zou document and the statements from the 2010 pre-Zou document. So, Josh, can we put up for the jury that which is on the top and which is sort of criticizing Appian? Lacks support for software-enabled methodology, DCO testing and project management, rule-approval flow, direct feedback, lacks horizontal frameworks. Now, let's drop down to the next one. This is in the 2011 pre-Zou document, and it's talking about DCO	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Count down I think it is three. Left, go left, go left, go left. There you go. Now go down, one, two, three. Maybe you see the word "reports"? Let me just walk up and point to it. There you go. High tech, I hope you all note. And so there is there in 2011, before Pega met Mr. Zou, is exactly what is being said by Mr this is Mr. Petronio on the right and Mr. Petronio the left, except that Mr. Petronio told you that he learned all the stuff on the left when, in fact, he knew all the stuff on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) asked Josh to put up statements both from the 2011 pre-Zou document and the statements from the 2010 pre-Zou document. So, Josh, can we put up for the jury that which is on the top and which is sort of criticizing Appian? Lacks support for software-enabled methodology, DCO testing and project management, rule-approval flow, direct feedback, lacks horizontal frameworks. Now, let's drop down to the next one. This is in the 2011 pre-Zou document, and it's talking about DCO including it lists the benefits of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Count down I think it is three. Left, go left, go left, go left. There you go. Now go down, one, two, three. Maybe you see the word "reports"? Let me just walk up and point to it. There you go. High tech, I hope you all note. And so there is there in 2011, before Pega met Mr. Zou, is exactly what is being said by Mr this is Mr. Petronio on the right and Mr. Petronio told you that he learned all the stuff on the left when, in fact, he knew all the stuff on the right from the year before.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) asked Josh to put up statements both from the 2011 pre-Zou document and the statements from the 2010 pre-Zou document. So, Josh, can we put up for the jury that which is on the top and which is sort of criticizing Appian? Lacks support for software-enabled methodology, DCO testing and project management, rule-approval flow, direct feedback, lacks horizontal frameworks. Now, let's drop down to the next one. This is in the 2011 pre-Zou document, and it's talking about DCO including it lists the benefits of directly capturing objectives.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Count down I think it is three. Left, go left, go left, go left. There you go. Now go down, one, two, three. Maybe you see the word "reports"? Let me just walk up and point to it. There you go. High tech, I hope you all note. And so there is there in 2011, before Pega met Mr. Zou, is exactly what is being said by Mr this is Mr. Petronio on the right and Mr. Petronio told you that he learned all the stuff on the left when, in fact, he knew all the stuff on the right from the year before. The second thing now, there's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) asked Josh to put up statements both from the 2011 pre-Zou document and the statements from the 2010 pre-Zou document. So, Josh, can we put up for the jury that which is on the top and which is sort of criticizing Appian? Lacks support for software-enabled methodology, DCO testing and project management, rule-approval flow, direct feedback, lacks horizontal frameworks. Now, let's drop down to the next one. This is in the 2011 pre-Zou document, and it's talking about DCO including it lists the benefits of directly capturing objectives. And one of them, if you in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Count down I think it is three. Left, go left, go left, go left. There you go. Now go down, one, two, three. Maybe you see the word "reports"? Let me just walk up and point to it. There you go. High tech, I hope you all note. And so there is there in 2011, before Pega met Mr. Zou, is exactly what is being said by Mr this is Mr. Petronio on the right and Mr. Petronio the left, except that Mr. Petronio told you that he learned all the stuff on the left when, in fact, he knew all the stuff on the right from the year before. The second thing now, there's a second paragraph under the weak
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) asked Josh to put up statements both from the 2011 pre-Zou document and the statements from the 2010 pre-Zou document. So, Josh, can we put up for the jury that which is on the top and which is sort of criticizing Appian? Lacks support for software-enabled methodology, DCO testing and project management, rule-approval flow, direct feedback, lacks horizontal frameworks. Now, let's drop down to the next one. This is in the 2011 pre-Zou document, and it's talking about DCO including it lists the benefits of directly capturing objectives. And one of them, if you in the column that is inside the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Count down I think it is three. Left, go left, go left, go left. There you go. Now go down, one, two, three. Maybe you see the word "reports"? Let me just walk up and point to it. There you go. High tech, I hope you all note. And so there is there in 2011, before Pega met Mr. Zou, is exactly what is being said by Mr this is Mr. Petronio on the right and Mr. Petronio told you that he learned all the stuff on the left, except that Mr. Petronio told you that he learned all the stuff on the left when, in fact, he knew all the stuff on the right from the year before. The second thing now, there's a second paragraph under the weak reporting tools and limited chart
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) asked Josh to put up statements both from the 2011 pre-Zou document and the statements from the 2010 pre-Zou document. So, Josh, can we put up for the jury that which is on the top and which is sort of criticizing Appian? Lacks support for software-enabled methodology, DCO testing and project management, rule-approval flow, direct feedback, lacks horizontal frameworks. Now, let's drop down to the next one. This is in the 2011 pre-Zou document, and it's talking about DCO including it lists the benefits of directly capturing objectives. And one of them, if you in the column that is inside the enclosure, Josh against the blue	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Count down I think it is three. Left, go left, go left, go left. There you go. Now go down, one, two, three. Maybe you see the word "reports"? Let me just walk up and point to it. There you go. High tech, I hope you all note. And so there is there in 2011, before Pega met Mr. Zou, is exactly what is being said by Mr this is Mr. Petronio on the right and Mr. Petronio told you that he learned all the stuff on the left, except that Mr. Petronio told you that he learned all the stuff on the left when, in fact, he knew all the stuff on the right from the year before. The second thing now, there's a second paragraph under the weak reporting tools and limited chart types. And I'll give you a minute to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) asked Josh to put up statements both from the 2011 pre-Zou document and the statements from the 2010 pre-Zou document. So, Josh, can we put up for the jury that which is on the top and which is sort of criticizing Appian? Lacks support for software-enabled methodology, DCO testing and project management, rule-approval flow, direct feedback, lacks horizontal frameworks. Now, let's drop down to the next one. This is in the 2011 pre-Zou document, and it's talking about DCO including it lists the benefits of directly capturing objectives. And one of them, if you in the column that is inside the enclosure, Josh against the blue background specifically talks about</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) Count down I think it is three. Left, go left, go left, go left. There you go. Now go down, one, two, three. Maybe you see the word "reports"? Let me just walk up and point to it. There you go. High tech, I hope you all note. And so there is there in 2011, before Pega met Mr. Zou, is exactly what is being said by Mr this is Mr. Petronio on the right and Mr. Petronio told you that he learned all the stuff on the left, except that Mr. Petronio told you that he learned all the stuff on the left when, in fact, he knew all the stuff on the right from the year before. The second thing now, there's a second paragraph under the weak reporting tools and limited chart types. And I'll give you a minute to read that.

	Page 8222		Page 8223
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	First, blow up the one at the	2	that at the trial that the
3	top, please, Josh.	3	statement about not supporting 3D
4	This is from the 2011 document	4	charts is was false, possibly
5	before Mr. Zou was in contact with	5	false, he said, and not a trade
6	Mr. Petronio: Appian has limited	6	secret.
7	capabilities for learning and gaining	7	The statement about lacks
8	insight from operational and historic	8	visualization, such as drill-downs,
9	data, no ability to rapidly model,	9	Mr. Ross had said at his deposition
10	execute, and modify visually such as	10	was not true and, therefore, was not a
11	Pega's VBD.	11	trade secret.
12	Next slide down, next entry	12	
13		13	Next, concurrent development,
	down, please.		concurrent development.
14	A whole page full of chart types	14	Appian lacks concurrent
15	that Pega has and that Mr. Schuerman	15	developer support, greatly slowing
16	described and are illustrative of the	16	down time to market.
17	charts that Pega thought then that it	17	We don't have a slide here.
18	had that other people didn't have.	18	Sorry. Let's put up the language,
19	And drop down to the one on the	19	please, from Exhibit 210, because I
20	bottom, please, Josh.	20	don't have a graphic on the other
21	More on another page, rapidly	21	slide.
22	model, execute, and modify strategies.	22	And you'll find this on the
23	Same argument being made in 2011 that	23	second page, Josh, "Appian lacks
24	was being made later in 2012.	24	concurrent developer support."
25	Now, Mr. Ross acknowledged	25	At his deposition, Mr. Ross
	Page 8224		Page 8225
1 -		-	_
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	testified that the statement that	2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the deputy chief technology officer
2 3	testified that the statement that Appian lacks concurrent developer	2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the deputy chief technology officer what is and what isn't secret.
2 3 4	testified that the statement that Appian lacks concurrent developer support, greatly slowing down time to	2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the deputy chief technology officer what is and what isn't secret. Doctor furthermore,
2 3 4 5	testified that the statement that Appian lacks concurrent developer support, greatly slowing down time to market, was in his opinion a false	2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the deputy chief technology officer what is and what isn't secret. Doctor furthermore, Dr. Easttom testified that the fact
2 3 4 5 6	testified that the statement that Appian lacks concurrent developer support, greatly slowing down time to market, was in his opinion a false statement and, therefore, not a trade	2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the deputy chief technology officer what is and what isn't secret. Doctor furthermore, Dr. Easttom testified that the fact that only a single developer could
2 3 4 5 6 7	testified that the statement that Appian lacks concurrent developer support, greatly slowing down time to market, was in his opinion a false statement and, therefore, not a trade secret.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the deputy chief technology officer what is and what isn't secret. Doctor furthermore, Dr. Easttom testified that the fact that only a single developer could work on a process at one time was not
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	testified that the statement that Appian lacks concurrent developer support, greatly slowing down time to market, was in his opinion a false statement and, therefore, not a trade secret. Appian now claims that having	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the deputy chief technology officer what is and what isn't secret. Doctor furthermore, Dr. Easttom testified that the fact that only a single developer could work on a process at one time was not a trade secret because, if true, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	testified that the statement that Appian lacks concurrent developer support, greatly slowing down time to market, was in his opinion a false statement and, therefore, not a trade secret. Appian now claims that having seen or Mr. Ross testified that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the deputy chief technology officer what is and what isn't secret. Doctor furthermore, Dr. Easttom testified that the fact that only a single developer could work on a process at one time was not a trade secret because, if true, it would be apparent to every Appian
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	testified that the statement that Appian lacks concurrent developer support, greatly slowing down time to market, was in his opinion a false statement and, therefore, not a trade secret. Appian now claims that having seen or Mr. Ross testified that when he saw something in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the deputy chief technology officer what is and what isn't secret. Doctor furthermore, Dr. Easttom testified that the fact that only a single developer could work on a process at one time was not a trade secret because, if true, it would be apparent to every Appian developer, and Appian developers were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	testified that the statement that Appian lacks concurrent developer support, greatly slowing down time to market, was in his opinion a false statement and, therefore, not a trade secret. Appian now claims that having seen or Mr. Ross testified that when he saw something in Mr. Petronio's videos, he never could	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the deputy chief technology officer what is and what isn't secret. Doctor furthermore, Dr. Easttom testified that the fact that only a single developer could work on a process at one time was not a trade secret because, if true, it would be apparent to every Appian developer, and Appian developers were under no obligation to maintain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	testified that the statement that Appian lacks concurrent developer support, greatly slowing down time to market, was in his opinion a false statement and, therefore, not a trade secret. Appian now claims that having seen or Mr. Ross testified that when he saw something in Mr. Petronio's videos, he never could identify what the something was, he	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the deputy chief technology officer what is and what isn't secret. Doctor furthermore, Dr. Easttom testified that the fact that only a single developer could work on a process at one time was not a trade secret because, if true, it would be apparent to every Appian developer, and Appian developers were under no obligation to maintain took my watch off cleverly to make
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>testified that the statement that Appian lacks concurrent developer support, greatly slowing down time to market, was in his opinion a false statement and, therefore, not a trade secret. Appian now claims that having seen or Mr. Ross testified that when he saw something in Mr. Petronio's videos, he never could identify what the something was, he all of the sudden realized that the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the deputy chief technology officer what is and what isn't secret. Doctor furthermore, Dr. Easttom testified that the fact that only a single developer could work on a process at one time was not a trade secret because, if true, it would be apparent to every Appian developer, and Appian developers were under no obligation to maintain took my watch off cleverly to make sure I wasn't running for too long and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>testified that the statement that Appian lacks concurrent developer support, greatly slowing down time to market, was in his opinion a false statement and, therefore, not a trade secret.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the deputy chief technology officer what is and what isn't secret. Doctor furthermore, Dr. Easttom testified that the fact that only a single developer could work on a process at one time was not a trade secret because, if true, it would be apparent to every Appian developer, and Appian developers were under no obligation to maintain took my watch off cleverly to make sure I wasn't running for too long and then I dropped it on the floor to make</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>testified that the statement that Appian lacks concurrent developer support, greatly slowing down time to market, was in his opinion a false statement and, therefore, not a trade secret.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the deputy chief technology officer what is and what isn't secret. Doctor furthermore, Dr. Easttom testified that the fact that only a single developer could work on a process at one time was not a trade secret because, if true, it would be apparent to every Appian developer, and Appian developers were under no obligation to maintain took my watch off cleverly to make sure I wasn't running for too long and then I dropped it on the floor to make it very obvious that I'm picking my</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>testified that the statement that Appian lacks concurrent developer support, greatly slowing down time to market, was in his opinion a false statement and, therefore, not a trade secret. Appian now claims that having seen or Mr. Ross testified that when he saw something in Mr. Petronio's videos, he never could identify what the something was, he all of the sudden realized that the fact that Appian lacked concurrent developer support really wasn't false and really was a trade secret, but</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the deputy chief technology officer what is and what isn't secret. Doctor furthermore, Dr. Easttom testified that the fact that only a single developer could work on a process at one time was not a trade secret because, if true, it would be apparent to every Appian developer, and Appian developers were under no obligation to maintain took my watch off cleverly to make sure I wasn't running for too long and then I dropped it on the floor to make it very obvious that I'm picking my watch up. I'm one of these slick</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>testified that the statement that Appian lacks concurrent developer support, greatly slowing down time to market, was in his opinion a false statement and, therefore, not a trade secret. Appian now claims that having seen or Mr. Ross testified that when he saw something in Mr. Petronio's videos, he never could identify what the something was, he all of the sudden realized that the fact that Appian lacked concurrent developer support really wasn't false and really was a trade secret, but there is no explanation for how that</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the deputy chief technology officer what is and what isn't secret. Doctor furthermore, Dr. Easttom testified that the fact that only a single developer could work on a process at one time was not a trade secret because, if true, it would be apparent to every Appian developer, and Appian developers were under no obligation to maintain took my watch off cleverly to make sure I wasn't running for too long and then I dropped it on the floor to make it very obvious that I'm picking my watch up. I'm one of these slick lawyers.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>testified that the statement that Appian lacks concurrent developer support, greatly slowing down time to market, was in his opinion a false statement and, therefore, not a trade secret.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the deputy chief technology officer what is and what isn't secret. Doctor furthermore, Dr. Easttom testified that the fact that only a single developer could work on a process at one time was not a trade secret because, if true, it would be apparent to every Appian developer, and Appian developers were under no obligation to maintain took my watch off cleverly to make sure I wasn't running for too long and then I dropped it on the floor to make it very obvious that I'm picking my watch up. I'm one of these slick lawyers. I was going to resist that, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>testified that the statement that Appian lacks concurrent developer support, greatly slowing down time to market, was in his opinion a false statement and, therefore, not a trade secret.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the deputy chief technology officer what is and what isn't secret. Doctor furthermore, Dr. Easttom testified that the fact that only a single developer could work on a process at one time was not a trade secret because, if true, it would be apparent to every Appian developer, and Appian developers were under no obligation to maintain took my watch off cleverly to make sure I wasn't running for too long and then I dropped it on the floor to make it very obvious that I'm picking my watch up. I'm one of these slick lawyers. I was going to resist that, but I was given a cue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>testified that the statement that Appian lacks concurrent developer support, greatly slowing down time to market, was in his opinion a false statement and, therefore, not a trade secret. Appian now claims that having seen or Mr. Ross testified that when he saw something in Mr. Petronio's videos, he never could identify what the something was, he all of the sudden realized that the fact that Appian lacked concurrent developer support really wasn't false and really was a trade secret, but there is no explanation for how that could possibly have changed.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the deputy chief technology officer what is and what isn't secret. Doctor furthermore, Dr. Easttom testified that the fact that only a single developer could work on a process at one time was not a trade secret because, if true, it would be apparent to every Appian developer, and Appian developers were under no obligation to maintain took my watch off cleverly to make sure I wasn't running for too long and then I dropped it on the floor to make it very obvious that I'm picking my watch up. I'm one of these slick lawyers. I was going to resist that, but I was given a cue. Now, the point that Dr. Easttom
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	 testified that the statement that Appian lacks concurrent developer support, greatly slowing down time to market, was in his opinion a false statement and, therefore, not a trade secret. Appian now claims that having seen or Mr. Ross testified that when he saw something in Mr. Petronio's videos, he never could identify what the something was, he all of the sudden realized that the fact that Appian lacked concurrent developer support really wasn't false and really was a trade secret, but there is no explanation for how that could possibly have changed. Me is the deputy chief technical officer. It's a trade secret or it's not a trade secret. It's a trade 	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the deputy chief technology officer what is and what isn't secret. Doctor furthermore, Dr. Easttom testified that the fact that only a single developer could work on a process at one time was not a trade secret because, if true, it would be apparent to every Appian developer, and Appian developers were under no obligation to maintain took my watch off cleverly to make sure I wasn't running for too long and then I dropped it on the floor to make it very obvious that I'm picking my watch up. I'm one of these slick lawyers. I was going to resist that, but I was given a cue. Now, the point that Dr. Easttom made is that if you have a product } } </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>testified that the statement that Appian lacks concurrent developer support, greatly slowing down time to market, was in his opinion a false statement and, therefore, not a trade secret.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the deputy chief technology officer what is and what isn't secret. Doctor furthermore, Dr. Easttom testified that the fact that only a single developer could work on a process at one time was not a trade secret because, if true, it would be apparent to every Appian developer, and Appian developers were under no obligation to maintain took my watch off cleverly to make sure I wasn't running for too long and then I dropped it on the floor to make it very obvious that I'm picking my watch up. I'm one of these slick lawyers. I was going to resist that, but I was given a cue. Now, the point that Dr. Easttom made is that if you have a product feature that anybody can observe, that } } </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>testified that the statement that Appian lacks concurrent developer support, greatly slowing down time to market, was in his opinion a false statement and, therefore, not a trade secret. Appian now claims that having seen or Mr. Ross testified that when he saw something in Mr. Petronio's videos, he never could identify what the something was, he all of the sudden realized that the fact that Appian lacked concurrent developer support really wasn't false and really was a trade secret, but there is no explanation for how that could possibly have changed. Me is the deputy chief technical officer. It's a trade secret because it's important because you keep it secret and you don't not</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the deputy chief technology officer what is and what isn't secret. Doctor furthermore, Dr. Easttom testified that the fact that only a single developer could work on a process at one time was not a trade secret because, if true, it would be apparent to every Appian developer, and Appian developers were under no obligation to maintain took my watch off cleverly to make sure I wasn't running for too long and then I dropped it on the floor to make it very obvious that I'm picking my watch up. I'm one of these slick lawyers. I was going to resist that, but I was given a cue. Now, the point that Dr. Easttom made is that if you have a product feature that anybody can observe, that is whether two people can it used </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>testified that the statement that Appian lacks concurrent developer support, greatly slowing down time to market, was in his opinion a false statement and, therefore, not a trade secret.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the deputy chief technology officer what is and what isn't secret. Doctor furthermore, Dr. Easttom testified that the fact that only a single developer could work on a process at one time was not a trade secret because, if true, it would be apparent to every Appian developer, and Appian developers were under no obligation to maintain took my watch off cleverly to make sure I wasn't running for too long and then I dropped it on the floor to make it very obvious that I'm picking my watch up. I'm one of these slick lawyers. I was going to resist that, but I was given a cue. Now, the point that Dr. Easttom made is that if you have a product feature that anybody can observe, that } } </pre>

	Page 8226		Page 8227
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	document on Microsoft Word at the same	2	do, what the observable features of
3	time. It's my that's, I think, no	3	the software are is somehow a secret
4	longer true, but it was true back at	4	that no one can describe.
5	this point for sure.	5	Do you believe I say, bring
6	But in any event, as soon as you	6	you're common sense to this, do you
7	get the product and look at the	7	believe that, that with respect to any
8	product, this is something you're	8	software program, it's one thing to
9	going to see. It's an observable	9	give away the software to somebody
10	feature of the product that anyone,	10	else; but is it within your experience
11	you or even at my level of skill, is	11	that you can't even talk about whether
12	going to be able to tell.	12	you like the software of don't like
13	And we have been shown no	13	the software or whether the software
14	document, there is no document that	14	is good or you think it does what you
15	says that one developer employed by an	15	want it to do or you've got a problem
16	Appian client or a Pega client, for	16	with it and you don't like it because
17	that matter, is somehow restricted	17	there is a particular problem?
18	from saying to anyone what it observes	18	The short answer is, Appian's
19	with respect to the capabilities of	19	shown us nothing in any contract that
20	Appian's product.	20	Appian has entered with anyone that
21	It's they have to keep the	21	restricts users of Appian's software
22	software itself confidential; but what	22	from talking to other people about
23	the software can do, there is nothing	23	what the software can do and what it
24	in any of the documents that you have	24	can't do.
25	been shown that what the software can	25	That's different from giving the
	Dago 8338		Dago 9330
1	Page 8228 Defendant - Peqa - Closing (Frank)	1	Page 8229 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
1 2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1 2	Page 8229 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) jury.
	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) software away. But there's nothing		Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) jury. And he had done no calculation,
2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) software away. But there's nothing that restricts people from talking	2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) jury.
2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) software away. But there's nothing that restricts people from talking about that. If there's no control over it, then it's not a trade secret.	2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) jury. And he had done no calculation, made no analysis of what his number
2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) software away. But there's nothing that restricts people from talking about that. If there's no control over it, then it's not a trade secret. And so, and so that's the kind	2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) jury. And he had done no calculation, made no analysis of what his number would be, his so-called unjust
2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) software away. But there's nothing that restricts people from talking about that. If there's no control over it, then it's not a trade secret. And so, and so that's the kind of thing we're talking about here.	2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) jury. And he had done no calculation, made no analysis of what his number would be, his so-called unjust enrichment number would be if only
2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) software away. But there's nothing that restricts people from talking about that. If there's no control over it, then it's not a trade secret. And so, and so that's the kind	2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) jury. And he had done no calculation, made no analysis of what his number would be, his so-called unjust enrichment number would be if only five or three or one of those supposed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) software away. But there's nothing that restricts people from talking about that. If there's no control over it, then it's not a trade secret. And so, and so that's the kind of thing we're talking about here. Now, I pause here for a little	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) jury. And he had done no calculation, made no analysis of what his number would be, his so-called unjust enrichment number would be if only five or three or one of those supposed trade secrets were trade secrets. So the whole structure of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) software away. But there's nothing that restricts people from talking about that. If there's no control over it, then it's not a trade secret. And so, and so that's the kind of thing we're talking about here. Now, I pause here for a little context. This has to do the advertising. The marketing materials	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) jury. And he had done no calculation, made no analysis of what his number would be, his so-called unjust enrichment number would be if only five or three or one of those supposed trade secrets were trade secrets. So the whole structure of Appian's damage claim depends on their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) software away. But there's nothing that restricts people from talking about that. If there's no control over it, then it's not a trade secret. And so, and so that's the kind of thing we're talking about here. Now, I pause here for a little context. This has to do the advertising. The marketing materials claim is the subject of a \$479 million	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) jury. And he had done no calculation, made no analysis of what his number would be, his so-called unjust enrichment number would be if only five or three or one of those supposed trade secrets were trade secrets. So the whole structure of Appian's damage claim depends on their maintaining that every one of the six
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) software away. But there's nothing that restricts people from talking about that. If there's no control over it, then it's not a trade secret. And so, and so that's the kind of thing we're talking about here. Now, I pause here for a little context. This has to do the advertising. The marketing materials	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) jury. And he had done no calculation, made no analysis of what his number would be, his so-called unjust enrichment number would be if only five or three or one of those supposed trade secrets were trade secrets. So the whole structure of Appian's damage claim depends on their
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) software away. But there's nothing that restricts people from talking about that. If there's no control over it, then it's not a trade secret. And so, and so that's the kind of thing we're talking about here. Now, I pause here for a little context. This has to do the advertising. The marketing materials claim is the subject of a \$479 million damage claim. This is the 201	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) jury. And he had done no calculation, made no analysis of what his number would be, his so-called unjust enrichment number would be if only five or three or one of those supposed trade secrets were trade secrets. So the whole structure of Appian's damage claim depends on their maintaining that every one of the six things that I described to you was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) software away. But there's nothing that restricts people from talking about that. If there's no control over it, then it's not a trade secret. And so, and so that's the kind of thing we're talking about here. Now, I pause here for a little context. This has to do the advertising. The marketing materials claim is the subject of a \$479 million damage claim. This is the 201 customer claim.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) jury. And he had done no calculation, made no analysis of what his number would be, his so-called unjust enrichment number would be if only five or three or one of those supposed trade secrets were trade secrets. So the whole structure of Appian's damage claim depends on their maintaining that every one of the six things that I described to you was brand-new to Pega and was a trade
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) software away. But there's nothing that restricts people from talking about that. If there's no control over it, then it's not a trade secret. And so, and so that's the kind of thing we're talking about here. Now, I pause here for a little context. This has to do the advertising. The marketing materials claim is the subject of a \$479 million damage claim. This is the 201 customer claim. But Mr. Malackowski testified	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) jury. And he had done no calculation, made no analysis of what his number would be, his so-called unjust enrichment number would be if only five or three or one of those supposed trade secrets were trade secrets. So the whole structure of Appian's damage claim depends on their maintaining that every one of the six things that I described to you was brand-new to Pega and was a trade secret because Pega hadn't learned the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) software away. But there's nothing that restricts people from talking about that. If there's no control over it, then it's not a trade secret. And so, and so that's the kind of thing we're talking about here. Now, I pause here for a little context. This has to do the advertising. The marketing materials claim is the subject of a \$479 million damage claim. This is the 201 customer claim. But Mr. Malackowski testified that his number, his \$479 million	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) jury. And he had done no calculation, made no analysis of what his number would be, his so-called unjust enrichment number would be if only five or three or one of those supposed trade secrets were trade secrets. So the whole structure of Appian's damage claim depends on their maintaining that every one of the six things that I described to you was brand-new to Pega and was a trade secret because Pega hadn't learned the same thing from some other public
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) software away. But there's nothing that restricts people from talking about that. If there's no control over it, then it's not a trade secret. And so, and so that's the kind of thing we're talking about here. Now, I pause here for a little context. This has to do the advertising. The marketing materials claim is the subject of a \$479 million damage claim. This is the 201 customer claim. But Mr. Malackowski testified that his number, his \$479 million number, depended upon all six of the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) jury. And he had done no calculation, made no analysis of what his number would be, his so-called unjust enrichment number would be if only five or three or one of those supposed trade secrets were trade secrets. So the whole structure of Appian's damage claim depends on their maintaining that every one of the six things that I described to you was brand-new to Pega and was a trade secret because Pega hadn't learned the same thing from some other public source in 2010 or 2009 or before.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) software away. But there's nothing that restricts people from talking about that. If there's no control over it, then it's not a trade secret. And so, and so that's the kind of thing we're talking about here. Now, I pause here for a little context. This has to do the advertising. The marketing materials claim is the subject of a \$479 million damage claim. This is the 201 customer claim. But Mr. Malackowski testified that his number, his \$479 million number, depended upon all six of the things that I just showed you being	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) jury. And he had done no calculation, made no analysis of what his number would be, his so-called unjust enrichment number would be if only five or three or one of those supposed trade secrets were trade secrets. So the whole structure of Appian's damage claim depends on their maintaining that every one of the six things that I described to you was brand-new to Pega and was a trade secret because Pega hadn't learned the same thing from some other public source in 2010 or 2009 or before. And if I have persuaded you as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) software away. But there's nothing that restricts people from talking about that. If there's no control over it, then it's not a trade secret. And so, and so that's the kind of thing we're talking about here. Now, I pause here for a little context. This has to do the advertising. The marketing materials claim is the subject of a \$479 million damage claim. This is the 201 customer claim. But Mr. Malackowski testified that his number, his \$479 million number, depended upon all six of the things that I just showed you being trade secrets that were not known by	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) jury. And he had done no calculation, made no analysis of what his number would be, his so-called unjust enrichment number would be if only five or three or one of those supposed trade secrets were trade secrets. So the whole structure of Appian's damage claim depends on their maintaining that every one of the six things that I described to you was brand-new to Pega and was a trade secret because Pega hadn't learned the same thing from some other public source in 2010 or 2009 or before. And if I have persuaded you as to any one of the six, Appian's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) software away. But there's nothing that restricts people from talking about that. If there's no control over it, then it's not a trade secret. And so, and so that's the kind of thing we're talking about here. Now, I pause here for a little context. This has to do the advertising. The marketing materials claim is the subject of a \$479 million damage claim. This is the 201 customer claim. But Mr. Malackowski testified that his number, his \$479 million number, depended upon all six of the things that I just showed you being trade secrets that were not known by Pega prior to the time that it met	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) jury. And he had done no calculation, made no analysis of what his number would be, his so-called unjust enrichment number would be if only five or three or one of those supposed trade secrets were trade secrets. So the whole structure of Appian's damage claim depends on their maintaining that every one of the six things that I described to you was brand-new to Pega and was a trade secret because Pega hadn't learned the same thing from some other public source in 2010 or 2009 or before. And if I have persuaded you as to any one of the six, Appian's \$479 million number is unsupported
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) software away. But there's nothing that restricts people from talking about that. If there's no control over it, then it's not a trade secret. And so, and so that's the kind of thing we're talking about here. Now, I pause here for a little context. This has to do the advertising. The marketing materials claim is the subject of a \$479 million damage claim. But Mr. Malackowski testified that his number, his \$479 million number, depended upon all six of the things that I just showed you being trade secrets that were not known by Pega prior to the time that it met with Mr. Zou.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) jury. And he had done no calculation, made no analysis of what his number would be, his so-called unjust enrichment number would be if only five or three or one of those supposed trade secrets were trade secrets. So the whole structure of Appian's damage claim depends on their maintaining that every one of the six things that I described to you was brand-new to Pega and was a trade secret because Pega hadn't learned the same thing from some other public source in 2010 or 2009 or before. And if I have persuaded you as to any one of the six, Appian's \$479 million number is unsupported because Mr. Malackowski declined to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) software away. But there's nothing that restricts people from talking about that. If there's no control over it, then it's not a trade secret. And so, and so that's the kind of thing we're talking about here. Now, I pause here for a little context. This has to do the advertising. The marketing materials claim is the subject of a \$479 million damage claim. This is the 201 customer claim. But Mr. Malackowski testified that his number, his \$479 million number, depended upon all six of the things that I just showed you being trade secrets that were not known by Pega prior to the time that it met with Mr. Zou. He testified that if less than</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) jury. And he had done no calculation, made no analysis of what his number would be, his so-called unjust enrichment number would be if only five or three or one of those supposed trade secrets were trade secrets. So the whole structure of Appian's damage claim depends on their maintaining that every one of the six things that I described to you was brand-new to Pega and was a trade secret because Pega hadn't learned the same thing from some other public source in 2010 or 2009 or before. And if I have persuaded you as to any one of the six, Appian's \$479 million number is unsupported because Mr. Malackowski declined to support it on cross-examination.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) software away. But there's nothing that restricts people from talking about that. If there's no control over it, then it's not a trade secret. And so, and so that's the kind of thing we're talking about here. Now, I pause here for a little context. This has to do the advertising. The marketing materials claim is the subject of a \$479 million damage claim. This is the 201 customer claim. But Mr. Malackowski testified that his number, his \$479 million number, depended upon all six of the things that I just showed you being trade secrets that were not known by Pega prior to the time that it met with Mr. Zou. He testified that if less than all of the six were trade secrets,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) jury. And he had done no calculation, made no analysis of what his number would be, his so-called unjust enrichment number would be if only five or three or one of those supposed trade secrets were trade secrets. So the whole structure of Appian's damage claim depends on their maintaining that every one of the six things that I described to you was brand-new to Pega and was a trade secret because Pega hadn't learned the same thing from some other public source in 2010 or 2009 or before. And if I have persuaded you as to any one of the six, Appian's \$479 million number is unsupported because Mr. Malackowski declined to support it on cross-examination. And I so that's the reason
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) software away. But there's nothing that restricts people from talking about that. If there's no control over it, then it's not a trade secret. And so, and so that's the kind of thing we're talking about here. Now, I pause here for a little context. This has to do the advertising. The marketing materials claim is the subject of a \$479 million damage claim. This is the 201 customer claim. But Mr. Malackowski testified that his number, his \$479 million number, depended upon all six of the things that I just showed you being trade secrets that were not known by Pega prior to the time that it met with Mr. Zou. He testified that if less than all of the six were trade secrets, that his number was no longer</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) jury. And he had done no calculation, made no analysis of what his number would be, his so-called unjust enrichment number would be if only five or three or one of those supposed trade secrets were trade secrets. So the whole structure of Appian's damage claim depends on their maintaining that every one of the six things that I described to you was brand-new to Pega and was a trade secret because Pega hadn't learned the same thing from some other public source in 2010 or 2009 or before. And if I have persuaded you as to any one of the six, Appian's \$479 million number is unsupported because Mr. Malackowski declined to support it on cross-examination. And I so that's the reason for the time spent on this, and it is </pre>

	Page 8230		Page 8231
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	of his employer, Appian, that, oh, no,	2	were shown a graph yesterday that
3	everything I learned from Mr. Zou was	3	pointed to Pega's sales performance in
4	brand-new stuff, the same Mr. Petronio	4	the period of time leading up to the
5	had been putting that in Pega's sales	5	time when it met with Mr. Zou.
6	coaching materials in 2010 and 2011.	6	And I wonder if we can put that
7	And of course, you know what my	7	up, Josh. It's it's Plaintiff's
8	suggestion is here, that is that none	8	689, Slide 13. 689, Slide 13.
9	of the six were unknown to Pega, were	9	(Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 689,
10	trade secrets that Pega just learned.	10	Document, was identified.)
11	And but in a sense, I don't	11	MR. FRANK: While Josh is
12	have to persuade you that six out of	12	looking for that, I'll keep going
13	six were not trade secrets.	13	there so as not to waste your time.
14	Dr. Malackowski's number falls away if	14	The question is whether the
15	I have persuaded you that one of the	15	product there we go, better late
16	six was not a trade secret.	16	than never.
17	Change of subject, product	17	This is Pega's performance in
18	improvements, this is the other half.	18	the period between 2004, I believe.
19	This is the \$3.03 billion claim, and	19	And, Josh, would you run a line
20	this is this makes the assumption	20	under the period 2004 through 2011 so
21	that all well, first, yesterday you	21	the jury is looking at a period of
22	heard from Mr. Marshall that Pega was	22	time that's indisputably unrelated to
23	a leader in the BPM field as of 2012,	23	anything Mr. Zou did?
24	immediately before.	24	And that's Pega sales
25	You have also been shown you	25	performance year by year. You were
	D		
			D
1	Page 8232 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Page 8233 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) told by Mr. Mangi this morning that	2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) And this was presented to Mr
2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) told by Mr. Mangi this morning that the stock price wasn't doing too well	2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) And this was presented to Mr the reason this was before you
2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) told by Mr. Mangi this morning that the stock price wasn't doing too well between 2010 and 2012, but the stock	2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) And this was presented to Mr the reason this was before you yesterday is because it was presented
2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) told by Mr. Mangi this morning that the stock price wasn't doing too well between 2010 and 2012, but the stock price is not the measure of the	2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) And this was presented to Mr the reason this was before you yesterday is because it was presented to Mr. Marshall [sic] in 2014. And
2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) told by Mr. Mangi this morning that the stock price wasn't doing too well between 2010 and 2012, but the stock price is not the measure of the success of a company.	2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) And this was presented to Mr the reason this was before you yesterday is because it was presented to Mr. Marshall [sic] in 2014. And when Mr. Marshall was asked about it,
2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) told by Mr. Mangi this morning that the stock price wasn't doing too well between 2010 and 2012, but the stock price is not the measure of the success of a company. Bring it up again, please, Josh.	2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) And this was presented to Mr the reason this was before you yesterday is because it was presented to Mr. Marshall [sic] in 2014. And when Mr. Marshall was asked about it, he was asked whether this was the sign
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) told by Mr. Mangi this morning that the stock price wasn't doing too well between 2010 and 2012, but the stock price is not the measure of the success of a company. Bring it up again, please, Josh. The measure of the success of a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) And this was presented to Mr the reason this was before you yesterday is because it was presented to Mr. Marshall [sic] in 2014. And when Mr. Marshall was asked about it, he was asked whether this was the sign of a company the question by my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) told by Mr. Mangi this morning that the stock price wasn't doing too well between 2010 and 2012, but the stock price is not the measure of the success of a company. Bring it up again, please, Josh. The measure of the success of a software company is whether people are	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) And this was presented to Mr the reason this was before you yesterday is because it was presented to Mr. Marshall [sic] in 2014. And when Mr. Marshall was asked about it, he was asked whether this was the sign of a company the question by my partner Ms. Spieth was: Does this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) told by Mr. Mangi this morning that the stock price wasn't doing too well between 2010 and 2012, but the stock price is not the measure of the success of a company. Bring it up again, please, Josh. The measure of the success of a software company is whether people are buying product. If the people, if	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) And this was presented to Mr the reason this was before you yesterday is because it was presented to Mr. Marshall [sic] in 2014. And when Mr. Marshall was asked about it, he was asked whether this was the sign of a company the question by my partner Ms. Spieth was: Does this graph on Slide 13 of the presentation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) told by Mr. Mangi this morning that the stock price wasn't doing too well between 2010 and 2012, but the stock price is not the measure of the success of a company. Bring it up again, please, Josh. The measure of the success of a software company is whether people are buying product. If the people, if people stop buying the product, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) And this was presented to Mr the reason this was before you yesterday is because it was presented to Mr. Marshall [sic] in 2014. And when Mr. Marshall was asked about it, he was asked whether this was the sign of a company the question by my partner Ms. Spieth was: Does this graph on Slide 13 of the presentation show concern about Pega's financial
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) told by Mr. Mangi this morning that the stock price wasn't doing too well between 2010 and 2012, but the stock price is not the measure of the success of a company. Bring it up again, please, Josh. The measure of the success of a software company is whether people are buying product. If the people, if people stop buying the product, that build goes the other direction.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) And this was presented to Mr the reason this was before you yesterday is because it was presented to Mr. Marshall [sic] in 2014. And when Mr. Marshall was asked about it, he was asked whether this was the sign of a company the question by my partner Ms. Spieth was: Does this graph on Slide 13 of the presentation show concern about Pega's financial trajectory as of 2011?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) told by Mr. Mangi this morning that the stock price wasn't doing too well between 2010 and 2012, but the stock price is not the measure of the success of a company. Bring it up again, please, Josh. The measure of the success of a software company is whether people are buying product. If the people, if people stop buying the product, that build goes the other direction. I don't have it either, I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) And this was presented to Mr the reason this was before you yesterday is because it was presented to Mr. Marshall [sic] in 2014. And when Mr. Marshall was asked about it, he was asked whether this was the sign of a company the question by my partner Ms. Spieth was: Does this graph on Slide 13 of the presentation show concern about Pega's financial trajectory as of 2011? Answer from Appian's witness
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) told by Mr. Mangi this morning that the stock price wasn't doing too well between 2010 and 2012, but the stock price is not the measure of the success of a company. Bring it up again, please, Josh. The measure of the success of a software company is whether people are buying product. If the people, if people stop buying the product, that build goes the other direction. I don't have it either, I'm sorry. Plaintiff's 689, Slide 13. It	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) And this was presented to Mr the reason this was before you yesterday is because it was presented to Mr. Marshall [sic] in 2014. And when Mr. Marshall was asked about it, he was asked whether this was the sign of a company the question by my partner Ms. Spieth was: Does this graph on Slide 13 of the presentation show concern about Pega's financial trajectory as of 2011?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) told by Mr. Mangi this morning that the stock price wasn't doing too well between 2010 and 2012, but the stock price is not the measure of the success of a company. Bring it up again, please, Josh. The measure of the success of a software company is whether people are buying product. If the people, if people stop buying the product, that build goes the other direction. I don't have it either, I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) And this was presented to Mr the reason this was before you yesterday is because it was presented to Mr. Marshall [sic] in 2014. And when Mr. Marshall was asked about it, he was asked whether this was the sign of a company the question by my partner Ms. Spieth was: Does this graph on Slide 13 of the presentation show concern about Pega's financial trajectory as of 2011? Answer from Appian's witness Mr. Marshall: No. It's demonstrating
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) told by Mr. Mangi this morning that the stock price wasn't doing too well between 2010 and 2012, but the stock price is not the measure of the success of a company. Bring it up again, please, Josh. The measure of the success of a software company is whether people are buying product. If the people, if people stop buying the product, that build goes the other direction. I don't have it either, I'm sorry. Plaintiff's 689, Slide 13. It will appear. Might not be the fault	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) And this was presented to Mr the reason this was before you yesterday is because it was presented to Mr. Marshall [sic] in 2014. And when Mr. Marshall was asked about it, he was asked whether this was the sign of a company the question by my partner Ms. Spieth was: Does this graph on Slide 13 of the presentation show concern about Pega's financial trajectory as of 2011? Answer from Appian's witness Mr. Marshall: No. It's demonstrating quite the reverse.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) told by Mr. Mangi this morning that the stock price wasn't doing too well between 2010 and 2012, but the stock price is not the measure of the success of a company. Bring it up again, please, Josh. The measure of the success of a software company is whether people are buying product. If the people, if people stop buying the product, that build goes the other direction. I don't have it either, I'm sorry. Plaintiff's 689, Slide 13. It will appear. Might not be the fault on my side but we'll see. There we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) And this was presented to Mr the reason this was before you yesterday is because it was presented to Mr. Marshall [sic] in 2014. And when Mr. Marshall was asked about it, he was asked whether this was the sign of a company the question by my partner Ms. Spieth was: Does this graph on Slide 13 of the presentation show concern about Pega's financial trajectory as of 2011? Answer from Appian's witness Mr. Marshall: No. It's demonstrating quite the reverse. As you can see, it's not really
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) told by Mr. Mangi this morning that the stock price wasn't doing too well between 2010 and 2012, but the stock price is not the measure of the success of a company. Bring it up again, please, Josh. The measure of the success of a software company is whether people are buying product. If the people, if people stop buying the product, that build goes the other direction. I don't have it either, I'm sorry. Plaintiff's 689, Slide 13. It will appear. Might not be the fault on my side but we'll see. There we go. Thanks. I'm sorry.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) And this was presented to Mr the reason this was before you yesterday is because it was presented to Mr. Marshall [sic] in 2014. And when Mr. Marshall was asked about it, he was asked whether this was the sign of a company the question by my partner Ms. Spieth was: Does this graph on Slide 13 of the presentation show concern about Pega's financial trajectory as of 2011? Answer from Appian's witness Mr. Marshall: No. It's demonstrating quite the reverse. As you can see, it's not really controversial.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) told by Mr. Mangi this morning that the stock price wasn't doing too well between 2010 and 2012, but the stock price is not the measure of the success of a company. Bring it up again, please, Josh. The measure of the success of a software company is whether people are buying product. If the people, if people stop buying the product, that build goes the other direction. I don't have it either, I'm sorry. Plaintiff's 689, Slide 13. It will appear. Might not be the fault on my side but we'll see. There we go. Thanks. I'm sorry. So look at it yourself. Apply	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) And this was presented to Mr the reason this was before you yesterday is because it was presented to Mr. Marshall [sic] in 2014. And when Mr. Marshall was asked about it, he was asked whether this was the sign of a company the question by my partner Ms. Spieth was: Does this graph on Slide 13 of the presentation show concern about Pega's financial trajectory as of 2011? Answer from Appian's witness Mr. Marshall: No. It's demonstrating quite the reverse. As you can see, it's not really controversial. Now, product improvements, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) told by Mr. Mangi this morning that the stock price wasn't doing too well between 2010 and 2012, but the stock price is not the measure of the success of a company. Bring it up again, please, Josh. The measure of the success of a software company is whether people are buying product. If the people, if people stop buying the product, that build goes the other direction. I don't have it either, I'm sorry. Plaintiff's 689, Slide 13. It will appear. Might not be the fault on my side but we'll see. There we go. Thanks. I'm sorry. So look at it yourself. Apply your common sense.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) And this was presented to Mr the reason this was before you yesterday is because it was presented to Mr. Marshall [sic] in 2014. And when Mr. Marshall was asked about it, he was asked whether this was the sign of a company the question by my partner Ms. Spieth was: Does this graph on Slide 13 of the presentation show concern about Pega's financial trajectory as of 2011? Answer from Appian's witness Mr. Marshall: No. It's demonstrating quite the reverse. As you can see, it's not really controversial. Now, product improvements, the theory that you heard from Mr. Mangi
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) told by Mr. Mangi this morning that the stock price wasn't doing too well between 2010 and 2012, but the stock price is not the measure of the success of a company. Bring it up again, please, Josh. The measure of the success of a software company is whether people are buying product. If the people, if people stop buying the product, that build goes the other direction. I don't have it either, I'm sorry. Plaintiff's 689, Slide 13. It will appear. Might not be the fault on my side but we'll see. There we go. Thanks. I'm sorry. So look at it yourself. Apply your common sense. Is this a company that was not</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) And this was presented to Mr the reason this was before you yesterday is because it was presented to Mr. Marshall [sic] in 2014. And when Mr. Marshall was asked about it, he was asked whether this was the sign of a company the question by my partner Ms. Spieth was: Does this graph on Slide 13 of the presentation show concern about Pega's financial trajectory as of 2011? Answer from Appian's witness Mr. Marshall: No. It's demonstrating quite the reverse. As you can see, it's not really controversial. Now, product improvements, the theory that you heard from Mr. Mangi was that Pega was in trouble, it was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) told by Mr. Mangi this morning that the stock price wasn't doing too well between 2010 and 2012, but the stock price is not the measure of the success of a company. Bring it up again, please, Josh. The measure of the success of a software company is whether people are buying product. If the people, if people stop buying the product, that build goes the other direction. I don't have it either, I'm sorry. Plaintiff's 689, Slide 13. It will appear. Might not be the fault on my side but we'll see. There we go. Thanks. I'm sorry. So look at it yourself. Apply your common sense. Is this a company that was not doing well or is this a company whose	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) And this was presented to Mr the reason this was before you yesterday is because it was presented to Mr. Marshall [sic] in 2014. And when Mr. Marshall was asked about it, he was asked whether this was the sign of a company the question by my partner Ms. Spieth was: Does this graph on Slide 13 of the presentation show concern about Pega's financial trajectory as of 2011? Answer from Appian's witness Mr. Marshall: No. It's demonstrating quite the reverse. As you can see, it's not really controversial. Now, product improvements, the theory that you heard from Mr. Mangi was that Pega was in trouble, it was old technology, and so they had to do
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) told by Mr. Mangi this morning that the stock price wasn't doing too well between 2010 and 2012, but the stock price is not the measure of the success of a company. Bring it up again, please, Josh. The measure of the success of a software company is whether people are buying product. If the people, if people stop buying the product, that build goes the other direction. I don't have it either, I'm sorry. Plaintiff's 689, Slide 13. It will appear. Might not be the fault on my side but we'll see. There we go. Thanks. I'm sorry. So look at it yourself. Apply your common sense. Is this a company that was not doing well or is this a company whose sales are almost quadrupling over a </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) And this was presented to Mr the reason this was before you yesterday is because it was presented to Mr. Marshall [sic] in 2014. And when Mr. Marshall was asked about it, he was asked whether this was the sign of a company the question by my partner Ms. Spieth was: Does this graph on Slide 13 of the presentation show concern about Pega's financial trajectory as of 2011? Answer from Appian's witness Mr. Marshall: No. It's demonstrating quite the reverse. As you can see, it's not really controversial. Now, product improvements, the theory that you heard from Mr. Mangi was that Pega was in trouble, it was old technology, and so they had to do something to get some bright ideas,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) told by Mr. Mangi this morning that the stock price wasn't doing too well between 2010 and 2012, but the stock price is not the measure of the success of a company. Bring it up again, please, Josh. The measure of the success of a software company is whether people are buying product. If the people, if people stop buying the product, that build goes the other direction. I don't have it either, I'm sorry. Plaintiff's 689, Slide 13. It will appear. Might not be the fault on my side but we'll see. There we go. Thanks. I'm sorry. So look at it yourself. Apply your common sense. Is this a company that was not doing well or is this a company whose sales are almost quadrupling over a period from 2004 to 2011? And is this </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) And this was presented to Mr the reason this was before you yesterday is because it was presented to Mr. Marshall [sic] in 2014. And when Mr. Marshall was asked about it, he was asked whether this was the sign of a company the question by my partner Ms. Spieth was: Does this graph on Slide 13 of the presentation show concern about Pega's financial trajectory as of 2011? Answer from Appian's witness Mr. Marshall: No. It's demonstrating quite the reverse. As you can see, it's not really controversial. Now, product improvements, the theory that you heard from Mr. Mangi was that Pega was in trouble, it was old technology, and so they had to do something to get some bright ideas, and this is what they did.

	Page 8234		Page 8235
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	is that when Mr. Zou told bad stuff to	2	on the market that are observable by
3	Pega about Appian's product, that Pega	3	existing customers and potential
4	used that and put it in its marketing	4	future customers, are those secrets
5	material. And according to Appian,	5	or, to the contrary, the good stuff,
6	they were entitled actually to keep	6	is it very likely that the people who
7	the bad stuff secret and they were	7	are trying to sell the software are
8	entitled to keep it from customers.	8	pointing to the very same positive
9	Now, the good stuff is what's	9	features so that and the legal
10	involved in this side of the claim;	10	question is, is whether this is
11	that is, Appian's theory is that	11	information that obtained its value
12	Appian when Mr. Zou brought	12	from being kept in secret.
13		13	
	something good to Pega, Pega snapped	-	So the question about positive
14	it up, and put it in Pega's product	14	features about a product is whether it
15	and that saved the day. This company	15	was valuable to Appian to keep the
16	that was on the way was then	16	positive features of its product in
17	rejuvenated by Mr. Zou's information.	17	secret and protect them from other
18	So Question 1 is: Was the stuff	18	people.
19	that Pega provided, was it actually a	19	Now, with due respect, that is a
20	trade secret?	20	self-answering question because, of
21	And again, I want to come back	21	course, when you go out to sell your
22	to the question that is ultimately in	22	product if you have a really good
23	the jury charge.	23	feature, you don't talk about you
24	Is this something that are	24	talk about that feature, and you want
25	the features of a product that are out	25	to sell your product. You don't
	Page 8236		Page 8237
1	Page 8236 Defendant – Pega – Closing (Frank)	1	Page 8237 Defendant – Pega – Closing (Frank)
1 2	-	1 2	-
	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)		Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) hide you don't get value from	2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) ask you to take a look at what is
2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) hide you don't get value from keeping the good product features in	2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) ask you to take a look at what is defined as Appian's software. It
2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) hide you don't get value from keeping the good product features in secret.	2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) ask you to take a look at what is defined as Appian's software. It means the object code version of
2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) hide you don't get value from keeping the good product features in secret. So let's during the course of	2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) ask you to take a look at what is defined as Appian's software. It means the object code version of Appian's software that is and the
2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) hide you don't get value from keeping the good product features in secret. So let's during the course of discovery, we asked Appian to produce	2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) ask you to take a look at what is defined as Appian's software. It means the object code version of Appian's software that is and the associated documentation and all
2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) hide you don't get value from keeping the good product features in secret. So let's during the course of discovery, we asked Appian to produce all of their Agreements that relate to	2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) ask you to take a look at what is defined as Appian's software. It means the object code version of Appian's software that is and the associated documentation and all updates and refinements and so on. So
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) hide you don't get value from keeping the good product features in secret. So let's during the course of discovery, we asked Appian to produce all of their Agreements that relate to their software. They said, no, no, we	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) ask you to take a look at what is defined as Appian's software. It means the object code version of Appian's software that is and the associated documentation and all updates and refinements and so on. So that's the ones and zeros that runs
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) hide you don't get value from keeping the good product features in secret. So let's during the course of discovery, we asked Appian to produce all of their Agreements that relate to their software. They said, no, no, we won't do that, but we will give you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) ask you to take a look at what is defined as Appian's software. It means the object code version of Appian's software that is and the associated documentation and all updates and refinements and so on. So that's the ones and zeros that runs inside a laptop, let's say, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) hide you don't get value from keeping the good product features in secret. So let's during the course of discovery, we asked Appian to produce all of their Agreements that relate to their software. They said, no, no, we won't do that, but we will give you template Agreements so that are</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) ask you to take a look at what is defined as Appian's software. It means the object code version of Appian's software that is and the associated documentation and all updates and refinements and so on. So that's the ones and zeros that runs inside a laptop, let's say, that causes you to see what you see on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) hide you don't get value from keeping the good product features in secret. So let's during the course of discovery, we asked Appian to produce all of their Agreements that relate to their software. They said, no, no, we won't do that, but we will give you template Agreements so that are representative of our Agreements.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) ask you to take a look at what is defined as Appian's software. It means the object code version of Appian's software that is and the associated documentation and all updates and refinements and so on. So that's the ones and zeros that runs inside a laptop, let's say, that causes you to see what you see on the screen.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) hide you don't get value from keeping the good product features in secret. So let's during the course of discovery, we asked Appian to produce all of their Agreements that relate to their software. They said, no, no, we won't do that, but we will give you template Agreements so that are representative of our Agreements. One of those Agreements is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) ask you to take a look at what is defined as Appian's software. It means the object code version of Appian's software that is and the associated documentation and all updates and refinements and so on. So that's the ones and zeros that runs inside a laptop, let's say, that causes you to see what you see on the screen. Now, let's drop down, if you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) hide you don't get value from keeping the good product features in secret. So let's during the course of discovery, we asked Appian to produce all of their Agreements that relate to their software. They said, no, no, we won't do that, but we will give you template Agreements so that are representative of our Agreements. One of those Agreements is DX 149. Could we put that up, Josh?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) ask you to take a look at what is defined as Appian's software. It means the object code version of Appian's software that is and the associated documentation and all updates and refinements and so on. So that's the ones and zeros that runs inside a laptop, let's say, that causes you to see what you see on the screen. Now, let's drop down, if you could, to the Paragraph 2.2, and in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) hide you don't get value from keeping the good product features in secret. So let's during the course of discovery, we asked Appian to produce all of their Agreements that relate to their software. They said, no, no, we won't do that, but we will give you template Agreements so that are representative of our Agreements. One of those Agreements is DX 149. Could we put that up, Josh? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 149,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) ask you to take a look at what is defined as Appian's software. It means the object code version of Appian's software that is and the associated documentation and all updates and refinements and so on. So that's the ones and zeros that runs inside a laptop, let's say, that causes you to see what you see on the screen. Now, let's drop down, if you could, to the Paragraph 2.2, and in particular 2.2.1 and maybe you could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) hide you don't get value from keeping the good product features in secret. So let's during the course of discovery, we asked Appian to produce all of their Agreements that relate to their software. They said, no, no, we won't do that, but we will give you template Agreements so that are representative of our Agreements. One of those Agreements is DX 149. Could we put that up, Josh? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 149, Agreement, was identified.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) ask you to take a look at what is defined as Appian's software. It means the object code version of Appian's software that is and the associated documentation and all updates and refinements and so on. So that's the ones and zeros that runs inside a laptop, let's say, that causes you to see what you see on the screen. Now, let's drop down, if you could, to the Paragraph 2.2, and in particular 2.2.1 and maybe you could bring that up, Josh.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) hide you don't get value from keeping the good product features in secret. So let's during the course of discovery, we asked Appian to produce all of their Agreements that relate to their software. They said, no, no, we won't do that, but we will give you template Agreements so that are representative of our Agreements. One of those Agreements is DX 149. Could we put that up, Josh? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 149, Agreement, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is a Partner	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) ask you to take a look at what is defined as Appian's software. It means the object code version of Appian's software that is and the associated documentation and all updates and refinements and so on. So that's the ones and zeros that runs inside a laptop, let's say, that causes you to see what you see on the screen. Now, let's drop down, if you could, to the Paragraph 2.2, and in particular 2.2.1 and maybe you could bring that up, Josh. These are contracts that were
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) hide you don't get value from keeping the good product features in secret. So let's during the course of discovery, we asked Appian to produce all of their Agreements that relate to their software. They said, no, no, we won't do that, but we will give you template Agreements so that are representative of our Agreements. One of those Agreements is DX 149. Could we put that up, Josh? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 149, Agreement, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is a Partner Agreement, and it essentially sets out	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) ask you to take a look at what is defined as Appian's software. It means the object code version of Appian's software that is and the associated documentation and all updates and refinements and so on. So that's the ones and zeros that runs inside a laptop, let's say, that causes you to see what you see on the screen. Now, let's drop down, if you could, to the Paragraph 2.2, and in particular 2.2.1 and maybe you could bring that up, Josh. These are contracts that were this is an example of a contract that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) hide you don't get value from keeping the good product features in secret. So let's during the course of discovery, we asked Appian to produce all of their Agreements that relate to their software. They said, no, no, we won't do that, but we will give you template Agreements so that are representative of our Agreements. One of those Agreements is DX 149. Could we put that up, Josh? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 149, Agreement, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is a Partner Agreement, and it essentially sets out a license to people who are Appian's business partners, and it I'm going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) ask you to take a look at what is defined as Appian's software. It means the object code version of Appian's software that is and the associated documentation and all updates and refinements and so on. So that's the ones and zeros that runs inside a laptop, let's say, that causes you to see what you see on the screen. Now, let's drop down, if you could, to the Paragraph 2.2, and in particular 2.2.1 and maybe you could bring that up, Josh. These are contracts that were this is an example of a contract that was enforced at the relevant time and it says: Commencing upon the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) hide you don't get value from keeping the good product features in secret. So let's during the course of discovery, we asked Appian to produce all of their Agreements that relate to their software. They said, no, no, we won't do that, but we will give you template Agreements so that are representative of our Agreements. One of those Agreements is DX 149. Could we put that up, Josh? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 149, Agreement, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is a Partner Agreement, and it essentially sets out a license to people who are Appian's business partners, and it I'm going to show you in a minute what it</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) ask you to take a look at what is defined as Appian's software. It means the object code version of Appian's software that is and the associated documentation and all updates and refinements and so on. So that's the ones and zeros that runs inside a laptop, let's say, that causes you to see what you see on the screen. Now, let's drop down, if you could, to the Paragraph 2.2, and in particular 2.2.1 and maybe you could bring that up, Josh. These are contracts that were this is an example of a contract that was enforced at the relevant time and it says: Commencing upon the effective date, Appian shall grant to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) hide you don't get value from keeping the good product features in secret. So let's during the course of discovery, we asked Appian to produce all of their Agreements that relate to their software. They said, no, no, we won't do that, but we will give you template Agreements so that are representative of our Agreements. One of those Agreements is DX 149. Could we put that up, Josh? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 149, Agreement, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is a Partner Agreement, and it essentially sets out a license to people who are Appian's business partners, and it I'm going	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) ask you to take a look at what is defined as Appian's software. It means the object code version of Appian's software that is and the associated documentation and all updates and refinements and so on. So that's the ones and zeros that runs inside a laptop, let's say, that causes you to see what you see on the screen. Now, let's drop down, if you could, to the Paragraph 2.2, and in particular 2.2.1 and maybe you could bring that up, Josh. These are contracts that were this is an example of a contract that was enforced at the relevant time and it says: Commencing upon the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) hide you don't get value from keeping the good product features in secret. So let's during the course of discovery, we asked Appian to produce all of their Agreements that relate to their software. They said, no, no, we won't do that, but we will give you template Agreements so that are representative of our Agreements. One of those Agreements is DX 149. Could we put that up, Josh? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 149, Agreement, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is a Partner Agreement, and it essentially sets out a license to people who are Appian's business partners, and it I'm going to show you in a minute what it expects the partners to do. The partners in Mr. Ross</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) ask you to take a look at what is defined as Appian's software. It means the object code version of Appian's software that is and the associated documentation and all updates and refinements and so on. So that's the ones and zeros that runs inside a laptop, let's say, that causes you to see what you see on the screen. Now, let's drop down, if you could, to the Paragraph 2.2, and in particular 2.2.1 and maybe you could bring that up, Josh. These are contracts that were this is an example of a contract that was enforced at the relevant time and it says: Commencing upon the effective date, Appian shall grant to the business partner a nonexclusive
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) hide you don't get value from keeping the good product features in secret. So let's during the course of discovery, we asked Appian to produce all of their Agreements that relate to their software. They said, no, no, we won't do that, but we will give you template Agreements so that are representative of our Agreements. One of those Agreements is DX 149. Could we put that up, Josh? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 149, Agreement, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is a Partner Agreement, and it essentially sets out a license to people who are Appian's business partners, and it I'm going to show you in a minute what it expects the partners to do. The partners in Mr. Ross testified in many instances are</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) ask you to take a look at what is defined as Appian's software. It means the object code version of Appian's software that is and the associated documentation and all updates and refinements and so on. So that's the ones and zeros that runs inside a laptop, let's say, that causes you to see what you see on the screen. Now, let's drop down, if you could, to the Paragraph 2.2, and in particular 2.2.1 and maybe you could bring that up, Josh. These are contracts that were this is an example of a contract that was enforced at the relevant time and it says: Commencing upon the effective date, Appian shall grant to the business partner a nonexclusive nontransferable license there's some other words in there without
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) hide you don't get value from keeping the good product features in secret. So let's during the course of discovery, we asked Appian to produce all of their Agreements that relate to their software. They said, no, no, we won't do that, but we will give you template Agreements so that are representative of our Agreements. One of those Agreements is DX 149. Could we put that up, Josh? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 149, Agreement, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is a Partner Agreement, and it essentially sets out a license to people who are Appian's business partners, and it I'm going to show you in a minute what it expects the partners to do. The partners in Mr. Ross</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) ask you to take a look at what is defined as Appian's software. It means the object code version of Appian's software that is and the associated documentation and all updates and refinements and so on. So that's the ones and zeros that runs inside a laptop, let's say, that causes you to see what you see on the screen. Now, let's drop down, if you could, to the Paragraph 2.2, and in particular 2.2.1 and maybe you could bring that up, Josh. These are contracts that were this is an example of a contract that was enforced at the relevant time and it says: Commencing upon the effective date, Appian shall grant to the business partner a nonexclusive nontransferable license there's

	Page 8238		Page 8239
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	partner's computers and to allow a	2	you build a sample app and you show
3	reasonable number of named users to	3	off the good features of the product
4	use the Appian software for business	4	and you show off completed apps that
5	partner's internal purposes.	5	demonstrate what the completed apps
6	Now, comes the critical item,	6	can do.
7	the business partner, the reseller, is	7	And so far from being
8	licensed to market, promote and	8	confidential information, the
9	demonstrate Appian's software without	9	permitted behavior here is to is to
10	any limitation. They can market the	10	promote the product, to sell the
11	software.	11	product, to call out its good features
12	They can promote it. How do you	12	with no limitation, none, as to what
13	promote it? You promote it, of	13	observable features may be described
14	course, by saying what's good about	14	or demonstrated to the customer.
15	it. And there's no restriction that	15	So no control over over who
16	says, oh, by the way, you can't point	16	gets access or who is told about what
17	to the social or mobile features of	17	particular product feature. All of
18	our product. There's no limitation on	18	the product features that Appian now
19	that.	19	claims are good product features may
20	And they can demonstrate the	20	be displayed. And furthermore, no
21	product. Demonstrate, how do you	21	limitation, no confidentiality
22	demonstrate the product? You try to	22	obligation, and there's nothing in
23	show the customer what you can do with	23	this Agreement that says you must put
24	this software.	24	the person who receives the
25	And how do you do that? Why,	25	demonstration, you must put that
	Page 8240		Page 8241
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	person under a Confidentiality	2	very top part first so the jury can
3	Agreement.	3	see that this is a Value-Added
4	So it's not just that a reseller	4	Services Reseller Agreement.
5	like the kind of person who would have	5	It's just like other it's an
6	this Agreement, it's not just that	6	Appian Value-Added Service Provider
7	that person could demonstrate the	7	Agreement.
8	software to anyone who is a	8	So what is Serco? Serco is a
9	prospective that that reseller	9	company that, by and large, provides
10	deemed was a prospective customer, but	10	its services to third persons to build
11	the prospective customer was perfectly	11	apps using the Appian software.
12	free to talk about what the	12	If we drop down to same, or
13	prospective customer saw in the	13	virtually the same definition of
14	promotional materials and in the	14	software, come back for one second, so
15	demonstrations that the prospective	15	you can glance at it, you'll see it's
16	customer received.	16	the same definition of Appian
17	So how is it that that is	17	software.
18	adequately protecting the observable	18	Let's go down to 2.2.1 again and
19	features of your product? I	19	here's the license grant at 2.2.1.
20	respectfully submit that it's not.	20	Same language: Commencing on the
21	Now, let's look, if we could,	21	effective date, subject to the terms
22	Josh, at the agreement with Serco,	22	and provisions of this Agreement,
23	which is a VASP, a Value-Added Resale	23	Appian shall grant the service
24	Agreement, and I believe it's	24	provider a nonexclusive license to do
25	Plaintiff's 2028. Just blow up the	25	what's in A or in B.

	Page 8242		Page 8243
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	A says: Market, promote, and	2	can do with the software. I think
3	demonstrate the Appian software to	3	that's John Kennedy or something like
4	prospective end-users in the	4	that.
5	territory. And in Serco's case,	5	So what is a company like Serco
6	there's no limitation to the	6	going to do to market the product?
7	territory.	7	They are going to demonstrate what can
8	So Serco, Mr. Zou's own	8	be done with the product. They are
9	employer, had the right, indeed, was	9	going to give demonstrations to people
10	expected to market, promote, and	10	of the good features of the Serco
11	demonstrate Appian's software.	11	[sic] product and there's no
12	And how do you do that? Well,	12	restriction on here that says, for
13	if you're trying to promote you do	13	example, you can't show the social and
14	it two ways. First, you talk about	14	mobile features.
15	how if you're Serco, you talk about	15	To the contrary, Appian was
16	how good the software is. All sorts	16	promoting the social and mobile
17	of things can be done with the	17	features and had no reason to believe
18	software. You should, you know, you	18	that Serco itself would promote the
19	should license a copy.	19	same features.
20	And, indeed, Serco was empowered	20	So the threshold question is:
21	to market Appian's software to	21	Is anything being done here to assure
22	prospective customers. The other	22	that particular that particular
23	thing you do if Serco wasn't a service	23	information is not being shared with
24	provider is that you show not just	24	customers? Answer: Nothing.
25	what the software can do but what you	25	Now, now I want to talk about
	what the software can do but what you	25	NOW, NOW I WANT TO LAIN ADOUL
1	Page 8244	1	Page 8245
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the history of Appian's let me	2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) productive business-related
2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the history of Appian's let me stop.	2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) productive business-related communication.
2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the history of Appian's let me stop. I want to talk about the history	2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) productive business-related communication. In 2010, salesforce.com
2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the history of Appian's let me stop. I want to talk about the history of the growth of social and mobile in	2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) productive business-related communication. In 2010, salesforce.com introduced the use of social forms of
2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the history of Appian's let me stop. I want to talk about the history of the growth of social and mobile in general, and I think the next minute	2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) productive business-related communication. In 2010, salesforce.com introduced the use of social forms of communication in business environments
2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the history of Appian's let me stop. I want to talk about the history of the growth of social and mobile in general, and I think the next minute and a half is completely undisputed	2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) productive business-related communication. In 2010, salesforce.com introduced the use of social forms of communication in business environments and that product was called Chatter.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the history of Appian's let me stop. I want to talk about the history of the growth of social and mobile in general, and I think the next minute and a half is completely undisputed material.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) productive business-related communication. In 2010, salesforce.com introduced the use of social forms of communication in business environments and that product was called Chatter. In 2010, in Pega Version 6.1,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the history of Appian's let me stop. I want to talk about the history of the growth of social and mobile in general, and I think the next minute and a half is completely undisputed material. Facebook started up around 2006.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) productive business-related communication. In 2010, salesforce.com introduced the use of social forms of communication in business environments and that product was called Chatter. In 2010, in Pega Version 6.1, Pega introduced social features called
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the history of Appian's let me stop. I want to talk about the history of the growth of social and mobile in general, and I think the next minute and a half is completely undisputed material. Facebook started up around 2006. Shortly after Facebook started up,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) productive business-related communication. In 2010, salesforce.com introduced the use of social forms of communication in business environments and that product was called Chatter. In 2010, in Pega Version 6.1, Pega introduced social features called What's Happening that included
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the history of Appian's let me stop. I want to talk about the history of the growth of social and mobile in general, and I think the next minute and a half is completely undisputed material. Facebook started up around 2006. Shortly after Facebook started up, there was lots of social activity and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) productive business-related communication. In 2010, salesforce.com introduced the use of social forms of communication in business environments and that product was called Chatter. In 2010, in Pega Version 6.1, Pega introduced social features called What's Happening that included features very similar to the Facebook
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the history of Appian's let me stop. I want to talk about the history of the growth of social and mobile in general, and I think the next minute and a half is completely undisputed material. Facebook started up around 2006. Shortly after Facebook started up, there was lots of social activity and it has grown ever since.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) productive business-related communication. In 2010, salesforce.com introduced the use of social forms of communication in business environments and that product was called Chatter. In 2010, in Pega Version 6.1, Pega introduced social features called What's Happening that included features very similar to the Facebook wall, undisputed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the history of Appian's let me stop. I want to talk about the history of the growth of social and mobile in general, and I think the next minute and a half is completely undisputed material. Facebook started up around 2006. Shortly after Facebook started up, there was lots of social activity and it has grown ever since. In 2007, Apple introduced the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) productive business-related communication. In 2010, salesforce.com introduced the use of social forms of communication in business environments and that product was called Chatter. In 2010, in Pega Version 6.1, Pega introduced social features called What's Happening that included features very similar to the Facebook wall, undisputed. 2011, Pega's Sales Coaching,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the history of Appian's let me stop. I want to talk about the history of the growth of social and mobile in general, and I think the next minute and a half is completely undisputed material. Facebook started up around 2006. Shortly after Facebook started up, there was lots of social activity and it has grown ever since. In 2007, Apple introduced the iPhone and it did away with prior	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) productive business-related communication. In 2010, salesforce.com introduced the use of social forms of communication in business environments and that product was called Chatter. In 2010, in Pega Version 6.1, Pega introduced social features called What's Happening that included features very similar to the Facebook wall, undisputed. 2011, Pega's Sales Coaching, actually, I'll show you something from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the history of Appian's let me stop. I want to talk about the history of the growth of social and mobile in general, and I think the next minute and a half is completely undisputed material. Facebook started up around 2006. Shortly after Facebook started up, there was lots of social activity and it has grown ever since. In 2007, Apple introduced the iPhone and it did away with prior competitors and became extraordinarily	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) productive business-related communication. In 2010, salesforce.com introduced the use of social forms of communication in business environments and that product was called Chatter. In 2010, in Pega Version 6.1, Pega introduced social features called What's Happening that included features very similar to the Facebook wall, undisputed. 2011, Pega's Sales Coaching, actually, I'll show you something from Pega Sales Coaching in 2011.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the history of Appian's let me stop. I want to talk about the history of the growth of social and mobile in general, and I think the next minute and a half is completely undisputed material. Facebook started up around 2006. Shortly after Facebook started up, there was lots of social activity and it has grown ever since. In 2007, Apple introduced the iPhone and it did away with prior competitors and became extraordinarily widely used.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) productive business-related communication. In 2010, salesforce.com introduced the use of social forms of communication in business environments and that product was called Chatter. In 2010, in Pega Version 6.1, Pega introduced social features called What's Happening that included features very similar to the Facebook wall, undisputed. 2011, Pega's Sales Coaching, actually, I'll show you something from Pega Sales Coaching in 2011. Slide 39, please, Josh. This is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the history of Appian's let me stop. I want to talk about the history of the growth of social and mobile in general, and I think the next minute and a half is completely undisputed material. Facebook started up around 2006. Shortly after Facebook started up, there was lots of social activity and it has grown ever since. In 2007, Apple introduced the iPhone and it did away with prior competitors and became extraordinarily widely used. The apps became available on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) productive business-related communication. In 2010, salesforce.com introduced the use of social forms of communication in business environments and that product was called Chatter. In 2010, in Pega Version 6.1, Pega introduced social features called What's Happening that included features very similar to the Facebook wall, undisputed. 2011, Pega's Sales Coaching, actually, I'll show you something from Pega Sales Coaching in 2011. Slide 39, please, Josh. This is from DX 1695 in evidence. Let's drop
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the history of Appian's let me stop. I want to talk about the history of the growth of social and mobile in general, and I think the next minute and a half is completely undisputed material. Facebook started up around 2006. Shortly after Facebook started up, there was lots of social activity and it has grown ever since. In 2007, Apple introduced the iPhone and it did away with prior competitors and became extraordinarily widely used. The apps became available on the iPhone starting in 2008. In 2009,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) productive business-related communication. In 2010, salesforce.com introduced the use of social forms of communication in business environments and that product was called Chatter. In 2010, in Pega Version 6.1, Pega introduced social features called What's Happening that included features very similar to the Facebook wall, undisputed. 2011, Pega's Sales Coaching, actually, I'll show you something from Pega Sales Coaching in 2011. Slide 39, please, Josh. This is from DX 1695 in evidence. Let's drop the cover. Doesn't matter. Would you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the history of Appian's let me stop. I want to talk about the history of the growth of social and mobile in general, and I think the next minute and a half is completely undisputed material. Facebook started up around 2006. Shortly after Facebook started up, there was lots of social activity and it has grown ever since. In 2007, Apple introduced the iPhone and it did away with prior competitors and became extraordinarily widely used. The apps became available on the iPhone starting in 2008. In 2009, Pega announced the introduction of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) productive business-related communication. In 2010, salesforce.com introduced the use of social forms of communication in business environments and that product was called Chatter. In 2010, in Pega Version 6.1, Pega introduced social features called What's Happening that included features very similar to the Facebook wall, undisputed. 2011, Pega's Sales Coaching, actually, I'll show you something from Pega Sales Coaching in 2011. Slide 39, please, Josh. This is from DX 1695 in evidence. Let's drop the cover. Doesn't matter. Would you blow up what's on the right-hand side?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the history of Appian's let me stop.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) productive business-related communication. In 2010, salesforce.com introduced the use of social forms of communication in business environments and that product was called Chatter. In 2010, in Pega Version 6.1, Pega introduced social features called What's Happening that included features very similar to the Facebook wall, undisputed. 2011, Pega's Sales Coaching, actually, I'll show you something from Pega Sales Coaching in 2011. Slide 39, please, Josh. This is from DX 1695 in evidence. Let's drop the cover. Doesn't matter. Would you blow up what's on the right-hand side? That is Pega training its sales
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the history of Appian's let me stop. I want to talk about the history of the growth of social and mobile in general, and I think the next minute and a half is completely undisputed material. Facebook started up around 2006. Shortly after Facebook started up, there was lots of social activity and it has grown ever since. In 2007, Apple introduced the iPhone and it did away with prior competitors and became extraordinarily widely used. The apps became available on the iPhone starting in 2008. In 2009, Pega announced the introduction of social features on Pega platform and that includes both the intake of</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) productive business-related communication. In 2010, salesforce.com introduced the use of social forms of communication in business environments and that product was called Chatter. In 2010, in Pega Version 6.1, Pega introduced social features called What's Happening that included features very similar to the Facebook wall, undisputed. 2011, Pega's Sales Coaching, actually, I'll show you something from Pega Sales Coaching in 2011. Slide 39, please, Josh. This is from DX 1695 in evidence. Let's drop the cover. Doesn't matter. Would you blow up what's on the right-hand side? That is Pega training its sales force, and it is a demonstration of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the history of Appian's let me stop.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) productive business-related communication. In 2010, salesforce.com introduced the use of social forms of communication in business environments and that product was called Chatter. In 2010, in Pega Version 6.1, Pega introduced social features called What's Happening that included features very similar to the Facebook wall, undisputed. 2011, Pega's Sales Coaching, actually, I'll show you something from Pega Sales Coaching in 2011. Slide 39, please, Josh. This is from DX 1695 in evidence. Let's drop the cover. Doesn't matter. Would you blow up what's on the right-hand side? That is Pega training its sales force, and it is a demonstration of what Pega knew at the time was its
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the history of Appian's let me stop.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) productive business-related communication. In 2010, salesforce.com introduced the use of social forms of communication in business environments and that product was called Chatter. In 2010, in Pega Version 6.1, Pega introduced social features called What's Happening that included features very similar to the Facebook wall, undisputed. 2011, Pega's Sales Coaching, actually, I'll show you something from Pega Sales Coaching in 2011. Slide 39, please, Josh. This is from DX 1695 in evidence. Let's drop the cover. Doesn't matter. Would you blow up what's on the right-hand side? That is Pega training its sales force, and it is a demonstration of what Pega knew at the time was its social capability.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) the history of Appian's let me stop.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) productive business-related communication. In 2010, salesforce.com introduced the use of social forms of communication in business environments and that product was called Chatter. In 2010, in Pega Version 6.1, Pega introduced social features called What's Happening that included features very similar to the Facebook wall, undisputed. 2011, Pega's Sales Coaching, actually, I'll show you something from Pega Sales Coaching in 2011. Slide 39, please, Josh. This is from DX 1695 in evidence. Let's drop the cover. Doesn't matter. Would you blow up what's on the right-hand side? That is Pega training its sales force, and it is a demonstration of what Pega knew at the time was its

1	Page 8246		Page 8247
2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
	included both social and mobile	2	in dispute. Mr. Marshall testified
3	features and widely publicized it.	3	that as the start of the events that
4	In October of 2011, Pega	4	are at issue that Pega was a very
5	announced a collaboration with a	5	powerful platform. He testified that
6	company called Sencha and, in October	6	he had yesterday he testified that
7	of 2011, Pega announced the	7	in Pega 7, which is what he accused
8	collaboration with Sencha and, in	8	Pega of adding he accused Pega of
9	January of 2012, Pega launched and	9	adding these new features.
10	made available a Pega Mobile product	10	He said he had a very large
11	for sale as part of Pega Version 6.	11	spreadsheet that was many things that
12	At PegaWorld in the spring of	12	he discovered that Pega had added in
13	2012, Pega announced Pega Pulse. Pega	13	Version 7. And he was asked how many
14	Pulse had additional social features	14	of these things and he said, oh, 50 or
15	like the ability to attach files to	15	60 new things were added to Pega
16	the wall, attach links to the wall,	16	Version 7 as compared to the prior
17	create a text to the wall.	17	version 7 as compared to the prior version which is 6.3.
18	All of this was in response to	18	He's picked out 5 of the 60 and
19	what was going on in the world around	19	_
20		20	attributes every sale to Pega after the introduction of those features,
20	Pega. We certainly don't suggest that Pega invented the use of social media	20	every sale regardless of who Pega was
22	or the use of mobile devices, but	22	
22	neither did I. I use mobile devices	22	competing against and regardless of who the customer was to those five
23	and occasionally social media.	23	features.
24	Now, that brings us to what is	24	So let's talk about the
	now, that billings us to what is	25	So let's talk about the
1	Page 8248	1	Page 8249
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)		Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	features.	2	this because Appian points to this
3	The first is social features.	3	as its proof that Pega was copying
4	It's Appian says correctly that on	4	something from Appian. I'm going to
5	December 19, 2012, Mr. Zou	5	take it paragraph by paragraph, so
6	demonstrated Appian's Tempo social	6	there's no doubting what's going on
7	features to John Petronio, his	7	here.
8	assistant Michael Caton, a man named	8	First paragraph, please, Josh.
9	Robert Schwartz, and a woman named	9	Drop down just a little bit.
1 1 0	Agya Garg, all Pega employees.	10	
10			Tempo PowerPoints, this was
11	Ms. Garg, whose picture I'm	11	quite an eye opener for me. That's
11 12	hoping that Josh will put up, was the	12	quite an eye opener for me. That's the sentence that Appian calls out.
11 12 13	hoping that Josh will put up, was the product development person within	12 13	quite an eye opener for me. That's the sentence that Appian calls out. Then the next sentence says:
11 12 13 14	hoping that Josh will put up, was the product development person within Pega's product management facility who	12 13 14	quite an eye opener for me. That's the sentence that Appian calls out. Then the next sentence says: Huge difference between what Appian
11 12 13 14 15	hoping that Josh will put up, was the product development person within Pega's product management facility who was responsible for Pega's social	12 13 14 15	quite an eye opener for me. That's the sentence that Appian calls out. Then the next sentence says: Huge difference between what Appian has been marketing for the last year
11 12 13 14 15 16	hoping that Josh will put up, was the product development person within Pega's product management facility who was responsible for Pega's social features.	12 13 14 15 16	quite an eye opener for me. That's the sentence that Appian calls out. Then the next sentence says: Huge difference between what Appian has been marketing for the last year and a half and their actual product
11 12 13 14 15 16 17	hoping that Josh will put up, was the product development person within Pega's product management facility who was responsible for Pega's social features. And the next day, December 20,	12 13 14 15 16 17	quite an eye opener for me. That's the sentence that Appian calls out. Then the next sentence says: Huge difference between what Appian has been marketing for the last year and a half and their actual product offering.
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	hoping that Josh will put up, was the product development person within Pega's product management facility who was responsible for Pega's social features. And the next day, December 20, 2012, she wrote an e-mail that is PLT	12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>quite an eye opener for me. That's the sentence that Appian calls out. Then the next sentence says: Huge difference between what Appian has been marketing for the last year and a half and their actual product offering. Then she says then there's a</pre>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	hoping that Josh will put up, was the product development person within Pega's product management facility who was responsible for Pega's social features. And the next day, December 20, 2012, she wrote an e-mail that is PLT Plaintiff's Exhibit 29.	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>quite an eye opener for me. That's the sentence that Appian calls out. Then the next sentence says: Huge difference between what Appian has been marketing for the last year and a half and their actual product offering. Then she says then there's a line that says something like here's</pre>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	hoping that Josh will put up, was the product development person within Pega's product management facility who was responsible for Pega's social features. And the next day, December 20, 2012, she wrote an e-mail that is PLT Plaintiff's Exhibit 29. Could we put that up, please,	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>quite an eye opener for me. That's the sentence that Appian calls out. Then the next sentence says: Huge difference between what Appian has been marketing for the last year and a half and their actual product offering. Then she says then there's a line that says something like here's what I observed.</pre>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	hoping that Josh will put up, was the product development person within Pega's product management facility who was responsible for Pega's social features. And the next day, December 20, 2012, she wrote an e-mail that is PLT Plaintiff's Exhibit 29. Could we put that up, please, Josh?	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>quite an eye opener for me. That's the sentence that Appian calls out. Then the next sentence says: Huge difference between what Appian has been marketing for the last year and a half and their actual product offering. Then she says then there's a line that says something like here's what I observed. Drop down one more. That's</pre>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	hoping that Josh will put up, was the product development person within Pega's product management facility who was responsible for Pega's social features. And the next day, December 20, 2012, she wrote an e-mail that is PLT Plaintiff's Exhibit 29. Could we put that up, please, Josh? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 29,	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>quite an eye opener for me. That's the sentence that Appian calls out. Then the next sentence says: Huge difference between what Appian has been marketing for the last year and a half and their actual product offering. Then she says then there's a line that says something like here's what I observed. Drop down one more. That's better.</pre>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	hoping that Josh will put up, was the product development person within Pega's product management facility who was responsible for Pega's social features. And the next day, December 20, 2012, she wrote an e-mail that is PLT Plaintiff's Exhibit 29. Could we put that up, please, Josh? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 29, E-mail, was identified.)	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>quite an eye opener for me. That's the sentence that Appian calls out. Then the next sentence says: Huge difference between what Appian has been marketing for the last year and a half and their actual product offering. Then she says then there's a line that says something like here's what I observed. Drop down one more. That's better. The next thing she says: Tempo</pre>
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	hoping that Josh will put up, was the product development person within Pega's product management facility who was responsible for Pega's social features. And the next day, December 20, 2012, she wrote an e-mail that is PLT Plaintiff's Exhibit 29. Could we put that up, please, Josh? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 29,	12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>quite an eye opener for me. That's the sentence that Appian calls out. Then the next sentence says: Huge difference between what Appian has been marketing for the last year and a half and their actual product offering. Then she says then there's a line that says something like here's what I observed. Drop down one more. That's better.</pre>

1 2	Dama 8350		Page 8251
2	Page 8250 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
14	contains an activity stream and a fat	2	paragraph.
3	grid view of tasks, ugh. Why isn't it	3	Drop down, Josh.
4	integrated and in context?	4	There's a paragraph that says:
5	Parentheses, I think our approach was	5	No concept of context. Over and over
6	much stronger with all of that showing	6	again, I saw in the demo a post about
7	the stream in context rather than	7	Case 123 but nowhere could I click on
8	going to a whole separate portal.	8	it to see the case itself. It feels
9	Appian didn't show you that	9	like it's two separate products, one
10	paragraph.	10	for doing work, one for social with
11	Let's go to the next paragraph	11	little insight into each other.
12	which Appian didn't show you.	12	And finally, if we get down to
13	The activity stream (or the	13	the paragraph that Appian made a great
14	wall) is a list of human and	14	deal about. It starts with the word
15	system-generated posts with only the	15	"social view," Josh. There you are.
16	ability to comment. Parentheses, we	16	Okay. So can we blow can we take
17	have them beat here. We have a	17	the highlighting off the other
18	plethora of capabilities on each and	18	paragraphs? And I just want to see
19	every point. Ability to attach	19	that sentence so that it's easy to
20	files/links/create tasks/take action.	20	read.
21	I guess some of their screenshots	21	Okay. Now, when Appian showed
22	about in-line express actions were all	22	this during the trial, it highlighted
23	just marketing.	23	the words "social view of work lists
24	Appian didn't show you that	24	and tasks," and then it highlighted
25	paragraph either. Here's another	25	the last sentence that says: We
1	Page 8252 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Page 8253 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	should just create a view like this	2	is the more impressive view, rather
3	and ship with it.	3	than, than and that certainly isn't
4	The impression you get is that	4	an Appian trade secret rather than
5	Ms. Garg was talk that the sentence	5	the social view of work lists and
6	at the beginning and the second	6	tasks after which she had said, eh.
7	sentence at the end were tightly	-	
1 '		/	
8	linked to each other, but, in fact.	7	And again, common sense, none of
8	linked to each other, but, in fact, let's take down the highlighting first	8	And again, common sense, none of us know exactly what was intended by
9	let's take down the highlighting first	8 9	And again, common sense, none of us know exactly what was intended by that, but we can all draw conclusions.
9 10	let's take down the highlighting first and I'll focus on the part that's	8 9 10	And again, common sense, none of us know exactly what was intended by that, but we can all draw conclusions. Now finally, let's get to
9 10 11	let's take down the highlighting first and I'll focus on the part that's talking about Appian.	8 9 10 11	And again, common sense, none of us know exactly what was intended by that, but we can all draw conclusions. Now finally, let's get to let's take a moment and go down to the
9 10 11 12	let's take down the highlighting first and I'll focus on the part that's talking about Appian. It says: Social view of work	8 9 10 11 12	And again, common sense, none of us know exactly what was intended by that, but we can all draw conclusions. Now finally, let's get to let's take a moment and go down to the bottom of the paragraph near the
9 10 11 12 13	let's take down the highlighting first and I'll focus on the part that's talking about Appian. It says: Social view of work lists and tasks, eh. And then it	8 9 10 11 12 13	And again, common sense, none of us know exactly what was intended by that, but we can all draw conclusions. Now finally, let's get to let's take a moment and go down to the bottom of the paragraph near the conclusion that starts with the word
9 10 11 12 13 14	let's take down the highlighting first and I'll focus on the part that's talking about Appian. It says: Social view of work lists and tasks, eh. And then it says	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	And again, common sense, none of us know exactly what was intended by that, but we can all draw conclusions. Now finally, let's get to let's take a moment and go down to the bottom of the paragraph near the conclusion that starts with the word "overall," Josh. Can you find that?
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>let's take down the highlighting first and I'll focus on the part that's talking about Appian. It says: Social view of work lists and tasks, eh. And then it says Now you can take down that,</pre>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	And again, common sense, none of us know exactly what was intended by that, but we can all draw conclusions. Now finally, let's get to let's take a moment and go down to the bottom of the paragraph near the conclusion that starts with the word "overall," Josh. Can you find that? This is Ms. Garg's
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>let's take down the highlighting first and I'll focus on the part that's talking about Appian. It says: Social view of work lists and tasks, eh. And then it says Now you can take down that, Josh, and highlight the next sentence.</pre>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	And again, common sense, none of us know exactly what was intended by that, but we can all draw conclusions. Now finally, let's get to let's take a moment and go down to the bottom of the paragraph near the conclusion that starts with the word "overall," Josh. Can you find that? This is Ms. Garg's contemporaneous reaction: Overall, I
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>let's take down the highlighting first and I'll focus on the part that's talking about Appian. It says: Social view of work lists and tasks, eh. And then it says Now you can take down that, Josh, and highlight the next sentence. IBM has a more impressive</pre>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	And again, common sense, none of us know exactly what was intended by that, but we can all draw conclusions. Now finally, let's get to let's take a moment and go down to the bottom of the paragraph near the conclusion that starts with the word "overall," Josh. Can you find that? This is Ms. Garg's contemporaneous reaction: Overall, I am not impressed with their product
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>let's take down the highlighting first and I'll focus on the part that's talking about Appian. It says: Social view of work lists and tasks, eh. And then it says Now you can take down that, Josh, and highlight the next sentence. IBM has a more impressive view in their screenshots. We should</pre>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	And again, common sense, none of us know exactly what was intended by that, but we can all draw conclusions. Now finally, let's get to let's take a moment and go down to the bottom of the paragraph near the conclusion that starts with the word "overall," Josh. Can you find that? This is Ms. Garg's contemporaneous reaction: Overall, I am not impressed with their product offering at all. I'm extremely
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>let's take down the highlighting first and I'll focus on the part that's talking about Appian. It says: Social view of work lists and tasks, eh. And then it says Now you can take down that, Josh, and highlight the next sentence. IBM has a more impressive view in their screenshots. We should just create a view like this and ship</pre>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	And again, common sense, none of us know exactly what was intended by that, but we can all draw conclusions. Now finally, let's get to let's take a moment and go down to the bottom of the paragraph near the conclusion that starts with the word "overall," Josh. Can you find that? This is Ms. Garg's contemporaneous reaction: Overall, I am not impressed with their product offering at all. I'm extremely impressed by their marketing. For a
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>let's take down the highlighting first and I'll focus on the part that's talking about Appian. It says: Social view of work lists and tasks, eh. And then it says Now you can take down that, Josh, and highlight the next sentence. IBM has a more impressive view in their screenshots. We should just create a view like this and ship with it.</pre>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	And again, common sense, none of us know exactly what was intended by that, but we can all draw conclusions. Now finally, let's get to let's take a moment and go down to the bottom of the paragraph near the conclusion that starts with the word "overall," Josh. Can you find that? This is Ms. Garg's contemporaneous reaction: Overall, I am not impressed with their product offering at all. I'm extremely impressed by their marketing. For a year and a half, they've pushed the
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>let's take down the highlighting first and I'll focus on the part that's talking about Appian. It says: Social view of work lists and tasks, eh. And then it says Now you can take down that, Josh, and highlight the next sentence. IBM has a more impressive view in their screenshots. We should just create a view like this and ship with it. Now, jurors are supposed to</pre>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	And again, common sense, none of us know exactly what was intended by that, but we can all draw conclusions. Now finally, let's get to let's take a moment and go down to the bottom of the paragraph near the conclusion that starts with the word "overall," Josh. Can you find that? This is Ms. Garg's contemporaneous reaction: Overall, I am not impressed with their product offering at all. I'm extremely impressed by their marketing. For a year and a half, they've pushed the messaging really hard on this so I
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>let's take down the highlighting first and I'll focus on the part that's talking about Appian.</pre>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And again, common sense, none of us know exactly what was intended by that, but we can all draw conclusions. Now finally, let's get to let's take a moment and go down to the bottom of the paragraph near the conclusion that starts with the word "overall," Josh. Can you find that? This is Ms. Garg's contemporaneous reaction: Overall, I am not impressed with their product offering at all. I'm extremely impressed by their marketing. For a year and a half, they've pushed the messaging really hard on this so I presumed that they had more
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>let's take down the highlighting first and I'll focus on the part that's talking about Appian. It says: Social view of work lists and tasks, eh. And then it says Now you can take down that, Josh, and highlight the next sentence. IBM has a more impressive view in their screenshots. We should just create a view like this and ship with it. Now, jurors are supposed to bring their common sense, and I'll ask you to judge what's likely being said</pre>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	And again, common sense, none of us know exactly what was intended by that, but we can all draw conclusions. Now finally, let's get to let's take a moment and go down to the bottom of the paragraph near the conclusion that starts with the word "overall," Josh. Can you find that? This is Ms. Garg's contemporaneous reaction: Overall, I am not impressed with their product offering at all. I'm extremely impressed by their marketing. For a year and a half, they've pushed the messaging really hard on this so I presumed that they had more capabilities. They have some nice
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>let's take down the highlighting first and I'll focus on the part that's talking about Appian.</pre>	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	And again, common sense, none of us know exactly what was intended by that, but we can all draw conclusions. Now finally, let's get to let's take a moment and go down to the bottom of the paragraph near the conclusion that starts with the word "overall," Josh. Can you find that? This is Ms. Garg's contemporaneous reaction: Overall, I am not impressed with their product offering at all. I'm extremely impressed by their marketing. For a year and a half, they've pushed the messaging really hard on this so I presumed that they had more

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-

	Page 8254		Page 8255
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	up with a plan to brief the sales	2	conclusions would follow from that.
3	force on this in January especially	3	One is that Ms. Garg was the central
4	when they're competing against Appian?	4	person with respect to Pega Social and
5	And at her deposition, Ms. Garg	5	that her assessment of Appian social
6	was asked about this language. She	6	capabilities in 2012, nearly
7	testified, "It seems that my belief	7	eight years before this case began in
8	was that the marketing was pretty	8	which she had no motive to be
9	good, the product was not, and if	9	misleading anybody, that her
10	we're just if this was just	10	observation at the time could not
11	marketing on a product that's not that	11	possibly have been influenced by this
12	great, I believed our product to be	12	case.
		13	
13	superior so we should at a minimum	_	The second thing I suggest to
14	at the minimum be marketing it as	14	you is that Mr. Zou's demonstration of
15	well."	15	Appian's product, that by the time
16	This is the evidence of	16	Mr. Zou's demonstration of Appian's
17	Appian of Pega supposedly stealing	17	product, Pega had in its product in an
18	ideas from Appian. And the enthusiasm	18	advanced state of development social
19	for stealing ideas from Appian is	19	features that corresponded to the
20	pretty limited here because Ms. Garg	20	futures in Appian's social features
21	is saying that in her view and	21	before Pega was given any
22	she's the manager, that in her view	22	demonstration of Appian's social
23	what Pega already had was better than	23	features by Mr. Zou. Pega didn't
24	what Appian had.	24	create what Ms. Garg was describing
25	So I suggest a couple of	25	between the 19th and the 20th of
	Page 8256		Page 8257
1	Page 8256 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Page 8257 Defendant – Pega – Closing (Frank)
1 2	=	1 2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) features were not derived from trade
	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)		Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) December in 2012.	2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) features were not derived from trade
2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) December in 2012. Third, there's nothing in	2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) features were not derived from trade secret information that Pega got from
2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) December in 2012. Third, there's nothing in Ms. Garg e-mail, I'd suggest, that	2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) features were not derived from trade secret information that Pega got from Appian, that the \$3.03 billion number
2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) December in 2012. Third, there's nothing in Ms. Garg e-mail, I'd suggest, that suggests any intent by Pega to use	2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) features were not derived from trade secret information that Pega got from Appian, that the \$3.03 billion number drops away.
2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) December in 2012. Third, there's nothing in Ms. Garg e-mail, I'd suggest, that suggests any intent by Pega to use anything arising from Mr. Zou's	2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) features were not derived from trade secret information that Pega got from Appian, that the \$3.03 billion number drops away. He has no opinion as to what
2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) December in 2012. Third, there's nothing in Ms. Garg e-mail, I'd suggest, that suggests any intent by Pega to use anything arising from Mr. Zou's demonstration in the next version of	2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) features were not derived from trade secret information that Pega got from Appian, that the \$3.03 billion number drops away. He has no opinion as to what that number would be if any one of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) December in 2012. Third, there's nothing in Ms. Garg e-mail, I'd suggest, that suggests any intent by Pega to use anything arising from Mr. Zou's demonstration in the next version of the Pega platform to be released in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) features were not derived from trade secret information that Pega got from Appian, that the \$3.03 billion number drops away. He has no opinion as to what that number would be if any one of these product features was not deemed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) December in 2012. Third, there's nothing in Ms. Garg e-mail, I'd suggest, that suggests any intent by Pega to use anything arising from Mr. Zou's demonstration in the next version of the Pega platform to be released in 7.1. It's just the opposite.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) features were not derived from trade secret information that Pega got from Appian, that the \$3.03 billion number drops away. He has no opinion as to what that number would be if any one of these product features was not deemed to be the result of a trade secret
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) December in 2012. Third, there's nothing in Ms. Garg e-mail, I'd suggest, that suggests any intent by Pega to use anything arising from Mr. Zou's demonstration in the next version of the Pega platform to be released in 7.1. It's just the opposite. In general, Ms. Garg is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) features were not derived from trade secret information that Pega got from Appian, that the \$3.03 billion number drops away. He has no opinion as to what that number would be if any one of these product features was not deemed to be the result of a trade secret misappropriation by Pega.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) December in 2012. Third, there's nothing in Ms. Garg e-mail, I'd suggest, that suggests any intent by Pega to use anything arising from Mr. Zou's demonstration in the next version of the Pega platform to be released in 7.1. It's just the opposite. In general, Ms. Garg is commenting that what Pega had was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) features were not derived from trade secret information that Pega got from Appian, that the \$3.03 billion number drops away. He has no opinion as to what that number would be if any one of these product features was not deemed to be the result of a trade secret misappropriation by Pega. With that in mind, I repeat to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) December in 2012. Third, there's nothing in Ms. Garg e-mail, I'd suggest, that suggests any intent by Pega to use anything arising from Mr. Zou's demonstration in the next version of the Pega platform to be released in 7.1. It's just the opposite. In general, Ms. Garg is commenting that what Pega had was better. You wouldn't replace the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) features were not derived from trade secret information that Pega got from Appian, that the \$3.03 billion number drops away. He has no opinion as to what that number would be if any one of these product features was not deemed to be the result of a trade secret misappropriation by Pega. With that in mind, I repeat to cause Mr. Malackowski to concede that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) December in 2012. Third, there's nothing in Ms. Garg e-mail, I'd suggest, that suggests any intent by Pega to use anything arising from Mr. Zou's demonstration in the next version of the Pega platform to be released in 7.1. It's just the opposite. In general, Ms. Garg is commenting that what Pega had was better. You wouldn't replace the features she was described with that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) features were not derived from trade secret information that Pega got from Appian, that the \$3.03 billion number drops away. He has no opinion as to what that number would be if any one of these product features was not deemed to be the result of a trade secret misappropriation by Pega. With that in mind, I repeat to cause Mr. Malackowski to concede that his number is inapplicable, the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) December in 2012. Third, there's nothing in Ms. Garg e-mail, I'd suggest, that suggests any intent by Pega to use anything arising from Mr. Zou's demonstration in the next version of the Pega platform to be released in 7.1. It's just the opposite. In general, Ms. Garg is commenting that what Pega had was better. You wouldn't replace the features she was described with that which she was describing as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) features were not derived from trade secret information that Pega got from Appian, that the \$3.03 billion number drops away. He has no opinion as to what that number would be if any one of these product features was not deemed to be the result of a trade secret misappropriation by Pega. With that in mind, I repeat to cause Mr. Malackowski to concede that his number is inapplicable, the number, the \$3 billion number, I just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) December in 2012. Third, there's nothing in Ms. Garg e-mail, I'd suggest, that suggests any intent by Pega to use anything arising from Mr. Zou's demonstration in the next version of the Pega platform to be released in 7.1. It's just the opposite. In general, Ms. Garg is commenting that what Pega had was better. You wouldn't replace the features she was described with that which she was describing as nondisclosed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) features were not derived from trade secret information that Pega got from Appian, that the \$3.03 billion number drops away. He has no opinion as to what that number would be if any one of these product features was not deemed to be the result of a trade secret misappropriation by Pega. With that in mind, I repeat to cause Mr. Malackowski to concede that his number is inapplicable, the number, the \$3 billion number, I just have to persuade you, and you don't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) December in 2012. Third, there's nothing in Ms. Garg e-mail, I'd suggest, that suggests any intent by Pega to use anything arising from Mr. Zou's demonstration in the next version of the Pega platform to be released in 7.1. It's just the opposite. In general, Ms. Garg is commenting that what Pega had was better. You wouldn't replace the features she was described with that which she was describing as nondisclosed. Now, I want to link this to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) features were not derived from trade secret information that Pega got from Appian, that the \$3.03 billion number drops away. He has no opinion as to what that number would be if any one of these product features was not deemed to be the result of a trade secret misappropriation by Pega. With that in mind, I repeat to cause Mr. Malackowski to concede that his number is inapplicable, the number, the \$3 billion number, I just have to persuade you, and you don't have to be persuaded, that only one of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) December in 2012. Third, there's nothing in Ms. Garg e-mail, I'd suggest, that suggests any intent by Pega to use anything arising from Mr. Zou's demonstration in the next version of the Pega platform to be released in 7.1. It's just the opposite. In general, Ms. Garg is commenting that what Pega had was better. You wouldn't replace the features she was described with that which she was describing as nondisclosed. Now, I want to link this to damages again. The 3 billion,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) features were not derived from trade secret information that Pega got from Appian, that the \$3.03 billion number drops away. He has no opinion as to what that number would be if any one of these product features was not deemed to be the result of a trade secret misappropriation by Pega. With that in mind, I repeat to cause Mr. Malackowski to concede that his number is inapplicable, the number, the \$3 billion number, I just have to persuade you, and you don't have to be persuaded, that only one of these is not an Appian trade secret.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) December in 2012. Third, there's nothing in Ms. Garg e-mail, I'd suggest, that suggests any intent by Pega to use anything arising from Mr. Zou's demonstration in the next version of the Pega platform to be released in 7.1. It's just the opposite. In general, Ms. Garg is commenting that what Pega had was better. You wouldn't replace the features she was described with that which she was describing as nondisclosed. Now, I want to link this to damages again. The 3 billion, \$3.03 billion number that you heard	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) features were not derived from trade secret information that Pega got from Appian, that the \$3.03 billion number drops away. He has no opinion as to what that number would be if any one of these product features was not deemed to be the result of a trade secret misappropriation by Pega. With that in mind, I repeat to cause Mr. Malackowski to concede that his number is inapplicable, the number, the \$3 billion number, I just have to persuade you, and you don't have to be persuaded, that only one of these is not an Appian trade secret. And I'm not finished for sure.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) December in 2012. Third, there's nothing in Ms. Garg e-mail, I'd suggest, that suggests any intent by Pega to use anything arising from Mr. Zou's demonstration in the next version of the Pega platform to be released in 7.1. It's just the opposite. In general, Ms. Garg is commenting that what Pega had was better. You wouldn't replace the features she was described with that which she was describing as nondisclosed. Now, I want to link this to damages again. The 3 billion, \$3.03 billion number that you heard from Mr. Mangi, is subject to the same	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) features were not derived from trade secret information that Pega got from Appian, that the \$3.03 billion number drops away. He has no opinion as to what that number would be if any one of these product features was not deemed to be the result of a trade secret misappropriation by Pega. With that in mind, I repeat to cause Mr. Malackowski to concede that his number is inapplicable, the number, the \$3 billion number, I just have to persuade you, and you don't have to be persuaded, that only one of these is not an Appian trade secret. And I'm not finished for sure. The next, mobile. Dr. Marshall
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) December in 2012. Third, there's nothing in Ms. Garg e-mail, I'd suggest, that suggests any intent by Pega to use anything arising from Mr. Zou's demonstration in the next version of the Pega platform to be released in 7.1. It's just the opposite. In general, Ms. Garg is commenting that what Pega had was better. You wouldn't replace the features she was described with that which she was describing as nondisclosed. Now, I want to link this to damages again. The 3 billion, \$3.03 billion number that you heard from Mr. Mangi, is subject to the same qualification by Appian's expert</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) features were not derived from trade secret information that Pega got from Appian, that the \$3.03 billion number drops away. He has no opinion as to what that number would be if any one of these product features was not deemed to be the result of a trade secret misappropriation by Pega. With that in mind, I repeat to cause Mr. Malackowski to concede that his number is inapplicable, the number, the \$3 billion number, I just have to persuade you, and you don't have to be persuaded, that only one of these is not an Appian trade secret. And I'm not finished for sure. The next, mobile. Dr. Marshall told you that Pega copied Appian's mobile features by adding in Pega
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) December in 2012. Third, there's nothing in Ms. Garg e-mail, I'd suggest, that suggests any intent by Pega to use anything arising from Mr. Zou's demonstration in the next version of the Pega platform to be released in 7.1. It's just the opposite. In general, Ms. Garg is commenting that what Pega had was better. You wouldn't replace the features she was described with that which she was describing as nondisclosed. Now, I want to link this to damages again. The 3 billion, \$3.03 billion number that you heard from Mr. Mangi, is subject to the same qualification by Appian's expert Mr. Malackowski. He testified that if any of the product features that are</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) features were not derived from trade secret information that Pega got from Appian, that the \$3.03 billion number drops away. He has no opinion as to what that number would be if any one of these product features was not deemed to be the result of a trade secret misappropriation by Pega. With that in mind, I repeat to cause Mr. Malackowski to concede that his number is inapplicable, the number, the \$3 billion number, I just have to persuade you, and you don't have to be persuaded, that only one of these is not an Appian trade secret. And I'm not finished for sure. The next, mobile. Dr. Marshall told you that Pega copied Appian's mobile features by adding in Pega Version 7, deployment of mobile
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) December in 2012. Third, there's nothing in Ms. Garg e-mail, I'd suggest, that suggests any intent by Pega to use anything arising from Mr. Zou's demonstration in the next version of the Pega platform to be released in 7.1. It's just the opposite. In general, Ms. Garg is commenting that what Pega had was better. You wouldn't replace the features she was described with that which she was describing as nondisclosed. Now, I want to link this to damages again. The 3 billion, \$3.03 billion number that you heard from Mr. Mangi, is subject to the same qualification by Appian's expert Mr. Malackowski. He testified that if any of the product features that are at issue with respect to product</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) features were not derived from trade secret information that Pega got from Appian, that the \$3.03 billion number drops away. He has no opinion as to what that number would be if any one of these product features was not deemed to be the result of a trade secret misappropriation by Pega. With that in mind, I repeat to cause Mr. Malackowski to concede that his number is inapplicable, the number, the \$3 billion number, I just have to persuade you, and you don't have to be persuaded, that only one of these is not an Appian trade secret. And I'm not finished for sure. The next, mobile. Dr. Marshall told you that Pega copied Appian's mobile features by adding in Pega Version 7, deployment of mobile applications completely out of the box
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) December in 2012. Third, there's nothing in Ms. Garg e-mail, I'd suggest, that suggests any intent by Pega to use anything arising from Mr. Zou's demonstration in the next version of the Pega platform to be released in 7.1. It's just the opposite. In general, Ms. Garg is commenting that what Pega had was better. You wouldn't replace the features she was described with that which she was describing as nondisclosed. Now, I want to link this to damages again. The 3 billion, \$3.03 billion number that you heard from Mr. Mangi, is subject to the same qualification by Appian's expert Mr. Malackowski. He testified that if any of the product features that are</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) features were not derived from trade secret information that Pega got from Appian, that the \$3.03 billion number drops away. He has no opinion as to what that number would be if any one of these product features was not deemed to be the result of a trade secret misappropriation by Pega. With that in mind, I repeat to cause Mr. Malackowski to concede that his number is inapplicable, the number, the \$3 billion number, I just have to persuade you, and you don't have to be persuaded, that only one of these is not an Appian trade secret. And I'm not finished for sure. The next, mobile. Dr. Marshall told you that Pega copied Appian's mobile features by adding in Pega Version 7, deployment of mobile

1	Page 8258		Page 8259
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	December 2009 is addressed to	2	connect the standard platform to a
3	that's the relevance of the I like the	3	mobile device. And so the and
4	IBM feature and it doesn't suggest	4	Dr. Marshall told you that that was
5	that there was any intent to copy the	5	the key feature and that developers
6	Pega feature to copy the Appian	6	didn't have to do anything more than
7	feature.	7	sort of one-click connection.
8	I've got myself confused. I	8	There's no question that Pega
9	need to say it right because I'm	9	had been in the mobile business from
10	confusing I confused myself and,	10	as early as 2011. Ms. Louis testified
11	therefore, confusing you.	11	that there was a fully operational
12	The feature accused in the	12	product available for sale in January
13	context of social is the concept of	13	of 2012.
14	the work list, giving work lists and	14	Mr. Bixby testified that, that
15	tasks. That is the subject of that	15	in January of 2012, Pega's mobile
16	sentence that I spent a lot of time	16	product worked out of the box without
17	talking about that where Ms. Garg said	17	any need for further configuration.
18	she liked the feature, but that is no	18	That capability was available in
19	indication of an intent to somehow use	19	Pega 6.2 and 6.3.
20	confidential information to steal	20	Ms. Louis explained that it just
20	something from Appian.	20	worked. You build the screen. You
		22	
22	For mobile, the claim is that		build your process. You build your
23	Appian that the benefit of Appian's	23 24	application in the Pega designer studio.
24 25	Tempo was that it came to developers	24 25	This is all stuff that was
25	with an out-of-the-box ability to	20	IIIIS IS AII SCUII CHAC WAS
1	Page 8260	1	Page 8261
2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) available in January of 2012. Pega's	1 2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) written Report, a long written Report
3	first contact with Mr. Zou was in	3	on November 24, 2021, meaning
4	February of 2012.	4	two weeks after he was first retained.
5		5	
_	In order to conclude that Pega,	5	And based upon a very smart
6	A, got an idea that Appian was	-	man, I'm not suggesting otherwise
7	concealing, that is, it was a secret	7	two weeks with software that you're
8	that Appian had this out-of-the-box	8	completely unfamiliar with before you
9	capability, that Appian was actually	9	start is a heavy lift for anybody, no
10	keeping that secret, that's the first	10	matter how capable they are.
11	thing.	11	Next, the Pega moved on, that
12	And second, that somehow you	12	is, Pega purchased a company called
13	should just disbelieve Mr. Bixby and	13	Antenna in October of 2013. Nobody
14	Ms. Garg sorry, Mr. Bixby and	14	suggests that Mr. Zou had any
15	Ms. Louis, who were the people doing	15	relationship with Antenna, any
16	it at the time. And no doubt	16	involvement at all, and everyone
17	Mr. Mangi will tell you that they were	17	agrees that adding Antenna added more
18	liars and thieves and you shouldn't	18	skill to Pega and increased Pega's
		19	sales and bookings and so on.
19	believe them, but they are the people		
19 20	who built the product.	20	And Appian was found during
19 20 21	who built the product. Dr. Marshall, someone who came	21	trial Mr. Mangi didn't go to it
19 20 21 22	who built the product. Dr. Marshall, someone who came along years later, had rendered an	21 22	trial Mr. Mangi didn't go to it during his closing, but they were
19 20 21 22 23	who built the product. Dr. Marshall, someone who came along years later, had rendered an opinion on this subject. I believe he	21 22 23	trial Mr. Mangi didn't go to it during his closing, but they were found during the trial that in 2013,
19 20 21 22	who built the product. Dr. Marshall, someone who came along years later, had rendered an	21 22	trial Mr. Mangi didn't go to it during his closing, but they were

	Page 8262		Page 8263
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	increased four times.	2	I believe there is a is there
3	Sure, it bought a company that	3	a second page, Josh, with a chart on
4	was in the mobile business and that's	4	it? There we go.
5	what happens when you buy another	5	So this is the column on the
6	company.	6	left, which is in green, is a set of
7	Now, in 2013, January of 2013,	7	tick marks that are reflective of
8	Mr. Petronio created a mobile	8	characteristics that the Pega product
9	analysis. He was asked to create an	9	had at the time, created by Ms. Louis.
10	analysis that contained a list of what	10	The column in the center, light blue,
11	Pega's features were, a list of what	11	indicates characteristics that Mr. Zou
12	Appian's features were, and a list of	12	told Mr. Petronio Appian had and the
13	what salesforce.com features were.	13	column on the right is salesforce.com,
14	They were a competitor.	14	a third competitor.
15	Ms. Louis contributed the Pega	15	And it lists the features and
16	part, and Mr. Zou contributed the	16	the benefits of the features. And as
17	Appian part. They didn't have	17	you can see, the perception at the
18	anything to do with each other.	18	time was that Pega's product was
19	Mr. Petronio put this together.	19	had all of those features, had
20	Here's the result. It's	20	everything that Appian had, and had a
21	Plaintiff's 40.	21	bunch more as well.
22	(Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 40,	22	Again, in fairness, you'll see
23	Mobile Analysis, was identified.)	23	it says at the top: External
24	MR. FRANK: January of 2013, do	24	positioning, assuming mobile features
25	you recall the	25	in 7.1. So those are features that
	100 100011 010		
1	Page 8264 Defendant - Deca - Closing (Frank)	1	Page 8265
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) were present at the time, not yet	2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) identified.)
2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) were present at the time, not yet introduced, but present in January of	2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) identified.) MR. FRANK: And if you're
2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) were present at the time, not yet introduced, but present in January of 2013.	2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) identified.) MR. FRANK: And if you're just to repeat some stuff you've heard
2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) were present at the time, not yet introduced, but present in January of 2013. The summary is the out-of-box	2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) identified.) MR. FRANK: And if you're just to repeat some stuff you've heard during the trial, but the listing down
2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) were present at the time, not yet introduced, but present in January of 2013. The summary is the out-of-box capabilities, which is with one-click	2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) identified.) MR. FRANK: And if you're just to repeat some stuff you've heard during the trial, but the listing down on the left side is called a palette
2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) were present at the time, not yet introduced, but present in January of 2013. The summary is the out-of-box capabilities, which is with one-click and with no further configuration, was	2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) identified.) MR. FRANK: And if you're just to repeat some stuff you've heard during the trial, but the listing down on the left side is called a palette and it provides a set of functions.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) were present at the time, not yet introduced, but present in January of 2013. The summary is the out-of-box capabilities, which is with one-click and with no further configuration, was already in Pega's product in long	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) identified.) MR. FRANK: And if you're just to repeat some stuff you've heard during the trial, but the listing down on the left side is called a palette and it provides a set of functions. The way this works is you can click on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) were present at the time, not yet introduced, but present in January of 2013. The summary is the out-of-box capabilities, which is with one-click and with no further configuration, was already in Pega's product in long before this and before the relevant	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) identified.) MR. FRANK: And if you're just to repeat some stuff you've heard during the trial, but the listing down on the left side is called a palette and it provides a set of functions. The way this works is you can click on something that's in the palette
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) were present at the time, not yet introduced, but present in January of 2013. The summary is the out-of-box capabilities, which is with one-click and with no further configuration, was already in Pega's product in long before this and before the relevant information was provided to Pega	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) identified.) MR. FRANK: And if you're just to repeat some stuff you've heard during the trial, but the listing down on the left side is called a palette and it provides a set of functions. The way this works is you can click on something that's in the palette somewhere, drag it onto the canvas and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) were present at the time, not yet introduced, but present in January of 2013. The summary is the out-of-box capabilities, which is with one-click and with no further configuration, was already in Pega's product in long before this and before the relevant information was provided to Pega relevant information related to Appian	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) identified.) MR. FRANK: And if you're just to repeat some stuff you've heard during the trial, but the listing down on the left side is called a palette and it provides a set of functions. The way this works is you can click on something that's in the palette somewhere, drag it onto the canvas and it's used to a build a process, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) were present at the time, not yet introduced, but present in January of 2013. The summary is the out-of-box capabilities, which is with one-click and with no further configuration, was already in Pega's product in long before this and before the relevant information was provided to Pega relevant information related to Appian provided to Pega.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) identified.) MR. FRANK: And if you're just to repeat some stuff you've heard during the trial, but the listing down on the left side is called a palette and it provides a set of functions. The way this works is you can click on something that's in the palette somewhere, drag it onto the canvas and it's used to a build a process, and there's programming that is associated
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) were present at the time, not yet introduced, but present in January of 2013. The summary is the out-of-box capabilities, which is with one-click and with no further configuration, was already in Pega's product in long before this and before the relevant information was provided to Pega relevant information related to Appian provided to Pega. And there are three remaining	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) identified.) MR. FRANK: And if you're just to repeat some stuff you've heard during the trial, but the listing down on the left side is called a palette and it provides a set of functions. The way this works is you can click on something that's in the palette somewhere, drag it onto the canvas and it's used to a build a process, and there's programming that is associated with each of the things you click on.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) were present at the time, not yet introduced, but present in January of 2013. The summary is the out-of-box capabilities, which is with one-click and with no further configuration, was already in Pega's product in long before this and before the relevant information was provided to Pega relevant information related to Appian provided to Pega. And there are three remaining features and I'll address them fairly</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) identified.) MR. FRANK: And if you're just to repeat some stuff you've heard during the trial, but the listing down on the left side is called a palette and it provides a set of functions. The way this works is you can click on something that's in the palette somewhere, drag it onto the canvas and it's used to a build a process, and there's programming that is associated with each of the things you click on. Now, could we look please at
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) were present at the time, not yet introduced, but present in January of 2013. The summary is the out-of-box capabilities, which is with one-click and with no further configuration, was already in Pega's product in long before this and before the relevant information was provided to Pega relevant information related to Appian provided to Pega. And there are three remaining features and I'll address them fairly quickly. I don't want to take too</pre>	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) identified.) MR. FRANK: And if you're just to repeat some stuff you've heard during the trial, but the listing down on the left side is called a palette and it provides a set of functions. The way this works is you can click on something that's in the palette somewhere, drag it onto the canvas and it's used to a build a process, and there's programming that is associated with each of the things you click on. Now, could we look please at Plaintiff's Demonstrative 5.33?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) were present at the time, not yet introduced, but present in January of 2013. The summary is the out-of-box capabilities, which is with one-click and with no further configuration, was already in Pega's product in long before this and before the relevant information was provided to Pega relevant information related to Appian provided to Pega. And there are three remaining features and I'll address them fairly quickly. I don't want to take too much of Mr. Travell's time.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) identified.) MR. FRANK: And if you're just to repeat some stuff you've heard during the trial, but the listing down on the left side is called a palette and it provides a set of functions. The way this works is you can click on something that's in the palette somewhere, drag it onto the canvas and it's used to a build a process, and there's programming that is associated with each of the things you click on. Now, could we look please at Plaintiff's Demonstrative 5.33? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 5.33
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) were present at the time, not yet introduced, but present in January of 2013. The summary is the out-of-box capabilities, which is with one-click and with no further configuration, was already in Pega's product in long before this and before the relevant information was provided to Pega relevant information related to Appian provided to Pega. And there are three remaining features and I'll address them fairly quickly. I don't want to take too much of Mr. Travell's time. They are a bunch of ease-of-use</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) identified.) MR. FRANK: And if you're just to repeat some stuff you've heard during the trial, but the listing down on the left side is called a palette and it provides a set of functions. The way this works is you can click on something that's in the palette somewhere, drag it onto the canvas and it's used to a build a process, and there's programming that is associated with each of the things you click on. Now, could we look please at Plaintiff's Demonstrative 5.33? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 5.33 Demonstrative, was identified.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) were present at the time, not yet introduced, but present in January of 2013. The summary is the out-of-box capabilities, which is with one-click and with no further configuration, was already in Pega's product in long before this and before the relevant information was provided to Pega relevant information related to Appian provided to Pega. And there are three remaining features and I'll address them fairly quickly. I don't want to take too much of Mr. Travell's time. They are a bunch of ease-of-use features, one of which is called Smart</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) identified.) MR. FRANK: And if you're just to repeat some stuff you've heard during the trial, but the listing down on the left side is called a palette and it provides a set of functions. The way this works is you can click on something that's in the palette somewhere, drag it onto the canvas and it's used to a build a process, and there's programming that is associated with each of the things you click on. Now, could we look please at Plaintiff's Demonstrative 5.33? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 5.33 Demonstrative, was identified.) MR. FRANK: In Pega 7.1, there
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) were present at the time, not yet introduced, but present in January of 2013. The summary is the out-of-box capabilities, which is with one-click and with no further configuration, was already in Pega's product in long before this and before the relevant information was provided to Pega relevant information related to Appian provided to Pega. And there are three remaining features and I'll address them fairly quickly. I don't want to take too much of Mr. Travell's time. They are a bunch of ease-of-use features, one of which is called Smart Services.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) identified.) MR. FRANK: And if you're just to repeat some stuff you've heard during the trial, but the listing down on the left side is called a palette and it provides a set of functions. The way this works is you can click on something that's in the palette somewhere, drag it onto the canvas and it's used to a build a process, and there's programming that is associated with each of the things you click on. Now, could we look please at Plaintiff's Demonstrative 5.33? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 5.33 Demonstrative, was identified.) MR. FRANK: In Pega 7.1, there was added a bar that says: Smart
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) were present at the time, not yet introduced, but present in January of 2013. The summary is the out-of-box capabilities, which is with one-click and with no further configuration, was already in Pega's product in long before this and before the relevant information was provided to Pega relevant information related to Appian provided to Pega. And there are three remaining features and I'll address them fairly quickly. I don't want to take too much of Mr. Travell's time. They are a bunch of ease-of-use features, one of which is called Smart Services. And, Josh, if we can put up</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) identified.) MR. FRANK: And if you're just to repeat some stuff you've heard during the trial, but the listing down on the left side is called a palette and it provides a set of functions. The way this works is you can click on something that's in the palette somewhere, drag it onto the canvas and it's used to a build a process, and there's programming that is associated with each of the things you click on. Now, could we look please at Plaintiff's Demonstrative 5.33? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 5.33 Demonstrative, was identified.) MR. FRANK: In Pega 7.1, there was added a bar that says: Smart Services, and if you click on that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) were present at the time, not yet introduced, but present in January of 2013. The summary is the out-of-box capabilities, which is with one-click and with no further configuration, was already in Pega's product in long before this and before the relevant information was provided to Pega relevant information related to Appian provided to Pega. And there are three remaining features and I'll address them fairly quickly. I don't want to take too much of Mr. Travell's time. They are a bunch of ease-of-use features, one of which is called Smart Services. And, Josh, if we can put up DX 721. This is going to be an image</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) identified.) MR. FRANK: And if you're just to repeat some stuff you've heard during the trial, but the listing down on the left side is called a palette and it provides a set of functions. The way this works is you can click on something that's in the palette somewhere, drag it onto the canvas and it's used to a build a process, and there's programming that is associated with each of the things you click on. Now, could we look please at Plaintiff's Demonstrative 5.33? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 5.33 Demonstrative, was identified.) MR. FRANK: In Pega 7.1, there was added a bar that says: Smart Services, and if you click on that bar, you got a listing of the ten most
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) were present at the time, not yet introduced, but present in January of 2013. The summary is the out-of-box capabilities, which is with one-click and with no further configuration, was already in Pega's product in long before this and before the relevant information was provided to Pega relevant information related to Appian provided to Pega. And there are three remaining features and I'll address them fairly quickly. I don't want to take too much of Mr. Travell's time. They are a bunch of ease-of-use features, one of which is called Smart Services. And, Josh, if we can put up DX 721. This is going to be an image of Appian's Process Modeler. It must </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) identified.) MR. FRANK: And if you're just to repeat some stuff you've heard during the trial, but the listing down on the left side is called a palette and it provides a set of functions. The way this works is you can click on something that's in the palette somewhere, drag it onto the canvas and it's used to a build a process, and there's programming that is associated with each of the things you click on. Now, could we look please at Plaintiff's Demonstrative 5.33? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 5.33 Demonstrative, was identified.) MR. FRANK: In Pega 7.1, there was added a bar that says: Smart Services, and if you click on that bar, you got a listing of the ten most popular things that could be dragged
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) were present at the time, not yet introduced, but present in January of 2013. The summary is the out-of-box capabilities, which is with one-click and with no further configuration, was already in Pega's product in long before this and before the relevant information was provided to Pega relevant information related to Appian provided to Pega. And there are three remaining features and I'll address them fairly quickly. I don't want to take too much of Mr. Travell's time. They are a bunch of ease-of-use features, one of which is called Smart Services. And, Josh, if we can put up DX 721. This is going to be an image of Appian's Process Modeler. It must be there we go. </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) identified.) MR. FRANK: And if you're just to repeat some stuff you've heard during the trial, but the listing down on the left side is called a palette and it provides a set of functions. The way this works is you can click on something that's in the palette somewhere, drag it onto the canvas and it's used to a build a process, and there's programming that is associated with each of the things you click on. Now, could we look please at Plaintiff's Demonstrative 5.33? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 5.33 Demonstrative, was identified.) MR. FRANK: In Pega 7.1, there was added a bar that says: Smart Services, and if you click on that bar, you got a listing of the ten most popular things that could be dragged onto the canvas. And the benefit of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) were present at the time, not yet introduced, but present in January of 2013. The summary is the out-of-box capabilities, which is with one-click and with no further configuration, was already in Pega's product in long before this and before the relevant information was provided to Pega relevant information related to Appian provided to Pega. And there are three remaining features and I'll address them fairly quickly. I don't want to take too much of Mr. Travell's time. They are a bunch of ease-of-use features, one of which is called Smart Services. And, Josh, if we can put up DX 721. This is going to be an image of Appian's Process Modeler. It must </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) identified.) MR. FRANK: And if you're just to repeat some stuff you've heard during the trial, but the listing down on the left side is called a palette and it provides a set of functions. The way this works is you can click on something that's in the palette somewhere, drag it onto the canvas and it's used to a build a process, and there's programming that is associated with each of the things you click on. Now, could we look please at Plaintiff's Demonstrative 5.33? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 5.33 Demonstrative, was identified.) MR. FRANK: In Pega 7.1, there was added a bar that says: Smart Services, and if you click on that bar, you got a listing of the ten most popular things that could be dragged

	Page 8266		Page 8267
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	of programming that does the work for	2	except that they were under the
3	the designer. It's already	3	utility I'll call it a bar rather
4	preprogrammed, a preconfigured	4	than the Smart Shape bar. And all
5	activity.	5	Pega did was sort out the more popular
6	The very same things were	6	of the utilities, put them under Smart
7	available in prior versions of Pega	7	Shapes and the one that's underneath
8	sitting underneath other shapes that	8	that is called something else. And if
9	existed in the palette on the	9	you clicked on immediately underneath
10	left-hand side of Pega's canvas. So,	10	it
11	for example, if you had clicked on	11	Josh, I wish my eyesight were
12	utility in the prior version, you	12	better.
13	would have gotten something like	13	It's called Advanced Shapes. If
14	access to 300-some-odd of these	14	_
15		15	you click on that, you get the rest of them. That was the innovation. That
16	preconfigured actions.	16	is \$3 billion worth of value
	All that Pega did was to group		
17 18	ten of them under the heading Smart	17 18	supposedly. The next one is ease of editing.
19	Shapes and put them into a drop-down menu that you could access more	19	That's it. That's it with respect to
20	-	20	_
20	easily. It actually put the box around the Smart Shapes, the blue bar,	20	the first. It is literally the
	— · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		ability to get the same thing you
22	and then around what you get when you	22 23	could get at 6.3. It's only that that
23	click on Smart Shapes.		is accessed by clicking on one bar as
24	Every one of those capabilities	24	distinguished from a different bar.
25	was present in the prior version	25	Nothing else.
-	Page 8268	-	Page 8269
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) The next, ease of editing, can	2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) when you first pull out a cell phone
2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) The next, ease of editing, can we pull up Dr. Marshall's Slide 5.3,	2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) when you first pull out a cell phone and moving them around into a
2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) The next, ease of editing, can we pull up Dr. Marshall's Slide 5.3, 5.39, please, Josh?	2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) when you first pull out a cell phone and moving them around into a different location.
2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) The next, ease of editing, can we pull up Dr. Marshall's Slide 5.3, 5.39, please, Josh? You saw this yesterday. It's on	2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) when you first pull out a cell phone and moving them around into a different location. That's it. Nobody suggests that
2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) The next, ease of editing, can we pull up Dr. Marshall's Slide 5.3, 5.39, please, Josh? You saw this yesterday. It's on the left, as I understand it, from	2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) when you first pull out a cell phone and moving them around into a different location. That's it. Nobody suggests that edit buttons like this is something
2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) The next, ease of editing, can we pull up Dr. Marshall's Slide 5.3, 5.39, please, Josh? You saw this yesterday. It's on the left, as I understand it, from Appian's product and it's a button	2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) when you first pull out a cell phone and moving them around into a different location. That's it. Nobody suggests that edit buttons like this is something brand new. But these two edit buttons
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) The next, ease of editing, can we pull up Dr. Marshall's Slide 5.3, 5.39, please, Josh? You saw this yesterday. It's on the left, as I understand it, from Appian's product and it's a button that allows you to move from the user	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) when you first pull out a cell phone and moving them around into a different location. That's it. Nobody suggests that edit buttons like this is something brand new. But these two edit buttons are doing completely different things;
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) The next, ease of editing, can we pull up Dr. Marshall's Slide 5.3, 5.39, please, Josh? You saw this yesterday. It's on the left, as I understand it, from Appian's product and it's a button that allows you to move from the user environment back to the developer	2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) when you first pull out a cell phone and moving them around into a different location. That's it. Nobody suggests that edit buttons like this is something brand new. But these two edit buttons are doing completely different things; and that is, I say respectfully to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) The next, ease of editing, can we pull up Dr. Marshall's Slide 5.3, 5.39, please, Josh? You saw this yesterday. It's on the left, as I understand it, from Appian's product and it's a button that allows you to move from the user environment back to the developer environment. And it's supposed to be	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) when you first pull out a cell phone and moving them around into a different location. That's it. Nobody suggests that edit buttons like this is something brand new. But these two edit buttons are doing completely different things; and that is, I say respectfully to Dr. Marshall, he just misunderstood
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) The next, ease of editing, can we pull up Dr. Marshall's Slide 5.3, 5.39, please, Josh? You saw this yesterday. It's on the left, as I understand it, from Appian's product and it's a button that allows you to move from the user environment back to the developer environment. And it's supposed to be an ease-of-use feature.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) when you first pull out a cell phone and moving them around into a different location. That's it. Nobody suggests that edit buttons like this is something brand new. But these two edit buttons are doing completely different things; and that is, I say respectfully to Dr. Marshall, he just misunderstood what he was looking at.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) The next, ease of editing, can we pull up Dr. Marshall's Slide 5.3, 5.39, please, Josh? You saw this yesterday. It's on the left, as I understand it, from Appian's product and it's a button that allows you to move from the user environment back to the developer environment. And it's supposed to be an ease-of-use feature. The thing on the right in the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) when you first pull out a cell phone and moving them around into a different location. That's it. Nobody suggests that edit buttons like this is something brand new. But these two edit buttons are doing completely different things; and that is, I say respectfully to Dr. Marshall, he just misunderstood what he was looking at. The last is custom data types.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) The next, ease of editing, can we pull up Dr. Marshall's Slide 5.3, 5.39, please, Josh? You saw this yesterday. It's on the left, as I understand it, from Appian's product and it's a button that allows you to move from the user environment back to the developer environment. And it's supposed to be an ease-of-use feature. The thing on the right in the red square is, in fact, something that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) when you first pull out a cell phone and moving them around into a different location. That's it. Nobody suggests that edit buttons like this is something brand new. But these two edit buttons are doing completely different things; and that is, I say respectfully to Dr. Marshall, he just misunderstood what he was looking at. The last is custom data types. And there's a long explanation that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) The next, ease of editing, can we pull up Dr. Marshall's Slide 5.3, 5.39, please, Josh? You saw this yesterday. It's on the left, as I understand it, from Appian's product and it's a button that allows you to move from the user environment back to the developer environment. And it's supposed to be an ease-of-use feature. The thing on the right in the red square is, in fact, something that does nothing of the kind. It is a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) when you first pull out a cell phone and moving them around into a different location. That's it. Nobody suggests that edit buttons like this is something brand new. But these two edit buttons are doing completely different things; and that is, I say respectfully to Dr. Marshall, he just misunderstood what he was looking at. The last is custom data types. And there's a long explanation that goes with this, but the short of it is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) The next, ease of editing, can we pull up Dr. Marshall's Slide 5.3, 5.39, please, Josh? You saw this yesterday. It's on the left, as I understand it, from Appian's product and it's a button that allows you to move from the user environment back to the developer environment. And it's supposed to be an ease-of-use feature. The thing on the right in the red square is, in fact, something that does nothing of the kind. It is a button that exists in the user	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) when you first pull out a cell phone and moving them around into a different location. That's it. Nobody suggests that edit buttons like this is something brand new. But these two edit buttons are doing completely different things; and that is, I say respectfully to Dr. Marshall, he just misunderstood what he was looking at. The last is custom data types. And there's a long explanation that goes with this, but the short of it is that in a particular type of business,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) The next, ease of editing, can we pull up Dr. Marshall's Slide 5.3, 5.39, please, Josh? You saw this yesterday. It's on the left, as I understand it, from Appian's product and it's a button that allows you to move from the user environment back to the developer environment. And it's supposed to be an ease-of-use feature. The thing on the right in the red square is, in fact, something that does nothing of the kind. It is a button that exists in the user function, as you can see, immediately	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) when you first pull out a cell phone and moving them around into a different location. That's it. Nobody suggests that edit buttons like this is something brand new. But these two edit buttons are doing completely different things; and that is, I say respectfully to Dr. Marshall, he just misunderstood what he was looking at. The last is custom data types. And there's a long explanation that goes with this, but the short of it is that in a particular type of business, say a banking business, the bank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) The next, ease of editing, can we pull up Dr. Marshall's Slide 5.3, 5.39, please, Josh? You saw this yesterday. It's on the left, as I understand it, from Appian's product and it's a button that allows you to move from the user environment back to the developer environment. And it's supposed to be an ease-of-use feature. The thing on the right in the red square is, in fact, something that does nothing of the kind. It is a button that exists in the user function, as you can see, immediately below and to the left of that button	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) when you first pull out a cell phone and moving them around into a different location. That's it. Nobody suggests that edit buttons like this is something brand new. But these two edit buttons are doing completely different things; and that is, I say respectfully to Dr. Marshall, he just misunderstood what he was looking at. The last is custom data types. And there's a long explanation that goes with this, but the short of it is that in a particular type of business,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) The next, ease of editing, can we pull up Dr. Marshall's Slide 5.3, 5.39, please, Josh? You saw this yesterday. It's on the left, as I understand it, from Appian's product and it's a button that allows you to move from the user environment back to the developer environment. And it's supposed to be an ease-of-use feature. The thing on the right in the red square is, in fact, something that does nothing of the kind. It is a button that exists in the user function, as you can see, immediately	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) when you first pull out a cell phone and moving them around into a different location. That's it. Nobody suggests that edit buttons like this is something brand new. But these two edit buttons are doing completely different things; and that is, I say respectfully to Dr. Marshall, he just misunderstood what he was looking at. The last is custom data types. And there's a long explanation that goes with this, but the short of it is that in a particular type of business, say a banking business, the bank
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) The next, ease of editing, can we pull up Dr. Marshall's Slide 5.3, 5.39, please, Josh? You saw this yesterday. It's on the left, as I understand it, from Appian's product and it's a button that allows you to move from the user environment back to the developer environment. And it's supposed to be an ease-of-use feature. The thing on the right in the red square is, in fact, something that does nothing of the kind. It is a button that exists in the user function, as you can see, immediately below and to the left of that button are a bunch of icons. Those icons exist on a dashboard	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) when you first pull out a cell phone and moving them around into a different location. That's it. Nobody suggests that edit buttons like this is something brand new. But these two edit buttons are doing completely different things; and that is, I say respectfully to Dr. Marshall, he just misunderstood what he was looking at. The last is custom data types. And there's a long explanation that goes with this, but the short of it is that in a particular type of business, say a banking business, the bank collects certain information with respect to a customer. And Mr. Bixby testified and he
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) The next, ease of editing, can we pull up Dr. Marshall's Slide 5.3, 5.39, please, Josh? You saw this yesterday. It's on the left, as I understand it, from Appian's product and it's a button that allows you to move from the user environment back to the developer environment. And it's supposed to be an ease-of-use feature. The thing on the right in the red square is, in fact, something that does nothing of the kind. It is a button that exists in the user function, as you can see, immediately below and to the left of that button are a bunch of icons. Those icons exist on a dashboard and user function. If you click on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) when you first pull out a cell phone and moving them around into a different location. That's it. Nobody suggests that edit buttons like this is something brand new. But these two edit buttons are doing completely different things; and that is, I say respectfully to Dr. Marshall, he just misunderstood what he was looking at. The last is custom data types. And there's a long explanation that goes with this, but the short of it is that in a particular type of business, say a banking business, the bank collects certain information with respect to a customer. And Mr. Bixby testified and he did a drawing, DX 10.2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) The next, ease of editing, can we pull up Dr. Marshall's Slide 5.3, 5.39, please, Josh? You saw this yesterday. It's on the left, as I understand it, from Appian's product and it's a button that allows you to move from the user environment back to the developer environment. And it's supposed to be an ease-of-use feature. The thing on the right in the red square is, in fact, something that does nothing of the kind. It is a button that exists in the user function, as you can see, immediately below and to the left of that button are a bunch of icons. Those icons exist on a dashboard and user function. If you click on that button, what you get is the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) when you first pull out a cell phone and moving them around into a different location. That's it. Nobody suggests that edit buttons like this is something brand new. But these two edit buttons are doing completely different things; and that is, I say respectfully to Dr. Marshall, he just misunderstood what he was looking at. The last is custom data types. And there's a long explanation that goes with this, but the short of it is that in a particular type of business, say a banking business, the bank collects certain information with respect to a customer. And Mr. Bixby testified and he did a drawing, DX 10.2. (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 10.2,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) The next, ease of editing, can we pull up Dr. Marshall's Slide 5.3, 5.39, please, Josh? You saw this yesterday. It's on the left, as I understand it, from Appian's product and it's a button that allows you to move from the user environment back to the developer environment. And it's supposed to be an ease-of-use feature. The thing on the right in the red square is, in fact, something that does nothing of the kind. It is a button that exists in the user function, as you can see, immediately below and to the left of that button are a bunch of icons. Those icons exist on a dashboard and user function. If you click on that button, what you get is the ability to move the icons around on	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) when you first pull out a cell phone and moving them around into a different location. That's it. Nobody suggests that edit buttons like this is something brand new. But these two edit buttons are doing completely different things; and that is, I say respectfully to Dr. Marshall, he just misunderstood what he was looking at. The last is custom data types. And there's a long explanation that goes with this, but the short of it is that in a particular type of business, say a banking business, the bank collects certain information with respect to a customer. And Mr. Bixby testified and he did a drawing, DX 10.2.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) The next, ease of editing, can we pull up Dr. Marshall's Slide 5.3, 5.39, please, Josh? You saw this yesterday. It's on the left, as I understand it, from Appian's product and it's a button that allows you to move from the user environment back to the developer environment. And it's supposed to be an ease-of-use feature. The thing on the right in the red square is, in fact, something that does nothing of the kind. It is a button that exists in the user function, as you can see, immediately below and to the left of that button are a bunch of icons. Those icons exist on a dashboard and user function. If you click on that button, what you get is the ability to move the icons around on the screen if you're a user for your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) when you first pull out a cell phone and moving them around into a different location. That's it. Nobody suggests that edit buttons like this is something brand new. But these two edit buttons are doing completely different things; and that is, I say respectfully to Dr. Marshall, he just misunderstood what he was looking at. The last is custom data types. And there's a long explanation that goes with this, but the short of it is that in a particular type of business, say a banking business, the bank collects certain information with respect to a customer. And Mr. Bixby testified and he did a drawing, DX 10.2. (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 10.2, Drawing, was identified.) MR. FRANK: Sorry. He jot down
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) The next, ease of editing, can we pull up Dr. Marshall's Slide 5.3, 5.39, please, Josh? You saw this yesterday. It's on the left, as I understand it, from Appian's product and it's a button that allows you to move from the user environment back to the developer environment. And it's supposed to be an ease-of-use feature. The thing on the right in the red square is, in fact, something that does nothing of the kind. It is a button that exists in the user function, as you can see, immediately below and to the left of that button are a bunch of icons. Those icons exist on a dashboard and user function. If you click on that button, what you get is the ability to move the icons around on the screen if you're a user for your convenience. It would be like taking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) when you first pull out a cell phone and moving them around into a different location. That's it. Nobody suggests that edit buttons like this is something brand new. But these two edit buttons are doing completely different things; and that is, I say respectfully to Dr. Marshall, he just misunderstood what he was looking at. The last is custom data types. And there's a long explanation that goes with this, but the short of it is that in a particular type of business, say a banking business, the bank collects certain information with respect to a customer. And Mr. Bixby testified and he did a drawing, DX 10.2. (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 10.2, Drawing, was identified.) MR. FRANK: Sorry. He jot down in the drawing, but basically it's a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) The next, ease of editing, can we pull up Dr. Marshall's Slide 5.3, 5.39, please, Josh? You saw this yesterday. It's on the left, as I understand it, from Appian's product and it's a button that allows you to move from the user environment back to the developer environment. And it's supposed to be an ease-of-use feature. The thing on the right in the red square is, in fact, something that does nothing of the kind. It is a button that exists in the user function, as you can see, immediately below and to the left of that button are a bunch of icons. Those icons exist on a dashboard and user function. If you click on that button, what you get is the ability to move the icons around on the screen if you're a user for your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) when you first pull out a cell phone and moving them around into a different location. That's it. Nobody suggests that edit buttons like this is something brand new. But these two edit buttons are doing completely different things; and that is, I say respectfully to Dr. Marshall, he just misunderstood what he was looking at. The last is custom data types. And there's a long explanation that goes with this, but the short of it is that in a particular type of business, say a banking business, the bank collects certain information with respect to a customer. And Mr. Bixby testified and he did a drawing, DX 10.2. (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 10.2, Drawing, was identified.) MR. FRANK: Sorry. He jot down

1	Page 8270		Page 8271
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	to a particular business. It could be	2	damages part of the case and to focus
3	a bank. It could be a pizza shop.	3	you first on the jury instructions.
4	And it collects all of a class of	4	Josh, could we have Jury
5	information in one place in a way that	5	Instruction No. 12 and, in particular,
6	makes the same information usable,	6	No. 3 there, if you would?
7	transferable to other aspects of the	7	And the instruction is: If one
8	bank's business or the pizza shop's	8	or both of the Defendants
9	business.	9	misappropriated a trade secret or
10	And of course, that's not unique	10	trade secrets. And I hope you
11	to Pega, but something that's been in	11	conclude that they didn't and you
12	Pega's product since 2002 or '3. The	12	never get there.
13	argument is, yes, it was in Pega's	13	The question for damages purpose
14	product, but we made it much easier.	14	is: What is the amount of damages
15	But you were never told, as I	15	caused by the misappropriation?
16	stand here, I don't know what it is	16	And now let's go to Jury
17	that supposedly would be made easier.	17	Instruction No. 13. Go to the very
18	This is stuff that was in Pega's	18	last one, Josh. It's on the second
19	product for two decades or	19	
20	one decade at that time, two decades	20	page.
20		20	Cause of damages is a cause that that in the natural and continuous
22	now.	22	
	Both Mr. Bixby and Mr. Trefler	22	sequence produces the damages. It is a cause without which the damages
23	testified that the same stuff had been	23	-
24	in Pega's product since 2002.		would not have occurred.
25	I want to switch now to the	25	Cause of damages is a cause that
1	Page 8272	-	Page 8273
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	in natural and continuous sequence	2	And there are other features
3	produces the damages. It's a cause	3	like the directly captured objectives
4	without which the damages would not	4	feature and the fact that Pega had an
5	have occurred.	5	online mobile, an offline mobile
6	Now, I want to return to	6	feature, and other things that made
7	something I said in the very beginning	7	Pega's product different from its
8	which is that Pega's product is	8	competitors' products, different from
9	designed for very large companies, and	9	Appian's products.
10	it is designed to handle tens of	10	And as I said very early on,
11	thousands of users. They're allowed	11	the some people like that, need
12	to build an application once and then	12	that, are prepared to pay for it.
13	expand it and expand it and expand it	13	Other people who have smaller less
	without changing very much to make it	14	complex businesses don't necessarily
14			
15	very easy to add new products, add new	15	need it, and so I'm not standing here
15 16	very easy to add new products, add new places, add a new country, add new	16	to give you a sales pitch on behalf of
15 16 17	very easy to add new products, add new places, add a new country, add new customer types.	16 17	to give you a sales pitch on behalf of Pega. I'm simply saying that the Pega
15 16 17 18	very easy to add new products, add new places, add a new country, add new customer types. And it runs heavily on something	16 17 18	to give you a sales pitch on behalf of Pega. I'm simply saying that the Pega product has features that the Appian
15 16 17 18 19	very easy to add new products, add new places, add a new country, add new customer types. And it runs heavily on something called rules and rule sets, which are	16 17 18 19	to give you a sales pitch on behalf of Pega. I'm simply saying that the Pega product has features that the Appian product doesn't have, and those
15 16 17 18 19 20	very easy to add new products, add new places, add a new country, add new customer types. And it runs heavily on something called rules and rule sets, which are a set of rules that guide the whole	16 17 18 19 20	to give you a sales pitch on behalf of Pega. I'm simply saying that the Pega product has features that the Appian product doesn't have, and those features matter for certain customers
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	very easy to add new products, add new places, add a new country, add new customer types. And it runs heavily on something called rules and rule sets, which are a set of rules that guide the whole process. Some of them being if you	16 17 18 19 20 21	to give you a sales pitch on behalf of Pega. I'm simply saying that the Pega product has features that the Appian product doesn't have, and those features matter for certain customers and they drive customer decisions.
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	very easy to add new products, add new places, add a new country, add new customer types. And it runs heavily on something called rules and rule sets, which are a set of rules that guide the whole process. Some of them being if you were a bank officer and the loan is	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to give you a sales pitch on behalf of Pega. I'm simply saying that the Pega product has features that the Appian product doesn't have, and those features matter for certain customers and they drive customer decisions. I'm going to give you a half
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	very easy to add new products, add new places, add a new country, add new customer types. And it runs heavily on something called rules and rule sets, which are a set of rules that guide the whole process. Some of them being if you were a bank officer and the loan is more than \$10,000, you have to get	16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	to give you a sales pitch on behalf of Pega. I'm simply saying that the Pega product has features that the Appian product doesn't have, and those features matter for certain customers and they drive customer decisions. I'm going to give you a half dozen examples, give you each fairly
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	very easy to add new products, add new places, add a new country, add new customer types. And it runs heavily on something called rules and rule sets, which are a set of rules that guide the whole process. Some of them being if you were a bank officer and the loan is	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	to give you a sales pitch on behalf of Pega. I'm simply saying that the Pega product has features that the Appian product doesn't have, and those features matter for certain customers and they drive customer decisions. I'm going to give you a half

1	Page 8274		Page 8275
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	DX 1382, please, Josh.	2	away from Appian for backup recovery
3	(Whereupon, Exhibit DX 1382,	3	scaleability reasons for the last year
4	Document, was identified.)	4	plus.
5	MR. FRANK: Amazon, I'll talk	5	And further up in the e-mail,
6	generally. Appian had been along to	6	Bob Kramer who's a founder of Appian
7	their facility to license them to	7	says: We worked at length with the
8	Amazon for years before these events,	8	Amazon folks, including their senior
9	2004. And it's the Amazon's Financial	9	business folks, earlier this year to
10	Services. And Amazon Financial	10	get them over their concerns about
11	Services came to be dissatisfied with	11	Appian's architecture. We knew there
12	the ability of the Appian product to	12	was a risk. We weren't sure it was
13	scale, so they decided that they would	13	Pega they were considering. Now we
14	go outside and, and they would look	14	know.
15		15	In the very top, CEO Matt
	for other people who could supply what		1 1
16	they needed.	16 17	Calkins says: I'm surprised that we
17	And so they went to they put	18	had zero. Amazon had fully paid up for its license but we had zero.
18	it out to bid to Pega and IBM	-	
19	And if you scroll down, please,	19	Can't top Pega in a friendly account
20	Josh, to Scott Ulrichs' e-mails.	20	with bilateral activity.
21	Mr. Ulrichs was a salesman who	21	Pega simply had something that
22	was dealing with Amazon. He was	22	Appian didn't have. It's not and
23	reporting to the most senior people in	23	Amazon is a very sophisticated
24	Amazon the most senior people at	24	customer and concluded what Appian had
25	Appian that we know Amazon was moving	25	didn't meet Amazon's need.
	Page 8276	_	Page 8277
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	I'm not here to tell you that	2	have.
3	Appian was right or wrong. I'm simply	3	Poste Italiane, ease of use
4	here to tell you that that's what	4	because of the process discovery
5	happened.	5	
		-	module and DCO, directly captured
6	Next one is DX 341. Go to the	6	objectives, on the governance. And
6 7	first page, please, Josh.	-	objectives, on the governance. And those again are features that Pega
7 8		6	objectives, on the governance. And
7	first page, please, Josh.	6 7	objectives, on the governance. And those again are features that Pega
7 8	first page, please, Josh. (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 341,	6 7 8	objectives, on the governance. And those again are features that Pega has, Appian didn't have.
7 8 9	first page, please, Josh. (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 341, E-mail, was identified.)	6 7 8 9	objectives, on the governance. And those again are features that Pega has, Appian didn't have. And as we go forward in the
7 8 9 10	first page, please, Josh. (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 341, E-mail, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is Edward Hughes in the middle of the page. He reports: We now saw three very	6 7 8 9 10	objectives, on the governance. And those again are features that Pega has, Appian didn't have. And as we go forward in the e-mail
7 8 9 10 11	first page, please, Josh. (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 341, E-mail, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is Edward Hughes in the middle of the page. He	6 7 8 9 10 11	objectives, on the governance. And those again are features that Pega has, Appian didn't have. And as we go forward in the e-mail To Page 741, please, Josh.
7 8 9 10 11 12	first page, please, Josh. (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 341, E-mail, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is Edward Hughes in the middle of the page. He reports: We now saw three very	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	objectives, on the governance. And those again are features that Pega has, Appian didn't have. And as we go forward in the e-mail To Page 741, please, Josh. there's an e-mail from a man
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>first page, please, Josh. (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 341, E-mail, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is Edward Hughes in the middle of the page. He reports: We now saw three very recent this is in the text, in an</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	objectives, on the governance. And those again are features that Pega has, Appian didn't have. And as we go forward in the e-mail To Page 741, please, Josh. there's an e-mail from a man named Sid Nazareth to I beg your
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>first page, please, Josh. (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 341, E-mail, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is Edward Hughes in the middle of the page. He reports: We now saw three very recent this is in the text, in an e-mail to Matt Calkins who's the</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	objectives, on the governance. And those again are features that Pega has, Appian didn't have. And as we go forward in the e-mail To Page 741, please, Josh. there's an e-mail from a man named Sid Nazareth to I beg your pardon, there's an e-mail from Karen
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>first page, please, Josh. (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 341, E-mail, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is Edward Hughes in the middle of the page. He reports: We now saw three very recent this is in the text, in an e-mail to Matt Calkins who's the CEO we now have three very recent</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	objectives, on the governance. And those again are features that Pega has, Appian didn't have. And as we go forward in the e-mail To Page 741, please, Josh. there's an e-mail from a man named Sid Nazareth to I beg your pardon, there's an e-mail from Karen Astley who got starting at the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>first page, please, Josh. (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 341, E-mail, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is Edward Hughes in the middle of the page. He reports: We now saw three very recent this is in the text, in an e-mail to Matt Calkins who's the CEO we now have three very recent examples where Pega has beaten us on a</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	objectives, on the governance. And those again are features that Pega has, Appian didn't have. And as we go forward in the e-mail To Page 741, please, Josh. there's an e-mail from a man named Sid Nazareth to I beg your pardon, there's an e-mail from Karen Astley who got starting at the beginning of the page, and she says
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>first page, please, Josh. (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 341, E-mail, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is Edward Hughes in the middle of the page. He reports: We now saw three very recent this is in the text, in an e-mail to Matt Calkins who's the CEO we now have three very recent examples where Pega has beaten us on a technical evaluation, Telstra, Poste</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	objectives, on the governance. And those again are features that Pega has, Appian didn't have. And as we go forward in the e-mail To Page 741, please, Josh. there's an e-mail from a man named Sid Nazareth to I beg your pardon, there's an e-mail from Karen Astley who got starting at the beginning of the page, and she says just wait, the first full line
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>first page, please, Josh. (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 341, E-mail, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is Edward Hughes in the middle of the page. He reports: We now saw three very recent this is in the text, in an e-mail to Matt Calkins who's the CEO we now have three very recent examples where Pega has beaten us on a technical evaluation, Telstra, Poste Italiane, and Ryder.</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	objectives, on the governance. And those again are features that Pega has, Appian didn't have. And as we go forward in the e-mail To Page 741, please, Josh. there's an e-mail from a man named Sid Nazareth to I beg your pardon, there's an e-mail from Karen Astley who got starting at the beginning of the page, and she says just wait, the first full line Edward was correct in this e-mail. We
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>first page, please, Josh. (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 341, E-mail, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is Edward Hughes in the middle of the page. He reports: We now saw three very recent this is in the text, in an e-mail to Matt Calkins who's the CEO we now have three very recent examples where Pega has beaten us on a technical evaluation, Telstra, Poste Italiane, and Ryder. These were all very recent so</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	objectives, on the governance. And those again are features that Pega has, Appian didn't have. And as we go forward in the e-mail To Page 741, please, Josh. there's an e-mail from a man named Sid Nazareth to I beg your pardon, there's an e-mail from Karen Astley who got starting at the beginning of the page, and she says just wait, the first full line Edward was correct in this e-mail. We have process governance coming up, and
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>first page, please, Josh. (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 341, E-mail, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is Edward Hughes in the middle of the page. He reports: We now saw three very recent this is in the text, in an e-mail to Matt Calkins who's the CEO we now have three very recent examples where Pega has beaten us on a technical evaluation, Telstra, Poste Italiane, and Ryder. These were all very recent so they establish a break from the past.</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	objectives, on the governance. And those again are features that Pega has, Appian didn't have. And as we go forward in the e-mail To Page 741, please, Josh. there's an e-mail from a man named Sid Nazareth to I beg your pardon, there's an e-mail from Karen Astley who got starting at the beginning of the page, and she says just wait, the first full line Edward was correct in this e-mail. We have process governance coming up, and I do see us being weak when competing
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>first page, please, Josh. (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 341, E-mail, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is Edward Hughes in the middle of the page. He reports: We now saw three very recent this is in the text, in an e-mail to Matt Calkins who's the CEO we now have three very recent examples where Pega has beaten us on a technical evaluation, Telstra, Poste Italiane, and Ryder. These were all very recent so they establish a break from the past. The punitive reasons in each case are</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	objectives, on the governance. And those again are features that Pega has, Appian didn't have. And as we go forward in the e-mail To Page 741, please, Josh. there's an e-mail from a man named Sid Nazareth to I beg your pardon, there's an e-mail from Karen Astley who got starting at the beginning of the page, and she says just wait, the first full line Edward was correct in this e-mail. We have process governance coming up, and I do see us being weak when competing against Pega in that area.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>first page, please, Josh. (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 341, E-mail, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is Edward Hughes in the middle of the page. He reports: We now saw three very recent this is in the text, in an e-mail to Matt Calkins who's the CEO we now have three very recent examples where Pega has beaten us on a technical evaluation, Telstra, Poste Italiane, and Ryder. These were all very recent so they establish a break from the past. The punitive reasons in each case are as follows:</pre>	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	objectives, on the governance. And those again are features that Pega has, Appian didn't have. And as we go forward in the e-mail To Page 741, please, Josh. there's an e-mail from a man named Sid Nazareth to I beg your pardon, there's an e-mail from Karen Astley who got starting at the beginning of the page, and she says just wait, the first full line Edward was correct in this e-mail. We have process governance coming up, and I do see us being weak when competing against Pega in that area. Next is on the next page I
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	first page, please, Josh. (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 341, E-mail, was identified.) MR. FRANK: This is Edward Hughes in the middle of the page. He reports: We now saw three very recent this is in the text, in an e-mail to Matt Calkins who's the CEO we now have three very recent examples where Pega has beaten us on a technical evaluation, Telstra, Poste Italiane, and Ryder. These were all very recent so they establish a break from the past. The punitive reasons in each case are as follows: Telstra, out-of-the-box	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	objectives, on the governance. And those again are features that Pega has, Appian didn't have. And as we go forward in the e-mail To Page 741, please, Josh. there's an e-mail from a man named Sid Nazareth to I beg your pardon, there's an e-mail from Karen Astley who got starting at the beginning of the page, and she says just wait, the first full line Edward was correct in this e-mail. We have process governance coming up, and I do see us being weak when competing against Pega in that area. Next is on the next page I beg your pardon?

	Page 8278		Page 8279
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	last e-mail in the string.	2	litigation.
3	It's from a man named Tony	3	Next, 2013, DX 1288.
4	Durso, who was the vice president for	4	(Whereupon, Exhibit DX 1288,
5	solutions consulting at Appian at the	5	E-mail, was identified.)
6	time. And in the third paragraph down	6	MR. FRANK: The Ed Hughes
7	from he says roughly what Mr. Hughes	7	e-mail, it's the first in time. It's
8	said at his deposition: I may be in	8	on Page 585.
9	the minority with this opinion, but I	9	Ed Hughes, again, is head of
10	think that Appian and Pega are two	10	Appian's sales function: We have run
11	fundamentally different platforms that	11	into three or four encounters with
12	happen to be categorized under the	12	clients in which they are challenging
13	same three-letter acronym.	13	our ability to do rules inheritance.
14	And second up to the next e-mail	14	This is apparently hitting a cord with
15	in time is an e-mail from on 745,	15	clients. They have spoken with Pega
16		16	and in some cases have become the more
1	please, Josh from a man named Joe	-	
17	Aleardi. And Mr. Aleardi says, in the	17 18	important selection.
18	first sentence of his e-mail, second	-	We need a simple, killer answer
19	or third sentence: They do some	19	that goes further than, yes, we do it
20	things better, just like we do.	20	but we do it differently. It has to
21	What's now important are those things	21	say Pega does it all wrong or does it
22	to the customer that leads to wins and	22	by necessity because it's so complex.
23	losses.	23	Appian has a simple and elegant
24	That, ladies and gentlemen, is	24	answer.
25	the real world, not from the world of	25	And then in the next sentence,
	Page 8280	_	Page 8281
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) he says: This is a top competitive	2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) impressive.
2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) he says: This is a top competitive priority.	2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) impressive. Let's talk about Census for just
2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) he says: This is a top competitive priority. Skipping a sentence: We just	2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) impressive. Let's talk about Census for just a minute. And, Josh, can you put up
2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) he says: This is a top competitive priority. Skipping a sentence: We just lost Rabo on this point. It's	2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) impressive. Let's talk about Census for just a minute. And, Josh, can you put up DX 1820 and go to, first, to Page 68?
2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) he says: This is a top competitive priority. Skipping a sentence: We just lost Rabo on this point. It's critical that we develop an answer and	2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) impressive. Let's talk about Census for just a minute. And, Josh, can you put up DX 1820 and go to, first, to Page 68? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 1820,
2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) he says: This is a top competitive priority. Skipping a sentence: We just lost Rabo on this point. It's critical that we develop an answer and counter I think that's intended to	2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) impressive. Let's talk about Census for just a minute. And, Josh, can you put up DX 1820 and go to, first, to Page 68? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 1820, Slide, was identified.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) he says: This is a top competitive priority. Skipping a sentence: We just lost Rabo on this point. It's critical that we develop an answer and counter I think that's intended to be ASAP.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) impressive. Let's talk about Census for just a minute. And, Josh, can you put up DX 1820 and go to, first, to Page 68? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 1820, Slide, was identified.) MR. FRANK: The slide shown
2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) he says: This is a top competitive priority. Skipping a sentence: We just lost Rabo on this point. It's critical that we develop an answer and counter I think that's intended to	2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) impressive. Let's talk about Census for just a minute. And, Josh, can you put up DX 1820 and go to, first, to Page 68? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 1820, Slide, was identified.) MR. FRANK: The slide shown here, the Census well, first point,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) he says: This is a top competitive priority. Skipping a sentence: We just lost Rabo on this point. It's critical that we develop an answer and counter I think that's intended to be ASAP.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) impressive. Let's talk about Census for just a minute. And, Josh, can you put up DX 1820 and go to, first, to Page 68? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 1820, Slide, was identified.) MR. FRANK: The slide shown here, the Census well, first point, Census awarded this contract to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) he says: This is a top competitive priority. Skipping a sentence: We just lost Rabo on this point. It's critical that we develop an answer and counter I think that's intended to be ASAP. Then if you go to the very top go up one e-mail, Tony Durso, the head of sales consulting says: A	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) impressive. Let's talk about Census for just a minute. And, Josh, can you put up DX 1820 and go to, first, to Page 68? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 1820, Slide, was identified.) MR. FRANK: The slide shown here, the Census well, first point, Census awarded this contract to Appian to Pega because Pega was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) he says: This is a top competitive priority. Skipping a sentence: We just lost Rabo on this point. It's critical that we develop an answer and counter I think that's intended to be ASAP. Then if you go to the very top go up one e-mail, Tony Durso,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) impressive. Let's talk about Census for just a minute. And, Josh, can you put up DX 1820 and go to, first, to Page 68? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 1820, Slide, was identified.) MR. FRANK: The slide shown here, the Census well, first point, Census awarded this contract to Appian to Pega because Pega was able to build an offline mobile
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) he says: This is a top competitive priority. Skipping a sentence: We just lost Rabo on this point. It's critical that we develop an answer and counter I think that's intended to be ASAP. Then if you go to the very top go up one e-mail, Tony Durso, the head of sales consulting says: A few initial thoughts. Next sentence: They are correct	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) impressive. Let's talk about Census for just a minute. And, Josh, can you put up DX 1820 and go to, first, to Page 68? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 1820, Slide, was identified.) MR. FRANK: The slide shown here, the Census well, first point, Census awarded this contract to Appian to Pega because Pega was able to build an offline mobile capability that Census needed and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) he says: This is a top competitive priority. Skipping a sentence: We just lost Rabo on this point. It's critical that we develop an answer and counter I think that's intended to be ASAP. Then if you go to the very top go up one e-mail, Tony Durso, the head of sales consulting says: A few initial thoughts. Next sentence: They are correct in saying that we don't have rules	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) impressive. Let's talk about Census for just a minute. And, Josh, can you put up DX 1820 and go to, first, to Page 68? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 1820, Slide, was identified.) MR. FRANK: The slide shown here, the Census well, first point, Census awarded this contract to Appian to Pega because Pega was able to build an offline mobile capability that Census needed and Appian couldn't do in the defined
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) he says: This is a top competitive priority. Skipping a sentence: We just lost Rabo on this point. It's critical that we develop an answer and counter I think that's intended to be ASAP. Then if you go to the very top go up one e-mail, Tony Durso, the head of sales consulting says: A few initial thoughts. Next sentence: They are correct in saying that we don't have rules today and a weakness has been exposed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) impressive. Let's talk about Census for just a minute. And, Josh, can you put up DX 1820 and go to, first, to Page 68? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 1820, Slide, was identified.) MR. FRANK: The slide shown here, the Census well, first point, Census awarded this contract to Appian to Pega because Pega was able to build an offline mobile capability that Census needed and Appian couldn't do in the defined amount of time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) he says: This is a top competitive priority. Skipping a sentence: We just lost Rabo on this point. It's critical that we develop an answer and counter I think that's intended to be ASAP. Then if you go to the very top go up one e-mail, Tony Durso, the head of sales consulting says: A few initial thoughts. Next sentence: They are correct in saying that we don't have rules today and a weakness has been exposed. I'm not suggesting that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) impressive. Let's talk about Census for just a minute. And, Josh, can you put up DX 1820 and go to, first, to Page 68? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 1820, Slide, was identified.) MR. FRANK: The slide shown here, the Census well, first point, Census awarded this contract to Appian to Pega because Pega was able to build an offline mobile capability that Census needed and Appian couldn't do in the defined amount of time. That's completely undisputed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) he says: This is a top competitive priority. Skipping a sentence: We just lost Rabo on this point. It's critical that we develop an answer and counter I think that's intended to be ASAP. Then if you go to the very top go up one e-mail, Tony Durso, the head of sales consulting says: A few initial thoughts. Next sentence: They are correct in saying that we don't have rules today and a weakness has been exposed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) impressive. Let's talk about Census for just a minute. And, Josh, can you put up DX 1820 and go to, first, to Page 68? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 1820, Slide, was identified.) MR. FRANK: The slide shown here, the Census well, first point, Census awarded this contract to Appian to Pega because Pega was able to build an offline mobile capability that Census needed and Appian couldn't do in the defined amount of time.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) he says: This is a top competitive priority. Skipping a sentence: We just lost Rabo on this point. It's critical that we develop an answer and counter I think that's intended to be ASAP. Then if you go to the very top go up one e-mail, Tony Durso, the head of sales consulting says: A few initial thoughts. Next sentence: They are correct in saying that we don't have rules today and a weakness has been exposed. I'm not suggesting that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) impressive. Let's talk about Census for just a minute. And, Josh, can you put up DX 1820 and go to, first, to Page 68? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 1820, Slide, was identified.) MR. FRANK: The slide shown here, the Census well, first point, Census awarded this contract to Appian to Pega because Pega was able to build an offline mobile capability that Census needed and Appian couldn't do in the defined amount of time. That's completely undisputed.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) he says: This is a top competitive priority. Skipping a sentence: We just lost Rabo on this point. It's critical that we develop an answer and counter I think that's intended to be ASAP. Then if you go to the very top go up one e-mail, Tony Durso, the head of sales consulting says: A few initial thoughts. Next sentence: They are correct in saying that we don't have rules today and a weakness has been exposed. I'm not suggesting that everybody would want that. I'm just	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) impressive. Let's talk about Census for just a minute. And, Josh, can you put up DX 1820 and go to, first, to Page 68? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 1820, Slide, was identified.) MR. FRANK: The slide shown here, the Census well, first point, Census awarded this contract to Appian to Pega because Pega was able to build an offline mobile capability that Census needed and Appian couldn't do in the defined amount of time. That's completely undisputed. It had nothing to do with Mr. Zou.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) he says: This is a top competitive priority. Skipping a sentence: We just lost Rabo on this point. It's critical that we develop an answer and counter I think that's intended to be ASAP. Then if you go to the very top go up one e-mail, Tony Durso, the head of sales consulting says: A few initial thoughts. Next sentence: They are correct in saying that we don't have rules today and a weakness has been exposed. I'm not suggesting that everybody would want that. I'm just suggesting that many customers do	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) impressive. Let's talk about Census for just a minute. And, Josh, can you put up DX 1820 and go to, first, to Page 68? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 1820, Slide, was identified.) MR. FRANK: The slide shown here, the Census well, first point, Census awarded this contract to Appian to Pega because Pega was able to build an offline mobile capability that Census needed and Appian couldn't do in the defined amount of time. That's completely undisputed. It had nothing to do with Mr. Zou. It's a 2016 event, years after Zou
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) he says: This is a top competitive priority. Skipping a sentence: We just lost Rabo on this point. It's critical that we develop an answer and counter I think that's intended to be ASAP. Then if you go to the very top go up one e-mail, Tony Durso, the head of sales consulting says: A few initial thoughts. Next sentence: They are correct in saying that we don't have rules today and a weakness has been exposed. I'm not suggesting that everybody would want that. I'm just suggesting that many customers do that.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) impressive. Let's talk about Census for just a minute. And, Josh, can you put up DX 1820 and go to, first, to Page 68? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 1820, Slide, was identified.) MR. FRANK: The slide shown here, the Census well, first point, Census awarded this contract to Appian to Pega because Pega was able to build an offline mobile capability that Census needed and Appian couldn't do in the defined amount of time. That's completely undisputed. It had nothing to do with Mr. Zou. It's a 2016 event, years after Zou left. He didn't do anything having to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) he says: This is a top competitive priority. Skipping a sentence: We just lost Rabo on this point. It's critical that we develop an answer and counter I think that's intended to be ASAP. Then if you go to the very top go up one e-mail, Tony Durso, the head of sales consulting says: A few initial thoughts. Next sentence: They are correct in saying that we don't have rules today and a weakness has been exposed. I'm not suggesting that everybody would want that. I'm just suggesting that many customers do that. I'll give you one more. I may</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) impressive. Let's talk about Census for just a minute. And, Josh, can you put up DX 1820 and go to, first, to Page 68? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 1820, Slide, was identified.) MR. FRANK: The slide shown here, the Census well, first point, Census awarded this contract to Appian to Pega because Pega was able to build an offline mobile capability that Census needed and Appian couldn't do in the defined amount of time. That's completely undisputed. It had nothing to do with Mr. Zou. It's a 2016 event, years after Zou left. He didn't do anything having to do with Pega Mobile. But Pega could
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) he says: This is a top competitive priority. Skipping a sentence: We just lost Rabo on this point. It's critical that we develop an answer and counter I think that's intended to be ASAP. Then if you go to the very top go up one e-mail, Tony Durso, the head of sales consulting says: A few initial thoughts. Next sentence: They are correct in saying that we don't have rules today and a weakness has been exposed. I'm not suggesting that everybody would want that. I'm just suggesting that many customers do that. I'll give you one more. I may be taking more time than I should.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) impressive. Let's talk about Census for just a minute. And, Josh, can you put up DX 1820 and go to, first, to Page 68? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 1820, Slide, was identified.) MR. FRANK: The slide shown here, the Census well, first point, Census awarded this contract to Appian to Pega because Pega was able to build an offline mobile capability that Census needed and Appian couldn't do in the defined amount of time. That's completely undisputed. It had nothing to do with Mr. Zou. It's a 2016 event, years after Zou left. He didn't do anything having to do with Pega Mobile. But Pega could build something, Appian couldn't build
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) he says: This is a top competitive priority. Skipping a sentence: We just lost Rabo on this point. It's critical that we develop an answer and counter I think that's intended to be ASAP. Then if you go to the very top go up one e-mail, Tony Durso, the head of sales consulting says: A few initial thoughts. Next sentence: They are correct in saying that we don't have rules today and a weakness has been exposed. I'm not suggesting that everybody would want that. I'm just suggesting that many customers do that. I'll give you one more. I may be taking more time than I should. Let's go to DX 1288. This is a </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) impressive. Let's talk about Census for just a minute. And, Josh, can you put up DX 1820 and go to, first, to Page 68? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 1820, Slide, was identified.) MR. FRANK: The slide shown here, the Census well, first point, Census awarded this contract to Appian to Pega because Pega was able to build an offline mobile capability that Census needed and Appian couldn't do in the defined amount of time. That's completely undisputed. It had nothing to do with Mr. Zou. It's a 2016 event, years after Zou left. He didn't do anything having to do with Pega Mobile. But Pega could build something, Appian couldn't build it. Pega got the job.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) he says: This is a top competitive priority. Skipping a sentence: We just lost Rabo on this point. It's critical that we develop an answer and counter I think that's intended to be ASAP. Then if you go to the very top go up one e-mail, Tony Durso, the head of sales consulting says: A few initial thoughts. Next sentence: They are correct in saying that we don't have rules today and a weakness has been exposed. I'm not suggesting that everybody would want that. I'm just suggesting that many customers do that. I'll give you one more. I may be taking more time than I should. Let's go to DX 1288. This is a discussion between 1288 is Bates</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) impressive. Let's talk about Census for just a minute. And, Josh, can you put up DX 1820 and go to, first, to Page 68? (Whereupon, Exhibit DX 1820, Slide, was identified.) MR. FRANK: The slide shown here, the Census well, first point, Census awarded this contract to Appian to Pega because Pega was able to build an offline mobile capability that Census needed and Appian couldn't do in the defined amount of time. That's completely undisputed. It had nothing to do with Mr. Zou. It's a 2016 event, years after Zou left. He didn't do anything having to do with Pega Mobile. But Pega could build something, Appian couldn't build it. Pega got the job. But besides that, the Census

	Page 8282		Page 8283
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	that's what you see in the bar chart	2	Appian is the salmon on the left. In
3	on the right.	3	the aggregate and by score is that
4	And in every one of five	4	Pega is at 87.something, the in-house
5	criteria, every one, Pega, which is	5	solution of the Census is at 70.5, and
6	the blue bar on the right, outscores	6	Appian is at 67.0.
7	Appian, which is the salmon-colored	7	So Appian is the third-place
8	bar on the left, in every single	8	finisher there, not even the
9	instance by a completely neutral	9	second-place finisher. Completely
10	source who was trying to do the best	10	independent evaluation.
11	they could for the benefit of the	11	Next, DX 1346, please, Josh.
12	United States government and the rest	12	(Whereupon, Exhibit DX 1346,
13	of us, right, taxpayers.	13	E-Mail string, was identified.)
14	And if you go now in each of	14	MR. FRANK: This is an exchange
15	those criteria, which have to do with	15	involving a man named Dave Dantus.
16	system design and functionality and	16	Mr. Dantus was an Appian regional vice
17	ability to meet the schedule and cost	17	president for Department of Defense
18	and overall liability, on every single	18	sales.
19	criteria that the Census created, it	19	If you go to Page 274, middle of
20	is Pega outscored.	20	the page, second paragraph down.
21	You can't blame that on Mr. Zou,	21	Mr. Dantus said: I'd like to
22	that doesn't make sense.	22	continue this discussion and see if we
23	Now go to the next Page 69.	23	can take it to another level on our
24	This is the overall evaluation.	24	own from loss to Pega earlier this
25	Pega is in the yellow on the right,	25	year. I've attached the loss analysis
1	Page 8284 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Page 8285 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	document to this message.	2	I'll stop reading this to you because
3	And then in the next memo he	3	I think I've made the point,
4	writes we can skip over the next:	4	hopefully.
5	I'd like to see if we can substantiate	5	The larger question, then, is:
6	our claims with more compelling	6	What underlies Appian's damage claim?
7	content.	7	The claim here is that Mr. Zou
8	And then the next three	8	provided to Pega his experience as an
9	paragraphs going down, he points out	9	Appian developer between 2012 and 2014
10	that the Air Force concluded that Pega	10	and, as a result of that, every single
11	was simply easier to use and the	11	sale that Pega made between
12	Air Force found Appian to be more	12	October 1st, 2013, and September 30,
13	complex and that Pega was able to make	13	2021, to any customer in competition
14	their arguments in a side-by-side	14	with anybody, every one of Pega's
15	comparison, Appian versus Pega.	15	sales not just Pega's sales in
16	Now, this is a 2018 document,	16	competition with Appian, but Pega's
17	four years after last contact with	17	sales in competition with anyone, that
18	Mr. Zou, no suggestion that that's	18	every such sale was attributable to
19	driven by anything related to Mr. Zou.	19	information provided by Mr. Zou.
20	It's relating to the product	20	That is a spectacular,
		20	unreasonable overreach just by
21	teatures of the two products that have		uncaponable overreach just by
21	features of the two products that have		comparing the two numbers 170 million
22	nothing to do with Mr. Zou and nothing	22	comparing the two numbers, 479 million
22 23	nothing to do with Mr. Zou and nothing to do with what is claimed to be the	22 23	where Pega was competing with Appian
22	nothing to do with Mr. Zou and nothing	22	

	Page 8286		Page 8287
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	It's not hard to figure out that	2	and it's mostly the cost of the food
3	84 percent of the sales data being	3	they're going to serve to me and the
4	claimed in this unjust enrichment	4	little labor cost in the kitchen and
5	claim are for sales made by Pega	5	that's it.
6	against other people other than Appian	6	So if you're looking at a small
7	with no description of who the other	7	increase in sales, what you compare is
8	people were, no description of what	8	that small increase in costs driven by
9	was being sold in competition, and	9	those sales.
10	it's simply out there and completely	10	Now, if you ask what is it that
11	unlinked in any other way to the	11	drives the revenue of that restaurant
12	product features that are said to	12	if you're going to claim that all the
13	justify an award of \$3 billion.	13	revenues of the restaurant are unjust
14	So that's the first problem with	14	enrichment?
15	it.	15	And the answer is, it's all the
16	The second problem with it is	16	-
	-		costs of the business because now you
17	that sort of a problem of economics.	17	have to you know, it's the cost of
18	If you're going to claim all the revenues of a business let me make	18	the tables and the chairs and the
19	this distinction. If I if I'm the	19	kitchen equipment and the food and all
20		20 21	of the service personnel and the chef and all of that.
21 22	last one into a restaurant one night,	21	
	the marginal cost of serving me		So it depends on what you're
23	dinner, if I'm the last one in, is	23	claiming. When you're claiming all of
24 25	pretty small. They have all the	24 25	the revenues of the business, you have
25	tables, the walls, and the kitchen,	25	to write off, you have to deduct
1	Page 8288	-	Page 8289
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	from that all of the costs of the	2	Yes, and you would award damages under
3	business.	3	the competition scenario. That's
4	Don't believe me. Don't believe	4	competition against Appian, direct
5	Mr. Platt, who said what I just said.	5	competition against Appian.
6	Believe Mr. Malackowski who testified	6	That's what Mr. Platt said.
7	as follows: If the jury were to	7	That's what Mr. Malackowski said. The
8	conclude that all of Pega's if the	8	two experts are saying the same thing.
9	jury were to conclude that included	9	Now, there was criticism of
10	all of Pega revenues, you should	10	Mr. Platt yesterday when he said that,
11	include all of Pega's costs that in	11	but the inescapable fact is that that
12	respect of your product change theory.	12	is exactly what Mr. Malackowski agreed
1		1	
13	You would agree, would you not,	13	was correct.
14	that the product I butchered that.	14	Now, the argument I bet you're
14 15	that the product I butchered that. This is me asking the question,	14 15	Now, the argument I bet you're going to hear come rebuttal time is,
14 15 16	that the product I butchered that. This is me asking the question, by the way: If the jury were to	14 15 16	Now, the argument I bet you're going to hear come rebuttal time is, well, gee, yeah, some of those
14 15 16 17	that the product I butchered that. This is me asking the question, by the way: If the jury were to conclude that all of Pega's if the	14 15 16 17	Now, the argument I bet you're going to hear come rebuttal time is, well, gee, yeah, some of those expenditures, though, would have
14 15 16 17 18	that the product I butchered that. This is me asking the question, by the way: If the jury were to conclude that all of Pega's if the jury were to conclude that if you	14 15 16 17 18	Now, the argument I bet you're going to hear come rebuttal time is, well, gee, yeah, some of those expenditures, though, would have assisted sales in future periods.
14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>that the product I butchered that. This is me asking the question, by the way: If the jury were to conclude that all of Pega's if the jury were to conclude that if you included all of Pega's revenues, you</pre>	14 15 16 17 18 19	Now, the argument I bet you're going to hear come rebuttal time is, well, gee, yeah, some of those expenditures, though, would have assisted sales in future periods. And the answer to that is maybe
14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>that the product I butchered that. This is me asking the question, by the way: If the jury were to conclude that all of Pega's if the jury were to conclude that if you included all of Pega's revenues, you should include all of Pega's costs</pre>	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Now, the argument I bet you're going to hear come rebuttal time is, well, gee, yeah, some of those expenditures, though, would have assisted sales in future periods. And the answer to that is maybe so. But when you're looking at a
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>that the product I butchered that. This is me asking the question, by the way: If the jury were to conclude that all of Pega's if the jury were to conclude that if you included all of Pega's revenues, you should include all of Pega's costs with respect to your product change</pre>	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Now, the argument I bet you're going to hear come rebuttal time is, well, gee, yeah, some of those expenditures, though, would have assisted sales in future periods. And the answer to that is maybe so. But when you're looking at a single block of time, it's always true
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>that the product I butchered that. This is me asking the question, by the way: If the jury were to conclude that all of Pega's if the jury were to conclude that if you included all of Pega's revenues, you should include all of Pega's costs with respect to your product change theory, you would agree that damages</pre>	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Now, the argument I bet you're going to hear come rebuttal time is, well, gee, yeah, some of those expenditures, though, would have assisted sales in future periods. And the answer to that is maybe so. But when you're looking at a single block of time, it's always true that some expenditures prior to the
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>that the product I butchered that. This is me asking the question, by the way: If the jury were to conclude that all of Pega's if the jury were to conclude that if you included all of Pega's revenues, you should include all of Pega's costs with respect to your product change theory, you would agree that damages for the product change theory are</pre>	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Now, the argument I bet you're going to hear come rebuttal time is, well, gee, yeah, some of those expenditures, though, would have assisted sales in future periods. And the answer to that is maybe so. But when you're looking at a single block of time, it's always true that some expenditures prior to the block of time would have assisted
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>that the product I butchered that. This is me asking the question, by the way: If the jury were to conclude that all of Pega's if the jury were to conclude that if you included all of Pega's revenues, you should include all of Pega's costs with respect to your product change theory, you would agree that damages</pre>	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Now, the argument I bet you're going to hear come rebuttal time is, well, gee, yeah, some of those expenditures, though, would have assisted sales in future periods. And the answer to that is maybe so. But when you're looking at a single block of time, it's always true that some expenditures prior to the

	Page 8290		Page 8291
1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	time would have assisted sales after	2	zero.
3	the block of time. They tend to wash	3	We offered Mr. Platt's testimony
4	each other out.	4	on the question of what should be the
5	So the accounting, the way this	5	damages in the event that you were,
6	stuff is accounted for is to take the	6	you were to find that there was trade
7	revenues of the business, to subtract	7	secret misappropriation on the sales
8	the costs of the business. And when	8	and marketing claim, and his
9	you do that, what you get in this	9	testimony I believe, it was
10	particular case are these particular	10	\$187 million.
11	facts, there's no damages at all.	11	The defendant in this situation
12	Now, the and regardless of	12	always has a puzzle because we
13	what you hear next from Mr. Mangi	13	affirmatively believe that there was
14	and I will not get a chance to	14	no trade secret misappropriation, but
15	respond, I should say, so I should	15	we don't make the decision. You make
16	protect myself here the fact is the	16	the decision. Therefore, we try to
17	two experts said the same thing. And	17	provide some assistance if, in the
18	the fact is that as a matter of	18	unfortunate case, you find against us.
19	accounting and economics, it's an	19	That's not a suggestion. The
20	appropriate thing to say.	20	thing you worry about if you are
21	So with respect to this theory,	21	defendants, you wonder whether that
22	even if there was a demonstration of a	22	suggests they concede they really are
23	trade secret misappropriation and I	23	liable.
24	surely hope you don't get there the	24	And the answer is, we certainly
25	damages for the large claim would be	25	do not do think that. We can't
1	Page 8292 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Page 8293 Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
1 2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)	1	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank)
2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) prejudge your decision. We can't	1 2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) defendants. And we talked about
	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) prejudge your decision. We can't prejudge your decision.	2	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) defendants. And we talked about proportionate time per side.
2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) prejudge your decision. We can't prejudge your decision. Thank you. Thank you for being	2 3	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) defendants. And we talked about
2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) prejudge your decision. We can't prejudge your decision. Thank you. Thank you for being willing to listen to me. Thank you	2 3 4	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) defendants. And we talked about proportionate time per side. Could I just ask how long Mr. Travell intends to have?
2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) prejudge your decision. We can't prejudge your decision. Thank you. Thank you for being willing to listen to me. Thank you for your patience.	2 3 4 5	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) defendants. And we talked about proportionate time per side. Could I just ask how long Mr. Travell intends to have? MR. TRAVELL: You may. When I
2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) prejudge your decision. We can't prejudge your decision. Thank you. Thank you for being willing to listen to me. Thank you for your patience. And the last thing I would say	2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) defendants. And we talked about proportionate time per side. Could I just ask how long Mr. Travell intends to have?
2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) prejudge your decision. We can't prejudge your decision. Thank you. Thank you for being willing to listen to me. Thank you for your patience. And the last thing I would say is, remember, I don't get a chance to	2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) defendants. And we talked about proportionate time per side. Could I just ask how long Mr. Travell intends to have? MR. TRAVELL: You may. When I was shaving this morning, Your Honor,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) prejudge your decision. We can't prejudge your decision. Thank you. Thank you for being willing to listen to me. Thank you for your patience. And the last thing I would say is, remember, I don't get a chance to respond. The rules are such that at	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) defendants. And we talked about proportionate time per side. Could I just ask how long Mr. Travell intends to have? MR. TRAVELL: You may. When I was shaving this morning, Your Honor, it took me 21 minutes. I think I can do better.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) prejudge your decision. We can't prejudge your decision. Thank you. Thank you for being willing to listen to me. Thank you for your patience. And the last thing I would say is, remember, I don't get a chance to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) defendants. And we talked about proportionate time per side. Could I just ask how long Mr. Travell intends to have? MR. TRAVELL: You may. When I was shaving this morning, Your Honor, it took me 21 minutes. I think I can do better.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) prejudge your decision. We can't prejudge your decision. Thank you. Thank you for being willing to listen to me. Thank you for your patience. And the last thing I would say is, remember, I don't get a chance to respond. The rules are such that at some point this has to end and,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) defendants. And we talked about proportionate time per side. Could I just ask how long Mr. Travell intends to have? MR. TRAVELL: You may. When I was shaving this morning, Your Honor, it took me 21 minutes. I think I can do better. MR. MANGI: Okay.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) prejudge your decision. We can't prejudge your decision. Thank you. Thank you for being willing to listen to me. Thank you for your patience. And the last thing I would say is, remember, I don't get a chance to respond. The rules are such that at some point this has to end and, therefore, I don't get a chance to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) defendants. And we talked about proportionate time per side. Could I just ask how long Mr. Travell intends to have? MR. TRAVELL: You may. When I was shaving this morning, Your Honor, it took me 21 minutes. I think I can do better. MR. MANGI: Okay. THE COURT: So as I calculated,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) prejudge your decision. We can't prejudge your decision. Thank you. Thank you for being willing to listen to me. Thank you for your patience. And the last thing I would say is, remember, I don't get a chance to respond. The rules are such that at some point this has to end and, therefore, I don't get a chance to respond. So if I sit there grimacing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) defendants. And we talked about proportionate time per side. Could I just ask how long Mr. Travell intends to have? MR. TRAVELL: You may. When I was shaving this morning, Your Honor, it took me 21 minutes. I think I can do better. MR. MANGI: Okay. THE COURT: So as I calculated, Mr. Mangi's used 2 hours and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) prejudge your decision. We can't prejudge your decision. Thank you. Thank you for being willing to listen to me. Thank you for your patience. And the last thing I would say is, remember, I don't get a chance to respond. The rules are such that at some point this has to end and, therefore, I don't get a chance to respond. So if I sit there grimacing and don't say anything, it isn't	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) defendants. And we talked about proportionate time per side. Could I just ask how long Mr. Travell intends to have? MR. TRAVELL: You may. When I was shaving this morning, Your Honor, it took me 21 minutes. I think I can do better. MR. MANGI: Okay. THE COURT: So as I calculated, Mr. Mangi's used 2 hours and 6 minutes, and Mr. Frank has used
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) prejudge your decision. We can't prejudge your decision. Thank you. Thank you for being willing to listen to me. Thank you for your patience. And the last thing I would say is, remember, I don't get a chance to respond. The rules are such that at some point this has to end and, therefore, I don't get a chance to respond. So if I sit there grimacing and don't say anything, it isn't because I don't have something to say,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) defendants. And we talked about proportionate time per side. Could I just ask how long Mr. Travell intends to have? MR. TRAVELL: You may. When I was shaving this morning, Your Honor, it took me 21 minutes. I think I can do better. MR. MANGI: Okay. THE COURT: So as I calculated, Mr. Mangi's used 2 hours and 6 minutes, and Mr. Frank has used 2 hours, 17 minutes. So we'll balance
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) prejudge your decision. We can't prejudge your decision. Thank you. Thank you for being willing to listen to me. Thank you for your patience. And the last thing I would say is, remember, I don't get a chance to respond. The rules are such that at some point this has to end and, therefore, I don't get a chance to respond. So if I sit there grimacing and don't say anything, it isn't because I don't have something to say, it's that the rules say I get a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) defendants. And we talked about proportionate time per side. Could I just ask how long Mr. Travell intends to have? MR. TRAVELL: You may. When I was shaving this morning, Your Honor, it took me 21 minutes. I think I can do better. MR. MANGI: Okay. THE COURT: So as I calculated, Mr. Mangi's used 2 hours and 6 minutes, and Mr. Frank has used 2 hours, 17 minutes. So we'll balance it out.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) prejudge your decision. We can't prejudge your decision. Thank you. Thank you for being willing to listen to me. Thank you for your patience. And the last thing I would say is, remember, I don't get a chance to respond. The rules are such that at some point this has to end and, therefore, I don't get a chance to respond. So if I sit there grimacing and don't say anything, it isn't because I don't have something to say, it's that the rules say I get a chance.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) defendants. And we talked about proportionate time per side. Could I just ask how long Mr. Travell intends to have? MR. TRAVELL: You may. When I was shaving this morning, Your Honor, it took me 21 minutes. I think I can do better. MR. MANGI: Okay. THE COURT: So as I calculated, Mr. Mangi's used 2 hours and 6 minutes, and Mr. Frank has used 2 hours, 17 minutes. So we'll balance it out. MR. MANGI: Thank you.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) prejudge your decision. We can't prejudge your decision. Thank you. Thank you for being willing to listen to me. Thank you for your patience. And the last thing I would say is, remember, I don't get a chance to respond. The rules are such that at some point this has to end and, therefore, I don't get a chance to respond. So if I sit there grimacing and don't say anything, it isn't because I don't have something to say, it's that the rules say I get a chance. Thank you very, very much.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) defendants. And we talked about proportionate time per side. Could I just ask how long Mr. Travell intends to have? MR. TRAVELL: You may. When I was shaving this morning, Your Honor, it took me 21 minutes. I think I can do better. MR. MANGI: Okay. THE COURT: So as I calculated, Mr. Mangi's used 2 hours and 6 minutes, and Mr. Frank has used 2 hours, 17 minutes. So we'll balance it out. MR. MANGI: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Court is in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) prejudge your decision. We can't prejudge your decision. Thank you. Thank you for being willing to listen to me. Thank you for your patience. And the last thing I would say is, remember, I don't get a chance to respond. The rules are such that at some point this has to end and, therefore, I don't get a chance to respond. So if I sit there grimacing and don't say anything, it isn't because I don't have something to say, it's that the rules say I get a chance. Thank you very, very much. THE COURT: We'll take the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) defendants. And we talked about proportionate time per side. Could I just ask how long Mr. Travell intends to have? MR. TRAVELL: You may. When I was shaving this morning, Your Honor, it took me 21 minutes. I think I can do better. MR. MANGI: Okay. THE COURT: So as I calculated, Mr. Mangi's used 2 hours and 6 minutes, and Mr. Frank has used 2 hours, 17 minutes. So we'll balance it out. MR. MANGI: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Court is in recess for 15 minutes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) prejudge your decision. We can't prejudge your decision. Thank you. Thank you for being willing to listen to me. Thank you for your patience. And the last thing I would say is, remember, I don't get a chance to respond. The rules are such that at some point this has to end and, therefore, I don't get a chance to respond. So if I sit there grimacing and don't say anything, it isn't because I don't have something to say, it's that the rules say I get a chance. Thank you very, very much. THE COURT: We'll take the afternoon recess at this point.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) defendants. And we talked about proportionate time per side. Could I just ask how long Mr. Travell intends to have? MR. TRAVELL: You may. When I was shaving this morning, Your Honor, it took me 21 minutes. I think I can do better. MR. MANGI: Okay. THE COURT: So as I calculated, Mr. Mangi's used 2 hours and 6 minutes, and Mr. Frank has used 2 hours, 17 minutes. So we'll balance it out. MR. MANGI: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Court is in recess for 15 minutes. (Recess taken.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) prejudge your decision. We can't prejudge your decision. Thank you. Thank you for being willing to listen to me. Thank you for your patience. And the last thing I would say is, remember, I don't get a chance to respond. The rules are such that at some point this has to end and, therefore, I don't get a chance to respond. So if I sit there grimacing and don't say anything, it isn't because I don't have something to say, it's that the rules say I get a chance. Thank you very, very much. THE COURT: We'll take the afternoon recess at this point. (Jury exits.)</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) defendants. And we talked about proportionate time per side. Could I just ask how long Mr. Travell intends to have? MR. TRAVELL: You may. When I was shaving this morning, Your Honor, it took me 21 minutes. I think I can do better. MR. MANGI: Okay. THE COURT: So as I calculated, Mr. Mangi's used 2 hours and 6 minutes, and Mr. Frank has used 2 hours, 17 minutes. So we'll balance it out. MR. MANGI: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Court is in recess for 15 minutes. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: All right. Why
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) prejudge your decision. We can't prejudge your decision. Thank you. Thank you for being willing to listen to me. Thank you for your patience. And the last thing I would say is, remember, I don't get a chance to respond. The rules are such that at some point this has to end and, therefore, I don't get a chance to respond. So if I sit there grimacing and don't say anything, it isn't because I don't have something to say, it's that the rules say I get a chance. Thank you very, very much. THE COURT: We'll take the afternoon recess at this point. (Jury exits.) MR. MANGI: Your Honor, I'm</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) defendants. And we talked about proportionate time per side. Could I just ask how long Mr. Travell intends to have? MR. TRAVELL: You may. When I was shaving this morning, Your Honor, it took me 21 minutes. I think I can do better. MR. MANGI: Okay. THE COURT: So as I calculated, Mr. Mangi's used 2 hours and 6 minutes, and Mr. Frank has used 2 hours, 17 minutes. So we'll balance it out. MR. MANGI: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Court is in recess for 15 minutes. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: All right. Why don't you bring the jury in?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) prejudge your decision. We can't prejudge your decision. Thank you. Thank you for being willing to listen to me. Thank you for your patience. And the last thing I would say is, remember, I don't get a chance to respond. The rules are such that at some point this has to end and, therefore, I don't get a chance to respond. So if I sit there grimacing and don't say anything, it isn't because I don't have something to say, it's that the rules say I get a chance. Thank you very, very much. THE COURT: We'll take the afternoon recess at this point. (Jury exits.) MR. MANGI: Your Honor, I'm slightly concerned about the </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) defendants. And we talked about proportionate time per side. Could I just ask how long Mr. Travell intends to have? MR. TRAVELL: You may. When I was shaving this morning, Your Honor, it took me 21 minutes. I think I can do better. MR. MANGI: Okay. THE COURT: So as I calculated, Mr. Mangi's used 2 hours and 6 minutes, and Mr. Frank has used 2 hours, 17 minutes. So we'll balance it out. MR. MANGI: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Court is in recess for 15 minutes. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: All right. Why don't you bring the jury in? (Jury enters.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) prejudge your decision. We can't prejudge your decision. Thank you. Thank you for being willing to listen to me. Thank you for your patience. And the last thing I would say is, remember, I don't get a chance to respond. The rules are such that at some point this has to end and, therefore, I don't get a chance to respond. So if I sit there grimacing and don't say anything, it isn't because I don't have something to say, it's that the rules say I get a chance. Thank you very, very much. THE COURT: We'll take the afternoon recess at this point. (Jury exits.) MR. MANGI: Your Honor, I'm slightly concerned about the disproportional utilization of time </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Defendant - Pega - Closing (Frank) defendants. And we talked about proportionate time per side. Could I just ask how long Mr. Travell intends to have? MR. TRAVELL: You may. When I was shaving this morning, Your Honor, it took me 21 minutes. I think I can do better. MR. MANGI: Okay. THE COURT: So as I calculated, Mr. Mangi's used 2 hours and 6 minutes, and Mr. Frank has used 2 hours, 17 minutes. So we'll balance it out. MR. MANGI: Thank you. THE COURT: Okay. Court is in recess for 15 minutes. (Recess taken.) THE COURT: All right. Why don't you bring the jury in? (Jury enters.) THE COURT: All members of the </pre>

	Deve 0004		D
1	Page 8294 Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)	1	Page 8295 Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
2	And, Mr. Travell, you have the	2	been advocating for Appian and for
3	floor.	3	Pega, and now it's my chance to have
4	MR. TRAVELL: Thank you, Your	4	your undivided attention for the next
5	Honor.	5	brief time I promise not to be more
6	Good afternoon. My name is	6	than about 20 minutes to talk to
7	Wayne Travell. I am lead counsel for	7	you about Mr. Zou and his involvement
8	Mr. Youyong Zou, who's present today	8	in this case.
9	in the courtroom.	9	As you have heard, on the basis
10	He spent most of the last seven	10	of a theory called unjust enrichment,
11	weeks in the trophy box behind third	11	Appian is claiming \$3 billion in
12	base back there, so it's nice to be	12	damages against Pegasystems. Under
13	able to see you all at once.	13	the same theory, the unjust
14	But I'd like to join both	14	enrichment, they are seeking to
15	Mr. Mangi and Mr. Frank in thanking	15	disgorge from Mr. Zou what he profited
16	you for hanging in with us for the	16	from his alleged misappropriation of
17	last seven weeks. And you're getting	17	trade secrets.
18	to see how cases are decided and how	18	
19	laws made and stuff. It's sort of	19	Now, again in his case, the disgorgement of profits is the amount
20		20	
20	like asking how the sausage was made.	20	that he was paid by K-Force for the consultant services that he did for
22	It's a messy process, but this is	21	
23	actually how these things are done.	22	Pegasystems between February of 2012
23	So I don't need to tell you that the vast amount of time and energy the	23	and September of 2014.
24		24	If you decide that Mr. Zou was,
23	lawyers have spent in this case have	25	in fact, involved in misappropriation
1	Page 8296	1	Page 8297
1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)	1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
2	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) of trade secrets and I'll come back	2	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) secrets, and we submit that is not a
2 3	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) of trade secrets and I'll come back to that in just a moment then the	2 3	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) secrets, and we submit that is not a question that is easily answered or
2 3 4	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) of trade secrets and I'll come back to that in just a moment then the actual amount that he profited, the	2 3 4	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) secrets, and we submit that is not a question that is easily answered or should be reflexively answered.
2 3 4 5	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) of trade secrets and I'll come back to that in just a moment then the actual amount that he profited, the amount of money that he put into his	2 3 4 5	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) secrets, and we submit that is not a question that is easily answered or should be reflexively answered. In considering whether or not
2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) of trade secrets and I'll come back to that in just a moment then the actual amount that he profited, the amount of money that he put into his pocket was \$18,465.10.	2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) secrets, and we submit that is not a question that is easily answered or should be reflexively answered. In considering whether or not the information that Mr. Zou provided,
2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) of trade secrets and I'll come back to that in just a moment then the actual amount that he profited, the amount of money that he put into his pocket was \$18,465.10. Pega is claiming \$23,600, which	2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) secrets, and we submit that is not a question that is easily answered or should be reflexively answered. In considering whether or not the information that Mr. Zou provided, there are a couple of things that I
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) of trade secrets and I'll come back to that in just a moment then the actual amount that he profited, the amount of money that he put into his pocket was \$18,465.10. Pega is claiming \$23,600, which is actually the gross amount before	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) secrets, and we submit that is not a question that is easily answered or should be reflexively answered. In considering whether or not the information that Mr. Zou provided, there are a couple of things that I would like you to keep in mind. First
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) of trade secrets and I'll come back to that in just a moment then the actual amount that he profited, the amount of money that he put into his pocket was \$18,465.10. Pega is claiming \$23,600, which is actually the gross amount before taxes that he was paid.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) secrets, and we submit that is not a question that is easily answered or should be reflexively answered. In considering whether or not the information that Mr. Zou provided, there are a couple of things that I would like you to keep in mind. First of all, by the time Mr. Zou was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) of trade secrets and I'll come back to that in just a moment then the actual amount that he profited, the amount of money that he put into his pocket was \$18,465.10. Pega is claiming \$23,600, which is actually the gross amount before taxes that he was paid. So to the extent that becomes	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) secrets, and we submit that is not a question that is easily answered or should be reflexively answered. In considering whether or not the information that Mr. Zou provided, there are a couple of things that I would like you to keep in mind. First of all, by the time Mr. Zou was working at Lockheed in 2005 through
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) of trade secrets and I'll come back to that in just a moment then the actual amount that he profited, the amount of money that he put into his pocket was \$18,465.10. Pega is claiming \$23,600, which is actually the gross amount before taxes that he was paid. So to the extent that becomes relevant to your deliberations, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) secrets, and we submit that is not a question that is easily answered or should be reflexively answered. In considering whether or not the information that Mr. Zou provided, there are a couple of things that I would like you to keep in mind. First of all, by the time Mr. Zou was working at Lockheed in 2005 through 2011, he had been as Ms. Marcus
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) of trade secrets and I'll come back to that in just a moment then the actual amount that he profited, the amount of money that he put into his pocket was \$18,465.10. Pega is claiming \$23,600, which is actually the gross amount before taxes that he was paid. So to the extent that becomes relevant to your deliberations, I commend you look at Plaintiff's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) secrets, and we submit that is not a question that is easily answered or should be reflexively answered. In considering whether or not the information that Mr. Zou provided, there are a couple of things that I would like you to keep in mind. First of all, by the time Mr. Zou was working at Lockheed in 2005 through 2011, he had been as Ms. Marcus brought out on cross-examination, had
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) of trade secrets and I'll come back to that in just a moment then the actual amount that he profited, the amount of money that he put into his pocket was \$18,465.10. Pega is claiming \$23,600, which is actually the gross amount before taxes that he was paid. So to the extent that becomes relevant to your deliberations, I commend you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, which are the actual	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) secrets, and we submit that is not a question that is easily answered or should be reflexively answered. In considering whether or not the information that Mr. Zou provided, there are a couple of things that I would like you to keep in mind. First of all, by the time Mr. Zou was working at Lockheed in 2005 through 2011, he had been as Ms. Marcus brought out on cross-examination, had years of schooling for in computer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) of trade secrets and I'll come back to that in just a moment then the actual amount that he profited, the amount of money that he put into his pocket was \$18,465.10. Pega is claiming \$23,600, which is actually the gross amount before taxes that he was paid. So to the extent that becomes relevant to your deliberations, I commend you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, which are the actual payment records that show when Mr. Zou	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) secrets, and we submit that is not a question that is easily answered or should be reflexively answered. In considering whether or not the information that Mr. Zou provided, there are a couple of things that I would like you to keep in mind. First of all, by the time Mr. Zou was working at Lockheed in 2005 through 2011, he had been as Ms. Marcus brought out on cross-examination, had years of schooling for in computer science and as a program developer in
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) of trade secrets and I'll come back to that in just a moment then the actual amount that he profited, the amount of money that he put into his pocket was \$18,465.10. Pega is claiming \$23,600, which is actually the gross amount before taxes that he was paid. So to the extent that becomes relevant to your deliberations, I commend you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, which are the actual payment records that show when Mr. Zou started and when he finished for his	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) secrets, and we submit that is not a question that is easily answered or should be reflexively answered. In considering whether or not the information that Mr. Zou provided, there are a couple of things that I would like you to keep in mind. First of all, by the time Mr. Zou was working at Lockheed in 2005 through 2011, he had been as Ms. Marcus brought out on cross-examination, had years of schooling for in computer science and as a program developer in codes like JavaScript, CC+, and the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) of trade secrets and I'll come back to that in just a moment then the actual amount that he profited, the amount of money that he put into his pocket was \$18,465.10. Pega is claiming \$23,600, which is actually the gross amount before taxes that he was paid. So to the extent that becomes relevant to your deliberations, I commend you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, which are the actual payment records that show when Mr. Zou started and when he finished for his consulting work.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) secrets, and we submit that is not a question that is easily answered or should be reflexively answered. In considering whether or not the information that Mr. Zou provided, there are a couple of things that I would like you to keep in mind. First of all, by the time Mr. Zou was working at Lockheed in 2005 through 2011, he had been as Ms. Marcus brought out on cross-examination, had years of schooling for in computer science and as a program developer in codes like JavaScript, CC+, and the other things that he's testified</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) of trade secrets and I'll come back to that in just a moment then the actual amount that he profited, the amount of money that he put into his pocket was \$18,465.10. Pega is claiming \$23,600, which is actually the gross amount before taxes that he was paid. So to the extent that becomes relevant to your deliberations, I commend you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, which are the actual payment records that show when Mr. Zou started and when he finished for his consulting work. And Mr. Josh has actually put	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) secrets, and we submit that is not a question that is easily answered or should be reflexively answered. In considering whether or not the information that Mr. Zou provided, there are a couple of things that I would like you to keep in mind. First of all, by the time Mr. Zou was working at Lockheed in 2005 through 2011, he had been as Ms. Marcus brought out on cross-examination, had years of schooling for in computer science and as a program developer in codes like JavaScript, CC+, and the other things that he's testified about.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) of trade secrets and I'll come back to that in just a moment then the actual amount that he profited, the amount of money that he put into his pocket was \$18,465.10. Pega is claiming \$23,600, which is actually the gross amount before taxes that he was paid. So to the extent that becomes relevant to your deliberations, I commend you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, which are the actual payment records that show when Mr. Zou started and when he finished for his consulting work. And Mr. Josh has actually put that on the screen, so you can see	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) secrets, and we submit that is not a question that is easily answered or should be reflexively answered. In considering whether or not the information that Mr. Zou provided, there are a couple of things that I would like you to keep in mind. First of all, by the time Mr. Zou was working at Lockheed in 2005 through 2011, he had been as Ms. Marcus brought out on cross-examination, had years of schooling for in computer science and as a program developer in codes like JavaScript, CC+, and the other things that he's testified about. So he was a very experienced
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) of trade secrets and I'll come back to that in just a moment then the actual amount that he profited, the amount of money that he put into his pocket was \$18,465.10. Pega is claiming \$23,600, which is actually the gross amount before taxes that he was paid. So to the extent that becomes relevant to your deliberations, I commend you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, which are the actual payment records that show when Mr. Zou started and when he finished for his consulting work. And Mr. Josh has actually put that on the screen, so you can see what that looks like. It's a document</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) secrets, and we submit that is not a question that is easily answered or should be reflexively answered. In considering whether or not the information that Mr. Zou provided, there are a couple of things that I would like you to keep in mind. First of all, by the time Mr. Zou was working at Lockheed in 2005 through 2011, he had been as Ms. Marcus brought out on cross-examination, had years of schooling for in computer science and as a program developer in codes like JavaScript, CC+, and the other things that he's testified about. So he was a very experienced software developer by that point in </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) of trade secrets and I'll come back to that in just a moment then the actual amount that he profited, the amount of money that he put into his pocket was \$18,465.10. Pega is claiming \$23,600, which is actually the gross amount before taxes that he was paid. So to the extent that becomes relevant to your deliberations, I commend you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, which are the actual payment records that show when Mr. Zou started and when he finished for his consulting work. And Mr. Josh has actually put that on the screen, so you can see what that looks like. It's a document that you've seen before.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) secrets, and we submit that is not a question that is easily answered or should be reflexively answered. In considering whether or not the information that Mr. Zou provided, there are a couple of things that I would like you to keep in mind. First of all, by the time Mr. Zou was working at Lockheed in 2005 through 2011, he had been as Ms. Marcus brought out on cross-examination, had years of schooling for in computer science and as a program developer in codes like JavaScript, CC+, and the other things that he's testified about. So he was a very experienced software developer by that point in his life.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) of trade secrets and I'll come back to that in just a moment then the actual amount that he profited, the amount of money that he put into his pocket was \$18,465.10. Pega is claiming \$23,600, which is actually the gross amount before taxes that he was paid. So to the extent that becomes relevant to your deliberations, I commend you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, which are the actual payment records that show when Mr. Zou started and when he finished for his consulting work. And Mr. Josh has actually put that on the screen, so you can see what that looks like. It's a document that you've seen before. But no matter, as Judge Gardiner } } </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) secrets, and we submit that is not a question that is easily answered or should be reflexively answered. In considering whether or not the information that Mr. Zou provided, there are a couple of things that I would like you to keep in mind. First of all, by the time Mr. Zou was working at Lockheed in 2005 through 2011, he had been as Ms. Marcus brought out on cross-examination, had years of schooling for in computer science and as a program developer in codes like JavaScript, CC+, and the other things that he's testified about. So he was a very experienced software developer by that point in his life. He became an Appian developer in</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) of trade secrets and I'll come back to that in just a moment then the actual amount that he profited, the amount of money that he put into his pocket was \$18,465.10. Pega is claiming \$23,600, which is actually the gross amount before taxes that he was paid. So to the extent that becomes relevant to your deliberations, I commend you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, which are the actual payment records that show when Mr. Zou started and when he finished for his consulting work. And Mr. Josh has actually put that on the screen, so you can see what that looks like. It's a document that you've seen before. But no matter, as Judge Gardiner has instructed you, before you get to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) secrets, and we submit that is not a question that is easily answered or should be reflexively answered. In considering whether or not the information that Mr. Zou provided, there are a couple of things that I would like you to keep in mind. First of all, by the time Mr. Zou was working at Lockheed in 2005 through 2011, he had been as Ms. Marcus brought out on cross-examination, had years of schooling for in computer science and as a program developer in codes like JavaScript, CC+, and the other things that he's testified about. So he was a very experienced software developer by that point in his life. He became an Appian developer in May of 2011 and worked as a Appian</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) of trade secrets and I'll come back to that in just a moment then the actual amount that he profited, the amount of money that he put into his pocket was \$18,465.10. Pega is claiming \$23,600, which is actually the gross amount before taxes that he was paid. So to the extent that becomes relevant to your deliberations, I commend you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, which are the actual payment records that show when Mr. Zou started and when he finished for his consulting work. And Mr. Josh has actually put that on the screen, so you can see what that looks like. It's a document that you've seen before. But no matter, as Judge Gardiner has instructed you, before you get to the issue of damages, you must first </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) secrets, and we submit that is not a question that is easily answered or should be reflexively answered. In considering whether or not the information that Mr. Zou provided, there are a couple of things that I would like you to keep in mind. First of all, by the time Mr. Zou was working at Lockheed in 2005 through 2011, he had been as Ms. Marcus brought out on cross-examination, had years of schooling for in computer science and as a program developer in codes like JavaScript, CC+, and the other things that he's testified about. So he was a very experienced software developer by that point in his life. He became an Appian developer in May of 2011 and worked as a Appian developer for a relatively brief</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) of trade secrets and I'll come back to that in just a moment then the actual amount that he profited, the amount of money that he put into his pocket was \$18,465.10. Pega is claiming \$23,600, which is actually the gross amount before taxes that he was paid. So to the extent that becomes relevant to your deliberations, I commend you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, which are the actual payment records that show when Mr. Zou started and when he finished for his consulting work. And Mr. Josh has actually put that on the screen, so you can see what that looks like. It's a document that you've seen before. But no matter, as Judge Gardiner has instructed you, before you get to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) secrets, and we submit that is not a question that is easily answered or should be reflexively answered. In considering whether or not the information that Mr. Zou provided, there are a couple of things that I would like you to keep in mind. First of all, by the time Mr. Zou was working at Lockheed in 2005 through 2011, he had been as Ms. Marcus brought out on cross-examination, had years of schooling for in computer science and as a program developer in codes like JavaScript, CC+, and the other things that he's testified about. So he was a very experienced software developer by that point in his life. He became an Appian developer in May of 2011 and worked as a Appian</pre>

	Page 8298		Page 8299
1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)	1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
2	And during that time Mr. Zou had	2	taking the position that the fact that
3	a chance to work in that environment,	3	its product didn't have certain
4	and he learned quite a bit about it.	4	features or had certain limitations is
5	And what Mr. Zou learned about it is	5	itself a trade secret.
6	not anything that's not everything	6	Now, again, how would Mr. Zou
7	that's contained in the documentation	7	know that? Mr. Zou would know that
8	that you've heard so much about by his	8	only by his experience in actually
9	experience as a developer, what it's	9	doing the key strokes, looking for
10	like to actually code in the Appian	10	features that he's seen in other
11	program itself, what he learned about	11	products, and trying to use that in
12	its limitations, what he learned about	12	Appian.
13		13	
	what its qualities were.	14	So in your deliberations of
14	As Mr. Frank mentioned to you		whether or not these are, in fact,
15	during his closing statement, much of	15	trade secrets, please keep in mind
16	what Mr. Zou imparted to Pegasystems	16	that much of what Appian is trying to
17	in his conversations, principally with	17	protect are things that are
18	Mr. Petronio, are shortcomings or	18	deficiencies in its product or
19	limitations of the Appian software	19	features that its product did not, in
20	product itself, things that Appian	20	fact, have.
21	didn't have or that Mr. Zou knew,	21	We believe that after careful
22	based upon his experience working in	22	consideration, if you find that Appian
23	other types of software products, that	23	has failed to prove that the
24	Appian did not have.	24	information that Mr. Zou provided
25	In this case, however, Appian is	25	were, in fact, trade secrets by the
1	Page 8300	-	Page 8301
1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)	1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
2	greater weight of the evidence and	2	So one place are the screen
3	Mr. Mangi had the scale that you all	3	displays. Now, again, Mr. Mangi used
	have seen in these types cases where	4	the term that Mr. Zou provided access
5	you have to balance the evidence, and	5	to Pega to the Appian software, but
6	plaintiff has the burden making the	6	you've had a chance to actually see
7	evidence just a little heavier on	7	the videotapes and see what was going
8	their side. But it is a very real	8	on.
9	burden, and it is the Plaintiff's	9	What you saw was Mr. Zou doing a
10	burden.	10	demonstration of the Appian software.
11	And unless you're convinced by	11	Now, certainly, it's clear that Appian
12	that preponderance of the evidence	12	was asking him to demonstrate certain
13	that preponderance of the evidence that they've proven their claims that	13	was asking him to demonstrate certain features of the software. So they
13 14	that preponderance of the evidence that they've proven their claims that these are, in fact, trade secrets and	13 14	was asking him to demonstrate certain features of the software. So they were saying, can you do this? Can you
13 14 15	that preponderance of the evidence that they've proven their claims that these are, in fact, trade secrets and that they were misappropriated, then	13 14 15	was asking him to demonstrate certain features of the software. So they were saying, can you do this? Can you show us how this is done?
13 14	that preponderance of the evidence that they've proven their claims that these are, in fact, trade secrets and that they were misappropriated, then you must find that Appian is not	13 14 15 16	was asking him to demonstrate certain features of the software. So they were saying, can you do this? Can you show us how this is done? But in fact, what he was doing
13 14 15 16 17	that preponderance of the evidence that they've proven their claims that these are, in fact, trade secrets and that they were misappropriated, then you must find that Appian is not entitled to damages either against	13 14 15 16 17	was asking him to demonstrate certain features of the software. So they were saying, can you do this? Can you show us how this is done? But in fact, what he was doing was looking at what I think Mr. Platt
13 14 15 16 17 18	that preponderance of the evidence that they've proven their claims that these are, in fact, trade secrets and that they were misappropriated, then you must find that Appian is not entitled to damages either against Mr. Zou or against Pegasystems.	13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>was asking him to demonstrate certain features of the software. So they were saying, can you do this? Can you show us how this is done? But in fact, what he was doing was looking at what I think Mr. Platt called the presentation level I</pre>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	that preponderance of the evidence that they've proven their claims that these are, in fact, trade secrets and that they were misappropriated, then you must find that Appian is not entitled to damages either against Mr. Zou or against Pegasystems. So what are the trade secrets?	13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>was asking him to demonstrate certain features of the software. So they were saying, can you do this? Can you show us how this is done? But in fact, what he was doing was looking at what I think Mr. Platt called the presentation level I guess that was Mr. Pinto the</pre>
13 14 15 16 17 18	that preponderance of the evidence that they've proven their claims that these are, in fact, trade secrets and that they were misappropriated, then you must find that Appian is not entitled to damages either against Mr. Zou or against Pegasystems. So what are the trade secrets? Mr. Mangi talked to you a little bit	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>was asking him to demonstrate certain features of the software. So they were saying, can you do this? Can you show us how this is done? But in fact, what he was doing was looking at what I think Mr. Platt called the presentation level I</pre>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that preponderance of the evidence that they've proven their claims that these are, in fact, trade secrets and that they were misappropriated, then you must find that Appian is not entitled to damages either against Mr. Zou or against Pegasystems. So what are the trade secrets? Mr. Mangi talked to you a little bit about that this morning, but what I'm	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>was asking him to demonstrate certain features of the software. So they were saying, can you do this? Can you show us how this is done? But in fact, what he was doing was looking at what I think Mr. Platt called the presentation level I guess that was Mr. Pinto the presentation level, the actual what you see when you turn on your computer</pre>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	that preponderance of the evidence that they've proven their claims that these are, in fact, trade secrets and that they were misappropriated, then you must find that Appian is not entitled to damages either against Mr. Zou or against Pegasystems. So what are the trade secrets? Mr. Mangi talked to you a little bit	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>was asking him to demonstrate certain features of the software. So they were saying, can you do this? Can you show us how this is done? But in fact, what he was doing was looking at what I think Mr. Platt called the presentation level I guess that was Mr. Pinto the presentation level, the actual what</pre>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	that preponderance of the evidence that they've proven their claims that these are, in fact, trade secrets and that they were misappropriated, then you must find that Appian is not entitled to damages either against Mr. Zou or against Pegasystems. So what are the trade secrets? Mr. Mangi talked to you a little bit about that this morning, but what I'm	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>was asking him to demonstrate certain features of the software. So they were saying, can you do this? Can you show us how this is done? But in fact, what he was doing was looking at what I think Mr. Platt called the presentation level I guess that was Mr. Pinto the presentation level, the actual what you see when you turn on your computer</pre>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	that preponderance of the evidence that they've proven their claims that these are, in fact, trade secrets and that they were misappropriated, then you must find that Appian is not entitled to damages either against Mr. Zou or against Pegasystems. So what are the trade secrets? Mr. Mangi talked to you a little bit about that this morning, but what I'm going to focus on is where those trade secrets are alleged to have lived, where they have alleged to have	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>was asking him to demonstrate certain features of the software. So they were saying, can you do this? Can you show us how this is done? But in fact, what he was doing was looking at what I think Mr. Platt called the presentation level I guess that was Mr. Pinto the presentation level, the actual what you see when you turn on your computer and you're looking at the screen and doing the key strokes and manipulating those key strokes to go from one</pre>
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	that preponderance of the evidence that they've proven their claims that these are, in fact, trade secrets and that they were misappropriated, then you must find that Appian is not entitled to damages either against Mr. Zou or against Pegasystems. So what are the trade secrets? Mr. Mangi talked to you a little bit about that this morning, but what I'm going to focus on is where those trade secrets are alleged to have lived,	13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>was asking him to demonstrate certain features of the software. So they were saying, can you do this? Can you show us how this is done? But in fact, what he was doing was looking at what I think Mr. Platt called the presentation level I guess that was Mr. Pinto the presentation level, the actual what you see when you turn on your computer and you're looking at the screen and doing the key strokes and manipulating</pre>

	Page 8302		Page 8303
1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)	1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
2	But at no time did Mr. Zou ever	2	what your program or your software can
3	provide the software itself to	3	do, and Mr. Zou, in fact, did that.
4	- Pegasystems or allow anyone at	4	They were also saying that
5	Pegasystems to actually use his access	5	Mr. Zou provided user manuals, what's
6	to the program.	6	called the documentation that was
7	Of course, in that very first	7	housed in the Appian Forum, and that
8	video we saw, there was a point in	8	that user those user manuals were,
9	time when Mr. Petronio tried to take	9	in fact, somehow proprietary trade
10	over, but that didn't happen.	10	secrets to Appian.
11	Mr. Petronio did not, in fact, get	11	So to put this in context, so,
12	access to the program itself.	12	again, those are where the trade
13	So the evidence is pretty clear	13	secrets are alleged to have resided.
14	that what Mr. Zou did was give a	14	What's clearly not a trade
15	demonstration of how the Appian	15	secret is what's between Mr. Zou's
16	software itself worked.	16	ears, okay? And to the extent that he
17	Now, again, you've been implored	17	worked in the product, he learned
18	by both Mr. Mangi and Mr. Frank not to	18	things about the product and he was
19	leave your common sense outside of	19	able to talk to others about his
20	your deliberations.	20	experience in that product, that
21	If what Mr. Zou did was provide	21	cannot possibly be a trade secret.
22	a demonstration, again, that's what	22	And when Mr. Zou said, well, I'd
23	software is meant to be done, the	23	like to see the program do this
23	purpose of software, the purpose of	23 24	better, but it doesn't do it. That's
24		24	not a trade secret. That's what
25	marketing. It's to show the world	25	not a trade secret. mat's what
	Page 8304		Dama 9305
1	5	1	Page 8305
1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)	1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
2	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Zou knew. That's what he calls	2	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) What I'd like to do is just take
2 3	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Zou knew. That's what he calls his head knowledge.	2 3	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) What I'd like to do is just take take a few minutes and go through what
2 3 4	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Zou knew. That's what he calls his head knowledge. And I would submit that in	2 3 4	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) What I'd like to do is just take take a few minutes and go through what Dr. Cole told you about his review of
2 3 4 5	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Zou knew. That's what he calls his head knowledge. And I would submit that in thinking about this, please keep that	2 3 4 5	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) What I'd like to do is just take take a few minutes and go through what Dr. Cole told you about his review of the Appian program and show you three
2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Zou knew. That's what he calls his head knowledge. And I would submit that in thinking about this, please keep that concept in mind.	2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) What I'd like to do is just take take a few minutes and go through what Dr. Cole told you about his review of the Appian program and show you three different places where he was clearly
2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Zou knew. That's what he calls his head knowledge. And I would submit that in thinking about this, please keep that concept in mind. So the other issue is not only	2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) What I'd like to do is just take take a few minutes and go through what Dr. Cole told you about his review of the Appian program and show you three different places where he was clearly wrong about his observations about the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Zou knew. That's what he calls his head knowledge. And I would submit that in thinking about this, please keep that concept in mind. So the other issue is not only does Appian have to prove that these	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) What I'd like to do is just take take a few minutes and go through what Dr. Cole told you about his review of the Appian program and show you three different places where he was clearly wrong about his observations about the program itself.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Zou knew. That's what he calls his head knowledge. And I would submit that in thinking about this, please keep that concept in mind. So the other issue is not only does Appian have to prove that these are trade secrets, but they also have	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) What I'd like to do is just take take a few minutes and go through what Dr. Cole told you about his review of the Appian program and show you three different places where he was clearly wrong about his observations about the program itself. So one of the first things that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Zou knew. That's what he calls his head knowledge. And I would submit that in thinking about this, please keep that concept in mind. So the other issue is not only does Appian have to prove that these are trade secrets, but they also have to prove that they took reasonable	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) What I'd like to do is just take take a few minutes and go through what Dr. Cole told you about his review of the Appian program and show you three different places where he was clearly wrong about his observations about the program itself. So one of the first things that he did was he spent time talking about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Zou knew. That's what he calls his head knowledge. And I would submit that in thinking about this, please keep that concept in mind. So the other issue is not only does Appian have to prove that these are trade secrets, but they also have to prove that they took reasonable steps to keep those secrets	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) What I'd like to do is just take take a few minutes and go through what Dr. Cole told you about his review of the Appian program and show you three different places where he was clearly wrong about his observations about the program itself. So one of the first things that he did was he spent time talking about the registration for Appian Forum.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Zou knew. That's what he calls his head knowledge. And I would submit that in thinking about this, please keep that concept in mind. So the other issue is not only does Appian have to prove that these are trade secrets, but they also have to prove that they took reasonable steps to keep those secrets confidential.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) What I'd like to do is just take take a few minutes and go through what Dr. Cole told you about his review of the Appian program and show you three different places where he was clearly wrong about his observations about the program itself. So one of the first things that he did was he spent time talking about the registration for Appian Forum. And, Josh, if you could display
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Zou knew. That's what he calls his head knowledge. And I would submit that in thinking about this, please keep that concept in mind. So the other issue is not only does Appian have to prove that these are trade secrets, but they also have to prove that they took reasonable steps to keep those secrets confidential. And in order to do that, they	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) What I'd like to do is just take take a few minutes and go through what Dr. Cole told you about his review of the Appian program and show you three different places where he was clearly wrong about his observations about the program itself. So one of the first things that he did was he spent time talking about the registration for Appian Forum. And, Josh, if you could display Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 274.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Zou knew. That's what he calls his head knowledge. And I would submit that in thinking about this, please keep that concept in mind. So the other issue is not only does Appian have to prove that these are trade secrets, but they also have to prove that they took reasonable steps to keep those secrets confidential. And in order to do that, they principally rely on an expert	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) What I'd like to do is just take take a few minutes and go through what Dr. Cole told you about his review of the Appian program and show you three different places where he was clearly wrong about his observations about the program itself. So one of the first things that he did was he spent time talking about the registration for Appian Forum. And, Josh, if you could display Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 274. (Whereupon, Exhibit PTX 274,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Zou knew. That's what he calls his head knowledge. And I would submit that in thinking about this, please keep that concept in mind. So the other issue is not only does Appian have to prove that these are trade secrets, but they also have to prove that they took reasonable steps to keep those secrets confidential. And in order to do that, they principally rely on an expert Eric Cole. Now, again, there's been a	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) What I'd like to do is just take take a few minutes and go through what Dr. Cole told you about his review of the Appian program and show you three different places where he was clearly wrong about his observations about the program itself. So one of the first things that he did was he spent time talking about the registration for Appian Forum. And, Josh, if you could display Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 274. (Whereupon, Exhibit PTX 274, Document, was identified.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Zou knew. That's what he calls his head knowledge. And I would submit that in thinking about this, please keep that concept in mind. So the other issue is not only does Appian have to prove that these are trade secrets, but they also have to prove that they took reasonable steps to keep those secrets confidential. And in order to do that, they principally rely on an expert Eric Cole. Now, again, there's been a lot said about Mr. Cole pardon me	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) What I'd like to do is just take take a few minutes and go through what Dr. Cole told you about his review of the Appian program and show you three different places where he was clearly wrong about his observations about the program itself. So one of the first things that he did was he spent time talking about the registration for Appian Forum. And, Josh, if you could display Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 274. (Whereupon, Exhibit PTX 274, Document, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: Mr. Frank gave you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Zou knew. That's what he calls his head knowledge. And I would submit that in thinking about this, please keep that concept in mind. So the other issue is not only does Appian have to prove that these are trade secrets, but they also have to prove that they took reasonable steps to keep those secrets confidential. And in order to do that, they principally rely on an expert Eric Cole. Now, again, there's been a lot said about Mr. Cole pardon me while I get a drink of water I'm	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) What I'd like to do is just take take a few minutes and go through what Dr. Cole told you about his review of the Appian program and show you three different places where he was clearly wrong about his observations about the program itself. So one of the first things that he did was he spent time talking about the registration for Appian Forum. And, Josh, if you could display Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 274. (Whereupon, Exhibit PTX 274, Document, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: Mr. Frank gave you a spoiler alert during closing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Zou knew. That's what he calls his head knowledge. And I would submit that in thinking about this, please keep that concept in mind. So the other issue is not only does Appian have to prove that these are trade secrets, but they also have to prove that they took reasonable steps to keep those secrets confidential. And in order to do that, they principally rely on an expert Eric Cole. Now, again, there's been a lot said about Mr. Cole pardon me while I get a drink of water I'm sorry, I guess he calls himself	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) What I'd like to do is just take take a few minutes and go through what Dr. Cole told you about his review of the Appian program and show you three different places where he was clearly wrong about his observations about the program itself. So one of the first things that he did was he spent time talking about the registration for Appian Forum. And, Josh, if you could display Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 274. (Whereupon, Exhibit PTX 274, Document, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: Mr. Frank gave you a spoiler alert during closing arguments. He said I might talk about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Zou knew. That's what he calls his head knowledge. And I would submit that in thinking about this, please keep that concept in mind. So the other issue is not only does Appian have to prove that these are trade secrets, but they also have to prove that they took reasonable steps to keep those secrets confidential. And in order to do that, they principally rely on an expert Eric Cole. Now, again, there's been a lot said about Mr. Cole pardon me while I get a drink of water I'm sorry, I guess he calls himself Dr. Cole that he's the cyber	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) What I'd like to do is just take take a few minutes and go through what Dr. Cole told you about his review of the Appian program and show you three different places where he was clearly wrong about his observations about the program itself. So one of the first things that he did was he spent time talking about the registration for Appian Forum. And, Josh, if you could display Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 274. (Whereupon, Exhibit PTX 274, Document, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: Mr. Frank gave you a spoiler alert during closing arguments. He said I might talk about that, and he's, in fact, right. I am
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Zou knew. That's what he calls his head knowledge. And I would submit that in thinking about this, please keep that concept in mind. So the other issue is not only does Appian have to prove that these are trade secrets, but they also have to prove that they took reasonable steps to keep those secrets confidential. And in order to do that, they principally rely on an expert Eric Cole. Now, again, there's been a lot said about Mr. Cole pardon me while I get a drink of water I'm sorry, I guess he calls himself Dr. Cole that he's the cyber security expert for President Obama,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) What I'd like to do is just take take a few minutes and go through what Dr. Cole told you about his review of the Appian program and show you three different places where he was clearly wrong about his observations about the program itself. So one of the first things that he did was he spent time talking about the registration for Appian Forum. And, Josh, if you could display Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 274. (Whereupon, Exhibit PTX 274, Document, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: Mr. Frank gave you a spoiler alert during closing arguments. He said I might talk about that, and he's, in fact, right. I am going to talk about it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Zou knew. That's what he calls his head knowledge. And I would submit that in thinking about this, please keep that concept in mind. So the other issue is not only does Appian have to prove that these are trade secrets, but they also have to prove that they took reasonable steps to keep those secrets confidential. And in order to do that, they principally rely on an expert Eric Cole. Now, again, there's been a lot said about Mr. Cole pardon me while I get a drink of water I'm sorry, I guess he calls himself Dr. Cole that he's the cyber security expert for President Obama, for Bill and Melinda Gates. And</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) What I'd like to do is just take take a few minutes and go through what Dr. Cole told you about his review of the Appian program and show you three different places where he was clearly wrong about his observations about the program itself. So one of the first things that he did was he spent time talking about the registration for Appian Forum. And, Josh, if you could display Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 274. (Whereupon, Exhibit PTX 274, Document, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: Mr. Frank gave you a spoiler alert during closing arguments. He said I might talk about that, and he's, in fact, right. I am going to talk about it. So Dr. Cole testified that every
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Zou knew. That's what he calls his head knowledge. And I would submit that in thinking about this, please keep that concept in mind. So the other issue is not only does Appian have to prove that these are trade secrets, but they also have to prove that they took reasonable steps to keep those secrets confidential. And in order to do that, they principally rely on an expert Eric Cole. Now, again, there's been a lot said about Mr. Cole pardon me while I get a drink of water I'm sorry, I guess he calls himself Dr. Cole that he's the cyber security expert for President Obama, for Bill and Melinda Gates. And that's undoubtedly true.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) What I'd like to do is just take take a few minutes and go through what Dr. Cole told you about his review of the Appian program and show you three different places where he was clearly wrong about his observations about the program itself. So one of the first things that he did was he spent time talking about the registration for Appian Forum. And, Josh, if you could display Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 274. (Whereupon, Exhibit PTX 274, Document, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: Mr. Frank gave you a spoiler alert during closing arguments. He said I might talk about that, and he's, in fact, right. I am going to talk about it. So Dr. Cole testified that every registrant for Appian Forum had to use
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Zou knew. That's what he calls his head knowledge. And I would submit that in thinking about this, please keep that concept in mind. So the other issue is not only does Appian have to prove that these are trade secrets, but they also have to prove that they took reasonable steps to keep those secrets confidential. And in order to do that, they principally rely on an expert Eric Cole. Now, again, there's been a lot said about Mr. Cole pardon me while I get a drink of water I'm sorry, I guess he calls himself Dr. Cole that he's the cyber security expert for President Obama, for Bill and Melinda Gates. And that's undoubtedly true. But what did Dr. Cole say in</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) What I'd like to do is just take take a few minutes and go through what Dr. Cole told you about his review of the Appian program and show you three different places where he was clearly wrong about his observations about the program itself. So one of the first things that he did was he spent time talking about the registration for Appian Forum. And, Josh, if you could display Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 274. (Whereupon, Exhibit PTX 274, Document, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: Mr. Frank gave you a spoiler alert during closing arguments. He said I might talk about that, and he's, in fact, right. I am going to talk about it. So Dr. Cole testified that every registrant for Appian Forum had to use this process of filling out this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Zou knew. That's what he calls his head knowledge. And I would submit that in thinking about this, please keep that concept in mind. So the other issue is not only does Appian have to prove that these are trade secrets, but they also have to prove that they took reasonable steps to keep those secrets confidential. And in order to do that, they principally rely on an expert Eric Cole. Now, again, there's been a lot said about Mr. Cole pardon me while I get a drink of water I'm sorry, I guess he calls himself Dr. Cole that he's the cyber security expert for President Obama, for Bill and Melinda Gates. And that's undoubtedly true.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) What I'd like to do is just take take a few minutes and go through what Dr. Cole told you about his review of the Appian program and show you three different places where he was clearly wrong about his observations about the program itself. So one of the first things that he did was he spent time talking about the registration for Appian Forum. And, Josh, if you could display Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 274. (Whereupon, Exhibit PTX 274, Document, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: Mr. Frank gave you a spoiler alert during closing arguments. He said I might talk about that, and he's, in fact, right. I am going to talk about it. So Dr. Cole testified that every registrant for Appian Forum had to use

	Page 8306		Page 8307
1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)	1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
2	get access to the Appian Forum itself.	2	between people like Mr. Zou and
3	Again, brief reminder, the	3	Appian, where the user, again,
4	Appian Forum is the place where Appian	4	undertook all of those obligations of
5	stored its documentation, again, user	5	confidentiality to keep this secret.
6	manuals, where Appian developers could	6	Now, the reason I'm talking
7	go and initiate a chat or read chats	7	about this is not just to show that
8	that other developers had written and	8	Dr. Cole was clearly wrong in this
9	support and service personnel from	9	issue, but how casual Appian was, in
10	Appian that was behind the Appian	10	fact, about these types of licenses
11	Forum, where a developer could	11	and registrations, which completely
12	initiate a trouble ticket or a service	12	undercuts the notion that somehow that
13	ticket when they were having issues	13	these were the crown jewels and they
14	with the program or having questions	14	were keeping these under tight lock
15	about the program, and where they	15	and key and that they took steps which
16	could also download an instance of	16	they would require under law to
17	Appian for whatever purposes for which	17	protect their trade secrets. They
18	they needed it.	18	didn't do it, and I'll show you why.
19	Dr. Cole testified,	19	So after Dr. Cole testified
20	unequivocally, that everyone had to go	20	about this, you know, I asked him on
21	through this process and, as a result	21	cross-examination: Is it your
22	of going through this process, they	22	testimony that Mr. Zou, in fact, went
23	automatically accepted the Terms of	23	through this registration process?
24	Use that were part of this and that,	24	And again, it was his belief
25	in essence, formed a direct contract	25	that, in fact, he did. However, I
	Page 8308		Page 8309
1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)	1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
2	asked Mr. Malcolm Ross the same	2	second process is, in fact, what
3	question, and Malcolm Ross said, no,	3	Mr. Zou went through.
4	that's not true. In fact, there are	4	And under that process, the way
5	two different types of registration	5	a person, a developer, or somebody
6	processes.	6	who's new to Appian registers for the
7	This process that Dr. Cole	7	first time for Forum is their project
8	testified about, again, to try to	8	manager, in fact, registers that
9	convince all of you that this was the	9	person for the Appian Forum.
10	keys to the crown well, it was the	10	And as a result of that
11	keys too, I'm not exactly sure what	11	registration, which is the process
12	they're saying at this point but	12	that Mr. Zou, in fact, went through,
13	Mr. Ross, in fact, acknowledged that	13	Mr. Zou didn't fill out this actual
14	there are two different types.	14	registration, his project manager did.
15	This is for self-registration.	15	And what happens as a result of that
16	To the extent that someone wishes to	16	is that Mr. Zou has credentials, and
17	register themselves, they, in fact, go	17	we'll look at this in just a moment.
18	through this process. Then in 2011,	18	And then once he does that, all
19	when Mr. Zou was first registered for	19	he has to do to enter into Forum in
20	Forum, when he worked for Lockheed and	20	the future and for the hundreds or
21	then later today, as a matter of fact,	21	perhaps thousands of times Mr. Zou
22	the same type of process is used.	22	used Forum both for his consulting
23	But what Mr. Ross said and what	23	work at Pega and for his employer over
24 25	Mr. Zou also testified to was that there is a second process, and the	24 25	the next decade or so, he put in his username and password and never had to

	Page 8310		Page 8311
1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)	1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
2	accept Terms of Use.	2	that means, is Mr. Ross has said that,
3	Again, that's important, because	3	but Mr. Zou has testified that he
4	without the Terms of Use, there's no	4	didn't go through this process.
5	contract between Zou and Appian, and	5	So where's the evidence, then?
6	there's, in fact, no obligation of	6	You're going to go back to the jury
7	confidentiality on a person like	7	room and you'll be given more
8	Mr. Zou to demonstrate the product for	8	documents than you ever wanted to see.
9	whatever purposes he would like.	9	And you can look through every one of
10	Josh, if you could so to the	10	those documents and there is no
11	extent that Dr. Cole, the cyber	11	document in the record in this case
12	security expert, the world's foremost	12	that shows that Appian has any record
13	expert on how to keep your software	13	that Mr. Zou ever accepted the Terms
14	and your trade secrets secret,	14	of Use in 2011, 2012, all the way up
15	testified that this was the process	15	to 2021, which was the last time
16	that did it was clearly wrong, just	16	Mr. Zou worked as an Appian developer.
17	dead wrong about that.	17	So what I'd like to do next,
18	Now, Mr. Ross suggested to you	18	Josh, is, let's take a look at the
19	that, well, even if you go through the	19	first video deposition, the first
20	other process, there has to be some	20	video that Mr. Petronio created in
21	sort of Terms of Use registration the	21	February 20th of 2012, at the very
22	first time the person actually uses	22	beginning of their relationship.
23	the Appian Forum.	23	Excuse me, that's Plaintiff's
24	But, again, the issue I'll go	24	Exhibit 855.
25	back to, the burden of proof and what	25	(Whereupon, Exhibit PTX 855,
	· •		
L			
1	Page 8312 Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)	1	Page 8313 Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
1 2	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)	1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
2	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Video, was identified.)	2	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Petronio. Mr. Zou is, in fact,
	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Video, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: And this is a		Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Petronio. Mr. Zou is, in fact, creating a very short proof of
2 3	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Video, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: And this is a video that Mr. Petronio took using the	2 3	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Petronio. Mr. Zou is, in fact, creating a very short proof of concept. And Mr. Petronio is asking
2 3 4	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Video, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: And this is a video that Mr. Petronio took using the famous Camtasia video, an	2 3 4	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Petronio. Mr. Zou is, in fact, creating a very short proof of concept. And Mr. Petronio is asking Mr. Zou how does what is this thing
2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Video, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: And this is a video that Mr. Petronio took using the famous Camtasia video, an off-the-shelf product that you've	2 3 4 5	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Petronio. Mr. Zou is, in fact, creating a very short proof of concept. And Mr. Petronio is asking Mr. Zou how does what is this thing about, the documentation, what does
2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Video, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: And this is a video that Mr. Petronio took using the famous Camtasia video, an off-the-shelf product that you've heard about throughout the testimony	2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Petronio. Mr. Zou is, in fact, creating a very short proof of concept. And Mr. Petronio is asking Mr. Zou how does what is this thing about, the documentation, what does that mean?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Video, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: And this is a video that Mr. Petronio took using the famous Camtasia video, an off-the-shelf product that you've heard about throughout the testimony in this case.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Petronio. Mr. Zou is, in fact, creating a very short proof of concept. And Mr. Petronio is asking Mr. Zou how does what is this thing about, the documentation, what does that mean? So if you could go ahead and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Video, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: And this is a video that Mr. Petronio took using the famous Camtasia video, an off-the-shelf product that you've heard about throughout the testimony in this case. And during that time, what	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Petronio. Mr. Zou is, in fact, creating a very short proof of concept. And Mr. Petronio is asking Mr. Zou how does what is this thing about, the documentation, what does that mean? So if you could go ahead and play that, Josh. We're actually
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Video, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: And this is a video that Mr. Petronio took using the famous Camtasia video, an off-the-shelf product that you've heard about throughout the testimony in this case. And during that time, what Mr this was the very first	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Petronio. Mr. Zou is, in fact, creating a very short proof of concept. And Mr. Petronio is asking Mr. Zou how does what is this thing about, the documentation, what does that mean? So if you could go ahead and play that, Josh. We're actually looking at the screen that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Video, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: And this is a video that Mr. Petronio took using the famous Camtasia video, an off-the-shelf product that you've heard about throughout the testimony in this case. And during that time, what Mr this was the very first conversation between Mr. Petronio and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Petronio. Mr. Zou is, in fact, creating a very short proof of concept. And Mr. Petronio is asking Mr. Zou how does what is this thing about, the documentation, what does that mean? So if you could go ahead and play that, Josh. We're actually looking at the screen that Mr. Petronio is recording.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Video, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: And this is a video that Mr. Petronio took using the famous Camtasia video, an off-the-shelf product that you've heard about throughout the testimony in this case. And during that time, what Mr this was the very first conversation between Mr. Petronio and Mr. Zou. You may recall that this was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Petronio. Mr. Zou is, in fact, creating a very short proof of concept. And Mr. Petronio is asking Mr. Zou how does what is this thing about, the documentation, what does that mean? So if you could go ahead and play that, Josh. We're actually looking at the screen that Mr. Petronio is recording. (Video played.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Video, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: And this is a video that Mr. Petronio took using the famous Camtasia video, an off-the-shelf product that you've heard about throughout the testimony in this case. And during that time, what Mr this was the very first conversation between Mr. Petronio and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Petronio. Mr. Zou is, in fact, creating a very short proof of concept. And Mr. Petronio is asking Mr. Zou how does what is this thing about, the documentation, what does that mean? So if you could go ahead and play that, Josh. We're actually looking at the screen that Mr. Petronio is recording. (Video played.) MR. TRAVELL: You can stop that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Video, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: And this is a video that Mr. Petronio took using the famous Camtasia video, an off-the-shelf product that you've heard about throughout the testimony in this case. And during that time, what Mr this was the very first conversation between Mr. Petronio and Mr. Zou. You may recall that this was a there were a series, I think, of four different videos that were taken	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Petronio. Mr. Zou is, in fact, creating a very short proof of concept. And Mr. Petronio is asking Mr. Zou how does what is this thing about, the documentation, what does that mean? So if you could go ahead and play that, Josh. We're actually looking at the screen that Mr. Petronio is recording. (Video played.) MR. TRAVELL: You can stop that right there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Video, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: And this is a video that Mr. Petronio took using the famous Camtasia video, an off-the-shelf product that you've heard about throughout the testimony in this case. And during that time, what Mr this was the very first conversation between Mr. Petronio and Mr. Zou. You may recall that this was a there were a series, I think, of four different videos that were taken that day.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Petronio. Mr. Zou is, in fact, creating a very short proof of concept. And Mr. Petronio is asking Mr. Zou how does what is this thing about, the documentation, what does that mean? So if you could go ahead and play that, Josh. We're actually looking at the screen that Mr. Petronio is recording. (Video played.) MR. TRAVELL: You can stop that right there. What we're looking at is,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Video, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: And this is a video that Mr. Petronio took using the famous Camtasia video, an off-the-shelf product that you've heard about throughout the testimony in this case. And during that time, what Mr this was the very first conversation between Mr. Petronio and Mr. Zou. You may recall that this was a there were a series, I think, of four different videos that were taken that day. And at one point during those	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Petronio. Mr. Zou is, in fact, creating a very short proof of concept. And Mr. Petronio is asking Mr. Zou how does what is this thing about, the documentation, what does that mean? So if you could go ahead and play that, Josh. We're actually looking at the screen that Mr. Petronio is recording. (Video played.) MR. TRAVELL: You can stop that right there. What we're looking at is, Mr. Zou in realtime, at Mr. Petronio's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Video, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: And this is a video that Mr. Petronio took using the famous Camtasia video, an off-the-shelf product that you've heard about throughout the testimony in this case. And during that time, what Mr this was the very first conversation between Mr. Petronio and Mr. Zou. You may recall that this was a there were a series, I think, of four different videos that were taken that day. And at one point during those videos, Mr. Petronio says, well, my	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Petronio. Mr. Zou is, in fact, creating a very short proof of concept. And Mr. Petronio is asking Mr. Zou how does what is this thing about, the documentation, what does that mean? So if you could go ahead and play that, Josh. We're actually looking at the screen that Mr. Petronio is recording. (Video played.) MR. TRAVELL: You can stop that right there. What we're looking at is, Mr. Zou in realtime, at Mr. Petronio's request, is saying, can you navigate
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Video, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: And this is a video that Mr. Petronio took using the famous Camtasia video, an off-the-shelf product that you've heard about throughout the testimony in this case. And during that time, what Mr this was the very first conversation between Mr. Petronio and Mr. Zou. You may recall that this was a there were a series, I think, of four different videos that were taken that day. And at one point during those videos, Mr. Petronio says, well, my family is coming here, I want to say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Petronio. Mr. Zou is, in fact, creating a very short proof of concept. And Mr. Petronio is asking Mr. Zou how does what is this thing about, the documentation, what does that mean? So if you could go ahead and play that, Josh. We're actually looking at the screen that Mr. Petronio is recording. (Video played.) MR. TRAVELL: You can stop that right there. What we're looking at is, Mr. Zou in realtime, at Mr. Petronio's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Video, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: And this is a video that Mr. Petronio took using the famous Camtasia video, an off-the-shelf product that you've heard about throughout the testimony in this case. And during that time, what Mr this was the very first conversation between Mr. Petronio and Mr. Zou. You may recall that this was a there were a series, I think, of four different videos that were taken that day. And at one point during those videos, Mr. Petronio says, well, my family is coming here, I want to say good-bye. Let's interrupt for a few	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Petronio. Mr. Zou is, in fact, creating a very short proof of concept. And Mr. Petronio is asking Mr. Zou how does what is this thing about, the documentation, what does that mean? So if you could go ahead and play that, Josh. We're actually looking at the screen that Mr. Petronio is recording. (Video played.) MR. TRAVELL: You can stop that right there. What we're looking at is, Mr. Zou in realtime, at Mr. Petronio's request, is saying, can you navigate to Appian Forum and show me what the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Video, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: And this is a video that Mr. Petronio took using the famous Camtasia video, an off-the-shelf product that you've heard about throughout the testimony in this case. And during that time, what Mr this was the very first conversation between Mr. Petronio and Mr. Zou. You may recall that this was a there were a series, I think, of four different videos that were taken that day. And at one point during those videos, Mr. Petronio says, well, my family is coming here, I want to say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Petronio. Mr. Zou is, in fact, creating a very short proof of concept. And Mr. Petronio is asking Mr. Zou how does what is this thing about, the documentation, what does that mean? So if you could go ahead and play that, Josh. We're actually looking at the screen that Mr. Petronio is recording. (Video played.) MR. TRAVELL: You can stop that right there. What we're looking at is, Mr. Zou in realtime, at Mr. Petronio's request, is saying, can you navigate to Appian Forum and show me what the documentation looks like?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Video, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: And this is a video that Mr. Petronio took using the famous Camtasia video, an off-the-shelf product that you've heard about throughout the testimony in this case. And during that time, what Mr this was the very first conversation between Mr. Petronio and Mr. Zou. You may recall that this was a there were a series, I think, of four different videos that were taken that day. And at one point during those videos, Mr. Petronio says, well, my family is coming here, I want to say good-bye. Let's interrupt for a few minutes, then we'll come back. I</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Petronio. Mr. Zou is, in fact, creating a very short proof of concept. And Mr. Petronio is asking Mr. Zou how does what is this thing about, the documentation, what does that mean? So if you could go ahead and play that, Josh. We're actually looking at the screen that Mr. Petronio is recording. (Video played.) MR. TRAVELL: You can stop that right there. What we're looking at is, Mr. Zou in realtime, at Mr. Petronio's request, is saying, can you navigate to Appian Forum and show me what the documentation looks like? So that's what Mr. Zou does. He
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Video, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: And this is a video that Mr. Petronio took using the famous Camtasia video, an off-the-shelf product that you've heard about throughout the testimony in this case. And during that time, what Mr this was the very first conversation between Mr. Petronio and Mr. Zou. You may recall that this was a there were a series, I think, of four different videos that were taken that day. And at one point during those videos, Mr. Petronio says, well, my family is coming here, I want to say good-bye. Let's interrupt for a few minutes, then we'll come back. I don't know if they remember that, but</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Petronio. Mr. Zou is, in fact, creating a very short proof of concept. And Mr. Petronio is asking Mr. Zou how does what is this thing about, the documentation, what does that mean? So if you could go ahead and play that, Josh. We're actually looking at the screen that Mr. Petronio is recording. (Video played.) MR. TRAVELL: You can stop that right there. What we're looking at is, Mr. Zou in realtime, at Mr. Petronio's request, is saying, can you navigate to Appian Forum and show me what the documentation looks like? So that's what Mr. Zou does. He goes to you can see at the top the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Video, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: And this is a video that Mr. Petronio took using the famous Camtasia video, an off-the-shelf product that you've heard about throughout the testimony in this case. And during that time, what Mr this was the very first conversation between Mr. Petronio and Mr. Zou. You may recall that this was a there were a series, I think, of four different videos that were taken that day. And at one point during those videos, Mr. Petronio says, well, my family is coming here, I want to say good-bye. Let's interrupt for a few minutes, then we'll come back. I don't know if they remember that, but that actually occurred during this</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Petronio. Mr. Zou is, in fact, creating a very short proof of concept. And Mr. Petronio is asking Mr. Zou how does what is this thing about, the documentation, what does that mean? So if you could go ahead and play that, Josh. We're actually looking at the screen that Mr. Petronio is recording. (Video played.) MR. TRAVELL: You can stop that right there. What we're looking at is, Mr. Zou in realtime, at Mr. Petronio's request, is saying, can you navigate to Appian Forum and show me what the documentation looks like? So that's what Mr. Zou does. He goes to you can see at the top the address, forum.Appian.com. And what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Video, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: And this is a video that Mr. Petronio took using the famous Camtasia video, an off-the-shelf product that you've heard about throughout the testimony in this case. And during that time, what Mr this was the very first conversation between Mr. Petronio and Mr. Zou. You may recall that this was a there were a series, I think, of four different videos that were taken that day. And at one point during those videos, Mr. Petronio says, well, my family is coming here, I want to say good-bye. Let's interrupt for a few minutes, then we'll come back. I don't know if they remember that, but that actually occurred during this process.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Mr. Petronio. Mr. Zou is, in fact, creating a very short proof of concept. And Mr. Petronio is asking Mr. Zou how does what is this thing about, the documentation, what does that mean? So if you could go ahead and play that, Josh. We're actually looking at the screen that Mr. Petronio is recording. (Video played.) MR. TRAVELL: You can stop that right there. What we're looking at is, Mr. Zou in realtime, at Mr. Petronio's request, is saying, can you navigate to Appian Forum and show me what the documentation looks like? So that's what Mr. Zou does. He goes to you can see at the top the address, forum.Appian.com. And what you're seeing is a screen that Mr. Zou

Page 83141Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)1Defendant - Zou - Closing (T2Josh, can you go ahead and roll2look at documentation, o	Page 8315
	-
3 the tape? 3 tickets.	-
4 (Video played.) 4 But again, as you	look through
5 MR. TRAVELL: That's Mr. Zou 5 it, there is no time whe	
6 putting in his username and password. 6 types of pop-up of a Ter	
7 Stop it right there. Can you 7 your inside. And again,	
8 roll it back just a second? 8 there's no information t	
9 So again, to the extent that 9 that shows that any of t	
10 there was any suggestion that each 10 are marked confidential.	
11 time a developer like Mr. Zou logged 11 So on that point,	again we go
12 into Appian Forum that he was there 12 back to Dr. Cole, the cy	
15 your time and look at that, but it's 15 Use were an automatic pa	
16 not there. 16 Forum process, he's just	wrong about
17 Go ahead and roll it forward 17 that.	
18 just a little bit. 18 And again, if ther 10 (Wide alread) 10	
19 (Video played.) 19 evidence, any document t	
20 MR. TRAVELL: So again, now he's 20 that proved that show	
21 inside Forum and they are looking at 21 Mr. Zou accepted the Ter	
22 the feature inside Forum, where are 22 would have been a banner	
23 these sketches amongst different folks 23 been on a flagpole being	
24 about the product. And he navigates 24 the courtroom. You have	
25 to different places inside Forum to 25 and you won't see it dur	ing your
Page 8316	Page 8317
1 Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) 1 Defendant - Zou - Closing (T	
2 deliberations. 2 Ms. Marcus' questions ho	
3 So the other thing that Dr. Cole 3 and it's done either thr	5 1
4 talked about was the actual access to 4 referred to as an on-pre	
5 the Appian platform itself. You may 5 of the Forum software, w	
6 recall and again, it's not what I 6 accessed through a porta	
7 recall, it's what you recall that 7 employer's place of busi	ness or
8 Dr. Cole testified that there was 8 remotely through a VPN,	ness or
8Dr. Cole testified that there was8remotely through a VPN,9something that he described as a9developer has to use a p	ness or where the assword and a
8Dr. Cole testified that there was8remotely through a VPN,9something that he described as a9developer has to use a p10developer environment. And what he10login name and perhaps a	ness or where the assword and a
8Dr. Cole testified that there was8remotely through a VPN,9something that he described as a9developer has to use a p	ness or where the assword and a token for
8 Dr. Cole testified that there was 8 remotely through a VPN, 9 something that he described as a 9 developer has to use a p 10 developer environment. And what he 10 login name and perhaps a 11 described as a developer environment 11 purposes of actually get 12 is something that he said resided 12 website.	ness or where the assword and a token for ting to that
8 Dr. Cole testified that there was 8 remotely through a VPN, 9 something that he described as a 9 developer has to use a p 10 developer environment. And what he 10 login name and perhaps a 11 described as a developer environment 11 purposes of actually get 12 is something that he said resided 12 website. 13 inside of Forum and where a developer 13 But what is clear	ness or where the assword and a token for ting to that from the
8 Dr. Cole testified that there was 8 remotely through a VPN, 9 something that he described as a 9 developer has to use a p 10 developer environment. And what he 10 login name and perhaps a 11 described as a developer environment 11 purposes of actually get 12 is something that he said resided 12 website. 13 inside of Forum and where a developer 13 But what is clear 14 like Mr. Zou would go for the purposes 14 testimony in this case,	ness or where the assword and a token for ting to that from the
8 Dr. Cole testified that there was 8 remotely through a VPN, 9 something that he described as a 9 developer has to use a p 10 developer environment. And what he 10 login name and perhaps a 11 described as a developer environment 11 purposes of actually get 12 is something that he said resided 12 website. 13 inside of Forum and where a developer 13 But what is clear 14 like Mr. Zou would go for the purposes 14 testimony in this case, 15 of doing this coding work for his 15 Dr. Cole got dead wrong,	ness or where the assword and a token for ting to that from the and which
8 Dr. Cole testified that there was 8 remotely through a VPN, 9 something that he described as a 9 developer has to use a p 10 developer environment. And what he 10 login name and perhaps a 11 described as a developer environment 11 purposes of actually get 12 is something that he said resided 12 website. 13 inside of Forum and where a developer 13 But what is clear 14 like Mr. Zou would go for the purposes 14 testimony in this case, 15 of doing this coding work for his 15 Dr. Cole got dead wrong, 16 employer for the customers of his 16 actual software resided.	ness or where the assword and a token for ting to that from the and which
8 Dr. Cole testified that there was 8 remotely through a VPN, 9 something that he described as a 9 developer has to use a p 10 developer environment. And what he 10 login name and perhaps a 11 described as a developer environment 11 purposes of actually get 12 is something that he said resided 12 website. 13 inside of Forum and where a developer 13 But what is clear 14 like Mr. Zou would go for the purposes 14 testimony in this case, 15 of doing this coding work for his 15 Dr. Cole got dead wrong,	ness or where the assword and a token for ting to that from the and which was where the
8 Dr. Cole testified that there was 8 remotely through a VPN, 9 something that he described as a 9 developer has to use a p 10 developer environment. And what he 10 login name and perhaps a 11 described as a developer environment 11 purposes of actually get 12 is something that he said resided 12 website. 13 inside of Forum and where a developer 13 But what is clear 14 like Mr. Zou would go for the purposes 14 testimony in this case, 15 of doing this coding work for his 15 Dr. Cole got dead wrong, 16 employer for the customers of his 16 actual software resided.	ness or where the assword and a token for ting to that from the and which was where the le seemed to
8 Dr. Cole testified that there was 8 remotely through a VPN, 9 something that he described as a 9 developer has to use a p 10 developer environment. And what he 10 login name and perhaps a 11 described as a developer environment 11 purposes of actually get 12 is something that he said resided 12 website. 13 inside of Forum and where a developer 13 But what is clear 14 like Mr. Zou would go for the purposes 14 testimony in this case, 15 of doing this coding work for his 15 Dr. Cole got dead wrong, 16 employer for the customers of his 16 actual software resided. 17 employer. 17 Now, again, Dr. Co 18 Again, Dr. Cole was dead wrong 18 suggest that because it 19 about that. The actual work 19 Forum that this devel	ness or where the assword and a token for ting to that from the and which was where the le seemed to was inside
8Dr. Cole testified that there was8remotely through a VPN,9something that he described as a9developer has to use a p10developer environment. And what he10login name and perhaps a11described as a developer environment11purposes of actually get12is something that he said resided12website.13inside of Forum and where a developer13But what is clear14like Mr. Zou would go for the purposes14testimony in this case,15of doing this coding work for his15Dr. Cole got dead wrong,16employer for the customers of his16actual software resided.17employer.17Now, again, Dr. Cole18Again, Dr. Cole was dead wrong18suggest that because it19about that. The actual work19Forum that this devel20environment of developers using the20environment was inside F	ness or where the assword and a token for ting to that from the and which was where the le seemed to was inside oper orum, that
8Dr. Cole testified that there was8remotely through a VPN,9something that he described as a9developer has to use a p10developer environment. And what he10login name and perhaps a11described as a developer environment11purposes of actually get12is something that he said resided12website.13inside of Forum and where a developer13But what is clear14like Mr. Zou would go for the purposes14testimony in this case,15of doing this coding work for his15Dr. Cole got dead wrong,16employer for the customers of his16actual software resided.17employer.17Now, again, Dr. Cole18Again, Dr. Cole was dead wrong18suggest that because it19about that. The actual work19Forum that this devel20environment of developers using the20environment was inside F21Appian Forum the Appian platform is21would be another opportude	ness or where the assword and a token for ting to that from the and which was where the le seemed to was inside oper orum, that
8Dr. Cole testified that there was8remotely through a VPN,9something that he described as a9developer has to use a p10developer environment. And what he10login name and perhaps a11described as a developer environment11purposes of actually get12is something that he said resided12website.13inside of Forum and where a developer13But what is clear14like Mr. Zou would go for the purposes14testimony in this case,15of doing this coding work for his15Dr. Cole got dead wrong,16employer for the customers of his16actual software resided.17employer.17Now, again, Dr. Cole18Again, Dr. Cole was dead wrong18suggest that because it19about that. The actual work19Forum that this devel20environment of developers using the20environment was inside F21Appian Forum the Appian platform is21would be another opportu22not inside Forum, it's really in the22Terms of Use to pop up a	ness or where the assword and a token for ting to that from the and which was where the le seemed to was inside oper orum, that nity for the
8Dr. Cole testified that there was8remotely through a VPN,9something that he described as a9developer has to use a p10developer environment. And what he10login name and perhaps a11described as a developer environment11purposes of actually get12is something that he said resided12website.13inside of Forum and where a developer13But what is clear14like Mr. Zou would go for the purposes14testimony in this case,15of doing this coding work for his15Dr. Cole got dead wrong,16employer for the customers of his16actual software resided.17mow, again, Dr. Cole was dead wrong18suggest that because it19about that. The actual work19Forum that this devel20environment of developers using the20environment was inside F21Appian Forum the Appian platform is21would be another opportu23portal that the employer has.23accepted, but he's just	ness or where the assword and a token for ting to that from the and which was where the le seemed to was inside oper orum, that nity for the nd be
8Dr. Cole testified that there was8remotely through a VPN,9something that he described as a9developer has to use a p10developer environment. And what he10login name and perhaps a11described as a developer environment11purposes of actually get12is something that he said resided12website.13inside of Forum and where a developer13But what is clear14like Mr. Zou would go for the purposes14testimony in this case,15of doing this coding work for his15Dr. Cole got dead wrong,16employer for the customers of his16actual software resided.17employer.17Now, again, Dr. Cole18Again, Dr. Cole was dead wrong18suggest that because it19about that. The actual work19Forum that this devel20environment of developers using the20environment was inside F21Appian Forum the Appian platform is21would be another opportu22not inside Forum, it's really in the22Terms of Use to pop up a	ness or where the assword and a token for ting to that from the and which was where the le seemed to was inside oper orum, that nity for the nd be wrong about

	Page 8318		Page 8319
1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)	1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
2	you're looking for a contract, a	2	So, again, to the extent that
3	binding agreement between Appian and	3	Dr. Cole believed or testified
4	Mr. Zou that would bind him to keep	4	otherwise, he was just wrong about
5	Appian's secrets confidential, you're	5	that.
6	not going to find it.	6	The other very critical fact
7	The other thing that Mr. Mangi	7	about which Dr. Cole was wrong is he
8	suggested during his closing	8	was under the impression and testified
9	statement, closing argument this	9	to all of you actually, to more of
10	morning was that Mr. Zou admitted that	10	you that aren't here anymore that
11	there are opportunities or features of	11	all of the work that Mr. Zou did was
12	proprietary software where in order to	12	while he was employed at Serco.
13	use it, there is a pop-up screen and	13	That's not true.
14	you have to click on it in order to go	14	The record in this case is
15	through. Whether you read it or not,	15	unequivocal that at the time that
16	you have to click on it to go through.	16	Mr. Zou was speaking with
17	But again, that was a general	17	Mr. Petronio, in January
18	question about features that are	18	February 20th of 2012, he was, in
19	common in proprietary software. That	19	fact, employed by a company called
20	was not a question about the Appian	20	CollabraLink.
21	product. There's no testimony and	21	And I'll just take a moment to
22	there's no evidence in this case that	22	talk about that. Because, to the
23	there's any pop-up feature in any	23	extent, again, that Dr. Cole was
24	thing that a developer uses for the	24	looking on third-party agreements or
25	purposes of accepting Terms of Use.	25	an agreement between Mr. Zou and his
	Page 8320		Page 8321
1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)	1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
2	employer to impose upon Mr. Zou any	2	computer, when he left, there was no
3	obligations of confidentiality, you	3	oversight by Appian nor by the Appian
4	haven't seen any agreement between	4	business partners, which in that case
5	Lockheed and Zou. You haven't seen	5	was Lockheed, to determine what he did
6	any agreement between CollabraLink and	6	with that, with he did with that
7	Mr. Zou.	7	software program.
8	So to the extent, that's	8	And the fact of the matter is,
9	important to your deliberations,	9	he kept it and he still had that same
10	Appian has failed to put any	10	Appian instance on his software when
11	evidence even though they've got	11	he went to work with CollabraLink in
12	the burden, they've failed to put any	12	January of 2012 and later in September
13	evidence on that issue.	13	2012 when he went to work for Serco.
14	So during the time that Mr. Zou	14	So to the extent that Appian
		1	correstant out to Mrs. Zou in Morr of
15	worked for did his consulting work	15	gave that out to Mr. Zou in May of
15 16	worked for did his consulting work for Pega, as I said, he testified that	15 16	2011 when he worked at Lockheed,
16	for Pega, as I said, he testified that	16	2011 when he worked at Lockheed,
16 17	for Pega, as I said, he testified that he had been at Lockheed through August	16 17	2011 when he worked at Lockheed, Appian made no effort to determine
16 17 18	for Pega, as I said, he testified that he had been at Lockheed through August of 2011. That he went to work for a	16 17 18	2011 when he worked at Lockheed, Appian made no effort to determine what happened with that.
16 17 18 19	for Pega, as I said, he testified that he had been at Lockheed through August of 2011. That he went to work for a company called Clovis, where he did	16 17 18 19	2011 when he worked at Lockheed, Appian made no effort to determine what happened with that. And to the extent that Mr. Zou
16 17 18 19 20	for Pega, as I said, he testified that he had been at Lockheed through August of 2011. That he went to work for a company called Clovis, where he did something not related to his work as a	16 17 18 19 20	2011 when he worked at Lockheed, Appian made no effort to determine what happened with that. And to the extent that Mr. Zou had access to the Appian Forum through
16 17 18 19 20 21	for Pega, as I said, he testified that he had been at Lockheed through August of 2011. That he went to work for a company called Clovis, where he did something not related to his work as a developer on the Appian platform.	16 17 18 19 20 21	2011 when he worked at Lockheed, Appian made no effort to determine what happened with that. And to the extent that Mr. Zou had access to the Appian Forum through that entire time, he testified and the
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	for Pega, as I said, he testified that he had been at Lockheed through August of 2011. That he went to work for a company called Clovis, where he did something not related to his work as a developer on the Appian platform. But the fact despite the fact	16 17 18 19 20 21 22	2011 when he worked at Lockheed, Appian made no effort to determine what happened with that. And to the extent that Mr. Zou had access to the Appian Forum through that entire time, he testified and the evidence is unequivocal that he used

Page 8322Page 81Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)1Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)2work that Mr. Cole said was all done2did demonstrate the actual product3under the ages of Serco, the initial3itself.4conversations that Mr. Zou had with4So in your deliberations, again5Mr. Petronio on February 20, 2012.5to the extent that's something you6The e-mails that they had6wish to consider, I would typically7throughout the spring of 2012 were all7flash this up on the screen, but give8done while he was working for8the challenges we've had today, I will9CollabraLink.9give you the numbers of the documents10Now, again, the reason I bring10that you may want to look at.11that up is because, clearly, again,11But if you look at Plaintiff's12there's no TOUs, there is no evidence12Exhibit 240, which is an e-mail from13of any contractual arrangements13Zou to Petronio, dated February 22,14between Zou and his employer.142012. Mr. Zou is responding to15But what you have is Mr. Zou15And the thing that you'll see :16doing these demonstrations. And16And the thing that you'll see :17during those very first17that document and in the next few
2work that Mr. Cole said was all done2did demonstrate the actual product3under the ages of Serco, the initial3itself.4conversations that Mr. Zou had with4So in your deliberations, again5Mr. Petronio on February 20, 2012.5to the extent that's something you6The e-mails that they had6wish to consider, I would typically7throughout the spring of 2012 were all7flash this up on the screen, but give8done while he was working for8the challenges we've had today, I will9CollabraLink.9give you the numbers of the documents10Now, again, the reason I bring10that you may want to look at.11that up is because, clearly, again,11But if you look at Plaintiff's12there's no TOUs, there is no evidence12Exhibit 240, which is an e-mail from13of any contractual arrangements13Zou to Petronio, dated February 22,14between Zou and his employer.142012. Mr. Zou is responding to15But what you have is Mr. Zou15Mr. Petronio about specific questions16doing these demonstrations. And16And the thing that you'll see
3under the ages of Serco, the initial 43itself.4conversations that Mr. Zou had with 54So in your deliberations, again 45Mr. Petronio on February 20, 2012.5to the extent that's something you wish to consider, I would typically 76The e-mails that they had67throughout the spring of 2012 were all 878done while he was working for 989CollabraLink.910Now, again, the reason I bring 101011that up is because, clearly, again, 121112there's no TOUs, there is no evidence 131214between Zou and his employer.1415But what you have is Mr. Zou16doing these demonstrations. And
4conversations that Mr. Zou had with4So in your deliberations, again5Mr. Petronio on February 20, 2012.5to the extent that's something you6The e-mails that they had6wish to consider, I would typically7throughout the spring of 2012 were all7flash this up on the screen, but give8done while he was working for8the challenges we've had today, I will9CollabraLink.9give you the numbers of the documents10Now, again, the reason I bring10that you may want to look at.11that up is because, clearly, again,11But if you look at Plaintiff's12there's no TOUs, there is no evidence12Exhibit 240, which is an e-mail from13of any contractual arrangements13Zou to Petronio, dated February 22,14between Zou and his employer.142012. Mr. Zou is responding to15But what you have is Mr. Zou15Mr. Petronio about specific questions16doing these demonstrations. And16And the thing that you'll see
5Mr. Petronio on February 20, 2012.5to the extent that's something you6The e-mails that they had6wish to consider, I would typically7throughout the spring of 2012 were all7flash this up on the screen, but give8done while he was working for8the challenges we've had today, I will9CollabraLink.9give you the numbers of the documents10Now, again, the reason I bring10that you may want to look at.11that up is because, clearly, again,11But if you look at Plaintiff's12there's no TOUs, there is no evidence12Exhibit 240, which is an e-mail from13of any contractual arrangements13Zou to Petronio, dated February 22,14between Zou and his employer.142012. Mr. Zou is responding to15But what you have is Mr. Zou15Mr. Petronio about specific questions16doing these demonstrations. And16And the thing that you'll see
6The e-mails that they had6wish to consider, I would typically7throughout the spring of 2012 were all7flash this up on the screen, but give8done while he was working for8the challenges we've had today, I will9CollabraLink.9give you the numbers of the documents10Now, again, the reason I bring10that you may want to look at.11that up is because, clearly, again,11But if you look at Plaintiff's12there's no TOUs, there is no evidence12Exhibit 240, which is an e-mail from13of any contractual arrangements13Zou to Petronio, dated February 22,14between Zou and his employer.142012. Mr. Zou is responding to15But what you have is Mr. Zou15Mr. Petronio about specific questions16doing these demonstrations. And16And the thing that you'll see
7throughout the spring of 2012 were all 87flash this up on the screen, but give 88done while he was working for 98the challenges we've had today, I will 99CollabraLink.9give you the numbers of the documents10Now, again, the reason I bring 1110that you may want to look at.11that up is because, clearly, again, 1211But if you look at Plaintiff's12there's no TOUs, there is no evidence 1312Exhibit 240, which is an e-mail from 2012. Mr. Zou is responding to14between Zou and his employer.142012. Mr. Zou is responding to15But what you have is Mr. Zou15Mr. Petronio about specific questions16doing these demonstrations. And16And the thing that you'll see state
8done while he was working for8the challenges we've had today, I will9CollabraLink.9give you the numbers of the documents10Now, again, the reason I bring10that you may want to look at.11that up is because, clearly, again,11But if you look at Plaintiff's12there's no TOUs, there is no evidence12Exhibit 240, which is an e-mail from13of any contractual arrangements13Zou to Petronio, dated February 22,14between Zou and his employer.142012. Mr. Zou is responding to15But what you have is Mr. Zou15Mr. Petronio about specific questions16doing these demonstrations. And16And the thing that you'll see state
9CollabraLink.9give you the numbers of the documents10Now, again, the reason I bring10that you may want to look at.11that up is because, clearly, again,11But if you look at Plaintiff's12there's no TOUs, there is no evidence12Exhibit 240, which is an e-mail from13of any contractual arrangements13Zou to Petronio, dated February 22,14between Zou and his employer.142012. Mr. Zou is responding to15But what you have is Mr. Zou15Mr. Petronio about specific questions16doing these demonstrations. And16And the thing that you'll see st
10Now, again, the reason I bring10that you may want to look at.11that up is because, clearly, again,11But if you look at Plaintiff's12there's no TOUs, there is no evidence12Exhibit 240, which is an e-mail from13of any contractual arrangements13Zou to Petronio, dated February 22,14between Zou and his employer.142012. Mr. Zou is responding to15But what you have is Mr. Zou15Mr. Petronio about specific questions16doing these demonstrations. And16And the thing that you'll see state
11that up is because, clearly, again,11But if you look at Plaintiff's12there's no TOUs, there is no evidence12Exhibit 240, which is an e-mail from13of any contractual arrangements13Zou to Petronio, dated February 22,14between Zou and his employer.142012. Mr. Zou is responding to15But what you have is Mr. Zou15Mr. Petronio about specific questions16doing these demonstrations. And16And the thing that you'll see state
12there's no TOUs, there is no evidence12Exhibit 240, which is an e-mail from13of any contractual arrangements13Zou to Petronio, dated February 22,14between Zou and his employer.142012. Mr. Zou is responding to15But what you have is Mr. Zou15Mr. Petronio about specific questions16doing these demonstrations. And16And the thing that you'll see state
14between Zou and his employer.142012. Mr. Zou is responding to15But what you have is Mr. Zou15Mr. Petronio about specific questions16doing these demonstrations. And16And the thing that you'll see sections
14between Zou and his employer.142012. Mr. Zou is responding to15But what you have is Mr. Zou15Mr. Petronio about specific questions16doing these demonstrations. And16And the thing that you'll see it
15But what you have is Mr. Zou15Mr. Petronio about specific questions16doing these demonstrations. And16And the thing that you'll see the second
16doing these demonstrations. And16And the thing that you'll see :
18 demonstrations, Mr. Zou, again, 18 documents that I'll give to you is
19 provided his head knowledge, told 19 they talk about some of these very
20 Mr. Petronio about the things that he 20 essential features that Appian is not
21 felt in his opinion were shortcomings 21 claiming are trade secrets, but were
22 or features of the Appian product that 22 again, in fact, the type of thing that
23 were missing from the type of programs 23 were shortcomings or limitations of
that Mr. Zou was, again, using. He 24 the Appian product itself.
25 did provide some documentation, and he 25 The next document would be
Page 8324 Page 8
Page 8324 1 Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) 1 Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
2 Plaintiff's Exhibit 549, which is an 2 the Appian program.
3 e-mail from Petronio to other people 3 The next document I'll cite you
4 inside of Pega, dated February 24, 4 to is Plaintiff's Exhibit 2305 which
5 2012. And that's an e-mail in which 5 is an e-mail dated August 6th of 2012
6 Mr. Petronio sets out his findings or 6 (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 2305,
7 conclusions based upon the three-hour 7 August 6, 2012 E-mail, was
8 session he had with Mr. Zou on 8 identified.)
9 February 20th of 2012. 9 MR. TRAVELL: Again, before Zou
10 So, again, Mr. Zou actually 10 was even working for Serco, in which
11 answered some questions, Mr. Petronio 11 Mr. Caton, a name you've heard that
12 came up with a paper. That's in 12 was Mr. Petronio's assistant, was
12 came up with a paper. That's in 12 was Mr. Petronio's assistant, was
12came up with a paper. That's in12was Mr. Petronio's assistant, was13evidence, and you can take a look at13mailing to Mr. Petronio a revised cop
12came up with a paper. That's in12was Mr. Petronio's assistant, was13evidence, and you can take a look at13mailing to Mr. Petronio a revised cop14that. And again, that was done14of the Pega competitive brief, which
12came up with a paper. That's in12was Mr. Petronio's assistant, was13evidence, and you can take a look at13mailing to Mr. Petronio a revised cop14that. And again, that was done14of the Pega competitive brief, which15without any TOUs or any contract15is again a sales and marketing
12came up with a paper. That's in12was Mr. Petronio's assistant, was13evidence, and you can take a look at13mailing to Mr. Petronio a revised cop14that. And again, that was done14of the Pega competitive brief, which15without any TOUs or any contract15is again a sales and marketing16between Mr. Zou and any employer in16material, and incorporated comments
12came up with a paper. That's in12was Mr. Petronio's assistant, was13evidence, and you can take a look at13mailing to Mr. Petronio a revised cop14that. And again, that was done14of the Pega competitive brief, which15without any TOUs or any contract15is again a sales and marketing16between Mr. Zou and any employer in16material, and incorporated comments17evidence in this case.17that Mr. Zou had made to Mr. Petronio
12came up with a paper. That's in12was Mr. Petronio's assistant, was13evidence, and you can take a look at13mailing to Mr. Petronio a revised cop14that. And again, that was done14of the Pega competitive brief, which15without any TOUs or any contract15is again a sales and marketing16between Mr. Zou and any employer in16material, and incorporated comments17evidence in this case.17that Mr. Zou had made to Mr. Petronio18And those findings that18and were now part of those sales
12came up with a paper. That's in12was Mr. Petronio's assistant, was13evidence, and you can take a look at13mailing to Mr. Petronio a revised cop14that. And again, that was done14of the Pega competitive brief, which15without any TOUs or any contract15is again a sales and marketing16between Mr. Zou and any employer in16material, and incorporated comments17evidence in this case.17that Mr. Zou had made to Mr. Petronio18And those findings that18and were now part of those sales19Mr. Petronio was circulating inside of19materials, again, all before Mr. Zou
12came up with a paper. That's in12was Mr. Petronio's assistant, was13evidence, and you can take a look at13mailing to Mr. Petronio a revised cop14that. And again, that was done14of the Pega competitive brief, which15without any TOUs or any contract15is again a sales and marketing16between Mr. Zou and any employer in16material, and incorporated comments17evidence in this case.17that Mr. Zou had made to Mr. Petronio18And those findings that18and were now part of those sales19Mr. Petronio was circulating inside of19materials, again, all before Mr. Zou20Pega included results of the proof of20worked for Serco.
12came up with a paper. That's in12was Mr. Petronio's assistant, was13evidence, and you can take a look at13mailing to Mr. Petronio a revised cop14that. And again, that was done14of the Pega competitive brief, which15without any TOUs or any contract15is again a sales and marketing16between Mr. Zou and any employer in16material, and incorporated comments17evidence in this case.17that Mr. Zou had made to Mr. Petronio18And those findings that18and were now part of those sales19Mr. Petronio was circulating inside of19materials, again, all before Mr. Zou20Pega included results of the proof of20worked for Serco.21concept, again, a phrase that you've21And the last document, I've
12came up with a paper. That's in12was Mr. Petronio's assistant, was13evidence, and you can take a look at13mailing to Mr. Petronio a revised cop14that. And again, that was done14of the Pega competitive brief, which15without any TOUs or any contract15is again a sales and marketing16between Mr. Zou and any employer in16material, and incorporated comments17evidence in this case.17that Mr. Zou had made to Mr. Petronio18And those findings that18and were now part of those sales19Mr. Petronio was circulating inside of19materials, again, all before Mr. Zou20Pega included results of the proof of20worked for Serco.21concept, again, a phrase that you've21And the last document, I've22heard throughout this trial, where22already given you Plaintiff's

	Page 8326		Page 8327
1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)	1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
2	MR. TRAVELL: If I haven't,	2	you interested in being an Appian
3	that's an e-mail, again from Michael	3	consultant? And Mr. Zou said yes,
4	Caton to John Petronio, which has a	4	right away. I think the evidence
5	revised addition of the Appian attack	5	shows that was within an hour or
6	plan. Again, a document that we've	6	45 minutes within the first e-mail
7	seen in various iterations throughout	7	from Matt Sovatella [phonetic]. He
8	the time that Mr. Zou was working as a	8	wrote back and sent his résumé and
9	consultant for Pegasystems.	9	said: I'm interested in talking.
10	So that brings us to Mr. Zou's	10	Again, Mr. Zou is looking for
11	_	11	
12	employment with Serco. Again, there's been a lot made about the fact that in	12	his next opportunity to the extent
			that his livelihood depends upon
13	August I'm sorry September of	13	whether his employer of a few weeks
14	2012, Mr. Zou then left CollabraLink	14	wins the protest or not.
15	and went to work at Serco.	15	So he talks with Mr. Sovatella,
16	Now, very briefly, when Mr. Zou	16	eventually talks to Mr. Petronio.
17	started at CollabraLink, he'd just	17	That leads to the engagement.
18	closed up his job at Clovis. He went	18	So, so the issue there is there
19	back to work for an Appian business	19	is no restriction that is in evidence
20	partner, CollabraLink, and before he	20	in this case by any employer that says
21	even started, that project that he was	21	it's improper, subject to firing, if
22	hired for was subject to a government	22	you take a part-time job without
23	protest.	23	consulting with us first.
24	So Mr. Zou was worried about his	24	Mr. Zou did that while he was at
25	job, got the call from K-force, are	25	CollabraLink, and there's no evidence
	Page 8328		Page 8329
1	Page 8328 Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)	1	Page 8329 Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
1 2	5	1 2	-
1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)		Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
2	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) to say anything about that was	2	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) confidential. And of course, you can study
2 3	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) to say anything about that was improper was far as CollabraLink was concerned. And Mr. Zou worked for the	2 3	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) confidential. And of course, you can study this Agreement when you deliberate
2 3 4	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) to say anything about that was improper was far as CollabraLink was concerned. And Mr. Zou worked for the CollabraLink only until September of	2 3 4	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) confidential. And of course, you can study this Agreement when you deliberate after closing statements and closing
2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) to say anything about that was improper was far as CollabraLink was concerned. And Mr. Zou worked for the CollabraLink only until September of 2012.	2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) confidential. And of course, you can study this Agreement when you deliberate after closing statements and closing arguments are done today.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) to say anything about that was improper was far as CollabraLink was concerned. And Mr. Zou worked for the CollabraLink only until September of 2012. And at that time, he took his	2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) confidential. And of course, you can study this Agreement when you deliberate after closing statements and closing arguments are done today. But you won't see any mention of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) to say anything about that was improper was far as CollabraLink was concerned. And Mr. Zou worked for the CollabraLink only until September of 2012. And at that time, he took his new job with Serco. Now, Mr	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) confidential. And of course, you can study this Agreement when you deliberate after closing statements and closing arguments are done today. But you won't see any mention of Appian in this document. This is a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) to say anything about that was improper was far as CollabraLink was concerned. And Mr. Zou worked for the CollabraLink only until September of 2012. And at that time, he took his new job with Serco. Now, Mr Dr. Cole pointed to the Employee	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) confidential. And of course, you can study this Agreement when you deliberate after closing statements and closing arguments are done today. But you won't see any mention of Appian in this document. This is a document in which Mr. Zou promises to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) to say anything about that was improper was far as CollabraLink was concerned. And Mr. Zou worked for the CollabraLink only until September of 2012. And at that time, he took his new job with Serco. Now, Mr Dr. Cole pointed to the Employee Proprietary and Confidential	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) confidential. And of course, you can study this Agreement when you deliberate after closing statements and closing arguments are done today. But you won't see any mention of Appian in this document. This is a document in which Mr. Zou promises to keep his information of his employer
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) to say anything about that was improper was far as CollabraLink was concerned. And Mr. Zou worked for the CollabraLink only until September of 2012. And at that time, he took his new job with Serco. Now, Mr Dr. Cole pointed to the Employee Proprietary and Confidential Information Agreement, which is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) confidential. And of course, you can study this Agreement when you deliberate after closing statements and closing arguments are done today. But you won't see any mention of Appian in this document. This is a document in which Mr. Zou promises to keep his information of his employer confidential. It one thing, it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) to say anything about that was improper was far as CollabraLink was concerned. And Mr. Zou worked for the CollabraLink only until September of 2012. And at that time, he took his new job with Serco. Now, Mr Dr. Cole pointed to the Employee Proprietary and Confidential Information Agreement, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 9.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) confidential. And of course, you can study this Agreement when you deliberate after closing statements and closing arguments are done today. But you won't see any mention of Appian in this document. This is a document in which Mr. Zou promises to keep his information of his employer confidential. It one thing, it doesn't define confidential
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) to say anything about that was improper was far as CollabraLink was concerned. And Mr. Zou worked for the CollabraLink only until September of 2012. And at that time, he took his new job with Serco. Now, Mr Dr. Cole pointed to the Employee Proprietary and Confidential Information Agreement, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 9. Can you call that up, Josh?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) confidential. And of course, you can study this Agreement when you deliberate after closing statements and closing arguments are done today. But you won't see any mention of Appian in this document. This is a document in which Mr. Zou promises to keep his information of his employer confidential. It one thing, it doesn't define confidential information
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) to say anything about that was improper was far as CollabraLink was concerned. And Mr. Zou worked for the CollabraLink only until September of 2012. And at that time, he took his new job with Serco. Now, Mr Dr. Cole pointed to the Employee Proprietary and Confidential Information Agreement, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 9. Can you call that up, Josh? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 9, Serco	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) confidential. And of course, you can study this Agreement when you deliberate after closing statements and closing arguments are done today. But you won't see any mention of Appian in this document. This is a document in which Mr. Zou promises to keep his information of his employer confidential. It one thing, it doesn't define confidential information And, Josh, I would ask you to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) to say anything about that was improper was far as CollabraLink was concerned. And Mr. Zou worked for the CollabraLink only until September of 2012. And at that time, he took his new job with Serco. Now, Mr Dr. Cole pointed to the Employee Proprietary and Confidential Information Agreement, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 9. Can you call that up, Josh? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 9, Serco Employee Proprietary and Confidential	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) confidential. And of course, you can study this Agreement when you deliberate after closing statements and closing arguments are done today. But you won't see any mention of Appian in this document. This is a document in which Mr. Zou promises to keep his information of his employer confidential. It one thing, it doesn't define confidential information And, Josh, I would ask you to blow up Paragraph 1(a) through (c).
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) to say anything about that was improper was far as CollabraLink was concerned. And Mr. Zou worked for the CollabraLink only until September of 2012. And at that time, he took his new job with Serco. Now, Mr Dr. Cole pointed to the Employee Proprietary and Confidential Information Agreement, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 9. Can you call that up, Josh? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 9, Serco Employee Proprietary and Confidential Information Agreement, was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) confidential. And of course, you can study this Agreement when you deliberate after closing statements and closing arguments are done today. But you won't see any mention of Appian in this document. This is a document in which Mr. Zou promises to keep his information of his employer confidential. It one thing, it doesn't define confidential information And, Josh, I would ask you to blow up Paragraph 1(a) through (c). You know, again, this is what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) to say anything about that was improper was far as CollabraLink was concerned. And Mr. Zou worked for the CollabraLink only until September of 2012. And at that time, he took his new job with Serco. Now, Mr Dr. Cole pointed to the Employee Proprietary and Confidential Information Agreement, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 9. Can you call that up, Josh? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 9, Serco Employee Proprietary and Confidential Information Agreement, was identified.)	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) confidential. And of course, you can study this Agreement when you deliberate after closing statements and closing arguments are done today. But you won't see any mention of Appian in this document. This is a document in which Mr. Zou promises to keep his information of his employer confidential. It one thing, it doesn't define confidential information And, Josh, I would ask you to blow up Paragraph 1(a) through (c). You know, again, this is what Mr. Zou's promises were to Serco and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) to say anything about that was improper was far as CollabraLink was concerned. And Mr. Zou worked for the CollabraLink only until September of 2012. And at that time, he took his new job with Serco. Now, Mr Dr. Cole pointed to the Employee Proprietary and Confidential Information Agreement, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 9. Can you call that up, Josh? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 9, Serco Employee Proprietary and Confidential Information Agreement, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: So Dr. Cole	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) confidential. And of course, you can study this Agreement when you deliberate after closing statements and closing arguments are done today. But you won't see any mention of Appian in this document. This is a document in which Mr. Zou promises to keep his information of his employer confidential. It one thing, it doesn't define confidential information And, Josh, I would ask you to blow up Paragraph 1(a) through (c). You know, again, this is what Mr. Zou's promises were to Serco and what he promised to do.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) to say anything about that was improper was far as CollabraLink was concerned. And Mr. Zou worked for the CollabraLink only until September of 2012. And at that time, he took his new job with Serco. Now, Mr Dr. Cole pointed to the Employee Proprietary and Confidential Information Agreement, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 9. Can you call that up, Josh? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 9, Serco Employee Proprietary and Confidential Information Agreement, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: So Dr. Cole testified that and again, Dr. Cole	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) confidential. And of course, you can study this Agreement when you deliberate after closing statements and closing arguments are done today. But you won't see any mention of Appian in this document. This is a document in which Mr. Zou promises to keep his information of his employer confidential. It one thing, it doesn't define confidential information And, Josh, I would ask you to blow up Paragraph 1(a) through (c). You know, again, this is what Mr. Zou's promises were to Serco and what he promised to do. So what is defined as not being
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) to say anything about that was improper was far as CollabraLink was concerned. And Mr. Zou worked for the CollabraLink only until September of 2012. And at that time, he took his new job with Serco. Now, Mr Dr. Cole pointed to the Employee Proprietary and Confidential Information Agreement, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 9. Can you call that up, Josh? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 9, Serco Employee Proprietary and Confidential Information Agreement, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: So Dr. Cole testified that and again, Dr. Cole is not a lawyer. He's the world's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) confidential. And of course, you can study this Agreement when you deliberate after closing statements and closing arguments are done today. But you won't see any mention of Appian in this document. This is a document in which Mr. Zou promises to keep his information of his employer confidential. It one thing, it doesn't define confidential information And, Josh, I would ask you to blow up Paragraph 1(a) through (c). You know, again, this is what Mr. Zou's promises were to Serco and what he promised to do. So what is defined as not being confidential information, again, this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) to say anything about that was improper was far as CollabraLink was concerned. And Mr. Zou worked for the CollabraLink only until September of 2012. And at that time, he took his new job with Serco. Now, Mr Dr. Cole pointed to the Employee Proprietary and Confidential Information Agreement, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 9. Can you call that up, Josh? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 9, Serco Employee Proprietary and Confidential Information Agreement, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: So Dr. Cole testified that and again, Dr. Cole is not a lawyer. He's the world's foremost cyber security expert,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) confidential. And of course, you can study this Agreement when you deliberate after closing statements and closing arguments are done today. But you won't see any mention of Appian in this document. This is a document in which Mr. Zou promises to keep his information of his employer confidential. It one thing, it doesn't define confidential information And, Josh, I would ask you to blow up Paragraph 1(a) through (c). You know, again, this is what Mr. Zou's promises were to Serco and what he promised to do. So what is defined as not being confidential information, again, this is perfect common sense. Information
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) to say anything about that was improper was far as CollabraLink was concerned. And Mr. Zou worked for the CollabraLink only until September of 2012. And at that time, he took his new job with Serco. Now, Mr Dr. Cole pointed to the Employee Proprietary and Confidential Information Agreement, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 9. Can you call that up, Josh? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 9, Serco Employee Proprietary and Confidential Information Agreement, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: So Dr. Cole testified that and again, Dr. Cole is not a lawyer. He's the world's foremost cyber security expert, according to Appian.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) confidential. And of course, you can study this Agreement when you deliberate after closing statements and closing arguments are done today. But you won't see any mention of Appian in this document. This is a document in which Mr. Zou promises to keep his information of his employer confidential. It one thing, it doesn't define confidential information And, Josh, I would ask you to blow up Paragraph 1(a) through (c). You know, again, this is what Mr. Zou's promises were to Serco and what he promised to do. So what is defined as not being confidential information, again, this is perfect common sense. Information that was already in the public domain
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) to say anything about that was improper was far as CollabraLink was concerned. And Mr. Zou worked for the CollabraLink only until September of 2012. And at that time, he took his new job with Serco. Now, Mr Dr. Cole pointed to the Employee Proprietary and Confidential Information Agreement, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 9. Can you call that up, Josh? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 9, Serco Employee Proprietary and Confidential Information Agreement, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: So Dr. Cole testified that and again, Dr. Cole is not a lawyer. He's the world's foremost cyber security expert, according to Appian. Looked at this document and said </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) confidential. And of course, you can study this Agreement when you deliberate after closing statements and closing arguments are done today. But you won't see any mention of Appian in this document. This is a document in which Mr. Zou promises to keep his information of his employer confidential. It one thing, it doesn't define confidential information And, Josh, I would ask you to blow up Paragraph 1(a) through (c). You know, again, this is what Mr. Zou's promises were to Serco and what he promised to do. So what is defined as not being confidential information, again, this is perfect common sense. Information that was already in the public domain at the time of the disclosure by Serco
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) to say anything about that was improper was far as CollabraLink was concerned. And Mr. Zou worked for the CollabraLink only until September of 2012.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) confidential. And of course, you can study this Agreement when you deliberate after closing statements and closing arguments are done today. But you won't see any mention of Appian in this document. This is a document in which Mr. Zou promises to keep his information of his employer confidential. It one thing, it doesn't define confidential information And, Josh, I would ask you to blow up Paragraph 1(a) through (c). You know, again, this is what Mr. Zou's promises were to Serco and what he promised to do. So what is defined as not being confidential information, again, this is perfect common sense. Information that was already in the public domain at the time of the disclosure by Serco to employee, so even if the Appian</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) to say anything about that was improper was far as CollabraLink was concerned. And Mr. Zou worked for the CollabraLink only until September of 2012. And at that time, he took his new job with Serco. Now, Mr Dr. Cole pointed to the Employee Proprietary and Confidential Information Agreement, which is Plaintiff's Exhibit Number 9. Can you call that up, Josh? (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 9, Serco Employee Proprietary and Confidential Information Agreement, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: So Dr. Cole testified that and again, Dr. Cole is not a lawyer. He's the world's foremost cyber security expert, according to Appian. Looked at this document and said </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) confidential. And of course, you can study this Agreement when you deliberate after closing statements and closing arguments are done today. But you won't see any mention of Appian in this document. This is a document in which Mr. Zou promises to keep his information of his employer confidential. It one thing, it doesn't define confidential information And, Josh, I would ask you to blow up Paragraph 1(a) through (c). You know, again, this is what Mr. Zou's promises were to Serco and what he promised to do. So what is defined as not being confidential information, again, this is perfect common sense. Information that was already in the public domain at the time of the disclosure by Serco

	D		D
1	Page 8330 Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)	1	Page 8331 Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
2	confidential information, if Mr. Zou	2	that existed prior to such disclosure.
3	already knew it, then the fact that	3	Now, again, what we know,
4	Serco later disclosed to him the same	4	because it's in the record, are these
5	information doesn't make that	5	meetings between Mr. Petronio and
6	information confidential.	6	Mr. Zou in February 2012, the e-mails
7	So what do you know? You know	7	between Mr. Petronio and Mr. Zou in
8	that prior to this time Mr. Zou had	8	March of 2012 that Mr. Zou already
9	already had his first meeting or	9	knew what those limitations were.
10	videoconference with Mr. Petronio and	10	So again, to the extent that
11	had provided him a great deal of	11	Dr. Cole was testifying as an expert
12	information about the program, which	12	that this document controlled all of
13	shows what Mr. Zou knew about the	13	Mr. Zou's relationships with
14	Appian platform.	14	Pegasystems, he's clearly wrong on
15	So that information that Mr. Zou	15	that count, but even to the extent
16	provided to Petronio in February of	16	that this document controls after
17	2012 is by definition not part of this	17	Mr. Zou becomes an employee of Serco,
18	Agreement because he already knew it	18	the information that Mr. Zou had
19	prior to the time that Serco disclosed	19	already departed to Pega is by
20	it or didn't disclose it to him.	20	definition not covered by this
21	And then it says, if you go to	21	Agreement.
22	Paragraph (c), it says: Or that was	22	So Mr. Zou, in fact, worked for
23	already known by the employee at the	23	Pegasystems as a consultant through
24	time of its disclosure by Serco as	24	K-Force between September of 2012,
25	evidenced by written documentation	25	while he was employed by Serco,
	D		D
1	Page 8332 Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)	1	Page 8333 Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
1 2	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)	1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) through about May of 2014. There was		Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Again, to the extent he was
2	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) through about May of 2014. There was a period there, I guess a year and a	2	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
2 3	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) through about May of 2014. There was a period there, I guess a year and a half, two years or so, in fits and	2 3	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Again, to the extent he was demonstrating software, again, I think the record also shows that there were
2 3 4	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) through about May of 2014. There was a period there, I guess a year and a half, two years or so, in fits and starts. So it's not like he was doing	2 3 4	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Again, to the extent he was demonstrating software, again, I think
2 3 4 5	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) through about May of 2014. There was a period there, I guess a year and a half, two years or so, in fits and starts. So it's not like he was doing something every day.	2 3 4 5	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Again, to the extent he was demonstrating software, again, I think the record also shows that there were upgrades to the Appian program, and Mr. Zou downloaded those and he then
2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) through about May of 2014. There was a period there, I guess a year and a half, two years or so, in fits and starts. So it's not like he was doing something every day. Petronio would have a project	2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Again, to the extent he was demonstrating software, again, I think the record also shows that there were upgrades to the Appian program, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) through about May of 2014. There was a period there, I guess a year and a half, two years or so, in fits and starts. So it's not like he was doing something every day. Petronio would have a project for him and if you look at	2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Again, to the extent he was demonstrating software, again, I think the record also shows that there were upgrades to the Appian program, and Mr. Zou downloaded those and he then demonstrated those to Pegasystems.
2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) through about May of 2014. There was a period there, I guess a year and a half, two years or so, in fits and starts. So it's not like he was doing something every day. Petronio would have a project	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Again, to the extent he was demonstrating software, again, I think the record also shows that there were upgrades to the Appian program, and Mr. Zou downloaded those and he then demonstrated those to Pegasystems. That's in the record and that's what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) through about May of 2014. There was a period there, I guess a year and a half, two years or so, in fits and starts. So it's not like he was doing something every day. Petronio would have a project for him and if you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, it will show you.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Again, to the extent he was demonstrating software, again, I think the record also shows that there were upgrades to the Appian program, and Mr. Zou downloaded those and he then demonstrated those to Pegasystems. That's in the record and that's what it says.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) through about May of 2014. There was a period there, I guess a year and a half, two years or so, in fits and starts. So it's not like he was doing something every day. Petronio would have a project for him and if you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, it will	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Again, to the extent he was demonstrating software, again, I think the record also shows that there were upgrades to the Appian program, and Mr. Zou downloaded those and he then demonstrated those to Pegasystems. That's in the record and that's what it says. But to the extent that Mr. Zou,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) through about May of 2014. There was a period there, I guess a year and a half, two years or so, in fits and starts. So it's not like he was doing something every day. Petronio would have a project for him and if you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, it will show you. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 5,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Again, to the extent he was demonstrating software, again, I think the record also shows that there were upgrades to the Appian program, and Mr. Zou downloaded those and he then demonstrated those to Pegasystems. That's in the record and that's what it says. But to the extent that Mr. Zou, in fact, continued to do that work,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) through about May of 2014. There was a period there, I guess a year and a half, two years or so, in fits and starts. So it's not like he was doing something every day. Petronio would have a project for him and if you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, it will show you. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 5, Document, was identified.)</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Again, to the extent he was demonstrating software, again, I think the record also shows that there were upgrades to the Appian program, and Mr. Zou downloaded those and he then demonstrated those to Pegasystems. That's in the record and that's what it says. But to the extent that Mr. Zou, in fact, continued to do that work, there's been a lot made of whether or
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) through about May of 2014. There was a period there, I guess a year and a half, two years or so, in fits and starts. So it's not like he was doing something every day. Petronio would have a project for him and if you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, it will show you. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 5, Document, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: There are kind of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Again, to the extent he was demonstrating software, again, I think the record also shows that there were upgrades to the Appian program, and Mr. Zou downloaded those and he then demonstrated those to Pegasystems. That's in the record and that's what it says. But to the extent that Mr. Zou, in fact, continued to do that work, there's been a lot made of whether or not that violated his terms of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) through about May of 2014. There was a period there, I guess a year and a half, two years or so, in fits and starts. So it's not like he was doing something every day. Petronio would have a project for him and if you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, it will show you. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 5, Document, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: There are kind of little spots where Mr. Zou may be</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Again, to the extent he was demonstrating software, again, I think the record also shows that there were upgrades to the Appian program, and Mr. Zou downloaded those and he then demonstrated those to Pegasystems. That's in the record and that's what it says. But to the extent that Mr. Zou, in fact, continued to do that work, there's been a lot made of whether or not that violated his terms of employment with Serco. And maybe
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) through about May of 2014. There was a period there, I guess a year and a half, two years or so, in fits and starts. So it's not like he was doing something every day. Petronio would have a project for him and if you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, it will show you. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 5, Document, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: There are kind of little spots where Mr. Zou may be working 10, 15, 20, 50 hours over a</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Again, to the extent he was demonstrating software, again, I think the record also shows that there were upgrades to the Appian program, and Mr. Zou downloaded those and he then demonstrated those to Pegasystems. That's in the record and that's what it says. But to the extent that Mr. Zou, in fact, continued to do that work, there's been a lot made of whether or not that violated his terms of employment with Serco. And maybe having a part-time job did, but this
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) through about May of 2014. There was a period there, I guess a year and a half, two years or so, in fits and starts. So it's not like he was doing something every day. Petronio would have a project for him and if you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, it will show you. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 5, Document, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: There are kind of little spots where Mr. Zou may be working 10, 15, 20, 50 hours over a period of a month and a half and then</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Again, to the extent he was demonstrating software, again, I think the record also shows that there were upgrades to the Appian program, and Mr. Zou downloaded those and he then demonstrated those to Pegasystems. That's in the record and that's what it says. But to the extent that Mr. Zou, in fact, continued to do that work, there's been a lot made of whether or not that violated his terms of employment with Serco. And maybe having a part-time job did, but this is not a job where Serco was suing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) through about May of 2014. There was a period there, I guess a year and a half, two years or so, in fits and starts. So it's not like he was doing something every day. Petronio would have a project for him and if you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, it will show you. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 5, Document, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: There are kind of little spots where Mr. Zou may be working 10, 15, 20, 50 hours over a period of a month and a half and then he'll go several months where there's</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Again, to the extent he was demonstrating software, again, I think the record also shows that there were upgrades to the Appian program, and Mr. Zou downloaded those and he then demonstrated those to Pegasystems. That's in the record and that's what it says. But to the extent that Mr. Zou, in fact, continued to do that work, there's been a lot made of whether or not that violated his terms of employment with Serco. And maybe having a part-time job did, but this is not a job where Serco was suing Mr. Zou for having part-time work.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) through about May of 2014. There was a period there, I guess a year and a half, two years or so, in fits and starts. So it's not like he was doing something every day. Petronio would have a project for him and if you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, it will show you. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 5, Document, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: There are kind of little spots where Mr. Zou may be working 10, 15, 20, 50 hours over a period of a month and a half and then he'll go several months where there's actually nothing, because there's</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Again, to the extent he was demonstrating software, again, I think the record also shows that there were upgrades to the Appian program, and Mr. Zou downloaded those and he then demonstrated those to Pegasystems. That's in the record and that's what it says. But to the extent that Mr. Zou, in fact, continued to do that work, there's been a lot made of whether or not that violated his terms of employment with Serco. And maybe having a part-time job did, but this is not a job where Serco was suing Mr. Zou for having part-time work. This is a case about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) through about May of 2014. There was a period there, I guess a year and a half, two years or so, in fits and starts. So it's not like he was doing something every day. Petronio would have a project for him and if you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, it will show you. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 5, Document, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: There are kind of little spots where Mr. Zou may be working 10, 15, 20, 50 hours over a period of a month and a half and then he'll go several months where there's actually nothing, because there's nothing that came to him. </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Again, to the extent he was demonstrating software, again, I think the record also shows that there were upgrades to the Appian program, and Mr. Zou downloaded those and he then demonstrated those to Pegasystems. That's in the record and that's what it says. But to the extent that Mr. Zou, in fact, continued to do that work, there's been a lot made of whether or not that violated his terms of employment with Serco. And maybe having a part-time job did, but this is not a job where Serco was suing Mr. Zou for having part-time work. This is a case about misappropriation of trade secrets, so
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) through about May of 2014. There was a period there, I guess a year and a half, two years or so, in fits and starts. So it's not like he was doing something every day. Petronio would have a project for him and if you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, it will show you. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 5, Document, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: There are kind of little spots where Mr. Zou may be working 10, 15, 20, 50 hours over a period of a month and a half and then he'll go several months where there's actually nothing, because there's nothing that came to him. So during the time that Mr. Zou</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Again, to the extent he was demonstrating software, again, I think the record also shows that there were upgrades to the Appian program, and Mr. Zou downloaded those and he then demonstrated those to Pegasystems. That's in the record and that's what it says. But to the extent that Mr. Zou, in fact, continued to do that work, there's been a lot made of whether or not that violated his terms of employment with Serco. And maybe having a part-time job did, but this is not a job where Serco was suing Mr. Zou for having part-time work. This is a case about misappropriation of trade secrets, so whether or not having a part-time job
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) through about May of 2014. There was a period there, I guess a year and a half, two years or so, in fits and starts. So it's not like he was doing something every day. Petronio would have a project for him and if you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, it will show you. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 5, Document, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: There are kind of little spots where Mr. Zou may be working 10, 15, 20, 50 hours over a period of a month and a half and then he'll go several months where there's actually nothing, because there's nothing that came to him. So during the time that Mr. Zou was working for doing his </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Again, to the extent he was demonstrating software, again, I think the record also shows that there were upgrades to the Appian program, and Mr. Zou downloaded those and he then demonstrated those to Pegasystems. That's in the record and that's what it says. But to the extent that Mr. Zou, in fact, continued to do that work, there's been a lot made of whether or not that violated his terms of employment with Serco. And maybe having a part-time job did, but this is not a job where Serco was suing Mr. Zou for having part-time work. This is a case about misappropriation of trade secrets, so whether or not having a part-time job while he was that he began before
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) through about May of 2014. There was a period there, I guess a year and a half, two years or so, in fits and starts. So it's not like he was doing something every day. Petronio would have a project for him and if you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, it will show you. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 5, Document, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: There are kind of little spots where Mr. Zou may be working 10, 15, 20, 50 hours over a period of a month and a half and then he'll go several months where there's actually nothing, because there's nothing that came to him. So during the time that Mr. Zou was working for doing his consulting work for Pegasystems, he, </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Again, to the extent he was demonstrating software, again, I think the record also shows that there were upgrades to the Appian program, and Mr. Zou downloaded those and he then demonstrated those to Pegasystems. That's in the record and that's what it says. But to the extent that Mr. Zou, in fact, continued to do that work, there's been a lot made of whether or not that violated his terms of employment with Serco. And maybe having a part-time job did, but this is not a job where Serco was suing Mr. Zou for having part-time work. This is a case about misappropriation of trade secrets, so whether or not having a part-time job while he was that he began before he even worked for Serco and continued
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) through about May of 2014. There was a period there, I guess a year and a half, two years or so, in fits and starts. So it's not like he was doing something every day. Petronio would have a project for him and if you look at Plaintiff's Exhibit No. 5, it will show you. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 5, Document, was identified.) MR. TRAVELL: There are kind of little spots where Mr. Zou may be working 10, 15, 20, 50 hours over a period of a month and a half and then he'll go several months where there's actually nothing, because there's nothing that came to him. So during the time that Mr. Zou was working for doing his consulting work for Pegasystems, he, again, was doing this work based upon </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) Again, to the extent he was demonstrating software, again, I think the record also shows that there were upgrades to the Appian program, and Mr. Zou downloaded those and he then demonstrated those to Pegasystems. That's in the record and that's what it says. But to the extent that Mr. Zou, in fact, continued to do that work, there's been a lot made of whether or not that violated his terms of employment with Serco. And maybe having a part-time job did, but this is not a job where Serco was suing Mr. Zou for having part-time work. This is a case about misappropriation of trade secrets, so whether or not having a part-time job while he was that he began before he even worked for Serco and continued after he had his work for Serco is

—	Page 8334		Page 8335
1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)	1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
2	Again, whether or not that was a	2	Dr. Easttom who said that, in fact,
3	violation of the Code of Conduct with	3	the lack of a product the absence
4	Serco, it is what it is. Serco has	4	of a feature in a product cannot be a
5	not raised it. Serco has not sued	5	trade secret.
6	Mr. Zou. Appian has.	6	Again, there's been a big effort
7	So I would ask you again to very	7	made by Appian's lawyers to denigrate
8	carefully consider what it was Mr. Zou	8	Dr. Easttom because he got three Ph.D.
9	was doing, when he was doing it, did	9	in a period of whatever period time it
10	he, in fact, provide information that	10	was. But again, that's a red herring.
11	was secret, and whether or not, you	11	Whether or not Dr. Easttom has
12	know, information that was in his head	12	acquired degrees in a time that has
13	about deficiencies in the product	13	been deemed to be unreasonable or
14	could even qualify as trade secret.	14	whatever the theory is there, the fact
15	Now, independent of what Mr. Zou	15	is you had a chance to observe his
16	did here, you've heard testimony from	16	demeanor. And irrespective of whether
17	experts. No surprise. We've seen	17	he had any Ph.D.s, you have to decide
		18	whether or not Dr. Easttom was
18	experts from Appian saying that	-	
19	deficiencies in products can be trade	19	credible and made findings and
20	secret. It's a trade secret that my	20 21	expressed opinions which were, in
21	product doesn't have a particular		fact, consistent with what you believe
22	widget in it.	22	to be credible and true.
23	Again, don't leave your common	23	What Dr. Easttom said is in his
24	sense outside the deliberation room.	24	30 years of experience in software
25	But you also heard from	25	development, he had never heard any
1	Page 8336	1	Page 8337
1 2	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) software developer make the claim that	1 2	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) \$3 billion judgment against
3		3	
4	the user interface, the presentation	4	Pegasystems.
5	level, what you look at on the screen	5	So the last thing I'd like to do
6	in your computer was itself a trade	6	is to look at the jury verdict form.
-	secret.	-	So Mr. Mangi talked you through that
7	And one of the reasons he gave	7	this morning, and he sort of gave you
8	is, well, again that defies common	8	some ideas about how to fill it out.
9	sense. Part of the sales efforts for	9	Spoiler alert. I have different
10	any software product is to show it to	10	ideas on how you should fill it out.
11	people. You go to you put it at	11	So again, as to Count 1 for
12	the Appian World to have bloggers come	12	misappropriation of trade secrets,
13	in and have the press write about the	13	does the jury find its verdict for
14	fact that your program can do this	14	Plaintiff? So again, in order to
15	type of work.	15	check yes on that, you would have to
16	So the idea that if I'm working	16	find that the information that Mr. Zou
17	on a program on my computer screen and	17	provided to Pegasystems between 2012
18	somebody walks by and they see the	18	and 2014 was, in fact, secret; was not
19	image, that somehow that image is	19	in the public domain; and that Appian
20	itself proprietary and a trade secret,	20	took reasonable steps to maintain the
21	again, that's the claim.	21	secrecy of that information.
22	Your job is to decide whether or	22	I suggest that based on the
23	not you think that's a credible claim	23	evidence and your weighing of that
24	and you think that's the basis for a	24	evidence, you should check the box no,
25	\$18,000 judgment against Mr. Zou or a	25	but unlike Mr. Mangi look at that.

	Page 8338		Page 8339
1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)	1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
2	He can check it for me.	2	So again, while I'm not urging
3	Thank you, Josh.	3	you to find that Mr. Zou
4	So second count is if you do	4	misappropriated trade secrets, if you
5	find if you hit no, then your job	5	do, I think you've got two choices.
6	is done on that one. The rest of the	6	You can go with again, the theory
7	form doesn't matter.	7	is unjust enrichment; so the idea is
8	Only if you find yes, then you	8	to take back from the person who
9	go to Count 1. That says only against	9	misappropriated the trade secrets the
10	Pegasystems, only against Youyong Zou,	10	profits that they made in that
11		11	activity and give it to Appian.
12	against both Pegasystems and Youyong Zou. Again, if you hit no on No. 1,	12	
		13	So there are really only two
13	then it's against neither party on		choices and there's no dispute that's
14	Part 2.	14	what those two choices are.
15	With regard to the amount,	15	In the first instance, Appian
16	again, if you were to find yes and if	16	claims \$23,600 and change. But,
17	you were to find that it's against	17	Mr. Zou didn't put that in his pocket.
18	either Mr. Zou by himself or with	18	That was the amount that K-Force paid
19	Pega, then you have to turn the page	19	on his behalf before taxes and
20	and look at the next one.	20	withholding. And you may recall, you
21	It says: As to Count 1, if the	21	may not, it wouldn't hurt my feelings
22	jury finds its verdict for Appian and	22	too much if you didn't, but I asked
23	against Youyong Zou, what amount does	23	Mr. Malackowski: Well, isn't it true,
24	the jury award damages against Youyong	24	Mr. Malackowski, that Mr. Zou only
25	Zou?	25	benefited, only put \$18,000 and change
	Page 8340		Page 8341
1	Page 8340 Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)	1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
1 2	-	1 2	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) questions about willful and malicious
	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)		Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
2	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) in his pocket?	2	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) questions about willful and malicious
2 3	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) in his pocket? And he says: Yes. But then if he later pays it, then he may be eligible for a deduction on future tax	2 3	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) questions about willful and malicious misappropriation, one question for
2 3 4	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) in his pocket? And he says: Yes. But then if he later pays it, then he may be	2 3 4	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) questions about willful and malicious misappropriation, one question for Pegasystems and one question is for
2 3 4 5	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) in his pocket? And he says: Yes. But then if he later pays it, then he may be eligible for a deduction on future tax	2 3 4 5	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) questions about willful and malicious misappropriation, one question for Pegasystems and one question is for Mr. Zou. So I'll focus in the time
2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) in his pocket? And he says: Yes. But then if he later pays it, then he may be eligible for a deduction on future tax returns and so he shouldn't get the	2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) questions about willful and malicious misappropriation, one question for Pegasystems and one question is for Mr. Zou. So I'll focus in the time remaining to me just on the bottom
2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) in his pocket? And he says: Yes. But then if he later pays it, then he may be eligible for a deduction on future tax returns and so he shouldn't get the benefit of that if, in fact, he was	2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) questions about willful and malicious misappropriation, one question for Pegasystems and one question is for Mr. Zou. So I'll focus in the time remaining to me just on the bottom question about willful and malicious
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) in his pocket? And he says: Yes. But then if he later pays it, then he may be eligible for a deduction on future tax returns and so he shouldn't get the benefit of that if, in fact, he was involved in wrongdoing.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) questions about willful and malicious misappropriation, one question for Pegasystems and one question is for Mr. Zou. So I'll focus in the time remaining to me just on the bottom question about willful and malicious misappropriation by Mr. Zou.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) in his pocket? And he says: Yes. But then if he later pays it, then he may be eligible for a deduction on future tax returns and so he shouldn't get the benefit of that if, in fact, he was involved in wrongdoing. But he also acknowledged that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) questions about willful and malicious misappropriation, one question for Pegasystems and one question is for Mr. Zou. So I'll focus in the time remaining to me just on the bottom question about willful and malicious misappropriation by Mr. Zou. If you were to find that Mr. Zou
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) in his pocket? And he says: Yes. But then if he later pays it, then he may be eligible for a deduction on future tax returns and so he shouldn't get the benefit of that if, in fact, he was involved in wrongdoing. But he also acknowledged that the tax laws may change. The IRS may	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) questions about willful and malicious misappropriation, one question for Pegasystems and one question is for Mr. Zou. So I'll focus in the time remaining to me just on the bottom question about willful and malicious misappropriation by Mr. Zou. If you were to find that Mr. Zou was had misappropriated Appian's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) in his pocket? And he says: Yes. But then if he later pays it, then he may be eligible for a deduction on future tax returns and so he shouldn't get the benefit of that if, in fact, he was involved in wrongdoing. But he also acknowledged that the tax laws may change. The IRS may determine that the Mr. Zou, that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) questions about willful and malicious misappropriation, one question for Pegasystems and one question is for Mr. Zou. So I'll focus in the time remaining to me just on the bottom question about willful and malicious misappropriation by Mr. Zou. If you were to find that Mr. Zou was had misappropriated Appian's trade secrets and you made some sort
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) in his pocket? And he says: Yes. But then if he later pays it, then he may be eligible for a deduction on future tax returns and so he shouldn't get the benefit of that if, in fact, he was involved in wrongdoing. But he also acknowledged that the tax laws may change. The IRS may determine that the Mr. Zou, that the payment that he made for a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) questions about willful and malicious misappropriation, one question for Pegasystems and one question is for Mr. Zou. So I'll focus in the time remaining to me just on the bottom question about willful and malicious misappropriation by Mr. Zou. If you were to find that Mr. Zou was had misappropriated Appian's trade secrets and you made some sort of dollar award, then you're asked the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) in his pocket? And he says: Yes. But then if he later pays it, then he may be eligible for a deduction on future tax returns and so he shouldn't get the benefit of that if, in fact, he was involved in wrongdoing. But he also acknowledged that the tax laws may change. The IRS may determine that the Mr. Zou, that the payment that he made for a judgment here is not an ordinary	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) questions about willful and malicious misappropriation, one question for Pegasystems and one question is for Mr. Zou. So I'll focus in the time remaining to me just on the bottom question about willful and malicious misappropriation by Mr. Zou. If you were to find that Mr. Zou was had misappropriated Appian's trade secrets and you made some sort of dollar award, then you're asked the question: Was his misappropriation
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) in his pocket? And he says: Yes. But then if he later pays it, then he may be eligible for a deduction on future tax returns and so he shouldn't get the benefit of that if, in fact, he was involved in wrongdoing. But he also acknowledged that the tax laws may change. The IRS may determine that the Mr. Zou, that the payment that he made for a judgment here is not an ordinary business expense or business in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) questions about willful and malicious misappropriation, one question for Pegasystems and one question is for Mr. Zou. So I'll focus in the time remaining to me just on the bottom question about willful and malicious misappropriation by Mr. Zou. If you were to find that Mr. Zou was had misappropriated Appian's trade secrets and you made some sort of dollar award, then you're asked the question: Was his misappropriation willful and malicious?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) in his pocket? And he says: Yes. But then if he later pays it, then he may be eligible for a deduction on future tax returns and so he shouldn't get the benefit of that if, in fact, he was involved in wrongdoing. But he also acknowledged that the tax laws may change. The IRS may determine that the Mr. Zou, that the payment that he made for a judgment here is not an ordinary business expense or business in furtherance an expense of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) questions about willful and malicious misappropriation, one question for Pegasystems and one question is for Mr. Zou. So I'll focus in the time remaining to me just on the bottom question about willful and malicious misappropriation by Mr. Zou. If you were to find that Mr. Zou was had misappropriated Appian's trade secrets and you made some sort of dollar award, then you're asked the question: Was his misappropriation willful and malicious? So not willful or malicious.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) in his pocket? And he says: Yes. But then if he later pays it, then he may be eligible for a deduction on future tax returns and so he shouldn't get the benefit of that if, in fact, he was involved in wrongdoing. But he also acknowledged that the tax laws may change. The IRS may determine that the Mr. Zou, that the payment that he made for a judgment here is not an ordinary business expense or business in furtherance an expense of furtherance of business. So he may or	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) questions about willful and malicious misappropriation, one question for Pegasystems and one question is for Mr. Zou. So I'll focus in the time remaining to me just on the bottom question about willful and malicious misappropriation by Mr. Zou. If you were to find that Mr. Zou was had misappropriated Appian's trade secrets and you made some sort of dollar award, then you're asked the question: Was his misappropriation willful and malicious? So not willful or malicious. It's willful and malicious. So if one
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) in his pocket? And he says: Yes. But then if he later pays it, then he may be eligible for a deduction on future tax returns and so he shouldn't get the benefit of that if, in fact, he was involved in wrongdoing. But he also acknowledged that the tax laws may change. The IRS may determine that the Mr. Zou, that the payment that he made for a judgment here is not an ordinary business expense or business in furtherance an expense of furtherance of business. So he may or may not get the deductions.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) questions about willful and malicious misappropriation, one question for Pegasystems and one question is for Mr. Zou. So I'll focus in the time remaining to me just on the bottom question about willful and malicious misappropriation by Mr. Zou. If you were to find that Mr. Zou was had misappropriated Appian's trade secrets and you made some sort of dollar award, then you're asked the question: Was his misappropriation willful and malicious? So not willful or malicious. It's willful and malicious. So if one in this case, if seven, I want to look
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) in his pocket? And he says: Yes. But then if he later pays it, then he may be eligible for a deduction on future tax returns and so he shouldn't get the benefit of that if, in fact, he was involved in wrongdoing. But he also acknowledged that the tax laws may change. The IRS may determine that the Mr. Zou, that the payment that he made for a judgment here is not an ordinary business expense or business in furtherance an expense of furtherance of business. So he may or may not get the deductions. Mr. Malackowski's response was,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) questions about willful and malicious misappropriation, one question for Pegasystems and one question is for Mr. Zou. So I'll focus in the time remaining to me just on the bottom question about willful and malicious misappropriation by Mr. Zou. If you were to find that Mr. Zou was had misappropriated Appian's trade secrets and you made some sort of dollar award, then you're asked the question: Was his misappropriation willful and malicious? So not willful or malicious. It's willful and malicious. So if one in this case, if seven, I want to look at what does willful and malicious
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) in his pocket? And he says: Yes. But then if he later pays it, then he may be eligible for a deduction on future tax returns and so he shouldn't get the benefit of that if, in fact, he was involved in wrongdoing. But he also acknowledged that the tax laws may change. The IRS may determine that the Mr. Zou, that the payment that he made for a judgment here is not an ordinary business expense or business in furtherance an expense of furtherance of business. So he may or may not get the deductions. Mr. Malackowski's response was, well, doesn't matter. That's how we do it. It's \$23,600.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) questions about willful and malicious misappropriation, one question for Pegasystems and one question is for Mr. Zou. So I'll focus in the time remaining to me just on the bottom question about willful and malicious misappropriation by Mr. Zou. If you were to find that Mr. Zou was had misappropriated Appian's trade secrets and you made some sort of dollar award, then you're asked the question: Was his misappropriation willful and malicious? So not willful or malicious. It's willful and malicious. So if one in this case, if seven, I want to look at what does willful and malicious mean? You will get in your packet jury instructions and ask you to look
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) in his pocket? And he says: Yes. But then if he later pays it, then he may be eligible for a deduction on future tax returns and so he shouldn't get the benefit of that if, in fact, he was involved in wrongdoing. But he also acknowledged that the tax laws may change. The IRS may determine that the Mr. Zou, that the payment that he made for a judgment here is not an ordinary business expense or business in furtherance an expense of furtherance of business. So he may or may not get the deductions. Mr. Malackowski's response was, well, doesn't matter. That's how we do it. It's \$23,600. Again, if you're going to go in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) questions about willful and malicious misappropriation, one question for Pegasystems and one question is for Mr. Zou. So I'll focus in the time remaining to me just on the bottom question about willful and malicious misappropriation by Mr. Zou. If you were to find that Mr. Zou was had misappropriated Appian's trade secrets and you made some sort of dollar award, then you're asked the question: Was his misappropriation willful and malicious? So not willful or malicious. It's willful and malicious. So if one in this case, if seven, I want to look at what does willful and malicious mean? You will get in your packet
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) in his pocket? And he says: Yes. But then if he later pays it, then he may be eligible for a deduction on future tax returns and so he shouldn't get the benefit of that if, in fact, he was involved in wrongdoing. But he also acknowledged that the tax laws may change. The IRS may determine that the Mr. Zou, that the payment that he made for a judgment here is not an ordinary business expense or business in furtherance an expense of furtherance of business. So he may or may not get the deductions. Mr. Malackowski's response was, well, doesn't matter. That's how we do it. It's \$23,600.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) questions about willful and malicious misappropriation, one question for Pegasystems and one question is for Mr. Zou. So I'll focus in the time remaining to me just on the bottom question about willful and malicious misappropriation by Mr. Zou. If you were to find that Mr. Zou was had misappropriated Appian's trade secrets and you made some sort of dollar award, then you're asked the question: Was his misappropriation willful and malicious? So not willful or malicious. It's willful and malicious. So if one in this case, if seven, I want to look at what does willful and malicious mean? You will get in your packet jury instructions and ask you to look at Jury Instruction No. 14. And it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) in his pocket? And he says: Yes. But then if he later pays it, then he may be eligible for a deduction on future tax returns and so he shouldn't get the benefit of that if, in fact, he was involved in wrongdoing. But he also acknowledged that the tax laws may change. The IRS may determine that the Mr. Zou, that the payment that he made for a judgment here is not an ordinary business expense or business in furtherance an expense of furtherance of business. So he may or may not get the deductions. Mr. Malackowski's response was, well, doesn't matter. That's how we do it. It's \$23,600. Again, if you're going to go in that direction, those are your choices. </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) questions about willful and malicious misappropriation, one question for Pegasystems and one question is for Mr. Zou. So I'll focus in the time remaining to me just on the bottom question about willful and malicious misappropriation by Mr. Zou. If you were to find that Mr. Zou was had misappropriated Appian's trade secrets and you made some sort of dollar award, then you're asked the question: Was his misappropriation willful and malicious? So not willful or malicious. It's willful and malicious. So if one in this case, if seven, I want to look at what does willful and malicious mean? You will get in your packet jury instructions and ask you to look at Jury Instruction No. 14. And it talks about what is willful and malicious.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) in his pocket? And he says: Yes. But then if he later pays it, then he may be eligible for a deduction on future tax returns and so he shouldn't get the benefit of that if, in fact, he was involved in wrongdoing. But he also acknowledged that the tax laws may change. The IRS may determine that the Mr. Zou, that the payment that he made for a judgment here is not an ordinary business expense or business in furtherance an expense of furtherance of business. So he may or may not get the deductions. Mr. Malackowski's response was, well, doesn't matter. That's how we do it. It's \$23,600. Again, if you're going to go in that direction, those are your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) questions about willful and malicious misappropriation, one question for Pegasystems and one question is for Mr. Zou. So I'll focus in the time remaining to me just on the bottom question about willful and malicious misappropriation by Mr. Zou. If you were to find that Mr. Zou was had misappropriated Appian's trade secrets and you made some sort of dollar award, then you're asked the question: Was his misappropriation willful and malicious? So not willful or malicious. It's willful and malicious. So if one in this case, if seven, I want to look at what does willful and malicious mean? You will get in your packet jury instructions and ask you to look at Jury Instruction No. 14. And it talks about what is willful and

1	Page 8342		Page 8343
1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)	1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)
2	conduct occurs when a party acts	2	clear that Mr. Zou was doing this for
3	without regards for the right of	3	the purposes of making money. It was
4	another knowing that the injury will	4	his side hustle.
5	probably follow.	5	Mr. Mangi graciously
6	So again, that's a determination	6	acknowledged that Mr. Zou is not a
7	you have to make.	7	criminal mastermind. That's the
8	Malicious conduct occurs when a	8	nicest thing Mr. Mangi has ever said
9	party acts with ill will or spite.	9	about Mr. Zou. Thank you. We'll take
10	You also have to find that. So	10	it.
11	even if you were to find that	11	But I think what that also
12	Mr. Zou's conduct was without regard	12	indicates is that after carefully
13	to the rights of another knowing that	13	considering this, you really cannot
14	injury will probably follow, that's	14	find that Mr. Zou was guilty of both.
15	not enough to find that he was guilty	15	This is not a criminal case, but
16	of willful and malicious conduct.	16	engaged in both willful and malicious
17	You have to also find that he	17	conduct.
18	acted with ill will or spite. I	18	So thank you for your time.
19	submit to you there's nothing in the	19	Again, it's been a long run. Run a
20	record in this case that shows that	20	marathon together for the past seven
21	Mr. Zou had any motive to hurt Appian.	21	weeks. Actually could have run from
22	And when I say hurt Appian, he was not	22	here to New York and Boston and back
23	there out of spite doing this	23	again during that time, at least some
24	consulting work for Pega.	24	of us could, probably not me.
25	I think the record is pretty	25	But again, thank you for your
	Page 8344		
			Page 8345
1	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)	1	Page 8345 Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)
1 2		1 2	
	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell)		Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)
2	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) good humor and your sticking with us.	2	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) everybody.
2 3	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) good humor and your sticking with us. And good luck with your deliberations.	2 3	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) everybody. But is 9:30 tomorrow morning
2 3 4	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) good humor and your sticking with us. And good luck with your deliberations. THE COURT: Ladies and	2 3 4	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) everybody. But is 9:30 tomorrow morning available for everybody?
2 3 4 5	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) good humor and your sticking with us. And good luck with your deliberations. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, under our procedure,	2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) everybody. But is 9:30 tomorrow morning available for everybody? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, may I
2 3 4 5 6	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) good humor and your sticking with us. And good luck with your deliberations. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, under our procedure, Mr. Mangi gets a rebuttal and he has	2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) everybody. But is 9:30 tomorrow morning available for everybody? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, may I just note a point so people can
2 3 4 5 6 7	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) good humor and your sticking with us. And good luck with your deliberations. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, under our procedure, Mr. Mangi gets a rebuttal and he has by my calculation about an hour.	2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) everybody. But is 9:30 tomorrow morning available for everybody? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, may I just note a point so people can account for it?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) good humor and your sticking with us. And good luck with your deliberations. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, under our procedure, Mr. Mangi gets a rebuttal and he has by my calculation about an hour. Would you like to hear that	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) everybody. But is 9:30 tomorrow morning available for everybody? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, may I just note a point so people can account for it? I'm going to be about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) good humor and your sticking with us. And good luck with your deliberations. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, under our procedure, Mr. Mangi gets a rebuttal and he has by my calculation about an hour. Would you like to hear that today or would you like to hear it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	everybody. But is 9:30 tomorrow morning available for everybody? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, may I just note a point so people can account for it? I'm going to be about 20 minutes. So I'm happy to do it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) good humor and your sticking with us. And good luck with your deliberations. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, under our procedure, Mr. Mangi gets a rebuttal and he has by my calculation about an hour. Would you like to hear that today or would you like to hear it tomorrow morning?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) everybody. But is 9:30 tomorrow morning available for everybody? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, may I just note a point so people can account for it? I'm going to be about 20 minutes. So I'm happy to do it tomorrow, happy to do it today.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) good humor and your sticking with us. And good luck with your deliberations. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, under our procedure, Mr. Mangi gets a rebuttal and he has by my calculation about an hour. Would you like to hear that today or would you like to hear it tomorrow morning? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, I'm not	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) everybody. But is 9:30 tomorrow morning available for everybody? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, may I just note a point so people can account for it? I'm going to be about 20 minutes. So I'm happy to do it tomorrow, happy to do it today. Whatever you want.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) good humor and your sticking with us. And good luck with your deliberations. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, under our procedure, Mr. Mangi gets a rebuttal and he has by my calculation about an hour. Would you like to hear that today or would you like to hear it tomorrow morning? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, I'm not going to take an hour.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) everybody. But is 9:30 tomorrow morning available for everybody? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, may I just note a point so people can account for it? I'm going to be about 20 minutes. So I'm happy to do it tomorrow, happy to do it today. Whatever you want. JUROR: Take the stand.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) good humor and your sticking with us. And good luck with your deliberations. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, under our procedure, Mr. Mangi gets a rebuttal and he has by my calculation about an hour. Would you like to hear that today or would you like to hear it tomorrow morning? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, I'm not going to take an hour. THE COURT: You're not.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) everybody. But is 9:30 tomorrow morning available for everybody? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, may I just note a point so people can account for it? I'm going to be about 20 minutes. So I'm happy to do it tomorrow, happy to do it today. Whatever you want. JUROR: Take the stand. THE COURT: Twenty minutes.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) good humor and your sticking with us. And good luck with your deliberations. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, under our procedure, Mr. Mangi gets a rebuttal and he has by my calculation about an hour. Would you like to hear that today or would you like to hear it tomorrow morning? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, I'm not going to take an hour. THE COURT: You're not. MR. MANGI: I can't promise	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) everybody. But is 9:30 tomorrow morning available for everybody? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, may I just note a point so people can account for it? I'm going to be about 20 minutes. So I'm happy to do it tomorrow, happy to do it today. Whatever you want. JUROR: Take the stand. THE COURT: Twenty minutes. MR. MANGI: Folks, I'm back.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) good humor and your sticking with us. And good luck with your deliberations. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, under our procedure, Mr. Mangi gets a rebuttal and he has by my calculation about an hour. Would you like to hear that today or would you like to hear it tomorrow morning? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, I'm not going to take an hour. THE COURT: You're not. MR. MANGI: I can't promise 5:00, but, you know, I'm going to try	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) everybody. But is 9:30 tomorrow morning available for everybody? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, may I just note a point so people can account for it? I'm going to be about 20 minutes. So I'm happy to do it tomorrow, happy to do it today. Whatever you want. JUROR: Take the stand. THE COURT: Twenty minutes. MR. MANGI: Folks, I'm back. When I was here last, I asked</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) good humor and your sticking with us. And good luck with your deliberations. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, under our procedure, Mr. Mangi gets a rebuttal and he has by my calculation about an hour. Would you like to hear that today or would you like to hear it tomorrow morning? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, I'm not going to take an hour. THE COURT: You're not. MR. MANGI: I can't promise 5:00, but, you know, I'm going to try to get done soon after 5:00 hopefully.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) everybody. But is 9:30 tomorrow morning available for everybody? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, may I just note a point so people can account for it? I'm going to be about 20 minutes. So I'm happy to do it tomorrow, happy to do it today. Whatever you want. JUROR: Take the stand. THE COURT: Twenty minutes. MR. MANGI: Folks, I'm back. When I was here last, I asked you in their discussions, ask yourself</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) good humor and your sticking with us. And good luck with your deliberations. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, under our procedure, Mr. Mangi gets a rebuttal and he has by my calculation about an hour. Would you like to hear that today or would you like to hear it tomorrow morning? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, I'm not going to take an hour. THE COURT: You're not. MR. MANGI: I can't promise 5:00, but, you know, I'm going to try to get done soon after 5:00 hopefully. THE COURT: All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) everybody. But is 9:30 tomorrow morning available for everybody? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, may I just note a point so people can account for it? I'm going to be about 20 minutes. So I'm happy to do it tomorrow, happy to do it today. Whatever you want. JUROR: Take the stand. THE COURT: Twenty minutes. MR. MANGI: Folks, I'm back. When I was here last, I asked you in their discussions, ask yourself two questions: Why did you do it</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) good humor and your sticking with us. And good luck with your deliberations. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, under our procedure, Mr. Mangi gets a rebuttal and he has by my calculation about an hour. Would you like to hear that today or would you like to hear it tomorrow morning? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, I'm not going to take an hour. THE COURT: You're not. MR. MANGI: I can't promise 5:00, but, you know, I'm going to try to get done soon after 5:00 hopefully. THE COURT: All right. MR. MANGI: If people need to	2 3 4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) everybody. But is 9:30 tomorrow morning available for everybody? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, may I just note a point so people can account for it? I'm going to be about 20 minutes. So I'm happy to do it tomorrow, happy to do it today. Whatever you want. JUROR: Take the stand. THE COURT: Twenty minutes. MR. MANGI: Folks, I'm back. When I was here last, I asked you in their discussions, ask yourself two questions: Why did you do it then? And show me, right? Those are</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) good humor and your sticking with us. And good luck with your deliberations. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, under our procedure, Mr. Mangi gets a rebuttal and he has by my calculation about an hour. Would you like to hear that today or would you like to hear it tomorrow morning? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, I'm not going to take an hour. THE COURT: You're not. MR. MANGI: I can't promise 5:00, but, you know, I'm going to try to get done soon after 5:00 hopefully. THE COURT: All right. MR. MANGI: If people need to leave exactly at 5:00, I understand.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) everybody. But is 9:30 tomorrow morning available for everybody? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, may I just note a point so people can account for it? I'm going to be about 20 minutes. So I'm happy to do it tomorrow, happy to do it today. Whatever you want. JUROR: Take the stand. THE COURT: Twenty minutes. MR. MANGI: Folks, I'm back. When I was here last, I asked you in their discussions, ask yourself two questions: Why did you do it then? And show me, right? Those are the two things I said to look out for.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) good humor and your sticking with us. And good luck with your deliberations. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, under our procedure, Mr. Mangi gets a rebuttal and he has by my calculation about an hour. Would you like to hear that today or would you like to hear it tomorrow morning? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, I'm not going to take an hour. THE COURT: You're not. MR. MANGI: I can't promise 5:00, but, you know, I'm going to try to get done soon after 5:00 hopefully. THE COURT: All right. MR. MANGI: If people need to leave exactly at 5:00, I understand. THE COURT: Is there anybody	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) everybody. But is 9:30 tomorrow morning available for everybody? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, may I just note a point so people can account for it? I'm going to be about 20 minutes. So I'm happy to do it tomorrow, happy to do it today. Whatever you want. JUROR: Take the stand. THE COURT: Twenty minutes. MR. MANGI: Folks, I'm back. When I was here last, I asked you in their discussions, ask yourself two questions: Why did you do it then? And show me, right? Those are the two things I said to look out for. Did anyone explain to you if all</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) good humor and your sticking with us. And good luck with your deliberations. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, under our procedure, Mr. Mangi gets a rebuttal and he has by my calculation about an hour. Would you like to hear that today or would you like to hear it tomorrow morning? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, I'm not going to take an hour. THE COURT: You're not. MR. MANGI: I can't promise 5:00, but, you know, I'm going to try to get done soon after 5:00 hopefully. THE COURT: All right. MR. MANGI: If people need to leave exactly at 5:00, I understand. THE COURT: Is there anybody against who would like to call it a	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) everybody. But is 9:30 tomorrow morning available for everybody? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, may I just note a point so people can account for it? I'm going to be about 20 minutes. So I'm happy to do it tomorrow, happy to do it today. Whatever you want. JUROR: Take the stand. THE COURT: Twenty minutes. MR. MANGI: Folks, I'm back. When I was here last, I asked you in their discussions, ask yourself two questions: Why did you do it then? And show me, right? Those are the two things I said to look out for. Did anyone explain to you if all of that is true, why did you do it?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Defendant - Zou - Closing (Travell) good humor and your sticking with us. And good luck with your deliberations. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, under our procedure, Mr. Mangi gets a rebuttal and he has by my calculation about an hour. Would you like to hear that today or would you like to hear it tomorrow morning? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, I'm not going to take an hour. THE COURT: You're not. MR. MANGI: I can't promise 5:00, but, you know, I'm going to try to get done soon after 5:00 hopefully. THE COURT: All right. MR. MANGI: If people need to leave exactly at 5:00, I understand. THE COURT: Is there anybody against who would like to call it a day? Speak up if there is.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) everybody. But is 9:30 tomorrow morning available for everybody? MR. MANGI: Your Honor, may I just note a point so people can account for it? I'm going to be about 20 minutes. So I'm happy to do it tomorrow, happy to do it today. Whatever you want. JUROR: Take the stand. THE COURT: Twenty minutes. MR. MANGI: Folks, I'm back. When I was here last, I asked you in their discussions, ask yourself two questions: Why did you do it then? And show me, right? Those are the two things I said to look out for. Did anyone explain to you if all of that is true, why did you do it? And what did they show you? Next to</pre>

	Page 8346		Page 8347
1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)
2	164.	2	And Dr. Marshall told you these
3	(Whereupon, Exhibit PLT D	3	are gold dust in a competitive
4	10.163, Demonstrative, was	4	situation. That's exactly what they
5	identified.)	5	are.
6	(Whereupon, Exhibit PLT D	6	Now, does the law say if it's
7	10.164, Demonstrative, was	7	something that can be used against
8	identified.)	8	you, then it's not a trade secret?
9	MR. MANGI: Now, the trade	9	Absolutely not. There's nothing in
10	secrets. Here are the trade secrets,	10	the instructions to that effect.
11	ladies and gentlemen. You have them	11	Let's call up PLT D 10.183.
12	all listed right there.	12	(Whereupon, Exhibit PLT D
13	And what is the point that you	13	10.183, Demonstrative, was
14	heard from them on some of the first	14	identified.)
15	ones?	15	MR. MANGI: This is the legal
16	Go to the previous one, please.	16	test of a trade secret: Does it
17	They said, well, look, they say	17	derive independent economic value of
18	these are limitations. How can this	18	not being generally known or readily
19	be a trade secret?	19	ascertainable, and so on.
20	But, ladies and gentlemen, what	20	That's the test, whether it can
21	are these? They want to call them	21	be used against you, whether it can be
22	limitations, but these are	22	copied from makes not a wit of
23	identifications of technical features	23	difference to what the legal test is
24	and aspects of the product and how it	24	here.
25	works.	25	Remember, in opening statements
23	WOLID.		Remember, in opening beacements
1	Page 8348	1	Page 8349
1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)
2	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) weeks ago, I said there is a	2	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) improper means: Misrepresentation,
2 3	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) weeks ago, I said there is a difference between watching a fighter	2 3	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) improper means: Misrepresentation, use of a computer network without
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) weeks ago, I said there is a difference between watching a fighter jet go overhead and actual riding in	2 3 4	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) improper means: Misrepresentation, use of a computer network without authority, breach of a duty to
2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) weeks ago, I said there is a difference between watching a fighter jet go overhead and actual riding in it and driving it and feeling what	2 3 4 5	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) improper means: Misrepresentation, use of a computer network without authority, breach of a duty to maintain secrecy, espionage.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) weeks ago, I said there is a difference between watching a fighter jet go overhead and actual riding in it and driving it and feeling what works and what doesn't.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) improper means: Misrepresentation, use of a computer network without authority, breach of a duty to maintain secrecy, espionage. That's what is at issue here,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) weeks ago, I said there is a difference between watching a fighter jet go overhead and actual riding in it and driving it and feeling what works and what doesn't. Both sides are a trade secret</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) improper means: Misrepresentation, use of a computer network without authority, breach of a duty to maintain secrecy, espionage. That's what is at issue here, not what box he clicked on or didn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) weeks ago, I said there is a difference between watching a fighter jet go overhead and actual riding in it and driving it and feeling what works and what doesn't. Both sides are a trade secret just as well, and they know the value</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) improper means: Misrepresentation, use of a computer network without authority, breach of a duty to maintain secrecy, espionage. That's what is at issue here, not what box he clicked on or didn't click on, but the evidence on that</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) weeks ago, I said there is a difference between watching a fighter jet go overhead and actual riding in it and driving it and feeling what works and what doesn't. Both sides are a trade secret just as well, and they know the value of it because they said it in e-mail</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) improper means: Misrepresentation, use of a computer network without authority, breach of a duty to maintain secrecy, espionage. That's what is at issue here, not what box he clicked on or didn't click on, but the evidence on that point is replete too.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) weeks ago, I said there is a difference between watching a fighter jet go overhead and actual riding in it and driving it and feeling what works and what doesn't. Both sides are a trade secret just as well, and they know the value of it because they said it in e-mail after e-mail that I showed you.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) improper means: Misrepresentation, use of a computer network without authority, breach of a duty to maintain secrecy, espionage. That's what is at issue here, not what box he clicked on or didn't click on, but the evidence on that point is replete too. Mr. Travell showed you Mr. Zou's</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) weeks ago, I said there is a difference between watching a fighter jet go overhead and actual riding in it and driving it and feeling what works and what doesn't. Both sides are a trade secret just as well, and they know the value of it because they said it in e-mail after e-mail that I showed you. Now, then you heard from them</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) improper means: Misrepresentation, use of a computer network without authority, breach of a duty to maintain secrecy, espionage. That's what is at issue here, not what box he clicked on or didn't click on, but the evidence on that point is replete too. Mr. Travell showed you Mr. Zou's signing on. Do you remember that?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) weeks ago, I said there is a difference between watching a fighter jet go overhead and actual riding in it and driving it and feeling what works and what doesn't. Both sides are a trade secret just as well, and they know the value of it because they said it in e-mail after e-mail that I showed you. Now, then you heard from them that, well, you know, Mr. Zou, he</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) improper means: Misrepresentation, use of a computer network without authority, breach of a duty to maintain secrecy, espionage. That's what is at issue here, not what box he clicked on or didn't click on, but the evidence on that point is replete too. Mr. Travell showed you Mr. Zou's signing on. Do you remember that? What was he signing on to? A GSA</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) weeks ago, I said there is a difference between watching a fighter jet go overhead and actual riding in it and driving it and feeling what works and what doesn't. Both sides are a trade secret just as well, and they know the value of it because they said it in e-mail after e-mail that I showed you. Now, then you heard from them that, well, you know, Mr. Zou, he worked for CollabraLink first before</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) improper means: Misrepresentation, use of a computer network without authority, breach of a duty to maintain secrecy, espionage. That's what is at issue here, not what box he clicked on or didn't click on, but the evidence on that point is replete too. Mr. Travell showed you Mr. Zou's signing on. Do you remember that? What was he signing on to? A GSA government version using credentials</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) weeks ago, I said there is a difference between watching a fighter jet go overhead and actual riding in it and driving it and feeling what works and what doesn't. Both sides are a trade secret just as well, and they know the value of it because they said it in e-mail after e-mail that I showed you. Now, then you heard from them that, well, you know, Mr. Zou, he worked for CollabraLink first before he came to Serco. How could he have</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) improper means: Misrepresentation, use of a computer network without authority, breach of a duty to maintain secrecy, espionage. That's what is at issue here, not what box he clicked on or didn't click on, but the evidence on that point is replete too. Mr. Travell showed you Mr. Zou's signing on. Do you remember that? What was he signing on to? A GSA government version using credentials from when he worked at Lockheed in a</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) weeks ago, I said there is a difference between watching a fighter jet go overhead and actual riding in it and driving it and feeling what works and what doesn't. Both sides are a trade secret just as well, and they know the value of it because they said it in e-mail after e-mail that I showed you. Now, then you heard from them that, well, you know, Mr. Zou, he worked for CollabraLink first before he came to Serco. How could he have done anything wrong?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) improper means: Misrepresentation, use of a computer network without authority, breach of a duty to maintain secrecy, espionage. That's what is at issue here, not what box he clicked on or didn't click on, but the evidence on that point is replete too. Mr. Travell showed you Mr. Zou's signing on. Do you remember that? What was he signing on to? A GSA government version using credentials from when he worked at Lockheed in a period after he worked there. Even in</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) weeks ago, I said there is a difference between watching a fighter jet go overhead and actual riding in it and driving it and feeling what works and what doesn't. Both sides are a trade secret just as well, and they know the value of it because they said it in e-mail after e-mail that I showed you. Now, then you heard from them that, well, you know, Mr. Zou, he worked for CollabraLink first before he came to Serco. How could he have done anything wrong? And they kept on and on about</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) improper means: Misrepresentation, use of a computer network without authority, breach of a duty to maintain secrecy, espionage. That's what is at issue here, not what box he clicked on or didn't click on, but the evidence on that point is replete too. Mr. Travell showed you Mr. Zou's signing on. Do you remember that? What was he signing on to? A GSA government version using credentials from when he worked at Lockheed in a period after he worked there. Even in the very clip he showed you, he was</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) weeks ago, I said there is a difference between watching a fighter jet go overhead and actual riding in it and driving it and feeling what works and what doesn't. Both sides are a trade secret just as well, and they know the value of it because they said it in e-mail after e-mail that I showed you. Now, then you heard from them that, well, you know, Mr. Zou, he worked for CollabraLink first before he came to Serco. How could he have done anything wrong? And they kept on and on about the Terms of Use, right?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) improper means: Misrepresentation, use of a computer network without authority, breach of a duty to maintain secrecy, espionage. That's what is at issue here, not what box he clicked on or didn't click on, but the evidence on that point is replete too. Mr. Travell showed you Mr. Zou's signing on. Do you remember that? What was he signing on to? A GSA government version using credentials from when he worked at Lockheed in a period after he worked there. Even in the very clip he showed you, he was doing what he is not supposed to do.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) weeks ago, I said there is a difference between watching a fighter jet go overhead and actual riding in it and driving it and feeling what works and what doesn't. Both sides are a trade secret just as well, and they know the value of it because they said it in e-mail after e-mail that I showed you. Now, then you heard from them that, well, you know, Mr. Zou, he worked for CollabraLink first before he came to Serco. How could he have done anything wrong? And they kept on and on about the Terms of Use, right? Ladies and gentlemen, this is </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) improper means: Misrepresentation, use of a computer network without authority, breach of a duty to maintain secrecy, espionage. That's what is at issue here, not what box he clicked on or didn't click on, but the evidence on that point is replete too. Mr. Travell showed you Mr. Zou's signing on. Do you remember that? What was he signing on to? A GSA government version using credentials from when he worked at Lockheed in a period after he worked there. Even in the very clip he showed you, he was doing what he is not supposed to do. And the unrebutted testimony in </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) weeks ago, I said there is a difference between watching a fighter jet go overhead and actual riding in it and driving it and feeling what works and what doesn't. Both sides are a trade secret just as well, and they know the value of it because they said it in e-mail after e-mail that I showed you. Now, then you heard from them that, well, you know, Mr. Zou, he worked for CollabraLink first before he came to Serco. How could he have done anything wrong? And they kept on and on about the Terms of Use, right? Ladies and gentlemen, this is not a breach of contract case. This </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) improper means: Misrepresentation, use of a computer network without authority, breach of a duty to maintain secrecy, espionage. That's what is at issue here, not what box he clicked on or didn't click on, but the evidence on that point is replete too. Mr. Travell showed you Mr. Zou's signing on. Do you remember that? What was he signing on to? A GSA government version using credentials from when he worked at Lockheed in a period after he worked there. Even in the very clip he showed you, he was doing what he is not supposed to do. And the unrebutted testimony in the record from Mr. Ross right over</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) weeks ago, I said there is a difference between watching a fighter jet go overhead and actual riding in it and driving it and feeling what works and what doesn't. Both sides are a trade secret just as well, and they know the value of it because they said it in e-mail after e-mail that I showed you. Now, then you heard from them that, well, you know, Mr. Zou, he worked for CollabraLink first before he came to Serco. How could he have done anything wrong? And they kept on and on about the Terms of Use, right? Ladies and gentlemen, this is not a breach of contract case. This is a misappropriation case. </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) improper means: Misrepresentation, use of a computer network without authority, breach of a duty to maintain secrecy, espionage. That's what is at issue here, not what box he clicked on or didn't click on, but the evidence on that point is replete too. Mr. Travell showed you Mr. Zou's signing on. Do you remember that? What was he signing on to? A GSA government version using credentials from when he worked at Lockheed in a period after he worked there. Even in the very clip he showed you, he was doing what he is not supposed to do. And the unrebutted testimony in the record from Mr. Ross right over there is when you register for Forum,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) weeks ago, I said there is a difference between watching a fighter jet go overhead and actual riding in it and driving it and feeling what works and what doesn't. Both sides are a trade secret just as well, and they know the value of it because they said it in e-mail after e-mail that I showed you. Now, then you heard from them that, well, you know, Mr. Zou, he worked for CollabraLink first before he came to Serco. How could he have done anything wrong? And they kept on and on about the Terms of Use, right? Ladies and gentlemen, this is not a breach of contract case. This is a misappropriation case. Let's call up PLT D 10.217.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) improper means: Misrepresentation, use of a computer network without authority, breach of a duty to maintain secrecy, espionage. That's what is at issue here, not what box he clicked on or didn't click on, but the evidence on that point is replete too. Mr. Travell showed you Mr. Zou's signing on. Do you remember that? What was he signing on to? A GSA government version using credentials from when he worked at Lockheed in a period after he worked there. Even in the very clip he showed you, he was doing what he is not supposed to do. And the unrebutted testimony in the record from Mr. Ross right over there is when you register for Forum, whether yourself or your company</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) weeks ago, I said there is a difference between watching a fighter jet go overhead and actual riding in it and driving it and feeling what works and what doesn't. Both sides are a trade secret just as well, and they know the value of it because they said it in e-mail after e-mail that I showed you. Now, then you heard from them that, well, you know, Mr. Zou, he worked for CollabraLink first before he came to Serco. How could he have done anything wrong? And they kept on and on about the Terms of Use, right? Ladies and gentlemen, this is not a breach of contract case. This is a misappropriation case. Let's call up PLT D 10.217. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT D</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) improper means: Misrepresentation, use of a computer network without authority, breach of a duty to maintain secrecy, espionage. That's what is at issue here, not what box he clicked on or didn't click on, but the evidence on that point is replete too. Mr. Travell showed you Mr. Zou's signing on. Do you remember that? What was he signing on to? A GSA government version using credentials from when he worked at Lockheed in a period after he worked there. Even in the very clip he showed you, he was doing what he is not supposed to do. And the unrebutted testimony in the record from Mr. Ross right over there is when you register for Forum, whether yourself or your company registers you, the first time you log</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) weeks ago, I said there is a difference between watching a fighter jet go overhead and actual riding in it and driving it and feeling what works and what doesn't. Both sides are a trade secret just as well, and they know the value of it because they said it in e-mail after e-mail that I showed you. Now, then you heard from them that, well, you know, Mr. Zou, he worked for CollabraLink first before he came to Serco. How could he have done anything wrong? And they kept on and on about the Terms of Use, right? Ladies and gentlemen, this is not a breach of contract case. This is a misappropriation case. Let's call up PLT D 10.217. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT D 10.217, Demonstrative, was </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) improper means: Misrepresentation, use of a computer network without authority, breach of a duty to maintain secrecy, espionage. That's what is at issue here, not what box he clicked on or didn't click on, but the evidence on that point is replete too. Mr. Travell showed you Mr. Zou's signing on. Do you remember that? What was he signing on to? A GSA government version using credentials from when he worked at Lockheed in a period after he worked there. Even in the very clip he showed you, he was doing what he is not supposed to do. And the unrebutted testimony in the record from Mr. Ross right over there is when you register for Forum, whether yourself or your company registers you, the first time you log on you have to accept the Terms of</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) weeks ago, I said there is a difference between watching a fighter jet go overhead and actual riding in it and driving it and feeling what works and what doesn't. Both sides are a trade secret just as well, and they know the value of it because they said it in e-mail after e-mail that I showed you. Now, then you heard from them that, well, you know, Mr. Zou, he worked for CollabraLink first before he came to Serco. How could he have done anything wrong? And they kept on and on about the Terms of Use, right? Ladies and gentlemen, this is not a breach of contract case. This is a misappropriation case. Let's call up PLT D 10.217. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT D</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) improper means: Misrepresentation, use of a computer network without authority, breach of a duty to maintain secrecy, espionage. That's what is at issue here, not what box he clicked on or didn't click on, but the evidence on that point is replete too. Mr. Travell showed you Mr. Zou's signing on. Do you remember that? What was he signing on to? A GSA government version using credentials from when he worked at Lockheed in a period after he worked there. Even in the very clip he showed you, he was doing what he is not supposed to do. And the unrebutted testimony in the record from Mr. Ross right over there is when you register for Forum, whether yourself or your company registers you, the first time you log</pre>

—	Page 8350		Page 8351
1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)
2	earlier today. So Mr. Zou absolutely	2	the Agreements Appian has with Serco,
3	had to do that, just like everyone	3	the VA Agreement, the government GSA
4	else.	4	Schedule Agreement, all of those
5	But you know what? Beyond that,	5	confidentiality terms.
6	I showed you all the Serco agreements	6	They want to say we didn't take
7	and policies. They said in the	7	any efforts to protect ourselves? You
8	document Mr. Travell showed you,	8	could scarcely have more paper
9	information made available to employee	9	protecting ourselves with Terms of
10	as a direct or indirect consequence of	10	Use, licenses.
11	his employment is confidential	11	What everyone agrees in the
12	information. That's what he had.	12	software industry is all the best you
13	Through access to the Appian platform.	13	can do because you have to sell your
14	What about the policies I showed	14	product.
15	you? Remember the termination policy?	15	Then they showed you these VASP
16	It said: Misappropriation of	16	Agreements, the blank templates. And
17	information from a business partner	17	they said, oh, you know, what if
18	will get you terminated.	18	someone did this or that? Zero
19	He knew what he was doing was	10 19	evidence of any of those trade secrets
	_		-
20	wrong. How was he getting access to	20	I showed you being shown to anyone.
21	Forum? Using credentials he knew were	21	Absolutely none.
22	from his employers when he said he	22	And Mr. Zou, remember, he is
23	knew he's not allowed to use his	23	using GSA instances. He's logging on
24	information for other purposes.	24	with government credentials. It's all
25	Remember, I showed you all of	25	on video. You've seen it all happen.
	Page 8352	-	Page 8353
1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)
2	Remember, he's using a Serco	2	it.
3	laptop that says provide Microsoft	3	And what did he show you?
4	internet provided by Serco.	4	Let's talk about that. He
5	He's handing over reams of	5	showed you documents from the pre-Zou
6	documentation from the	6	era that are all talking about Pega
7	password-protected Forum website. He	7	has this feature, Pega has that
8	admits he's not authorized to do any	8	feature, Pega can do these charts,
9	of this. He says: I kept it all	9	Pega can do those charts.
10	secret. He admits he shouldn't have	10	Yeah. They know about Pega.
11	been doing any of this.	11	Shocker.
12	And Mr. Travell and Mr. Frank	12	Did they know the trade secrets
13	want to tell you he didn't do anything	13	I put on the screen about Appian?
14	wrong, nothing to see. Okay.	14	They did not, and there was not a word
15	Then Mr. Frank says to you	15	in those documents to suggest
16	I'm going to keep my word on the time.	16	otherwise.
17	That's why I keep looking back.	17	You know, what was the closest
18	JUROR: That's okay.	18	they came? It was about the kdb+
19	MR. MANGI: He says to you: We	19	in-memory database.
20	knew it.	20	Yeah? What about the
21	Right? That's what Mr. Frank's	21	configuration of the checkpointing in
22	argument is. We knew all of this in	22	Appian? That's the trade secret on
23	advance.	23	the list I showed you.
24	And what was my answer? Don't	24	Is there any wit of an
25	tell me. Show me. Show me you knew	25	indication that they knew that? None.

	Page 8354		Page 8355
1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)
2	And all the other trade secrets that	2	nothing in the list that matches with
3	were on there, nothing in those	3	what is on those older documents.
4	documents touching on any of them.	4	It's just their guesses on irrelevant
5	That's why Mr. Schuerman	5	issues.
6	admitted, we didn't have any of that.	6	And you can see that from
7	We were just assuming based on	7	Mr. Petronio's testimony. You can see
8	silence.	8	that from Mr. Schuerman's testimony.
9	That's when Mr. Petronio told	9	Mr. Frank said to you, oh, you
10	you, we got all of this from Zou.	10	know, telling you why these are
11	Before we were just guessing. Now we	11	similar. Takes some explanation. He
12	knew.	12	said not very exciting.
13	That's why Mr. Bearden said:	13	You know why it takes some
14	It's hugely valuable because now we	14	explanation? Because they are not the
15	know and we can see it and we can go	15	same.
16	out and talk about what's there.	16	You know what doesn't take
17	You know, there is a colleague	17	explanation? The truth. When things
18	on my team, I'm not going to tell you	18	are the same, you know how long it
19	who, you have to guess, but he loves	19	takes? It takes as long as when I
20	My Cousin Vinny, right? Can't stop	20	showed you the slide comparing
21	talking about it. His favorite line	21	Appian's Smart Services and Pega's
22	is to say "identical" when comparing	22	Smart Shapes. It takes five seconds
23	two things, right?	23	because you can see when something is
24	Were those identical or	24	the same. Those things are not the
25	identical? They were not. There was	25	same.
	identical. Incy were not. Incre was	25	build.
1	Page 8356	1	
1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)
2	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Concurrent development? Just by	2	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) beneath the surface.
2 3	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Concurrent development? Just by example, remember, Mr. Malackowski	2 3	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) beneath the surface. This was about live access. It
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Concurrent development? Just by example, remember, Mr. Malackowski said that's the show stopper because	2 3 4	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) beneath the surface. This was about live access. It was about testing the car. It was
2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Concurrent development? Just by example, remember, Mr. Malackowski said that's the show stopper because you see it all over. They're using it	2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) beneath the surface. This was about live access. It was about testing the car. It was about flying the jets. It's not about
2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Concurrent development? Just by example, remember, Mr. Malackowski said that's the show stopper because you see it all over. They're using it everywhere.	2 3 4 5 6	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) beneath the surface. This was about live access. It was about testing the car. It was about flying the jets. It's not about running a Google search.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Concurrent development? Just by example, remember, Mr. Malackowski said that's the show stopper because you see it all over. They're using it everywhere. Is there a shred of evidence</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	beneath the surface. This was about live access. It was about testing the car. It was about flying the jets. It's not about running a Google search. So then Mr. Frank said to you,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Concurrent development? Just by example, remember, Mr. Malackowski said that's the show stopper because you see it all over. They're using it everywhere. Is there a shred of evidence they knew anything about that? Zero.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) beneath the surface. This was about live access. It was about testing the car. It was about flying the jets. It's not about running a Google search. So then Mr. Frank said to you, he said, oh, you know, there's one</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Concurrent development? Just by example, remember, Mr. Malackowski said that's the show stopper because you see it all over. They're using it everywhere. Is there a shred of evidence they knew anything about that? Zero. So then they say, oh, you know,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) beneath the surface. This was about live access. It was about testing the car. It was about flying the jets. It's not about running a Google search. So then Mr. Frank said to you, he said, oh, you know, there's one part we'll admit. Some stray people</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Concurrent development? Just by example, remember, Mr. Malackowski said that's the show stopper because you see it all over. They're using it everywhere. Is there a shred of evidence they knew anything about that? Zero. So then they say, oh, you know, developers can see this stuff. How</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) beneath the surface. This was about live access. It was about testing the car. It was about flying the jets. It's not about running a Google search. So then Mr. Frank said to you, he said, oh, you know, there's one part we'll admit. Some stray people out there, you know, they logged on to</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Concurrent development? Just by example, remember, Mr. Malackowski said that's the show stopper because you see it all over. They're using it everywhere. Is there a shred of evidence they knew anything about that? Zero. So then they say, oh, you know, developers can see this stuff. How can it be a trade secret? They are</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) beneath the surface. This was about live access. It was about testing the car. It was about flying the jets. It's not about running a Google search. So then Mr. Frank said to you, he said, oh, you know, there's one part we'll admit. Some stray people</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Concurrent development? Just by example, remember, Mr. Malackowski said that's the show stopper because you see it all over. They're using it everywhere. Is there a shred of evidence they knew anything about that? Zero. So then they say, oh, you know, developers can see this stuff. How can it be a trade secret? They are all under license.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) beneath the surface. This was about live access. It was about testing the car. It was about flying the jets. It's not about running a Google search. So then Mr. Frank said to you, he said, oh, you know, there's one part we'll admit. Some stray people out there, you know, they logged on to</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Concurrent development? Just by example, remember, Mr. Malackowski said that's the show stopper because you see it all over. They're using it everywhere. Is there a shred of evidence they knew anything about that? Zero. So then they say, oh, you know, developers can see this stuff. How can it be a trade secret? They are</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) beneath the surface. This was about live access. It was about testing the car. It was about flying the jets. It's not about running a Google search. So then Mr. Frank said to you, he said, oh, you know, there's one part we'll admit. Some stray people out there, you know, they logged on to some stuff. They shouldn't have done it. Okay. Who was that? Was that a</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Concurrent development? Just by example, remember, Mr. Malackowski said that's the show stopper because you see it all over. They're using it everywhere. Is there a shred of evidence they knew anything about that? Zero. So then they say, oh, you know, developers can see this stuff. How can it be a trade secret? They are all under license.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) beneath the surface. This was about live access. It was about testing the car. It was about flying the jets. It's not about running a Google search. So then Mr. Frank said to you, he said, oh, you know, there's one part we'll admit. Some stray people out there, you know, they logged on to some stuff. They shouldn't have done it.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Concurrent development? Just by example, remember, Mr. Malackowski said that's the show stopper because you see it all over. They're using it everywhere. Is there a shred of evidence they knew anything about that? Zero. So then they say, oh, you know, developers can see this stuff. How can it be a trade secret? They are all under license. Remember, I showed you the jury</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) beneath the surface. This was about live access. It was about testing the car. It was about flying the jets. It's not about running a Google search. So then Mr. Frank said to you, he said, oh, you know, there's one part we'll admit. Some stray people out there, you know, they logged on to some stuff. They shouldn't have done it. Okay. Who was that? Was that a</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Concurrent development? Just by example, remember, Mr. Malackowski said that's the show stopper because you see it all over. They're using it everywhere. Is there a shred of evidence they knew anything about that? Zero. So then they say, oh, you know, developers can see this stuff. How can it be a trade secret? They are all under license. Remember, I showed you the jury instruction that says the number of people who have access doesn't matter because they are all under license.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) beneath the surface. This was about live access. It was about testing the car. It was about flying the jets. It's not about running a Google search. So then Mr. Frank said to you, he said, oh, you know, there's one part we'll admit. Some stray people out there, you know, they logged on to some stuff. They shouldn't have done it. Okay. Who was that? Was that a some low ranking employee no one's</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Concurrent development? Just by example, remember, Mr. Malackowski said that's the show stopper because you see it all over. They're using it everywhere. Is there a shred of evidence they knew anything about that? Zero. So then they say, oh, you know, developers can see this stuff. How can it be a trade secret? They are all under license. Remember, I showed you the jury instruction that says the number of people who have access doesn't matter</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) beneath the surface. This was about live access. It was about testing the car. It was about flying the jets. It's not about running a Google search. So then Mr. Frank said to you, he said, oh, you know, there's one part we'll admit. Some stray people out there, you know, they logged on to some stuff. They shouldn't have done it. Okay. Who was that? Was that a some low ranking employee no one's ever heard of? It was Ben Baril</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Concurrent development? Just by example, remember, Mr. Malackowski said that's the show stopper because you see it all over. They're using it everywhere. Is there a shred of evidence they knew anything about that? Zero. So then they say, oh, you know, developers can see this stuff. How can it be a trade secret? They are all under license. Remember, I showed you the jury instruction that says the number of people who have access doesn't matter because they are all under license.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) beneath the surface. This was about live access. It was about testing the car. It was about flying the jets. It's not about running a Google search. So then Mr. Frank said to you, he said, oh, you know, there's one part we'll admit. Some stray people out there, you know, they logged on to some stuff. They shouldn't have done it. Okay. Who was that? Was that a some low ranking employee no one's ever heard of? It was Ben Baril working for Alan Trefler. It was the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Concurrent development? Just by example, remember, Mr. Malackowski said that's the show stopper because you see it all over. They're using it everywhere. Is there a shred of evidence they knew anything about that? Zero. So then they say, oh, you know, developers can see this stuff. How can it be a trade secret? They are all under license. Remember, I showed you the jury instruction that says the number of people who have access doesn't matter because they are all under license. They are bound to protect the terms</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) beneath the surface. This was about live access. It was about testing the car. It was about flying the jets. It's not about running a Google search. So then Mr. Frank said to you, he said, oh, you know, there's one part we'll admit. Some stray people out there, you know, they logged on to some stuff. They shouldn't have done it. Okay. Who was that? Was that a some low ranking employee no one's ever heard of? It was Ben Baril working for Alan Trefler. It was the people in India working directly with</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Concurrent development? Just by example, remember, Mr. Malackowski said that's the show stopper because you see it all over. They're using it everywhere. Is there a shred of evidence they knew anything about that? Zero. So then they say, oh, you know, developers can see this stuff. How can it be a trade secret? They are all under license. Remember, I showed you the jury instruction that says the number of people who have access doesn't matter because they are all under license. They are bound to protect the terms and confidences. And by the way, if everyone knew all of this, well, why did you do it? Why didn't you know</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) beneath the surface. This was about live access. It was about testing the car. It was about flying the jets. It's not about running a Google search. So then Mr. Frank said to you, he said, oh, you know, there's one part we'll admit. Some stray people out there, you know, they logged on to some stuff. They shouldn't have done it. Okay. Who was that? Was that a some low ranking employee no one's ever heard of? It was Ben Baril working for Alan Trefler. It was the people in India working directly with Bixby and Akgonul. Those were the people who were doing this. He says it's irrelevant to our </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Concurrent development? Just by example, remember, Mr. Malackowski said that's the show stopper because you see it all over. They're using it everywhere. Is there a shred of evidence they knew anything about that? Zero. So then they say, oh, you know, developers can see this stuff. How can it be a trade secret? They are all under license. Remember, I showed you the jury instruction that says the number of people who have access doesn't matter because they are all under license. They are bound to protect the terms and confidences. And by the way, if everyone knew all of this, well, why did you do it? Why didn't you know it? They clearly didn't.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) beneath the surface. This was about live access. It was about testing the car. It was about flying the jets. It's not about running a Google search. So then Mr. Frank said to you, he said, oh, you know, there's one part we'll admit. Some stray people out there, you know, they logged on to some stuff. They shouldn't have done it. Okay. Who was that? Was that a some low ranking employee no one's ever heard of? It was Ben Baril working for Alan Trefler. It was the people in India working directly with Bixby and Akgonul. Those were the people who were doing this. He says it's irrelevant to our claims. It is not. It applies } } </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Concurrent development? Just by example, remember, Mr. Malackowski said that's the show stopper because you see it all over. They're using it everywhere. Is there a shred of evidence they knew anything about that? Zero. So then they say, oh, you know, developers can see this stuff. How can it be a trade secret? They are all under license. Remember, I showed you the jury instruction that says the number of people who have access doesn't matter because they are all under license. They are bound to protect the terms and confidences. And by the way, if everyone knew all of this, well, why did you do it? Why didn't you know it? They clearly didn't. Mr. Petronio told you that.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) beneath the surface. This was about live access. It was about testing the car. It was about flying the jets. It's not about running a Google search. So then Mr. Frank said to you, he said, oh, you know, there's one part we'll admit. Some stray people out there, you know, they logged on to some stuff. They shouldn't have done it. Okay. Who was that? Was that a some low ranking employee no one's ever heard of? It was Ben Baril working for Alan Trefler. It was the people in India working directly with Bixby and Akgonul. Those were the people who were doing this. He says it's irrelevant to our </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Concurrent development? Just by example, remember, Mr. Malackowski said that's the show stopper because you see it all over. They're using it everywhere. Is there a shred of evidence they knew anything about that? Zero. So then they say, oh, you know, developers can see this stuff. How can it be a trade secret? They are all under license. Remember, I showed you the jury instruction that says the number of people who have access doesn't matter because they are all under license. They are bound to protect the terms and confidences. And by the way, if everyone knew all of this, well, why did you do it? Why didn't you know it? They clearly didn't. Mr. Petronio told you that. It's gold dust for their sales force.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) beneath the surface. This was about live access. It was about testing the car. It was about flying the jets. It's not about running a Google search. So then Mr. Frank said to you, he said, oh, you know, there's one part we'll admit. Some stray people out there, you know, they logged on to some stuff. They shouldn't have done it. Okay. Who was that? Was that a some low ranking employee no one's ever heard of? It was Ben Baril working for Alan Trefler. It was the people in India working directly with Bixby and Akgonul. Those were the people who were doing this. He says it's irrelevant to our claims. It is not. It applies } } </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Concurrent development? Just by example, remember, Mr. Malackowski said that's the show stopper because you see it all over. They're using it everywhere. Is there a shred of evidence they knew anything about that? Zero. So then they say, oh, you know, developers can see this stuff. How can it be a trade secret? They are all under license. Remember, I showed you the jury instruction that says the number of people who have access doesn't matter because they are all under license. They are bound to protect the terms and confidences. And by the way, if everyone knew all of this, well, why did you do it? Why didn't you know it? They clearly didn't. Mr. Petronio told you that.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) beneath the surface. This was about live access. It was about testing the car. It was about flying the jets. It's not about running a Google search. So then Mr. Frank said to you, he said, oh, you know, there's one part we'll admit. Some stray people out there, you know, they logged on to some stuff. They shouldn't have done it. Okay. Who was that? Was that a some low ranking employee no one's ever heard of? It was Ben Baril working for Alan Trefler. It was the people in India working directly with Bixby and Akgonul. Those were the people who were doing this. He says it's irrelevant to our claims. It is not. It applies directly to both of our claims in this </pre>

	D		B		
1	Page 8358 Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)	1	Page 8359 Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)		
2	issues. They were continuing to look	2	doing this on his own. Okay. Let's		
3	at us time and time again, studying	3	go to PLT D 10.305, please.		
4	the black box which they knew they	4			
5	could not otherwise have access to.	5	10.305, Document, was identified.)		
	6 You know, they keep referring		MR. MANGI: If anything		
7	back to Dr. Easttom and saying, oh,	7	inappropriate was done, who does the		
8	you know, Dr. Easttom says this and	8	buck stop with? It was done by		
9	that. Now, by the way, even	9	Petronio, Baril, anyone. It's		
10	Mr. Travell now clearly agrees with me	10	Mr. Trefler. It's the company.		
11	that his Ph.D.s are pretty sketchy,	11	Petronio wasn't out there doing		
12	right?	12	some stuff in a corner on his own.		
13	But why is that important?	13	They were all right there with him		
14	Credibility, ladies and gentlemen.	14	guiding his work. He was a very		
15	You compare him to Dr. Cole on these	15	honest guy, but he wasn't coming up		
16	trade secret issues. Compare him to	16	with all of this on his own.		
17	Dr. Marshall. You decide who's the	17	When he testified on the stand,		
18	serious player and person here.	18	he told you all of these people. He		
19	But their access and continued	19	was honest about all of these people		
20	access, let me be unmistakable. It is	20	who were involved in the conduct that		
20	relevant, important, critical to both	20	he did, and now he's telling you the		
22	of our claims here.	22	truth. He's coming clean about		
23	Now, then they say about	23	everything that he did.		
24	Mr. Petronio, oh, you know,	23	Now, then here's a very		
24		24			
25	Mr. Petronio, he must be out there	25	important point, ladies and gentlemen.		
	Page 8360				
1		1	Page 8361		
1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)		
2	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Very important point.	2	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) and what part goes where? Let's		
2 3	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Very important point. If you find there's even one	2 3	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) and what part goes where? Let's refocus on that for a moment.		
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Very important point. If you find there's even one trade secret at issue here, right, I	2 3 4	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) and what part goes where? Let's refocus on that for a moment. Appian, once we've shown		
2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Very important point. If you find there's even one trade secret at issue here, right, I would submit to you all of these that	2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) and what part goes where? Let's refocus on that for a moment. Appian, once we've shown misappropriation, Appian has the		
2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Very important point. If you find there's even one trade secret at issue here, right, I would submit to you all of these that I have on that list, two pages, they	2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) and what part goes where? Let's refocus on that for a moment. Appian, once we've shown misappropriation, Appian has the burden of establishing by greater		
2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Very important point. If you find there's even one trade secret at issue here, right, I would submit to you all of these that I have on that list, two pages, they are all trade secrets. We've	2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) and what part goes where? Let's refocus on that for a moment. Appian, once we've shown misappropriation, Appian has the burden of establishing by greater weight of the evidence Pegasystems'		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Very important point.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) and what part goes where? Let's refocus on that for a moment. Appian, once we've shown misappropriation, Appian has the burden of establishing by greater weight of the evidence Pegasystems' sales. We just got to show all the		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Very important point. If you find there's even one trade secret at issue here, right, I would submit to you all of these that I have on that list, two pages, they are all trade secrets. We've established that in spades. But if you find even one is,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) and what part goes where? Let's refocus on that for a moment. Appian, once we've shown misappropriation, Appian has the burden of establishing by greater weight of the evidence Pegasystems' sales. We just got to show all the money that flowed in, the 6 billion		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Very important point.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) and what part goes where? Let's refocus on that for a moment. Appian, once we've shown misappropriation, Appian has the burden of establishing by greater weight of the evidence Pegasystems' sales. We just got to show all the money that flowed in, the 6 billion plus from their customers, right?</pre>		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Very important point.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) and what part goes where? Let's refocus on that for a moment. Appian, once we've shown misappropriation, Appian has the burden of establishing by greater weight of the evidence Pegasystems' sales. We just got to show all the money that flowed in, the 6 billion plus from their customers, right? That's what we have to show.</pre>		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Very important point. If you find there's even one trade secret at issue here, right, I would submit to you all of these that I have on that list, two pages, they are all trade secrets. We've established that in spades. But if you find even one is, then it's up to you to determine what you think the damages should be. But now Mr. Frank, he gave you a long</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) and what part goes where? Let's refocus on that for a moment. Appian, once we've shown misappropriation, Appian has the burden of establishing by greater weight of the evidence Pegasystems' sales. We just got to show all the money that flowed in, the 6 billion plus from their customers, right? That's what we have to show. He's suggesting to you, no, we</pre>		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Very important point. If you find there's even one trade secret at issue here, right, I would submit to you all of these that I have on that list, two pages, they are all trade secrets. We've established that in spades. But if you find even one is, then it's up to you to determine what you think the damages should be. But now Mr. Frank, he gave you a long explanation saying, you know, Appian,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) and what part goes where? Let's refocus on that for a moment. Appian, once we've shown misappropriation, Appian has the burden of establishing by greater weight of the evidence Pegasystems' sales. We just got to show all the money that flowed in, the 6 billion plus from their customers, right? That's what we have to show. He's suggesting to you, no, we have to show that every customer was</pre>		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Very important point.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) and what part goes where? Let's refocus on that for a moment. Appian, once we've shown misappropriation, Appian has the burden of establishing by greater weight of the evidence Pegasystems' sales. We just got to show all the money that flowed in, the 6 billion plus from their customers, right? That's what we have to show. He's suggesting to you, no, we have to show that every customer was only there because of a trade secret.</pre>		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Very important point. If you find there's even one trade secret at issue here, right, I would submit to you all of these that I have on that list, two pages, they are all trade secrets. We've established that in spades. But if you find even one is, then it's up to you to determine what you think the damages should be. But now Mr. Frank, he gave you a long explanation saying, you know, Appian, they haven't proved this, they haven't proved that. You know what he's</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) and what part goes where? Let's refocus on that for a moment. Appian, once we've shown misappropriation, Appian has the burden of establishing by greater weight of the evidence Pegasystems' sales. We just got to show all the money that flowed in, the 6 billion plus from their customers, right? That's what we have to show. He's suggesting to you, no, we have to show that every customer was only there because of a trade secret. It is their burden that is identified</pre>		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Very important point. If you find there's even one trade secret at issue here, right, I would submit to you all of these that I have on that list, two pages, they are all trade secrets. We've established that in spades. But if you find even one is, then it's up to you to determine what you think the damages should be. But now Mr. Frank, he gave you a long explanation saying, you know, Appian, they haven't proved this, they haven't proved that. You know what he's ignoring there completely? The law.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) and what part goes where? Let's refocus on that for a moment. Appian, once we've shown misappropriation, Appian has the burden of establishing by greater weight of the evidence Pegasystems' sales. We just got to show all the money that flowed in, the 6 billion plus from their customers, right? That's what we have to show. He's suggesting to you, no, we have to show that every customer was only there because of a trade secret. It is their burden that is identified in the jury instruction. He didn't</pre>		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Very important point. If you find there's even one trade secret at issue here, right, I would submit to you all of these that I have on that list, two pages, they are all trade secrets. We've established that in spades. But if you find even one is, then it's up to you to determine what you think the damages should be. But now Mr. Frank, he gave you a long explanation saying, you know, Appian, they haven't proved this, they haven't proved that. You know what he's ignoring there completely? The law. He's ignoring the law.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) and what part goes where? Let's refocus on that for a moment. Appian, once we've shown misappropriation, Appian has the burden of establishing by greater weight of the evidence Pegasystems' sales. We just got to show all the money that flowed in, the 6 billion plus from their customers, right? That's what we have to show. He's suggesting to you, no, we have to show that every customer was only there because of a trade secret. It is their burden that is identified in the jury instruction. He didn't show you this instruction.</pre>		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Very important point. If you find there's even one trade secret at issue here, right, I would submit to you all of these that I have on that list, two pages, they are all trade secrets. We've established that in spades. But if you find even one is, then it's up to you to determine what you think the damages should be. But now Mr. Frank, he gave you a long explanation saying, you know, Appian, they haven't proved this, they haven't proved that. You know what he's ignoring there completely? The law. He's ignoring the law. The burdens of proof that I took</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) and what part goes where? Let's refocus on that for a moment. Appian, once we've shown misappropriation, Appian has the burden of establishing by greater weight of the evidence Pegasystems' sales. We just got to show all the money that flowed in, the 6 billion plus from their customers, right? That's what we have to show. He's suggesting to you, no, we have to show that every customer was only there because of a trade secret. It is their burden that is identified in the jury instruction. He didn't show you this instruction. It says: Pegasystems has the</pre>		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Very important point. If you find there's even one trade secret at issue here, right, I would submit to you all of these that I have on that list, two pages, they are all trade secrets. We've established that in spades. But if you find even one is, then it's up to you to determine what you think the damages should be. But now Mr. Frank, he gave you a long explanation saying, you know, Appian, they haven't proved this, they haven't proved that. You know what he's ignoring there completely? The law. He's ignoring the law. The burdens of proof that I took you through, those are critical here.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) and what part goes where? Let's refocus on that for a moment. Appian, once we've shown misappropriation, Appian has the burden of establishing by greater weight of the evidence Pegasystems' sales. We just got to show all the money that flowed in, the 6 billion plus from their customers, right? That's what we have to show. He's suggesting to you, no, we have to show that every customer was only there because of a trade secret. It is their burden that is identified in the jury instruction. He didn't show you this instruction. It says: Pegasystems has the burden of establishing by greater</pre>		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Very important point. If you find there's even one trade secret at issue here, right, I would submit to you all of these that I have on that list, two pages, they are all trade secrets. We've established that in spades. But if you find even one is, then it's up to you to determine what you think the damages should be. But now Mr. Frank, he gave you a long explanation saying, you know, Appian, they haven't proved this, they haven't proved that. You know what he's ignoring there completely? The law. He's ignoring the law. The burdens of proof that I took you through, those are critical here. So let's talk about that.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) and what part goes where? Let's refocus on that for a moment. Appian, once we've shown misappropriation, Appian has the burden of establishing by greater weight of the evidence Pegasystems' sales. We just got to show all the money that flowed in, the 6 billion plus from their customers, right? That's what we have to show. He's suggesting to you, no, we have to show that every customer was only there because of a trade secret. It is their burden that is identified in the jury instruction. It says: Pegasystems has the burden of establishing by greater weight of the evidence any portion of</pre>		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Very important point. If you find there's even one trade secret at issue here, right, I would submit to you all of these that I have on that list, two pages, they are all trade secrets. We've established that in spades. But if you find even one is, then it's up to you to determine what you think the damages should be. But now Mr. Frank, he gave you a long explanation saying, you know, Appian, they haven't proved this, they haven't proved that. You know what he's ignoring there completely? The law. He's ignoring the law. The burdens of proof that I took you through, those are critical here. So let's talk about that. Let me go to PLT D 10.348.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) and what part goes where? Let's refocus on that for a moment. Appian, once we've shown misappropriation, Appian has the burden of establishing by greater weight of the evidence Pegasystems' sales. We just got to show all the money that flowed in, the 6 billion plus from their customers, right? That's what we have to show. He's suggesting to you, no, we have to show that every customer was only there because of a trade secret. It is their burden that is identified in the jury instruction. He didn't show you this instruction. It says: Pegasystems has the burden of establishing by greater weight of the evidence any portion of the sales not attributable to the</pre>		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Very important point. If you find there's even one trade secret at issue here, right, I would submit to you all of these that I have on that list, two pages, they are all trade secrets. We've established that in spades. But if you find even one is, then it's up to you to determine what you think the damages should be. But now Mr. Frank, he gave you a long explanation saying, you know, Appian, they haven't proved this, they haven't proved that. You know what he's ignoring there completely? The law. He's ignoring the law. The burdens of proof that I took you through, those are critical here. So let's talk about that. Let me go to PLT D 10.348. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 10.348,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) and what part goes where? Let's refocus on that for a moment. Appian, once we've shown misappropriation, Appian has the burden of establishing by greater weight of the evidence Pegasystems' sales. We just got to show all the money that flowed in, the 6 billion plus from their customers, right? That's what we have to show. He's suggesting to you, no, we have to show that every customer was only there because of a trade secret. It is their burden that is identified in the jury instruction. He didn't show you this instruction. It says: Pegasystems has the burden of establishing by greater weight of the evidence any portion of the sales not attributable to the trade secrets.</pre>		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Very important point. If you find there's even one trade secret at issue here, right, I would submit to you all of these that I have on that list, two pages, they are all trade secrets. We've established that in spades. But if you find even one is, then it's up to you to determine what you think the damages should be. But now Mr. Frank, he gave you a long explanation saying, you know, Appian, they haven't proved this, they haven't proved that. You know what he's ignoring there completely? The law. He's ignoring the law. The burdens of proof that I took you through, those are critical here. So let's talk about that. Let me go to PLT D 10.348. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 10.348, Document, was identified.)</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) and what part goes where? Let's refocus on that for a moment. Appian, once we've shown misappropriation, Appian has the burden of establishing by greater weight of the evidence Pegasystems' sales. We just got to show all the money that flowed in, the 6 billion plus from their customers, right? That's what we have to show. He's suggesting to you, no, we have to show that every customer was only there because of a trade secret. It is their burden that is identified in the jury instruction. He didn't show you this instruction. It says: Pegasystems has the burden of establishing by greater weight of the evidence any portion of the sales not attributable to the trade secrets. So what does that mean? That</pre>		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) Very important point. If you find there's even one trade secret at issue here, right, I would submit to you all of these that I have on that list, two pages, they are all trade secrets. We've established that in spades. But if you find even one is, then it's up to you to determine what you think the damages should be. But now Mr. Frank, he gave you a long explanation saying, you know, Appian, they haven't proved this, they haven't proved that. You know what he's ignoring there completely? The law. He's ignoring the law. The burdens of proof that I took you through, those are critical here. So let's talk about that. Let me go to PLT D 10.348. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 10.348,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) and what part goes where? Let's refocus on that for a moment. Appian, once we've shown misappropriation, Appian has the burden of establishing by greater weight of the evidence Pegasystems' sales. We just got to show all the money that flowed in, the 6 billion plus from their customers, right? That's what we have to show. He's suggesting to you, no, we have to show that every customer was only there because of a trade secret. It is their burden that is identified in the jury instruction. He didn't show you this instruction. It says: Pegasystems has the burden of establishing by greater weight of the evidence any portion of the sales not attributable to the trade secrets.</pre>		

	Page 8362		Page 8363
1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)
2	any of this, it is on them to prove	2	feature, they had to prove that to
3	that.	3	you. It's right there in the jury
4	The law puts that burden on the	4	instruction.
5	misappropriator, not on the victim.	5	But what did their expert
6	They've got to show that the sale was	6	Mr. Platt do? He said he hasn't done
7	innocent, and I showed you this	7	that. He hasn't even tried to do
8	morning why they can't show that even	8	that.
9	for their prized examples.	9	And you can imagine why.
10	And then when it comes to the	10	Because the truth is as Dr. Marshall
11	product improvements theory where we	11	gave it to you. These are fundamental
12	have our primary damages claim, the	12	features and they were fundamental to
13	\$3.03 billion claim, on that issue,	13	this product not becoming Lotus 1-2-3.
14	there, the same burden shifting	14	They were at the core of
15	applies.	15	everything here. And you know, the
16	He's saying, well, Appian hasn't	16	investors, we talked about the stock
17	proven, what if there was some other	17	charts, they saw this. Why? Because
18	feature, and what if something else	18	investors, they are not looking at
19	was important, what if they added	19	what, do you still have revenue now?
20	another feature not the trade secret	20	They're looking at what's going to
21	that mattered to a sale.	21	happen in the future, and they were
22	If they wanted to argue that	22	selling Pega stock in droves before
23	there were sales that are innocent,	23	this happened and buying other
24	untainted by the trade secret that	24	software.
25	happened because of some other	25	That proves to you the point
1			1 1 1
1	Page 8364 Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)	1	Page 8365 Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)
1 2	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)
2	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) that Dr. Marshall was making. He	2	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) with your CEO, including whole series
2 3	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) that Dr. Marshall was making. He explained why all of that is the case.	2 3	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) with your CEO, including whole series on CDTs?
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) that Dr. Marshall was making. He explained why all of that is the case. Let me talk a little bit about	2 3 4	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) with your CEO, including whole series on CDTs? Is any of that credible?
2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) that Dr. Marshall was making. He explained why all of that is the case. Let me talk a little bit about the product improvements issues. Now,	2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) with your CEO, including whole series on CDTs? Is any of that credible? And on editing, Dr. Marshall
2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) that Dr. Marshall was making. He explained why all of that is the case. Let me talk a little bit about the product improvements issues. Now, on Smart Services, right, Dr. Marshall	2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) with your CEO, including whole series on CDTs? Is any of that credible? And on editing, Dr. Marshall showed you exactly where the button
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) that Dr. Marshall was making. He explained why all of that is the case. Let me talk a little bit about the product improvements issues. Now, on Smart Services, right, Dr. Marshall gave you such detailed evidence.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) with your CEO, including whole series on CDTs? Is any of that credible? And on editing, Dr. Marshall showed you exactly where the button was, how it worked and why it worked.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) that Dr. Marshall was making. He explained why all of that is the case. Let me talk a little bit about the product improvements issues. Now, on Smart Services, right, Dr. Marshall gave you such detailed evidence. Remember, he's been through all of</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) with your CEO, including whole series on CDTs?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) that Dr. Marshall was making. He explained why all of that is the case. Let me talk a little bit about the product improvements issues. Now, on Smart Services, right, Dr. Marshall gave you such detailed evidence. Remember, he's been through all of these, right? And he told you exactly</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) with your CEO, including whole series on CDTs? Is any of that credible? And on editing, Dr. Marshall showed you exactly where the button was, how it worked and why it worked. Now, on this issue of high technology, you want to believe Dr. Marshall or do</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) that Dr. Marshall was making. He explained why all of that is the case. Let me talk a little bit about the product improvements issues. Now, on Smart Services, right, Dr. Marshall gave you such detailed evidence. Remember, he's been through all of these, right? And he told you exactly what, when, and how they took it.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) with your CEO, including whole series on CDTs? Is any of that credible? And on editing, Dr. Marshall showed you exactly where the button was, how it worked and why it worked. Now, on this issue of high technology, you want to believe Dr. Marshall or do you want to believe Mr. Frank? You</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) that Dr. Marshall was making. He explained why all of that is the case. Let me talk a little bit about the product improvements issues. Now, on Smart Services, right, Dr. Marshall gave you such detailed evidence. Remember, he's been through all of these, right? And he told you exactly what, when, and how they took it. What's the answer to that?</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) with your CEO, including whole series on CDTs? Is any of that credible? And on editing, Dr. Marshall showed you exactly where the button was, how it worked and why it worked. Now, on this issue of high technology, you want to believe Dr. Marshall or do you want to believe Mr. Frank? You make up your minds on that issue.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) that Dr. Marshall was making. He explained why all of that is the case. Let me talk a little bit about the product improvements issues. Now, on Smart Services, right, Dr. Marshall gave you such detailed evidence. Remember, he's been through all of these, right? And he told you exactly what, when, and how they took it. What's the answer to that? Nothing. Nothing at all. They just</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) with your CEO, including whole series on CDTs?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) that Dr. Marshall was making. He explained why all of that is the case. Let me talk a little bit about the product improvements issues. Now, on Smart Services, right, Dr. Marshall gave you such detailed evidence. Remember, he's been through all of these, right? And he told you exactly what, when, and how they took it. What's the answer to that? Nothing. Nothing at all. They just say take our word, we must have had</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) with your CEO, including whole series on CDTs? Is any of that credible? And on editing, Dr. Marshall showed you exactly where the button was, how it worked and why it worked. Now, on this issue of high technology, you want to believe Dr. Marshall or do you want to believe Mr. Frank? You make up your minds on that issue. And on social and mobile, folks, all you hear from Pega is, oh, you</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) that Dr. Marshall was making. He explained why all of that is the case. Let me talk a little bit about the product improvements issues. Now, on Smart Services, right, Dr. Marshall gave you such detailed evidence. Remember, he's been through all of these, right? And he told you exactly what, when, and how they took it. What's the answer to that? Nothing. Nothing at all. They just say take our word, we must have had this before.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) with your CEO, including whole series on CDTs?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) that Dr. Marshall was making. He explained why all of that is the case. Let me talk a little bit about the product improvements issues. Now, on Smart Services, right, Dr. Marshall gave you such detailed evidence. Remember, he's been through all of these, right? And he told you exactly what, when, and how they took it. What's the answer to that? Nothing. Nothing at all. They just say take our word, we must have had this before. He looked at them. He told you</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) with your CEO, including whole series on CDTs?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) that Dr. Marshall was making. He explained why all of that is the case. Let me talk a little bit about the product improvements issues. Now, on Smart Services, right, Dr. Marshall gave you such detailed evidence. Remember, he's been through all of these, right? And he told you exactly what, when, and how they took it. What's the answer to that? Nothing. Nothing at all. They just say take our word, we must have had this before. He looked at them. He told you </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) with your CEO, including whole series on CDTs? Is any of that credible? And on editing, Dr. Marshall showed you exactly where the button was, how it worked and why it worked. Now, on this issue of high technology, you want to believe Dr. Marshall or do you want to believe Mr. Frank? You make up your minds on that issue. And on social and mobile, folks, all you hear from Pega is, oh, you know, we had a Sencha Band-Aid. We had a lot. Nothing to do with the trade</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) that Dr. Marshall was making. He explained why all of that is the case. Let me talk a little bit about the product improvements issues. Now, on Smart Services, right, Dr. Marshall gave you such detailed evidence. Remember, he's been through all of these, right? And he told you exactly what, when, and how they took it. What's the answer to that? Nothing. Nothing at all. They just say take our word, we must have had this before. He looked at them. He told you different. The Why Upgrade document confirms it. No, 6.3; yes, 7.1.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) with your CEO, including whole series on CDTs?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) that Dr. Marshall was making. He explained why all of that is the case. Let me talk a little bit about the product improvements issues. Now, on Smart Services, right, Dr. Marshall gave you such detailed evidence. Remember, he's been through all of these, right? And he told you exactly what, when, and how they took it. What's the answer to that? Nothing. Nothing at all. They just say take our word, we must have had this before. He looked at them. He told you different. The Why Upgrade document confirms it. No, 6.3; yes, 7.1. CDTs, what did they say? It's</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) with your CEO, including whole series on CDTs?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) that Dr. Marshall was making. He explained why all of that is the case. Let me talk a little bit about the product improvements issues. Now, on Smart Services, right, Dr. Marshall gave you such detailed evidence. Remember, he's been through all of these, right? And he told you exactly what, when, and how they took it. What's the answer to that? Nothing. Nothing at all. They just say take our word, we must have had this before. He looked at them. He told you different. The Why Upgrade document confirms it. No, 6.3; yes, 7.1.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) with your CEO, including whole series on CDTs?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) that Dr. Marshall was making. He explained why all of that is the case. Let me talk a little bit about the product improvements issues. Now, on Smart Services, right, Dr. Marshall gave you such detailed evidence. Remember, he's been through all of these, right? And he told you exactly what, when, and how they took it. What's the answer to that? Nothing. Nothing at all. They just say take our word, we must have had this before. He looked at them. He told you different. The Why Upgrade document confirms it. No, 6.3; yes, 7.1. CDTs, what did they say? It's been the same for decades. We've had it forever.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) with your CEO, including whole series on CDTs?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) that Dr. Marshall was making. He explained why all of that is the case. Let me talk a little bit about the product improvements issues. Now, on Smart Services, right, Dr. Marshall gave you such detailed evidence. Remember, he's been through all of these, right? And he told you exactly what, when, and how they took it. What's the answer to that? Nothing. Nothing at all. They just say take our word, we must have had this before. He looked at them. He told you different. The Why Upgrade document confirms it. No, 6.3; yes, 7.1. CDTs, what did they say? It's been the same for decades. We've had it forever. Well, then why did you do all</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) with your CEO, including whole series on CDTs?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) that Dr. Marshall was making. He explained why all of that is the case. Let me talk a little bit about the product improvements issues. Now, on Smart Services, right, Dr. Marshall gave you such detailed evidence. Remember, he's been through all of these, right? And he told you exactly what, when, and how they took it. What's the answer to that? Nothing. Nothing at all. They just say take our word, we must have had this before. He looked at them. He told you different. The Why Upgrade document confirms it. No, 6.3; yes, 7.1. CDTs, what did they say? It's been the same for decades. We've had it forever. Well, then why did you do all this? Why does your Project Crush </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) with your CEO, including whole series on CDTs?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) that Dr. Marshall was making. He explained why all of that is the case. Let me talk a little bit about the product improvements issues. Now, on Smart Services, right, Dr. Marshall gave you such detailed evidence. Remember, he's been through all of these, right? And he told you exactly what, when, and how they took it. What's the answer to that? Nothing. Nothing at all. They just say take our word, we must have had this before. He looked at them. He told you different. The Why Upgrade document confirms it. No, 6.3; yes, 7.1. CDTs, what did they say? It's been the same for decades. We've had it forever. Well, then why did you do all this? Why does your Project Crush memo study Appian CDTs and say </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) with your CEO, including whole series on CDTs?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) that Dr. Marshall was making. He explained why all of that is the case. Let me talk a little bit about the product improvements issues. Now, on Smart Services, right, Dr. Marshall gave you such detailed evidence. Remember, he's been through all of these, right? And he told you exactly what, when, and how they took it. What's the answer to that? Nothing. Nothing at all. They just say take our word, we must have had this before. He looked at them. He told you different. The Why Upgrade document confirms it. No, 6.3; yes, 7.1. CDTs, what did they say? It's been the same for decades. We've had it forever. Well, then why did you do all this? Why does your Project Crush </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) with your CEO, including whole series on CDTs?</pre>

1 2	Page 8366		Page 8367
2	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)
	Then on Dr. Marshall, they said,	2	saying what happened here? We didn't
3	oh, you know, he only spent a couple	3	know. We were guessing.
4	of weeks after his Expert Report	4	They knew because they are the
5	looking at this. He testified he	5	ones that did it. And you saw what
6	spent 100 to 150 hours building apps	6	their documents show, Zou documents
7	in Pega. He's the only one that did	7	being used in all of these
8	it. Mr. Pinto didn't do a thing.	8	opportunities.
9	And he has laid it out for you	9	And with all of these customers,
10	in absolute detail, and he addressed	10	right, it's their burden to show if a
11	every other point just yesterday they	11	sale is innocent. It's their
12	raised. I won't go through them all	12	customers we're talking about.
13	again.	13	Where are they? They could have
14	You know, they acquired Antenna,	14	customers come in and say, you know, I
15	unrelated last mile technology. And	15	bought this for reasons that have
16	the 7.1 upgrade document, that's all	16	nothing to do with this. That's why I
17	you need to see to know if this was	17	chose Peqa.
18	new and added to this.	18	5
19	On the specific customers they	19	They even don't carry their burden. There's not one customer they
20	raised, let me point this up. They	20	called, and you can guess why. Why
20	pointed just a few.	20	wouldn't they with \$3 billion on the
22	They talked about Amazon and	22	line?
23	they looked at an Appian e-mail from	23	Mr. Frank talked about Telstra,
24	the time, but Appian had no idea what	24	Poste, Ryder. He spent a lot of time
25	was happening. You see Appian people	25	on those. Those aren't even customers
	was impleiting. Tou see Apprair peopre	23	
1	Page 8368 Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)	1	Page 8369 Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)
2	in our damages methodology.	2	go to PLT D 10.384.
3	Mr. Malackowski took them out	3	(Whereupon, Exhibit PLT D
4	because their contracts predated Zou.	4	10.384, Document, was identified.)
5	I told you he was being very	5	MR. MANGI: Back to the verdict
6	conservative.	6	form. Now, ladies and gentlemen, let
7	When it comes to Rabo and	7	me know, one of you is about to become
8	Census, I addressed those this	8	a foreperson. Whoever is that lucky
	morning. Fundamentally tainted by	9	a roreperson. Whoever is that rucky
			person other people are going to look
9			person, other people are going to look
9 10	this whole thing and ultimately no way	10	to them and say, did you write this
9 10 11	this whole thing and ultimately no way to say they had nothing do with this.	10 11	to them and say, did you write this down? So if there's anything you need
9 10 11 12	this whole thing and ultimately no way to say they had nothing do with this. Again, though, their burden,	10 11 12	to them and say, did you write this down? So if there's anything you need to write down, I see you writing.
9 10 11 12 13	this whole thing and ultimately no way to say they had nothing do with this. Again, though, their burden, their customers. Were they here? If	10 11 12 13	to them and say, did you write this down? So if there's anything you need to write down, I see you writing. I'll pause and slow down. Okay?
9 10 11 12 13 14	this whole thing and ultimately no way to say they had nothing do with this. Again, though, their burden, their customers. Were they here? If not, why not? You can answer that	10 11 12 13 14	to them and say, did you write this down? So if there's anything you need to write down, I see you writing. I'll pause and slow down. Okay? Now, let's talk about these
9 10 11 12 13 14 15	this whole thing and ultimately no way to say they had nothing do with this. Again, though, their burden, their customers. Were they here? If not, why not? You can answer that question.	10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>to them and say, did you write this down? So if there's anything you need to write down, I see you writing. I'll pause and slow down. Okay? Now, let's talk about these issues. \$3 billion? Yeah, it's a big</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this whole thing and ultimately no way to say they had nothing do with this. Again, though, their burden, their customers. Were they here? If not, why not? You can answer that question. And by the way, the Zou	10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>to them and say, did you write this down? So if there's anything you need to write down, I see you writing. I'll pause and slow down. Okay? Now, let's talk about these issues. \$3 billion? Yeah, it's a big number, right? The exact number, of</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>this whole thing and ultimately no way to say they had nothing do with this. Again, though, their burden, their customers. Were they here? If not, why not? You can answer that question. And by the way, the Zou information? Don't forget, this kept</pre>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>to them and say, did you write this down? So if there's anything you need to write down, I see you writing. I'll pause and slow down. Okay? Now, let's talk about these issues. \$3 billion? Yeah, it's a big number, right? The exact number, of course, \$3,032,847,000. It is a big</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>this whole thing and ultimately no way to say they had nothing do with this. Again, though, their burden, their customers. Were they here? If not, why not? You can answer that question. And by the way, the Zou information? Don't forget, this kept on going for years and years and years</pre>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>to them and say, did you write this down? So if there's anything you need to write down, I see you writing. I'll pause and slow down. Okay? Now, let's talk about these issues. \$3 billion? Yeah, it's a big number, right? The exact number, of course, \$3,032,847,000. It is a big number.</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>this whole thing and ultimately no way to say they had nothing do with this. Again, though, their burden, their customers. Were they here? If not, why not? You can answer that question. And by the way, the Zou information? Don't forget, this kept on going for years and years and years long after Zou had left. That's why</pre>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>to them and say, did you write this down? So if there's anything you need to write down, I see you writing. I'll pause and slow down. Okay? Now, let's talk about these issues. \$3 billion? Yeah, it's a big number, right? The exact number, of course, \$3,032,847,000. It is a big number. Why? Because they make a</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>this whole thing and ultimately no way to say they had nothing do with this. Again, though, their burden, their customers. Were they here? If not, why not? You can answer that question. And by the way, the Zou information? Don't forget, this kept on going for years and years and years long after Zou had left. That's why Mr. Hoffman, he said I would never do</pre>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>to them and say, did you write this down? So if there's anything you need to write down, I see you writing. I'll pause and slow down. Okay? Now, let's talk about these issues. \$3 billion? Yeah, it's a big number, right? The exact number, of course, \$3,032,847,000. It is a big number. Why? Because they make a billion dollars a year. And you saw</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this whole thing and ultimately no way to say they had nothing do with this. Again, though, their burden, their customers. Were they here? If not, why not? You can answer that question. And by the way, the Zou information? Don't forget, this kept on going for years and years and years long after Zou had left. That's why Mr. Hoffman, he said I would never do this. He was doing it too. He was	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>to them and say, did you write this down? So if there's anything you need to write down, I see you writing. I'll pause and slow down. Okay? Now, let's talk about these issues. \$3 billion? Yeah, it's a big number, right? The exact number, of course, \$3,032,847,000. It is a big number. Why? Because they make a billion dollars a year. And you saw the conduct that they engaged in. It</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>this whole thing and ultimately no way to say they had nothing do with this. Again, though, their burden, their customers. Were they here? If not, why not? You can answer that question. And by the way, the Zou information? Don't forget, this kept on going for years and years and years long after Zou had left. That's why Mr. Hoffman, he said I would never do this. He was doing it too. He was circulating these all throughout this</pre>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>to them and say, did you write this down? So if there's anything you need to write down, I see you writing. I'll pause and slow down. Okay? Now, let's talk about these issues. \$3 billion? Yeah, it's a big number, right? The exact number, of course, \$3,032,847,000. It is a big number. Why? Because they make a billion dollars a year. And you saw the conduct that they engaged in. It is the just and appropriate outcome.</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this whole thing and ultimately no way to say they had nothing do with this. Again, though, their burden, their customers. Were they here? If not, why not? You can answer that question. And by the way, the Zou information? Don't forget, this kept on going for years and years and years long after Zou had left. That's why Mr. Hoffman, he said I would never do this. He was doing it too. He was circulating these all throughout this time period, and the evidence in the	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>to them and say, did you write this down? So if there's anything you need to write down, I see you writing. I'll pause and slow down. Okay? Now, let's talk about these issues. \$3 billion? Yeah, it's a big number, right? The exact number, of course, \$3,032,847,000. It is a big number. Why? Because they make a billion dollars a year. And you saw the conduct that they engaged in. It is the just and appropriate outcome. And Mr. Zou should be held</pre>
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>this whole thing and ultimately no way to say they had nothing do with this. Again, though, their burden, their customers. Were they here? If not, why not? You can answer that question. And by the way, the Zou information? Don't forget, this kept on going for years and years and years long after Zou had left. That's why Mr. Hoffman, he said I would never do this. He was doing it too. He was circulating these all throughout this</pre>	10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>to them and say, did you write this down? So if there's anything you need to write down, I see you writing. I'll pause and slow down. Okay? Now, let's talk about these issues. \$3 billion? Yeah, it's a big number, right? The exact number, of course, \$3,032,847,000. It is a big number. Why? Because they make a billion dollars a year. And you saw the conduct that they engaged in. It is the just and appropriate outcome.</pre>

	Page 8370		Page 8371
1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)
2	this situation.	2	expert, told you exactly how it works.
3	Now, what's their only response	3	He did the math. And let's call up
4	here? Oh, let me point out by the	4	again PLT D 10.372.
5	way, the other methodology, the	5	(Whereupon, Exhibit PLT D
6	479 million, the alternative	6	10.372, Document, was identified.)
7	methodology, you don't add those	7	MR. MANGI: Here is where
8	together, right? That's the zoomed	8	Mr. Malackowski explained very
9	in here because this covers all sales,	9	directly and simply exactly why this
10	including those. So this is the	10	cost argument is utterly bogus. Look
11	number that I would urge you to focus	11	at what he said.
12	on.	12	He said, look, you know, for tax
13	Now, then they say, oh, you	13	reasons, otherwise, they want to
14	know, but you've got to take out all	14	operate at a loss, fine, but they got
15	the costs, right? So even if they are	15	a benefit.
16	going out there and admitting we stole	16	Here's what they are asking you
17	money, we stole trade secrets. Now we	17	to believe. If I stole trade secrets,
18	got a lot of money. Let's go spend	18	right, and I made \$5 billion from it
19	every penny.	19	and I put it in the bank, the victim
20	They say, oh, you know, then	20	can come along, they can get that back
21	sorry, we don't have to pay anything	21	from me.
22	back. We've spent all the money.	22	But then this guy over here, he
23	That is not how this works.	23	steals the same trade secrets, he
24	Mr. Malackowski, an experienced	24	makes the same \$5 billion, he chooses
25	intellectual property accounting	25	to spend it all on Superbowl ads and
		1	D 0000
1	Page 8372 Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)	1	Page 8373 Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)
1 2	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)
2	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the Goo Goo girls and concerts I	2	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) theme today.
	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the Goo Goo girls and concerts I said that on purpose and now, oh,		Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) theme today. Now, I showed you almost 400
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the Goo Goo girls and concerts I said that on purpose and now, oh, no, no, I don't have to pay a penny	2 3	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) theme today. Now, I showed you almost 400 slides of evidence this morning, new
2 3 4 5	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the Goo Goo girls and concerts I said that on purpose and now, oh, no, no, I don't have to pay a penny back. Sorry, I spent it all.</pre>	2 3 4	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) theme today. Now, I showed you almost 400
2 3 4 5 6	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the Goo Goo girls and concerts I said that on purpose and now, oh, no, no, I don't have to pay a penny back. Sorry, I spent it all. Does that make sense to anyone</pre>	2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) theme today. Now, I showed you almost 400 slides of evidence this morning, new record for me, but is that drama? That was evidence.
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the Goo Goo girls and concerts I said that on purpose and now, oh, no, no, I don't have to pay a penny back. Sorry, I spent it all. Does that make sense to anyone here? That's not how this works.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) theme today. Now, I showed you almost 400 slides of evidence this morning, new record for me, but is that drama? That was evidence. And let me say this, ladies and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the Goo Goo girls and concerts I said that on purpose and now, oh, no, no, I don't have to pay a penny back. Sorry, I spent it all. Does that make sense to anyone here? That's not how this works. Mr. Malackowski explained exactly how</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) theme today. Now, I showed you almost 400 slides of evidence this morning, new record for me, but is that drama? That was evidence. And let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. It's outrageous,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the Goo Goo girls and concerts I said that on purpose and now, oh, no, no, I don't have to pay a penny back. Sorry, I spent it all. Does that make sense to anyone here? That's not how this works. Mr. Malackowski explained exactly how it works. He gave you the number.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) theme today. Now, I showed you almost 400 slides of evidence this morning, new record for me, but is that drama? That was evidence. And let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. It's outrageous, outrageous what happened here.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the Goo Goo girls and concerts I said that on purpose and now, oh, no, no, I don't have to pay a penny back. Sorry, I spent it all. Does that make sense to anyone here? That's not how this works. Mr. Malackowski explained exactly how it works. He gave you the number. Let's go back to PLT 384,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) theme today. Now, I showed you almost 400 slides of evidence this morning, new record for me, but is that drama? That was evidence. And let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. It's outrageous, outrageous what happened here. This is a publicly traded</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the Goo Goo girls and concerts I said that on purpose and now, oh, no, no, I don't have to pay a penny back. Sorry, I spent it all. Does that make sense to anyone here? That's not how this works. Mr. Malackowski explained exactly how it works. He gave you the number. Let's go back to PLT 384, please.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) theme today. Now, I showed you almost 400 slides of evidence this morning, new record for me, but is that drama? That was evidence. And let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. It's outrageous, outrageous what happened here. This is a publicly traded company. This is not, you know, two</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the Goo Goo girls and concerts I said that on purpose and now, oh, no, no, I don't have to pay a penny back. Sorry, I spent it all. Does that make sense to anyone here? That's not how this works. Mr. Malackowski explained exactly how it works. He gave you the number. Let's go back to PLT 384, please. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 384,</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) theme today. Now, I showed you almost 400 slides of evidence this morning, new record for me, but is that drama? That was evidence. And let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. It's outrageous, outrageous what happened here. This is a publicly traded company. This is not, you know, two people in a basement somewhere.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the Goo Goo girls and concerts I said that on purpose and now, oh, no, no, I don't have to pay a penny back. Sorry, I spent it all. Does that make sense to anyone here? That's not how this works. Mr. Malackowski explained exactly how it works. He gave you the number. Let's go back to PLT 384, please. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 384, Document, was identified.)</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) theme today. Now, I showed you almost 400 slides of evidence this morning, new record for me, but is that drama? That was evidence. And let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. It's outrageous, outrageous what happened here. This is a publicly traded company. This is not, you know, two people in a basement somewhere. Think about the conduct that you</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the Goo Goo girls and concerts I said that on purpose and now, oh, no, no, I don't have to pay a penny back. Sorry, I spent it all. Does that make sense to anyone here? That's not how this works. Mr. Malackowski explained exactly how it works. He gave you the number. Let's go back to PLT 384, please. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 384, Document, was identified.) MR. MANGI: Last points, ladies</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) theme today. Now, I showed you almost 400 slides of evidence this morning, new record for me, but is that drama? That was evidence. And let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. It's outrageous, outrageous what happened here. This is a publicly traded company. This is not, you know, two people in a basement somewhere. Think about the conduct that you saw here. It is outrageous. And they</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the Goo Goo girls and concerts I said that on purpose and now, oh, no, no, I don't have to pay a penny back. Sorry, I spent it all. Does that make sense to anyone here? That's not how this works. Mr. Malackowski explained exactly how it works. He gave you the number. Let's go back to PLT 384, please. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 384, Document, was identified.) MR. MANGI: Last points, ladies and gentlemen.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) theme today. Now, I showed you almost 400 slides of evidence this morning, new record for me, but is that drama? That was evidence. And let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. It's outrageous, outrageous what happened here. This is a publicly traded company. This is not, you know, two people in a basement somewhere. Think about the conduct that you saw here. It is outrageous. And they want to come here and wave their hands</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the Goo Goo girls and concerts I said that on purpose and now, oh, no, no, I don't have to pay a penny back. Sorry, I spent it all. Does that make sense to anyone here? That's not how this works. Mr. Malackowski explained exactly how it works. He gave you the number. Let's go back to PLT 384, please. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 384, Document, was identified.) MR. MANGI: Last points, ladies and gentlemen. You know, they said to you</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) theme today. Now, I showed you almost 400 slides of evidence this morning, new record for me, but is that drama? That was evidence. And let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. It's outrageous, outrageous what happened here. This is a publicly traded company. This is not, you know, two people in a basement somewhere. Think about the conduct that you saw here. It is outrageous. And they want to come here and wave their hands and say it's all a drama, just ignore</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the Goo Goo girls and concerts I said that on purpose and now, oh, no, no, I don't have to pay a penny back. Sorry, I spent it all. Does that make sense to anyone here? That's not how this works. Mr. Malackowski explained exactly how it works. He gave you the number. Let's go back to PLT 384, please. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 384, Document, was identified.) MR. MANGI: Last points, ladies and gentlemen. You know, they said to you something that I've been thinking</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) theme today. Now, I showed you almost 400 slides of evidence this morning, new record for me, but is that drama? That was evidence. And let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. It's outrageous, outrageous what happened here. This is a publicly traded company. This is not, you know, two people in a basement somewhere. Think about the conduct that you saw here. It is outrageous. And they want to come here and wave their hands and say it's all a drama, just ignore it.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the Goo Goo girls and concerts I said that on purpose and now, oh, no, no, I don't have to pay a penny back. Sorry, I spent it all. Does that make sense to anyone here? That's not how this works. Mr. Malackowski explained exactly how it works. He gave you the number. Let's go back to PLT 384, please. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 384, Document, was identified.) MR. MANGI: Last points, ladies and gentlemen. You know, they said to you something that I've been thinking about for seven and a half weeks, } } </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) theme today. Now, I showed you almost 400 slides of evidence this morning, new record for me, but is that drama? That was evidence. And let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. It's outrageous, outrageous what happened here. This is a publicly traded company. This is not, you know, two people in a basement somewhere. Think about the conduct that you saw here. It is outrageous. And they want to come here and wave their hands and say it's all a drama, just ignore it. Your common sense, your</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the Goo Goo girls and concerts I said that on purpose and now, oh, no, no, I don't have to pay a penny back. Sorry, I spent it all. Does that make sense to anyone here? That's not how this works. Mr. Malackowski explained exactly how it works. He gave you the number. Let's go back to PLT 384, please. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 384, Document, was identified.) MR. MANGI: Last points, ladies and gentlemen. You know, they said to you something that I've been thinking about for seven and a half weeks, since the opening statement, and I</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) theme today.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the Goo Goo girls and concerts I said that on purpose and now, oh, no, no, I don't have to pay a penny back. Sorry, I spent it all. Does that make sense to anyone here? That's not how this works. Mr. Malackowski explained exactly how it works. He gave you the number. Let's go back to PLT 384, please. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 384, Document, was identified.) MR. MANGI: Last points, ladies and gentlemen. You know, they said to you something that I've been thinking about for seven and a half weeks, since the opening statement, and I want to come back to it now. } } </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) theme today. Now, I showed you almost 400 slides of evidence this morning, new record for me, but is that drama? That was evidence. And let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. It's outrageous, outrageous what happened here. This is a publicly traded company. This is not, you know, two people in a basement somewhere. Think about the conduct that you saw here. It is outrageous. And they want to come here and wave their hands and say it's all a drama, just ignore it. Your common sense, your experience in life, your work in sophisticated organizations, you have } } </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the Goo Goo girls and concerts I said that on purpose and now, oh, no, no, I don't have to pay a penny back. Sorry, I spent it all. Does that make sense to anyone here? That's not how this works. Mr. Malackowski explained exactly how it works. He gave you the number. Let's go back to PLT 384, please. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 384, Document, was identified.) MR. MANGI: Last points, ladies and gentlemen. You know, they said to you something that I've been thinking about for seven and a half weeks, since the opening statement, and I want to come back to it now. They said to you, this is all a </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) theme today. Now, I showed you almost 400 slides of evidence this morning, new record for me, but is that drama? That was evidence. And let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. It's outrageous, outrageous what happened here. This is a publicly traded company. This is not, you know, two people in a basement somewhere. Think about the conduct that you saw here. It is outrageous. And they want to come here and wave their hands and say it's all a drama, just ignore it. Your common sense, your experience in life, your work in sophisticated organizations, you have seen people your whole life who don't </pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the Goo Goo girls and concerts I said that on purpose and now, oh, no, no, I don't have to pay a penny back. Sorry, I spent it all. Does that make sense to anyone here? That's not how this works. Mr. Malackowski explained exactly how it works. He gave you the number. Let's go back to PLT 384, please. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 384, Document, was identified.) MR. MANGI: Last points, ladies and gentlemen. You know, they said to you something that I've been thinking about for seven and a half weeks, since the opening statement, and I want to come back to it now. They said to you, this is all a drama. Remember when they gave their </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) theme today. Now, I showed you almost 400 slides of evidence this morning, new record for me, but is that drama? That was evidence. And let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. It's outrageous, outrageous what happened here. This is a publicly traded company. This is not, you know, two people in a basement somewhere. Think about the conduct that you saw here. It is outrageous. And they want to come here and wave their hands and say it's all a drama, just ignore it. Your common sense, your experience in life, your work in sophisticated organizations, you have seen people your whole life who don't tell the truth. You have been through</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the Goo Goo girls and concerts I said that on purpose and now, oh, no, no, I don't have to pay a penny back. Sorry, I spent it all. Does that make sense to anyone here? That's not how this works. Mr. Malackowski explained exactly how it works. He gave you the number. Let's go back to PLT 384, please. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 384, Document, was identified.) MR. MANGI: Last points, ladies and gentlemen. You know, they said to you something that I've been thinking about for seven and a half weeks, since the opening statement, and I want to come back to it now. They said to you, this is all a </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) theme today. Now, I showed you almost 400 slides of evidence this morning, new record for me, but is that drama? That was evidence. And let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. It's outrageous, outrageous what happened here. This is a publicly traded company. This is not, you know, two people in a basement somewhere. Think about the conduct that you saw here. It is outrageous. And they want to come here and wave their hands and say it's all a drama, just ignore it. Your common sense, your experience in life, your work in sophisticated organizations, you have seen people your whole life who don't</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the Goo Goo girls and concerts I said that on purpose and now, oh, no, no, I don't have to pay a penny back. Sorry, I spent it all. Does that make sense to anyone here? That's not how this works. Mr. Malackowski explained exactly how it works. He gave you the number. Let's go back to PLT 384, please. (Whereupon, Exhibit PLT 384, Document, was identified.) MR. MANGI: Last points, ladies and gentlemen. You know, they said to you something that I've been thinking about for seven and a half weeks, since the opening statement, and I want to come back to it now. They said to you, this is all a drama. Remember when they gave their opening statement, the word "drama," </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) theme today. Now, I showed you almost 400 slides of evidence this morning, new record for me, but is that drama? That was evidence. And let me say this, ladies and gentlemen. It's outrageous, outrageous what happened here. This is a publicly traded company. This is not, you know, two people in a basement somewhere. Think about the conduct that you saw here. It is outrageous. And they want to come here and wave their hands and say it's all a drama, just ignore it. Your common sense, your experience in life, your work in sophisticated organizations, you have seen people your whole life who don't tell the truth. You have been through businesses. You have experience with </pre>

	Page 8374		Page 8375
1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)
2	You know from your experience	2	trade secrets, not just in the
3	getting out there in the world and	3	software industry, but in any
4	starting to make your way, you know	4	industry.
5	what is right. You know what is	5	Eyes are on this courthouse, not
6	wrong. And what they did is	6	just for Johnny Depp, but for this
7	outrageous, and they must be held to	7	trial. Eyes are on you to see how
8	account for it.	8	this will be handled.
9	I said to you when this case	9	Does this kind of conduct get a
10	started seven and a half weeks ago, I	10	pass, or are they held fundamentally
11	said this case is simple, but it's	11	to account?
12	important, and oh, boy is it.	12	You know who told you the truth.
13	Why is it simple? Because the	13	You know who is lying to you.
14	conduct is outrageous. You've seen	14	Very shortly now the judge who
15	everything that they did, and you have	15	has been telling you for a long time
16	seen I've shown you word for word	16	don't discuss the case and one juror
17	in the jury instructions why it	17	who's been telling you don't discuss
18	violates the law, violates the law	18	the case, they are both going to say
19	fundamentally.	19	now it's time to discuss the case.
20	But it's important. It's so	20	When you do that, ladies and
21	important because think about the era	21	gentlemen, I implore each and every
22	we're in with corporations.	22	one of you, bring your life experience
23	I told you in opening, this is	23	to that discussion. Your life has
24	the most important case in the history	24	brought you to this moment where you
25	of Virginia courts when it comes to	25	play a critical role in something that
	Dago 9276		
1	Page 8376 Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)	1	Page 8377 Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)
1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)
2	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) matters in a fundamental way to hold	2	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the case will be yours. So see you
2 3	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) matters in a fundamental way to hold this conduct to account and to ensure	2 3	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the case will be yours. So see you tomorrow morning at 9:30.
2 3 4	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) matters in a fundamental way to hold this conduct to account and to ensure that the victim of this conduct,	2 3 4	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the case will be yours. So see you tomorrow morning at 9:30. (Jury excused.)
2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) matters in a fundamental way to hold this conduct to account and to ensure that the victim of this conduct, Appian, receives a full, just, and	2 3 4 5	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the case will be yours. So see you tomorrow morning at 9:30. (Jury excused.) THE COURT: All right. I
2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) matters in a fundamental way to hold this conduct to account and to ensure that the victim of this conduct, Appian, receives a full, just, and appropriate resolution.	2 3 4 5 6	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the case will be yours. So see you tomorrow morning at 9:30. (Jury excused.) THE COURT: All right. I presume there's nothing we need to
2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) matters in a fundamental way to hold this conduct to account and to ensure that the victim of this conduct, Appian, receives a full, just, and appropriate resolution. And in this industry with these</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the case will be yours. So see you tomorrow morning at 9:30. (Jury excused.) THE COURT: All right. I presume there's nothing we need to bring up at this point?</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) matters in a fundamental way to hold this conduct to account and to ensure that the victim of this conduct, Appian, receives a full, just, and appropriate resolution. And in this industry with these dollars at stake, the only just and</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the case will be yours. So see you tomorrow morning at 9:30. (Jury excused.) THE COURT: All right. I presume there's nothing we need to bring up at this point? MR. FRANK: Yes, Your Honor.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) matters in a fundamental way to hold this conduct to account and to ensure that the victim of this conduct, Appian, receives a full, just, and appropriate resolution. And in this industry with these dollars at stake, the only just and appropriate resolution is what you see</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the case will be yours. So see you tomorrow morning at 9:30. (Jury excused.) THE COURT: All right. I presume there's nothing we need to bring up at this point? MR. FRANK: Yes, Your Honor. But let me just ask you what you want</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) matters in a fundamental way to hold this conduct to account and to ensure that the victim of this conduct, Appian, receives a full, just, and appropriate resolution. And in this industry with these dollars at stake, the only just and appropriate resolution is what you see there on that screen. That is the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the case will be yours. So see you tomorrow morning at 9:30. (Jury excused.) THE COURT: All right. I presume there's nothing we need to bring up at this point? MR. FRANK: Yes, Your Honor. But let me just ask you what you want of us tomorrow and tell us and we'll</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) matters in a fundamental way to hold this conduct to account and to ensure that the victim of this conduct, Appian, receives a full, just, and appropriate resolution. And in this industry with these dollars at stake, the only just and appropriate resolution is what you see there on that screen. That is the award I ask you to make, and I ask you</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the case will be yours. So see you tomorrow morning at 9:30. (Jury excused.) THE COURT: All right. I presume there's nothing we need to bring up at this point? MR. FRANK: Yes, Your Honor. But let me just ask you what you want of us tomorrow and tell us and we'll do it. By way of presence, for</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) matters in a fundamental way to hold this conduct to account and to ensure that the victim of this conduct, Appian, receives a full, just, and appropriate resolution. And in this industry with these dollars at stake, the only just and appropriate resolution is what you see there on that screen. That is the award I ask you to make, and I ask you to hold them accountable under the</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the case will be yours. So see you tomorrow morning at 9:30. (Jury excused.) THE COURT: All right. I presume there's nothing we need to bring up at this point? MR. FRANK: Yes, Your Honor. But let me just ask you what you want of us tomorrow and tell us and we'll do it. By way of presence, for example.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) matters in a fundamental way to hold this conduct to account and to ensure that the victim of this conduct, Appian, receives a full, just, and appropriate resolution. And in this industry with these dollars at stake, the only just and appropriate resolution is what you see there on that screen. That is the award I ask you to make, and I ask you to hold them accountable under the Computer Crimes statute.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the case will be yours. So see you tomorrow morning at 9:30. (Jury excused.) THE COURT: All right. I presume there's nothing we need to bring up at this point? MR. FRANK: Yes, Your Honor. But let me just ask you what you want of us tomorrow and tell us and we'll do it. By way of presence, for example. THE COURT: We'll start at 9:30.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) matters in a fundamental way to hold this conduct to account and to ensure that the victim of this conduct, Appian, receives a full, just, and appropriate resolution. And in this industry with these dollars at stake, the only just and appropriate resolution is what you see there on that screen. That is the award I ask you to make, and I ask you to hold them accountable under the Computer Crimes statute. I cannot thank you enough for</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the case will be yours. So see you tomorrow morning at 9:30. (Jury excused.) THE COURT: All right. I presume there's nothing we need to bring up at this point? MR. FRANK: Yes, Your Honor. But let me just ask you what you want of us tomorrow and tell us and we'll do it. By way of presence, for example. THE COURT: We'll start at 9:30. And I have a very brief final page or</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) matters in a fundamental way to hold this conduct to account and to ensure that the victim of this conduct, Appian, receives a full, just, and appropriate resolution. And in this industry with these dollars at stake, the only just and appropriate resolution is what you see there on that screen. That is the award I ask you to make, and I ask you to hold them accountable under the Computer Crimes statute. I cannot thank you enough for your attention and diligence for these</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the case will be yours. So see you tomorrow morning at 9:30. (Jury excused.) THE COURT: All right. I presume there's nothing we need to bring up at this point? MR. FRANK: Yes, Your Honor. But let me just ask you what you want of us tomorrow and tell us and we'll do it. By way of presence, for example. THE COURT: We'll start at 9:30. And I have a very brief final page or two of instructions to give them.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) matters in a fundamental way to hold this conduct to account and to ensure that the victim of this conduct, Appian, receives a full, just, and appropriate resolution. And in this industry with these dollars at stake, the only just and appropriate resolution is what you see there on that screen. That is the award I ask you to make, and I ask you to hold them accountable under the Computer Crimes statute. I cannot thank you enough for your attention and diligence for these few last weeks. After this trial is</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the case will be yours. So see you tomorrow morning at 9:30. (Jury excused.) THE COURT: All right. I presume there's nothing we need to bring up at this point? MR. FRANK: Yes, Your Honor. But let me just ask you what you want of us tomorrow and tell us and we'll do it. By way of presence, for example. THE COURT: We'll start at 9:30. And I have a very brief final page or two of instructions to give them. And what we'll need to do</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) matters in a fundamental way to hold this conduct to account and to ensure that the victim of this conduct, Appian, receives a full, just, and appropriate resolution. And in this industry with these dollars at stake, the only just and appropriate resolution is what you see there on that screen. That is the award I ask you to make, and I ask you to hold them accountable under the Computer Crimes statute. I cannot thank you enough for your attention and diligence for these few last weeks. After this trial is over, though I don't know you, I will</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the case will be yours. So see you tomorrow morning at 9:30. (Jury excused.) THE COURT: All right. I presume there's nothing we need to bring up at this point? MR. FRANK: Yes, Your Honor. But let me just ask you what you want of us tomorrow and tell us and we'll do it. By way of presence, for example. THE COURT: We'll start at 9:30. And I have a very brief final page or two of instructions to give them. And what we'll need to do tomorrow is first of all review the</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) matters in a fundamental way to hold this conduct to account and to ensure that the victim of this conduct, Appian, receives a full, just, and appropriate resolution. And in this industry with these dollars at stake, the only just and appropriate resolution is what you see there on that screen. That is the award I ask you to make, and I ask you to hold them accountable under the Computer Crimes statute. I cannot thank you enough for your attention and diligence for these few last weeks. After this trial is</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the case will be yours. So see you tomorrow morning at 9:30. (Jury excused.) THE COURT: All right. I presume there's nothing we need to bring up at this point? MR. FRANK: Yes, Your Honor. But let me just ask you what you want of us tomorrow and tell us and we'll do it. By way of presence, for example. THE COURT: We'll start at 9:30. And I have a very brief final page or two of instructions to give them. And what we'll need to do tomorrow is first of all review the copies of the jury instructions that</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) matters in a fundamental way to hold this conduct to account and to ensure that the victim of this conduct, Appian, receives a full, just, and appropriate resolution. And in this industry with these dollars at stake, the only just and appropriate resolution is what you see there on that screen. That is the award I ask you to make, and I ask you to hold them accountable under the Computer Crimes statute. I cannot thank you enough for your attention and diligence for these few last weeks. After this trial is over, though I don't know you, I will miss you. Thank you. THE COURT: Ladies and</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the case will be yours. So see you tomorrow morning at 9:30. (Jury excused.) THE COURT: All right. I presume there's nothing we need to bring up at this point? MR. FRANK: Yes, Your Honor. But let me just ask you what you want of us tomorrow and tell us and we'll do it. By way of presence, for example. THE COURT: We'll start at 9:30. And I have a very brief final page or two of instructions to give them. And what we'll need to do tomorrow is first of all review the copies of the jury instructions that they are going to get and make sure</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) matters in a fundamental way to hold this conduct to account and to ensure that the victim of this conduct, Appian, receives a full, just, and appropriate resolution. And in this industry with these dollars at stake, the only just and appropriate resolution is what you see there on that screen. That is the award I ask you to make, and I ask you to hold them accountable under the Computer Crimes statute. I cannot thank you enough for your attention and diligence for these few last weeks. After this trial is over, though I don't know you, I will miss you. Thank you. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, this is the last time </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the case will be yours. So see you tomorrow morning at 9:30. (Jury excused.) THE COURT: All right. I presume there's nothing we need to bring up at this point? MR. FRANK: Yes, Your Honor. But let me just ask you what you want of us tomorrow and tell us and we'll do it. By way of presence, for example. THE COURT: We'll start at 9:30. And I have a very brief final page or two of instructions to give them. And what we'll need to do tomorrow is first of all review the copies of the jury instructions that they are going to get and make sure everybody is in agreement that those</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) matters in a fundamental way to hold this conduct to account and to ensure that the victim of this conduct, Appian, receives a full, just, and appropriate resolution. And in this industry with these dollars at stake, the only just and appropriate resolution is what you see there on that screen. That is the award I ask you to make, and I ask you to hold them accountable under the Computer Crimes statute. I cannot thank you enough for your attention and diligence for these few last weeks. After this trial is over, though I don't know you, I will miss you. Thank you. THE COURT: Ladies and</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the case will be yours. So see you tomorrow morning at 9:30. (Jury excused.) THE COURT: All right. I presume there's nothing we need to bring up at this point? MR. FRANK: Yes, Your Honor. But let me just ask you what you want of us tomorrow and tell us and we'll do it. By way of presence, for example. THE COURT: We'll start at 9:30. And I have a very brief final page or two of instructions to give them. And what we'll need to do tomorrow is first of all review the copies of the jury instructions that they are going to get and make sure everybody is in agreement that those are the correct ones that I gave.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) matters in a fundamental way to hold this conduct to account and to ensure that the victim of this conduct, Appian, receives a full, just, and appropriate resolution. And in this industry with these dollars at stake, the only just and appropriate resolution is what you see there on that screen. That is the award I ask you to make, and I ask you to hold them accountable under the Computer Crimes statute. I cannot thank you enough for your attention and diligence for these few last weeks. After this trial is over, though I don't know you, I will miss you. Thank you. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, this is the last time you're going to hear me say tell you</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the case will be yours. So see you tomorrow morning at 9:30. (Jury excused.) THE COURT: All right. I presume there's nothing we need to bring up at this point? MR. FRANK: Yes, Your Honor. But let me just ask you what you want of us tomorrow and tell us and we'll do it. By way of presence, for example. THE COURT: We'll start at 9:30. And I have a very brief final page or two of instructions to give them. And what we'll need to do tomorrow is first of all review the copies of the jury instructions that they are going to get and make sure everybody is in agreement that those are the correct ones that I gave. And second of all, the evidence,</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) matters in a fundamental way to hold this conduct to account and to ensure that the victim of this conduct, Appian, receives a full, just, and appropriate resolution. And in this industry with these dollars at stake, the only just and appropriate resolution is what you see there on that screen. That is the award I ask you to make, and I ask you to hold them accountable under the Computer Crimes statute. I cannot thank you enough for your attention and diligence for these few last weeks. After this trial is over, though I don't know you, I will miss you. Thank you. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, this is the last time you're going to hear me say tell you this.</pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the case will be yours. So see you tomorrow morning at 9:30. (Jury excused.) THE COURT: All right. I presume there's nothing we need to bring up at this point? MR. FRANK: Yes, Your Honor. But let me just ask you what you want of us tomorrow and tell us and we'll do it. By way of presence, for example. THE COURT: We'll start at 9:30. And I have a very brief final page or two of instructions to give them. And what we'll need to do tomorrow is first of all review the copies of the jury instructions that they are going to get and make sure everybody is in agreement that those are the correct ones that I gave.</pre>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) matters in a fundamental way to hold this conduct to account and to ensure that the victim of this conduct, Appian, receives a full, just, and appropriate resolution. And in this industry with these dollars at stake, the only just and appropriate resolution is what you see there on that screen. That is the award I ask you to make, and I ask you to hold them accountable under the Computer Crimes statute. I cannot thank you enough for your attention and diligence for these few last weeks. After this trial is over, though I don't know you, I will miss you. Thank you. THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, this is the last time you're going to hear me say tell you this. </pre>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi) the case will be yours. So see you tomorrow morning at 9:30. (Jury excused.) THE COURT: All right. I presume there's nothing we need to bring up at this point? MR. FRANK: Yes, Your Honor. But let me just ask you what you want of us tomorrow and tell us and we'll do it. By way of presence, for example. THE COURT: We'll start at 9:30. And I have a very brief final page or two of instructions to give them. And what we'll need to do tomorrow is first of all review the copies of the jury instructions that they are going to get and make sure everybody is in agreement that those are the correct ones that I gave. And second of all, the evidence, the documents, and everything that's </pre>

	Page 8378		Page 8379
1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)	1	Plaintiff - Rebuttal Closing (Mangi)
2	with Karen tomorrow and go through	2	so they know how much time they have.
3	everything, and then Deputy Creed will	3	THE COURT: I will definitely
4	take start taking things into the	4	tell them that we have to break at
5	jury room.	5	3:30 tomorrow.
6	If you want to come back earlier	6	MR. MANGI: Thank you.
7	tomorrow morning, 9 o'clock tomorrow,	7	THE COURT: All right. See you
8	and start going through that.	8	tomorrow morning at 9:30. Court is in
9	MR. MANGI: We'll have a	9	recess.
10	designee here to go through. It might	10	(Whereupon, the proceedings of
11	not be me.	11	the 26th day of trial were recessed at
12	THE COURT: Okay. That's what	12	5:13 p.m. until Friday at 9:30 a.m.)
13	we need to do. And then once you've	13	
	-		
14	agreed on what the evidence is that	14	
15	was admitted and it goes back to the	15	
16	jury, we'll just need a phone number,	16	
17	cell phone from one each from one	17	
18	side so we can be in touch with you if	18	
19	there's a question.	19	
20	MR. MANGI: Judge, could we hang	20	
21	out here tomorrow?	21	
22	THE COURT: Sure.	22	
23	MR. MANGI: Okay. Will you be	23	
24	telling the jury tomorrow morning	24	
25	about the early end of the day? Just	25	
	Page 8380		
1 2	GEDWIETCAWE OF CHODWHAND DEDODWED NOWADY		
3	CERTIFICATE OF SHORTHAND REPORTER-NOTARY PUBLIC		
4	I, Amanda Gorrono, the officer		
5	before whom the foregoing trial was		
6	taken, do hereby certify that the		
7	foregoing transcript is a true and		
8	correct record of the testimony given;		
9	that said testimony was taken by me		
10	stenographically and thereafter		
11	reduced to typewriting under my		
12	direction; and that I am neither		
13 14	counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to this case and		
14	have no interest, financial or		
16	otherwise, in its outcome.		
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have		
18	hereunto set my hand this 6th day of		
19	May, 2022.		
20	amande ponon		
21	AMANDA GORRONO, CLR		
	CLR NO: 052005 - 01		
22	Notary Public in and for the State of New		
23	York		
23	York County of Suffolk		
23 24			
	County of Suffolk		
	County of Suffolk My Commission No. 01G06041701		

15 8175:20 8293:18 **2018** 8284:16 **10.163** 7980:25 **2010** 8056:4 8134:25 \$ 7993:24 8345:25 8202:21 8204:24 8332:15 2019 8091:8 8129:14 8216:16 8220:4 8346:4 15-minute 8167:9 8180:17 8229:16 8230:6 **\$1** 8165:14 8184:9, 10.164 7981:3 8346:7 **150** 8366:6 8232:4 8245:4.8 **202** 8152:24 **10.183** 7981:5 2011 8192:19 **2021** 8030:25 8048:6 **16** 8059:9 8190:5 **\$10.000** 8272:23 8347:11,13 8202:22 8211:25 8260:25 8261:3 **164** 8346:2 **\$108** 8056:2 **10.2** 7980:7 8269:20, 8214:17 8220:3,16 8285:13 8311:15 21 **1695** 7979:21 8221:11 8222:4,23 **\$18,000** 8336:25 2028 8240:25 8210:18 8211:7.9 8230:6 8231:20 **10.217** 7981:7 8232:23 8233:12 20th 8255:25 8311:21 8214:14 8245:17 8348:21,23 \$18,465.10 8296:6 8245:13.15.24 8319:18 8324:9 **17** 8213:17 8293:14 10.305 7981:9 8246:4.7 8259:10 **\$187** 8157:4 8291:10 **21** 8174:21 8175:7 8297:11,22,25 8359:3.5 17-year 8189:23 8177:2 8293:8 **\$23,600** 8296:7 8308:18 8311:14 10.348 7981:11 **1820** 7980:11 8281:5, 8339:16 8340:20 8320:18 8321:16 210 7979:19 8203:2,5 7996:21 8360:21,22 6 8213:2,5 8214:5,11 **\$23.608** 8163:13 2012 7980:15 10.349 7996:18,20 8216:16 8218:17 **19** 8248:5 8029:25 8038:11 \$23,608.19 8160:8 8223:19 8054:4 8056:4 10.372 7981:15 19th 8255:25 **\$3** 8056:15 8257:14 8068:16 8069:11 22 8323:13 8371:4.6 1:15 8168:20 8267:16 8286:13 8178:24 8179:17 10.373 7999:4 **222** 8075:4 8121:23 8295:11 8337:2 8180:10 8187:5 1st 8285:12 8364:25 8367:21 8369:15 8192:19 8194:21 10.383 8002:8,11 8195:21.23 8216:6 23,608 8152:14 \$3,032,847,000 10.384 7981:13 2 8222:24 8230:23 8152:12 8158:10 8369:2.4 2305 7980:16 8325:4, 8232:4 8246:9,13 8163:5 8369:17 6 **2** 8292:24 8293:12.14 8248:5.18 8255:6 100 8366:6 **\$3.03** 8230:19 8338:14 8256:2 8259:13,15 **2378** 7980:18 10th 8260:25 8256:18 8257:4 8260:2,4 8285:9 8325:23,24 **2.2** 8237:13 8295:22 8311:14,21 **12** 8077:16.22 **24** 8261:3 8324:4 2.2.1 8237:14 8319:18 8321:12,13 **\$479** 8191:3 8193:5 8080:3,11 8174:23, 8241:18,19 8322:5,7 8323:14 24 8175:23 8271:5 240 8323:12 8228:11,15 8229:19 8324:5,9 8325:5,7 20 8248:17 8292:25 123 8115:17 8129:7 26th 8379:11 8326:14 8328:6 \$479,029,000 8295:6 8322:5 8251:7 8152:8 8156:7 8330:17 8331:6,8,24 274 7979:17 7980:13 8332:15 8345:9 8337:17 **1288** 7980:10 8279:3, 8196:9,10 8283:19 **\$5** 8159:4.5 8371:18. **2000s** 8121:12 4 8280:22,23 8305:13,14 **2013** 8072:11 8122:4.19 8178:25 8180:10 **12:30** 8167:16 **28** 8068:15 8216:7 8261:13,23 2002 8270:12,24 12:35 8167:18 **29** 7979:25 8017:2 8262:7,24 8264:4 2004 8231:18,20 8020:4 8248:19,22 8279:3 8285:12 **13** 7982:24 8031:5 8232:23 8274:9 (c) 8329:15 8330:22 8098:16 8231:8 2014 8054:4 8075:3 **29th** 8074:2 8077:25 2005 8297:10 8232:14 8233:10 8187:5 8194:21 **2:30** 8168:3 1 8271:17 8233:5 8261:24 2006 8244:9 2au 8048:4 8285:9 8295:23 1346 7980:12 2007 8244:13 **1** 8162:2 8202:24 8332:2 8337:18 8283:11,12 8234:18 8337:11 2008 8244:18 3 2015 8017:3 8020:4 **1382** 7980:8 8274:2.3 8338:9,12,21 8160:18 2009 8229:16 14 7987:14.17 7988:6 1(a) 8329:15 **3** 8204:7 8205:8 8244:18 8258:2 2016 8281:18 7997:4,16,19 8212:19,21 8256:17 **1-2-3** 8115:15 201 8175:10 8188:20 8341:21,25 2017 8035:20 8270:12 8271:6 8190:25 8209:17 8089:12,17 8148:23 8285:24 149 7979:24 8236:13. 8228:12 10 8332:15 8180:17

12,20

8339:25

8362:13

24

8363:13

14

(

Index: \$1..3

Index: 30..acts

30 8285:12 8335:24	8175:5,6 8177:2	
300-some-odd 8266:14	8246:11 8293:13 8325:7 8361:9	
341 7980:9 8276:6,8	6,000 8180:18	
384 7981:16 8372:10,	6.1 8245:8	
12	6.2 8259:19	
39 8095:2 8245:16	6.3 8117:18 8119:4, 10 8120:24 8126:12	
397 7979:18 8197:25 8198:2	8247:17 8259:19 8267:22 8364:17	
3:30 8379:5	6.7 8125:11	
3D 8223:3	60 8137:17 8247:15, 18	
4	617 8046:14	
4 8174:23 8205:10	62 8210:21	
40 7980:2 8049:2	66 8210:21	
8262:21,22	67 8210:21	
400 8373:3	67.0 8283:6	
45 8327:6	68 8281:5	
45,000 8056:20	689 7979:23 8231:8,9	
479 8285:22 8370:6	8232:14	
5	69 8282:23	
<u>_</u>	6th 8325:5	
5 7980:23 8210:22 8247:18 8296:13 8332:9,11	7	
5.3 8268:3	7 8119:5 8123:17	
5.33 7980:6 8265:15,	8126:18 8128:11,21 8129:2 8247:7,13,16	
•••••	0120.20241.1,10,10	
16	8257:22	
16 5.39 8268:4	8257:22 7.1 8118:2 8119:10	
-	8257:22	
5.39 8268:4	8257:22 7.1 8118:2 8119:10 8121:3 8124:20 8125:18,22 8256:9 8263:25 8265:18	
 5.39 8268:4 50 8247:14 8332:15 549 8324:2 580 7979:20,22 	8257:22 7.1 8118:2 8119:10 8121:3 8124:20 8125:18,22 8256:9 8263:25 8265:18 8364:17 8366:16	
 5.39 8268:4 50 8247:14 8332:15 549 8324:2 	8257:22 7.1 8118:2 8119:10 8121:3 8124:20 8125:18,22 8256:9 8263:25 8265:18	
 5.39 8268:4 50 8247:14 8332:15 549 8324:2 580 7979:20,22 8204:8,11,17 	8257:22 7.1 8118:2 8119:10 8121:3 8124:20 8125:18,22 8256:9 8263:25 8265:18 8364:17 8366:16 7.1.1 8120:11	
 5.39 8268:4 50 8247:14 8332:15 549 8324:2 580 7979:20,22 8204:8,11,17 8216:10,11 	8257:22 7.1 8118:2 8119:10 8121:3 8124:20 8125:18,22 8256:9 8263:25 8265:18 8364:17 8366:16 7.1.1 8120:11 70.5 8283:5	
 5.39 8268:4 50 8247:14 8332:15 549 8324:2 580 7979:20,22 8204:8,11,17 8216:10,11 585 8279:8 8280:24 	8257:22 7.1 8118:2 8119:10 8121:3 8124:20 8125:18,22 8256:9 8263:25 8265:18 8364:17 8366:16 7.1.1 8120:11 70.5 8283:5 721 7980:3 8264:21,	
 5.39 8268:4 50 8247:14 8332:15 549 8324:2 580 7979:20,22 8204:8,11,17 8216:10,11 585 8279:8 8280:24 5:00 8344:15,16,19 5:13 8379:12 	8257:22 7.1 8118:2 8119:10 8121:3 8124:20 8125:18,22 8256:9 8263:25 8265:18 8364:17 8366:16 7.1.1 8120:11 70.5 8283:5 721 7980:3 8264:21, 24	
 5.39 8268:4 50 8247:14 8332:15 549 8324:2 580 7979:20,22 8204:8,11,17 8216:10,11 585 8279:8 8280:24 5:00 8344:15,16,19 	8257:22 7.1 8118:2 8119:10 8121:3 8124:20 8125:18,22 8256:9 8263:25 8265:18 8364:17 8366:16 7.1.1 8120:11 70.5 8283:5 721 7980:3 8264:21, 24 741 8277:11	

6 7980:15 8174:21

_	8
8	8175:22
8	-year 8188:21
	.6 8150:2
8	264 7980:3
_	328 7980:19
8	4 8286:3
8	55 7980:14 8311:24, 25
8	7.something 8283:4
	9
9	7980:19 8328:12,14 8378:7
9	-year 8175:9 8176:2
9	:30 8345:3 8377:3, 13 8379:8,12
_	Α
а	. m. 8379:12
а	bide 8065:10 8066:16
a	bility 8100:8 8171:13,18 8218:5 8222:9 8244:23 8246:15 8250:16,19 8258:25 8267:21 8268:22 8274:12 8279:13 8282:17
а	bsence 8335:3
а	bsences 8177:22
а	bsolute 8366:10
a	bsolutely 7984:22 8049:3 8139:2 8160:20 8161:8 8173:18 8180:16 8347:9 8350:2 8351:21
A	Accenture 8081:13
а	ccept 8007:21

8064:12,15 8201:2 8310:2 8314:14	8
8349:23	ac
Acceptable 8066:5	ac
accepted 8306:23 8311:13 8315:21	ac 1
8317:23	ac
accepting 8318:25	8
accepts 8017:16	ac
access 8028:25 8033:5 8042:9	ac 8
8047:2 8048:11	ac
8057:12,14 8058:2 8063:18,23 8064:2	ac
8067:21 8068:7	ac
8069:24 8074:22	8
8076:23 8077:4 8079:11 8082:15,23	8
8089:16,20 8090:21	
8093:23 8095:8	ac
8098:4,7 8108:16,25 8110:21 8112:13	ac 8
8116:2 8130:24	8
8132:4,20 8136:17,	ac
20 8138:18 8140:21 8144:9,10 8146:6,8	8
8147:6 8148:2	ac
8149:12 8160:24	ac
8161:16 8164:18 8184:3 8185:16	8 ac
8201:4 8206:19	8
8239:16 8266:14,19	8
8301:4 8302:5,12	8
8306:2 8316:4	ac
8321:20 8350:13,20 8356:15 8357:3	8
8358:5,19,20	ac
accessed 8031:6	8
8053:19 8195:7	ac
8267:23 8317:6	8
accessing 8031:7	
8054:11 8064:3	ac 8
accident 8012:15	ac
account 8041:10	8 ac
8087:13 8120:5	ac
8166:18 8275:19 8345:7 8374:8	8 ac
8375:11 8376:3	8
	8
accountable	ac

8056:10 8160:13

8165:11 8369:24

8376:12

accountant 8083:21

accounted 8290:6

accounting 8290:5, 19 8370:25 8373:25

accounts 8026:25 8108:16

accuracy 8031:24

accused 8216:17 8247:7,8 8258:12

accuses 8187:25

achieve 8171:6,7

acknowledged 8181:8 8208:15 8222:25 8308:13 8340:9 8343:6

acquire 8012:2,7

acquired 8011:20 8012:8,14 8335:12 8366:14

acquisition 8011:17 8113:16

acronym 8278:13

ct 8010:11,13 8016:22 8017:14 8019:23 8160:11 8163:15,18 8164:25 8184:7

acted 7999:14 8342:18

action 8066:9 8076:8 8250:20

actions 8010:6 8059:2 8250:22 8266:15

active 8018:11 8062:20 8108:24

activities 8187:13,14 8188:15,24 8189:6

activity 8069:12 8188:10,12 8244:11 8250:2,13 8266:5 8275:20 8339:11

acts 8016:16,20 8017:8,9 8019:17

Index: actual..Appian

8020:9,10,13 8163:21 8342:2,9 8357:9

admits 8046:7

8054:2 8057:25

8065:25 8084:22

8085:13 8103:7,13,

16 8106:21 8119:9

21 8127:5 8132:24

8133:3,7 8134:7

8136:22 8137:4,8

8142:24 8154:11

8155:8 8352:8.10

admitted 8004:21

8010:20 8035:22

8044:13 8045:17

8055:11 8117:9

8354:6 8378:15

adopting 8172:3

adoring 8052:24

advance 8004:23

advanced 8255:18

advertising 8228:10

advocating 8295:2

AES 8135:11 8216:25

8217:2 8218:4,8

affirmatively

afraid 7996:25

afternoon 8169:13

8292:19 8294:6

agency 8013:19

aggregate 8283:3

8035:25 8107:9,13

8291:13

8096:23

8057:2

ages 8322:3

agree 7991:4

advice 8114:18

ads 8371:25

8352:23

8267:13

8115:8

8370:16

admitting 7994:25

8135:21 8318:10

8124:12 8126:10,17,

8063:8 8064:4

actual 8012:21 8020:17 8038:24 8067:18 8068:8 8082:17 8118:3 8165:17 8178:5 8194:23 8249:16 8296:4,13 8301:20 8309:13 8316:4,19 8317:16 8323:2 8348:4

Adams 8023:3 8086:7

add 7990:6 8118:21 8126:12 8272:15,16 8370:7

added 7990:24 7997:11 8118:2 8120:10 8121:2 8247:12,15 8261:17 8265:19 8362:19 8366:18

adding 8247:8,9 8257:21 8261:17

addition 8326:5

additional 8211:5 8246:14

address 7983:24 8044:12 8047:8 8109:2 8174:14 8181:20 8194:18 8202:4 8264:14 8313:22

addressed 8258:2 8366:10 8368:8

adequately 8170:11 8202:3 8240:18

adjustments 8156:10

administrator 8217:12,17

Administrators 8216:3

admissions 7991:13

admit 8043:13 8074:9,10 8103:7 8124:11 8130:3 8110:6 8144:10 8147:24 8169:15 8288:13,22

agreed 7990:11 8289:12 8378:14

agreeing 8066:20

agreement 7980:22 8019:16,19 8066:19 8107:4,8,19,24 8108:9,11 8146:21 8236:15,17 8239:23 8240:3,6,22,24 8241:4,7,22 8318:3 8319:25 8320:4,6 8328:11,16 8329:4 8330:18 8331:21 8351:3,4 8377:20

Agreement......

agreements 8105:25 8106:14,24 8107:3 8108:18 8143:5,14,21,24 8144:8,13,16,18 8147:15,18,19 8236:7,10,11,12 8319:24 8350:6 8351:2,16

agrees 7982:15 8036:5 8049:3 8065:10 8072:22,24 8126:25 8128:24 8208:17 8261:17 8351:11 8358:10

Agya 8070:21 8248:10

ahead 7986:9 7987:19 7988:4 8002:22 8120:22 8127:8 8313:8 8314:2,17

Air 8104:11 8178:8 8284:10,12

Akgonul 8034:7 8037:14 8050:10 8051:12 8052:21 8055:16 8056:20 8071:10 8074:23 8118:12 8119:21 8121:6,14 8149:4 8357:18 Akgonuls 8109:25

Alan 8022:15 8023:20 8037:4 8045:24 8048:12 8049:14 8052:24 8056:12 8061:6 8072:12,17 8073:11 8074:5,7 8075:8 8092:8 8095:17 8164:4 8357:16

Albert 8045:18,19,25 8046:6,24 8094:11

Aleardi 8278:17

alert 8305:17 8337:9

alerts 8217:11

allegation 8193:5

alleged 7985:10 7994:14 8295:16 8300:23,24 8303:13

allegedly 8188:12

allowed 8272:11 8350:23

alternative 8168:9 8370:6

Amazon 8155:9,14, 22 8170:20 8178:6 8273:25 8274:5,8,10, 22,24,25 8275:8,17, 23 8366:22

Amazon's 8274:9 8275:25

ambit 8329:25

America 8086:22 8087:9,13 8134:6 8156:12 8178:8

amnesia 8037:19 8040:13 8072:14 8073:10

amount 7997:6 8008:13 8011:11 8014:18 8015:18,24 8184:18 8207:25 8208:4,21 8271:14 8281:15 8294:24 8295:19 8296:4,5,8 8338:15,23 8339:18

amounts 8016:4

8188:22

analogy 8112:16

analysis 8028:16 8094:19 8097:15 8229:4 8262:9,10,23 8283:25

Analysis..... 8262 7980:2

analyze 8102:18

Andrew 8092:20

anecdotes 8110:18

announced 8244:19 8246:5,7,13

another's 8018:9

answers 8140:12

Antenna 8261:13,15, 17 8366:14

anymore 8035:19,23 8076:24 8077:4,6 8184:9 8319:10

apowersconsulting .com 8032:11

app 8171:23 8211:16 8239:2

apparent 8225:9

apparently 8042:12 8115:4 8279:14

appearance 8007:5

appeared 8213:2

Appian 7979:9,12, 17,21 7980:3 7982:15 7994:11,23 7996:4 7997:20 7999:20 8000:19 8011:2,10 8014:5,11, 15,21,23 8015:9,14, 17,20,22 8016:9,11 8017:6,7,11 8019:21, 23 8020:8,12,14,16 8021:21 8022:18 8024:8,11,13,15 8026:7 8028:14,25 8031:8 8033:6 8037:6 8043:22 8048:5,11 8050:14 8054:11 8057:12,24

Index: Appian's..assistant

			IIIdex: F	Appian'sassistant
8058:3,15,20,22	8193:7 8194:21	8323:20,24 8325:2	applies 8002:6	8256:6
8061:15 8062:8	8195:3,13 8196:3,5,	8326:5,19 8327:2	8025:25 8184:10	orron comonto
8063:6 8065:3	11,13,15,20 8197:6,	8328:22 8329:8,24	8357:21 8362:15	arrangements 8322:13
8066:15 8067:3	16,18,24 8198:7,18	8330:14 8333:5	apply 8006:7	
8069:13 8070:17,23,	8199:16,23,25	8334:6,18 8336:12	8011:13 8017:13	Arrested 8149:14
24 8071:21 8072:21,	8200:2,3,5,7,11,13,	8337:19 8338:22	8023:25 8024:3	arrived 8009:8
25 8074:14,23	19 8201:2,3,4,5	8339:11,15 8342:21,	8025:13 8065:15	
8075:5,13 8076:3,23	8202:18 8203:7,8	22 8350:13 8351:2	8066:4 8113:25	arriving 8008:16
8077:13 8078:9,10,	8204:23 8205:16	8353:13,22 8360:13	8199:14 8232:18	arrogance 8023:8
23 8080:16,22 8081:5 8082:19	8206:15 8210:2,4,18, 25 8211:10,12	8361:4,5 8362:16 8364:23 8366:23,24,	apportion 0150.10	8024:4,20,23 8049:4
8084:12 8085:9	8212:5,20,23	25 8376:5	apportion 8158:19	8070:20 8071:5
8086:14,24 8087:6,	8213:19 8214:6,19		appreciated 8170:2	8076:16 8092:3
14,19 8088:3,17	8215:6,10 8216:18	Appian's 7993:11	approach 8124:7	8098:24 8166:18
8089:7,12 8090:17,	8217:23 8218:3	7994:2,9,22 7995:2,7	8218:19 8250:5	arrogant 8023:13,23
20 8093:12 8094:19	8219:17 8220:8	8011:6 8040:15		8054:21
8095:22 8096:16	8222:6 8223:14,23	8046:3 8047:2	approval 8272:24	
8097:15 8098:5,10,	8224:3,8,14 8225:9,	8064:12 8090:3 8099:24 8125:3	apps 8171:19	Article 7998:3
23 8100:6 8103:19	10 8226:16 8227:20	8128:2 8170:22	8192:14 8217:4,7	articulations
8106:14,18 8107:16	8230:2 8234:5,12	8171:8 8172:5,7,11	8239:4,5 8241:11	8218:12
8108:7,9,19,22	8235:15 8236:6	8175:11 8176:6	8244:17 8366:6	ASAP 8280:8
8110:8 8111:15,19,	8237:20,25 8238:4	8177:7,16 8180:20	April 8204:24	
24 8116:8,11,15	8239:18 8241:6,11,	8182:10 8183:5	-	ascertain 8192:14
8118:15,19 8119:25 8120:19,22 8121:4,	16,23 8242:3 8243:15 8245:24	8189:11 8190:7,11,	arbiter 8049:6	ascertainable
13,25 8122:8,11	8243.15 8245.24 8248:4 8249:2,4,12,	21 8191:11 8194:18,	arbitrarily 8007:17	8012:23 8112:25
8123:3 8124:7,15	14 8250:9,12,24	19 8195:6,7 8206:3,6	-	8147:2 8193:23
8125:11,13,14,15,20	8251:13,21 8252:11	8209:22 8211:25	architectural 8099:25	8194:7 8347:19
8126:8 8127:8,14,15,	8253:4 8254:4,17,18,	8212:12 8218:19	0099.25	ascii 8094:10
16 8128:16,23	19,24 8255:5 8257:4,	8226:20 8227:18,21	architecture 8129:6	ascii 0094.10
8129:21,23 8131:13	17 8258:6,21,23	8229:10,18 8233:13,	8206:3,10 8275:11	ascii0 8044:16,20
8132:5 8136:7	8260:6,8,9 8261:20	25 8234:3,11 8236:18,25 8237:3,5	area 8076:9 8104:13	8046:8,25 8048:9
8138:18 8142:12,13,	8262:17 8263:12,20	8238:9 8242:11,21	8277:21	8185:12,25
14,20,23 8143:15,17,	8264:11,25 8265:24	8244:2 8248:6		ascii0@gmail.com.
19 8145:19 8146:5,	8273:18 8274:6,12,	8255:15,16,20,22	areas 8072:4 8115:22 8117:3	8044:11,18
10 8148:10 8149:12,	25 8275:2,6,22,24	8256:20 8257:20	0110.22 0117.3	acnoct 0027.17
17,23 8150:6 8151:8,	8276:3,25 8277:8	8258:23 8262:12	argue 7995:20	aspect 8027:17
22 8155:15,17,19 8156:13 8157:5	8278:5,10 8279:23 8281:11,14,21	8264:22 8268:7	7996:13 8002:7,24	aspects 8270:7
8161:14 8162:4,6	8282:7 8283:2,6,7,16	8273:9 8275:11	8195:9 8362:22	8346:24
8164:7,16 8165:2	8284:12,15 8285:9,	8279:10 8285:6	argued 7992:11,17	assassination
8166:19 8171:5,6	16,23 8286:6 8289:4,	8296:25 8318:5		8186:11
8172:6,12 8173:4,7,	5 8295:2,11 8297:21,	8328:25 8335:7	arguing 7995:19	accombined 7000
8,11 8174:5,10,19,22	22 8298:10,19,20,24,	8341:10 8355:21	argument 7994:17	assembled 7983:5
8175:2,4,6,9,12,13,	25 8299:12,16,22	Appian.com	8001:14,15 8002:19,	asserting 8365:17
21 8176:10,18	8300:16 8301:5,10,	8197:14	25 8020:25 8136:12	assess 8027:5,18
8177:10,16,18	11 8302:15 8303:7,	Apple 8244:13	8222:23 8270:13	
8178:24 8179:6,13	10 8304:8 8305:5,11,		8289:14 8318:9	assessment 8255:5
8180:4 8181:17	22,24 8306:2,4,6,10,	application 8070:3,9	8352:22 8371:10	assessments
8182:3,12,19,22	17 8307:3,9 8309:6,9	8125:5 8203:15	arguments 7979:7	8105:22
8184:7 8186:3,16,21	8310:5,23 8311:12, 16 8313:18 8314:12	8259:23 8272:12	7989:19 7994:19	accote 0100.5
8187:4,7,15,25 8188:8,15,19	16 8313:18 8314:12 8315:19 8316:5,21	applications	8006:4 8111:3	assets 8188:5
8189:10,13,18,19,23	8318:3,20 8320:10,	8171:14 8214:23	8284:14 8305:18	assistance 8291:17
8190:2,17 8191:8,13,	21,25 8321:3,10,14,	8216:20 8257:23	8329:6	assistant 8248:8
17 8192:14,16,18	17,20 8322:22		arising 8184:2,16	8325:12
		1	1	1

Index: assisted..Benjamin

assisted 8110:7 8289:18,23 8290:2

association 8013:17 8018:16

assume 7995:18 8200:9

assuming 8263:24 8354:7

assumption 8018:8 8230:20

assumptions 8136:6,16

assure 8243:21

Astley 8277:15

astray 7999:18

attach 8036:4 8246:15,16 8250:19

attached 8036:12 8283:25

attachment 8118:21

attack 8025:7 8038:15 8077:10,15 8078:16,21 8148:7, 13 8204:23 8209:11 8326:5

attacks 8080:5 8095:18

attempt 8046:2 8229:24

attempted 8162:22

attempts 8019:10

attendee 8071:7,10

attendees 8072:13

attending 8126:14

attention 8006:12,19 8021:23 8090:24 8167:6 8169:21 8184:20 8295:4 8376:15

attested 8031:24

attitude's 8103:10

attorney-client 8096:21 8016:4 8150:22 8175:20 8179:11 8285:18 8361:21 attribute 8180:7

attributable 8015:5

attributes 8118:20 8247:19

AU 8094:11

audits 8105:23

August 7980:15 8297:25 8320:17 8325:5,7 8326:13

Australian 8046:19

authentication 8105:20

authority 8013:9 8017:2 8018:9 8019:14 8020:3 8114:3 8160:17 8161:6 8349:4

authorize 8067:4

authorized 8065:6 8089:16 8145:2 8187:8 8352:8

authorizing 8051:3

automatic 8017:20 8315:15

automatically 8306:23

automobile 8174:2

average 8249:25

avoid 8004:18

avoided 8021:16 avoiding 8021:18

award 7997:5 8014:18 8165:14 8286:13 8289:2 8338:24 8341:12 8376:11

awarded 8281:10

aware 7999:22,24 8028:24 8031:25 8155:17 В

back 7982:16 7993:25 7996:20 8000:20 8004:6 8026:14 8032:18 8035:16,17 8036:23 8047:6 8054:19,25 8058:16,21 8082:4 8088:19 8089:3.4 8110:16 8111:2 8134:17 8136:9 8151:22 8160:3.6 8166:15 8167:5,11 8169:5 8192:6,21 8204:19 8205:8 8218:7 8219:19,21 8226:4 8234:21 8241:14 8268:9 8294:12 8296:2 8310:25 8311:6 8312:20,25 8314:8 8315:12 8326:19 8327:8 8339:8 8343:22 8345:14 8352:17 8358:7 8369:5 8370:22 8371:20 8372:5,10, 20 8377:24 8378:6, 15

background 8198:13 8220:23

backgrounds 8169:19

backlog 8035:5,6,7, 18 8036:2,18

backlogs 8034:25 8035:2,9 8036:10

backup 8275:2

bad 8183:23 8217:11 8234:2,7

balance 8293:14 8300:5

band 7999:15 8001:20

Band-aid 8127:7 8365:14

bands 7999:6,23 8000:2,5,18 8001:7, 9,18 **bank** 8086:22 8087:9,13 8106:5 8156:12 8178:8 8269:16 8270:3 8272:22 8371:19

bank's 8270:8

banking 8269:16

banner 8315:22

Bapna 7999:19

bar 7988:13 8265:19, 21 8266:21 8267:3,4, 23,24 8282:2,6,8

Barak 8089:18 8129:14

Baril 8028:25 8029:16 8031:11,25

8032:7 8054:10,14 8075:18 8090:20,22 8091:24 8092:5,18 8094:2,17 8095:6,21 8097:9,12,25 8112:7, 14 8129:13 8133:12 8149:10 8161:9 8164:3 8187:23 8357:15 8359:9

barred 7996:5

base 8008:7 8294:12

based 8008:10 8017:18 8032:25 8034:19 8117:11 8162:10,15,17 8176:13 8261:5 8298:22 8324:7 8332:23 8337:22 8354:7

basement 8373:12

basic 8124:6,12 8127:5 8141:6

basically 8135:20 8269:24

basis 8009:6 8136:3 8179:19 8207:19 8295:9 8336:24

Bates 8280:23

battle 8086:15

battles 8152:23,24

8088:7,14 8110:13 8155:12 8354:13

Bearden 8087:3.24

bearing 8009:17

beat 8250:17

beaten 8276:16

beauty 8027:21 8093:21

beg 8277:13,23

began 8255:7 8333:21

beginning 8038:9 8058:11 8116:14 8219:21 8252:6 8272:7 8277:16 8311:22

behalf 8021:21 8189:17 8236:25 8273:16 8339:19

behavior 8183:23 8184:10,17 8239:9

behest 8137:7

belabor 7987:15

belief 8254:7 8307:24

believable 8007:18 8008:5

believed 8254:12 8319:3

Ben 8028:24 8029:4 8032:7 8054:10,14 8092:5 8129:13 8131:5 8357:15

beneath 8357:2

beneficial 8182:11

benefit 8010:10 8159:23 8229:25 8258:23 8265:23 8282:11 8340:7 8371:15

benefited 8339:25

benefits 8220:18,25 8263:16

Benjamin 8075:18

Index: Bessman..calculations

Bessman 8093:9 8094:4 8096:12

bet 8289:14

BI 8214:9,22 8215:3

bias 8007:11 8008:8 8027:15

bid 8274:18

big 7993:18 8102:18 8176:10 8211:21 8335:6 8369:15,17

biggest 8056:12

bilateral 8275:20

Bill 8104:21 8304:21

billion 8056:15 8159:4,5 8230:19 8256:17,18 8257:4, 14 8267:16 8285:24 8286:13 8295:11 8337:2 8361:9 8362:13 8367:21 8369:15,20 8371:18, 24

bind 8318:4

binding 8107:14,21 8108:18 8144:8 8318:3

bit 8029:4 8042:4 8088:9 8129:18 8130:8 8173:21 8186:13,15 8205:13 8209:15 8249:9 8298:4 8300:20 8314:18 8364:4

BIX 8215:3

Bixby 8037:17,18 8038:15,18,22 8039:6,7 8040:10 8052:19 8071:8 8074:23 8091:23 8115:5 8119:6 8120:9 8126:10 8128:24 8149:24 8154:7 8259:14 8260:13,14 8269:19 8270:22 8357:18

black 8090:4,11 8110:21,24 8358:4 blame 8282:21 blank 8143:7 8351:16 block 8108:24 8289:21,23,24,25 8290:3

blocked 8146:5

blog 8186:3 bloggers 8336:12

blow 8084:5 8204:22 8205:25 8210:12 8217:25 8222:2 8240:25 8245:19 8251:16 8329:15

blowing 8205:13 blue 8220:22 8263:10

blurring 8060:3

8063:10 8073:19

boasting 8092:18

boaus 8156:21

book 8104:20

Bob 8169:13 8275:6

8157:3,7 8371:10

booking 8261:24

bookings 8261:19

books 8104:18

boring 8001:20

8038:11 8048:24

bosses 8048:23

Boston 8046:14

bottom 8076:8

bought 8262:3

8196:21,23 8206:13

8222:20 8253:12

bound 8064:19,24

8199:6 8328:24

8343:22

8341:6

8367:15

boss 8022:24

8078:2 8079:22

board 8059:4

8266:21 8282:6 brand 8269:7

brand-new 8205:2 8229:13 8230:4

8356:17

boundaries 8131:16

8110:22,24 8257:23

BP3 8028:18 8091:19

8259:16 8266:20

8294:11 8337:24

8349:7 8358:4

boy 8374:12

BPM 8057:12

8230:23

brain 8123:13

8106:19 8120:21

8127:17 8174:10

8179:16 8210:19

bounds 8138:10

box 8090:4,11

breach 8013:9,10 8114:3 8348:19 8349:4

break 7999:13 8168:7 8276:20 8379:4

breaking 8098:23

breaks 8001:20 bribery 8013:7

briefly 8160:9 8326:16

bright 8233:22

bring 8004:13 8005:20 8059:16 8154:9 8169:5 8205:24 8212:19 8213:3 8214:13,24, 25 8227:5 8232:7 8237:15 8252:22 8293:21 8322:10 8375:22 8377:7

brings 8172:15 8246:25 8326:10

broad 7995:3

broadcast 8177:18 broader 8194:16 broadly 8181:18 brother 8050:24 brothers 8094:5 brought 7986:15 8082:16 8187:23 8189:4 8234:12 8297:12 8375:24

buck 8134:9 8359:8

bucket 8003:5

budgets 8051:3

build 8115:10 8141:15 8143:16 8171:14 8232:12 8239:2 8241:10 8259:21,22 8265:11 8272:12 8281:12,21

building 8072:21 8093:12 8099:19 8220:24 8366:6

builds 8219:12

built 8260:20

bullet 8070:2

bunch 7986:15 8052:9 8075:3 8263:21 8264:17 8265:25 8268:18

burden 7986:14 7997:20 8014:23 8015:2,22,25 8017:12 8026:12 8150:14,18,20 8151:8,13 8162:20 8300:6,9,10 8310:25 8320:12 8361:6,15, 19 8362:4,14 8365:22 8367:10,19 8368:12

burden's 8158:11

burdens 8162:19 8360:18

Bureau 8178:7 8281:24

business 8010:8 8013:15 8024:10 8030:6 8044:23 8065:5 8066:15,23, 24 8077:15 8081:12 8089:21 8092:15.16. 17 8093:21.22 8108:14 8129:24 8139:24 8143:25 8144:18 8171:20 8182:4 8188:20 8215:3 8218:20,22 8219:8,18 8236:19 8237:21,25 8238:4,7 8245:6 8259:9 8262:4 8269:15,16 8270:2,8,9 8275:9 8286:19 8287:16,24 8288:3 8290:7.8 8317:7 8321:4 8326:19 8340:14,16 8350:17

business-related 8245:2

businesses 8090:15 8091:16 8273:14 8373:23

businessperson 8219:7,14,15

butchered 8288:14

button 8119:16 8120:10 8268:7,15, 17,21 8365:6

buttons 8269:6,7

buy 8171:11 8199:2 8262:5

buyers 8178:9

buying 8176:12 8178:5 8232:10,11 8363:23

С

cabal 8052:14

cafeteria 8168:14

calculated 8151:25 8163:7 8293:11

calculating 8099:19

calculation 8150:4 8156:7 8229:3 8344:7

calculations 8158:4

Index: calculators..chief

calculators 8017:20

Calkins 8060:19 8190:16,20 8275:16 8276:14

Calkins' 8061:2 8190:15

call 8059:25 8060:9 8080:25 8085:6 8092:8 8123:4 8160:5 8239:11 8267:3 8326:25 8328:13 8344:21,23, 24 8345:25 8346:21 8347:11 8348:21 8371:3

called 8010:16 8025:23 8026:3 8060:16,20,22 8069:5 8110:23 8165:22 8186:16 8187:22 8195:16 8203:8 8216:25 8219:5 8245:7,9 8246:6 8261:12 8264:18 8265:6 8267:8,13 8272:19 8295:10 8301:18 8303:6 8319:19 8320:19 8367:20

calling 8044:21 8114:5

calls 8044:14 8076:21 8249:12 8304:2,18

Camtasia 8312:5

candidly 8094:2 8095:16

canvas 8265:10,23 8266:10

capabilities 8040:16 8124:13 8172:8 8176:3,5 8177:22 8212:3 8222:7 8226:19 8250:18 8253:23 8255:6 8264:6 8266:24

capability 8126:4 8128:7 8192:13 8215:9 8217:14 8245:23 8259:18 capable 8212:2 8261:10

8260:9 8281:13

capacities 8214:19

capital 8025:10 caps 8058:24

capture 8098:5 8206:25

captured 8219:5 8273:3 8277:5

capturing 8220:19 car 8112:17,20

8199:3 8357:4 Cardiko 8111:8

care 8070:17 8074:14 8075:6 8121:13

career 8104:3

careful 8021:23 8299:21

carefully 8334:8 8343:12

cares 8135:12 8139:25

carry 8367:18

cartoonish 8093:3

cascaded 8080:14, 25

cascading 8068:13

case 7985:17 7986:3 7989:12 7990:12 7994:14 7998:17 8000:4 8006:3,7 8007:11,16,21 8008:3,14 8010:21, 24 8014:7 8016:21 8025:20,21,23 8026:22 8027:14,18 8028:11 8031:9 8035:8 8041:18 8049:2 8050:6 8057:13 8059:11 8072:3 8088:11 8090:2 8095:11 8135:13 8141:8 8147:8 8165:22 8166:14 8170:8

8171:2.5 8174:19 8177:9.14 8180:14. 22 8181:15 8186:14 8190:4 8203:19 8215:13 8242:5 8251:7,8 8255:7,12 8271:2 8276:21 8290:10 8291:18 8294:25 8295:8,18 8298:25 8304:24 8311:11 8312:8 8317:14 8318:22 8319:14 8321:4 8324:17 8327:20 8333:18 8341:17 8342:20 8343:15 8348:19.20 8357:23 8364:3 8374:9,11,24 8375:16,18,19 8376:23 8377:2

cases 8083:25 8158:6 8279:16 8294:18 8300:4

casual 8307:9

categorically 8045:4

categories 8071:24 8157:9 8159:16,17

categorized 8278:12

category 8157:15

Caton 8039:2 8074:24 8248:8 8325:11 8326:4

causation 7998:16

caused 7997:6,10 7998:14,19 8011:11 8013:22 8014:19 8015:19 8171:10 8178:13 8188:19 8271:15

CC+ 8297:15

CDTS 8076:14 8100:7 8116:18 8120:21 8121:11,20 8122:2,8,10 8141:22 8364:18,23 8365:3

cell 8269:2 8378:17

Census 8132:2 8153:12,15,20 8154:2,5,10,13,16 8178:7 8281:3,9,10, 13,23 8282:19 8283:5 8368:8 **center** 8092:16

8187:3 8206:10 8263:10

central 8255:3

CEO 8023:20 8044:24 8048:12 8073:3 8094:9,13 8095:2 8130:21 8155:16 8193:6 8275:15 8276:15 8365:2

certifications 8104:13 8105:23

cetera 8111:10 8212:9

chain 8022:23 8033:19 8089:23

chairs 8287:18

challenged 8182:24 8219:2

challenges 8077:16, 22 8080:3,11 8174:24 8175:23 8323:8

challenging 8279:12

chance 8053:9 8087:18 8111:15 8202:5 8290:14 8292:8,11,16 8295:3 8298:3 8301:6 8335:15

change 8032:24 8033:4 8061:23 8123:20 8125:4 8208:19 8209:13 8230:17 8288:12,21, 23 8339:16,25 8340:10

changeable 8209:6

changed 8029:11 8033:7,24 8054:6 8224:18

changing 8060:4 8272:14 characteristics 8263:8,11 characterizing 8023:15

character 8186:10

charge 7979:5 7983:25 7987:24 8005:1 8006:1 8007:1 8008:1 8009:1 8010:1 8011:1 8012:1 8013:1 8014:1 8015:1 8016:1 8017:1 8018:1 8019:1 8020:1 8034:9 8052:3 8114:15 8188:9 8234:23

chart 8136:24 8137:3 8218:15 8221:21 8222:14 8263:3 8282:2

chart-making 8136:2

charts 8222:17 8223:4 8353:8,9 8363:17

chat 8306:7

chats 8306:7

Chatter 8245:7

check 8120:5 8130:13 8147:24 8337:15,24 8338:2

checked 8035:10 8120:3 8121:2

checkpoint 8078:22

checkpointing 8102:2 8103:15 8135:23 8207:17 8208:7 8353:21

checkpoints 8207:2,4,5,24 8208:16

chef 8287:20

chest 8153:18

chief 8028:8 8034:7 8041:21,22 8042:18

Index: chockablock..closing

			indensio	
8104:7,8 8189:9	8193:15 8284:23	clip 8060:25 8190:14,	8089:1 8090:1	8201:1 8202:1
8190:16 8213:16	8286:4	15 8201:16 8349:16	8091:1 8092:1	8203:1 8204:1
8224:19 8225:2			8093:1 8094:1	8205:1 8206:1
	claiming 8184:12	clips 7989:11 7990:9	8095:1 8096:1	8207:1 8208:1
chockablock	8190:25 8191:3	7991:11,15,25	8097:1 8098:1	8209:1 8210:1
8108:12	8287:23 8295:11	8067:15 8093:13,18	8099:1 8100:1	8211:1 8212:1
choice 8209:11	8296:7 8323:21	close 7988:3 8003:9	8101:1 8102:1	8213:1 8214:1
8210:19	claims 8011:2	8132:15	8103:1 8104:1	8215:1 8216:1
	8110:17 8111:2		8105:1 8106:1	8217:1 8218:1
choices 8339:5,13,	8174:20 8187:7	closed 7989:17,18,	8107:1 8108:1	8219:1 8220:1
14 8340:23	8224:8 8239:19	25 7990:18 7991:3,8	8109:1 8110:1	8221:1 8222:1
choose 8042:15	8284:6 8300:13	8157:19 8326:18	8111:1 8112:1	8223:1 8224:1
chooses 8371:24	8339:16 8357:21,22	closer 8186:13	8113:1 8114:1	8225:1 8226:1
	8358:22	closest 8353:17	8115:1 8116:1	8227:1 8228:1
chose 8083:24	clarification 7993:6		8117:1 8118:1	8229:1 8230:1
8367:17		closing 7979:7	8119:1 8120:1	8231:1 8232:1
CI 8092:9	clarify 7997:11	7987:6 7988:24	8121:1 8122:1	8233:1 8234:1
	clarity 8163:11	7989:18 7991:23,25	8123:1 8124:1	8235:1 8236:1
CIA 8104:4	-	7992:25 7993:11	8125:1 8126:1	8237:1 8238:1
circular 8041:11	class 8270:4	7995:11 8001:15,21	8127:1 8128:1 8129:1 8130:1	8239:1 8240:1 8241:1 8242:1
	classical 8219:16	8002:19 8003:15 8006:4 8020:25	8131:1 8132:1	8243:1 8244:1
circulate 8069:10	clean 8359:22	8021:1 8022:1	8133:1 8134:1	8245:1 8246:1
circulated 8098:3	Clean 8559.22	8023:1 8024:1	8135:1 8136:1	8247:1 8248:1
circulates 8068:21	clear 7984:24	8025:1 8026:1	8137:1 8138:1	8249:1 8250:1
8118:13	7985:3,5 7991:20	8027:1 8028:1	8139:1 8140:1	8251:1 8252:1
0110.13	7992:8,12,20	8029:1 8030:1	8141:1 8142:1	8253:1 8254:1
circulating 8025:6	8025:19 8057:13	8031:1 8032:1	8143:1 8144:1	8255:1 8256:1
8131:22 8324:19	8065:3 8110:11	8033:1 8034:1	8145:1 8146:1	8257:1 8258:1
8368:22	8130:14 8143:22	8035:1 8036:1	8147:1 8148:1	8259:1 8260:1
circumstances	8148:21 8157:11	8037:1 8038:1	8149:1 8150:1	8261:1,22 8262:1
8008:3 8012:9	8165:4 8301:11	8039:1 8040:1	8151:1 8152:1	8263:1 8264:1
8013:4 8194:11	8302:13 8317:13	8041:1 8042:1	8153:1 8154:1	8265:1 8266:1
8195:2	8343:2	8043:1 8044:1	8155:1 8156:1	8267:1 8268:1
	cleverly 8225:12	8045:1 8046:1	8157:1 8158:1	8269:1 8270:1
circumstantial	-	8047:1 8048:1	8159:1 8160:1	8271:1 8272:1
8008:19	click 8197:9 8199:20	8049:1 8050:1	8161:1 8162:1	8273:1 8274:1
cite 8325:3	8251:7 8265:8,13,20	8051:1 8052:1	8163:1 8164:1	8275:1 8276:1
	8266:23 8267:14	8053:1 8054:1	8165:1 8166:1	8277:1 8278:1
civil 8025:20,23	8268:20 8318:14,16	8055:1 8056:1	8167:1 8168:1	8279:1 8280:1
claim 8011:15	8349:8	8057:1 8058:1	8169:1 8170:1	8281:1 8282:1
8014:12 8015:11	clicked 8197:4	8059:1 8060:1	8171:1 8172:1	8283:1 8284:1
8016:22 8017:14	8266:11 8267:9	8061:1 8062:1	8173:1 8174:1	8285:1 8286:1
8019:23 8135:8	8349:7	8063:1 8064:1	8175:1 8176:1	8287:1 8288:1
8160:11 8170:22	clicking 0007:00	8065:1 8066:1	8177:1 8178:1	8289:1 8290:1
8174:21 8175:11	clicking 8267:23	8067:1 8068:1	8179:1 8180:1	8291:1 8292:1
8178:19 8184:21	client 8021:21	8069:1 8070:1	8181:1 8182:1	8293:1 8294:1
8194:18 8228:11,12,	8046:11 8114:15	8071:1 8072:1	8183:1 8184:1	8295:1 8296:1
13 8229:10 8230:19	8217:17 8226:16	8073:1 8074:1	8185:1 8186:1	8297:1 8298:1,15
8234:10 8258:22	client-service	8075:1 8076:1	8187:1 8188:1	8299:1 8300:1
8285:6,7 8286:5,18	8091:16	8077:1 8078:1	8189:1 8190:1	8301:1 8302:1
8287:12 8290:25	0031.10	8079:1 8080:1	8191:1 8192:1	8303:1 8304:1
8291:8 8336:2,21,23	clients 8117:12	8081:1 8082:1	8193:1 8194:1	8305:1,17 8306:1
8362:12,13	8176:9,10 8279:12,	8083:1 8084:1	8195:1 8196:1	8307:1 8308:1 8309:1 8310:1
claimed 7994:3	15	8085:1 8086:1	8197:1 8198:1 8199:1 8200:1	8311:1 8312:1
		8087:1 8088:1	0100.1 0200.1	0011.1 0012.1

8313:1 8314:1 8315:1 8316:1 8317:1 8318:1,8,9 8319:1 8320:1 8321:1 8322:1 8323:1 8324:1 8325:1 8326:1 8327:1 8328:1 8329:1,5 8330:1 8331:1 8332:1 8333:1 8334:1 8335:1 8336:1 8337:1 8338:1 8339:1 8340:1 8341:1 8342:1 8343:1 8344:1 8345:1 8346:1 8347:1 8348:1 8349:1 8350:1 8351:1 8352:1 8353:1 8354:1 8355:1 8356:1 8357:1 8358:1 8359:1 8360:1 8361:1 8362:1 8363:1 8364:1 8365:1 8366:1 8367:1 8368:1 8369:1 8370:1 8371:1 8372:1 8373:1 8374:1 8375:1 8376:1 8377:1 8378:1 8379:1 closings 7992:22

cloud 8071:22

Clovis 8320:19 8326:18

clunky 8116:8

Co-development 8085:21

coaching 8177:5 8230:6 8245:13,15

code 8024:2 8042:25 8043:4,10 8044:16 8049:9 8065:14,24 8066:16 8133:16 8237:4 8298:10 8334:3

codes 8297:15

coding 8128:21,25

8316:15 coffee 8059:6 8068:4 8075:15 8095:4 8139:11 Cognizant 8081:13 coin 7984:8 coincidence 8047:4 cold 8059:6 8068:5 8075:15 8095:4 8139:11 Cole 8103:21 8104:25 8105:7 8106:2 8108:21 8109:20 8113:5 8137:23 8138:12 8166:6 8183:9 8202:14 8207:8 8304:15,16,19,23 8305:4,21 8306:19 8307:8.19 8308:7 8310:11 8315:12 8316:3,8,18 8317:15, 17 8319:3,7,23 8322:2 8328:9.18.19 8331:11 8358:15 collaborating 8195:21 collaboration 8125:24 8244:25 8246:5,8 Collabralink 8195:16,17 8196:2 8319:20 8320:6 8321:11 8322:9 8326:14,17,20 8327:25 8328:3,5 8348:13 collateral 8253:25 colleague 8354:17 colleagues 8149:11 collects 8269:17 8270:4

8270:4 color 8094:10 column 8220:21 8263:5,10,13 columns 8123:9 comfortable Commencing 8237:19 8241:20 commend 8296:12 comment 8250:16 commenting 8124:13 8256:11 comments 8082:15 8325:16 **Commerce** 8132:9 commercial 8013:20 8170:21 8209:9,14 commissioner 8104:10 commitment 7992:24 commitments 8065:8 **committed** 8017:9 8020:10 8165:12 **common** 8007:24 8027:20 8028:3,5 8034:14 8061:23,25 8175:18 8227:6 8232:19 8252:22 8253:7 8302:19 8318:19 8329:21 8334:23 8336:8 8373:18 communicate 8173:23 communication 8096:21 8245:3,6 companies 8028:19 8064:8 8081:18 8147:11 8174:11 8272:9 companies' 8176:16

8131:14

company 8023:20 8034:11 8041:23 8042:19 8044:25 8046:15 8048:13,21, 24 8049:15,19 8051:21,23 8053:2 8056:9,14,17 8057:11 8059:3,10 8061:8 8066:14 8068:14 8069:6 8073:4 8075:5,6 8080:12,15 8097:14, 23 8098:25 8109:24 8134:11 8141:5 8172:13 8190:24 8195:16 8211:21 8213:17 8217:5 8232:6,9,20,21,24 8233:8 8234:15 8241:9 8243:5 8246:6 8261:12 8262:3,6 8319:19 8320:19 8349:21 8359:10 8373:11

company's 8156:19 8217:6

compare 8061:6 8141:18 8202:19 8287:7 8358:15,16

compared 8118:3 8247:16

comparing 8285:22 8354:22 8355:20

comparison 8128:16 8212:22 8284:15

compass 8049:15

compelling 8284:6

compensated 8016:9 8166:20

compete 8125:19

competing 8080:21 8081:4 8083:13 8085:2 8110:8 8150:7 8173:8,12 8174:4 8179:7 8247:22 8254:4 8277:20 8285:23,24

competition 8085:17 8088:2 8111:17 8152:4,9,16 8155:4 8156:20 8175:9 8179:6 8285:13,16,17 8286:9 8289:3,4,5

competitions 8152:17 8156:17

competitive 8039:3

Index: closings..computer

8077:15 8084:21 8086:11 8092:9 8131:8 8138:11 8153:25 8188:10,11, 14,23 8280:2 8325:14 8347:3

competitor 8041:9 8042:9 8091:3,4 8128:18 8147:25 8149:8 8194:15 8262:14 8263:14

competitor's 8069:7 8070:5

competitors 8065:7 8074:15,16,19 8076:18 8108:25 8182:2,17 8244:15

competitors' 8273:8

compilation 8012:18

complaints 8003:4

complete 7989:14

completed 8002:12, 13,14 8076:13 8122:16 8170:16 8239:4,5

completely 8046:18 8054:20 8244:7 8257:23 8261:8 8269:8 8281:16 8282:9 8283:9 8286:10 8307:11 8360:16

complex 8273:14 8279:22 8284:13

complicated 8042:21,23 8043:3,9 8116:22 8117:5 8120:14 8123:11

comply 8199:9

Composite 8056:6

comprise 8019:5

computer 8013:8 8016:22,25 8017:14, 15 8018:4,20,21,22 8019:2,3,5,7,8,9,10, 11,13,23 8020:2,3 8025:21 8044:16

Index: computers..corporate

8053:13 8054:11 8064:2 8114:2 8145:4 8160:11,15, 17,21 8164:25 8165:12 8184:6,7 8297:13 8301:21 8321:2 8336:5,17 8349:3 8376:13

computers 8018:5, 19 8019:3 8054:17 8160:22 8238:2

computing 8017:22 8114:16

conceal 8059:20

concealed 8062:4 8187:14 8191:9

concealing 8260:7

concealment 8062:20

concede 8047:16 8257:12 8291:22

concept 8085:12 8123:11 8251:5 8258:13 8304:6 8313:4 8324:21

concern 8233:11

concerned 8087:11 8292:22 8328:4

concerns 8155:23 8275:10

concerts 8372:2

conclude 8179:14 8256:25 8260:5 8271:11 8288:8,9,17, 18

concluded 8182:10 8275:24 8284:10

conclusion 8253:13

conclusions 8253:9 8255:2 8324:7

concurrent 8068:24 8079:13 8080:6 8085:15 8099:9 8101:11 8103:14 8223:12,13,14,24 8224:3,14 8356:2 Conditions 8108:10, 12 conduct 8016:15,19 8023:15,19 8024:2 8043:2,5,10 8049:9

8055:24 8062:21 8065:15,24 8066:5 8075:19 8089:10 8133:16 8139:3 8164:20 8334:3 8342:2,8,12,16 8343:17 8359:20 8369:21 8373:13 8374:14 8375:9 8376:3,4

conducted 8028:14

conference 7984:2 7987:25

8356:18

confident 8210:9

confidential 7980:21 7994:11 8043:7 8046:3 8047:3 8058:24 8060:23 8066:11,19, 20 8067:22 8069:15 8099:21 8102:7 8103:4 8107:10,23 8125:9 8144:22 8146:20 8226:22 8239:8 8258:20 8304:12 8315:10 8318:5 8328:10,15 8329:2,11,12,20 8330:2,6 8350:11

confidentiality 8108:6 8144:14 8239:21 8240:2 8307:5 8310:7 8320:3 8351:5

configuration 8078:23 8099:13 8102:2 8128:8 8257:24 8259:17 8264:7 8353:21 8365:19

confirmed 8146:3 confirms 8364:17

conflict 8065:18

conflicting 8026:25 confronted 8043:25 confused 8258:8,10 confusing 8258:10, 11

confusion 8163:9

connect 8211:17 8259:2

connectability 8211:13

connected 8018:5 8155:13 8160:22

connection 8217:15 8259:7

connectors 8210:6 8211:2 8212:4

conscious 8097:10 8182:4

8179:20

consent 8011:24

consequence 8350:10

conservative 8157:18 8368:6

consideration 8061:22 8177:13 8299:22

considered 8007:20 8009:16 8010:2 8191:13 8192:16,25

consistent 8089:13 8335:21

conspicuously 8198:10

constantly 8077:20 8188:4

construct 8171:18

consultant 8059:12 8076:3 8086:14 8295:21 8326:9 8327:3 8331:23

consultants 8023:22 consulting 8092:20 8195:19,22 8278:5 8280:11 8296:16 8309:22 8320:15 8327:23 8332:22 8342:24

consume 8212:8

contact 8131:12 8202:22 8203:24 8222:5 8260:3 8284:17

contacted 8088:18

contained 8194:20 8262:10 8298:7

contemporaneous 8027:3 8038:5 8040:3 8070:8 8073:24 8096:15 8112:12 8127:19 8166:10 8253:16

content 8082:16 8284:7

contested 8183:13

context 7998:22 8002:8 8210:9 8228:9 8250:4,7 8251:5 8258:13 8303:11

continue 8096:25 8283:22

continued 8333:11, 22 8358:19

continuing 8358:2

continuous 8013:24 8153:24 8271:21 8272:2

contract 8088:5,8 8227:19 8237:17 8281:10 8306:25 8310:5 8318:2 8324:15 8348:19

contractor 8022:20 8038:13 8063:21

contractors 8132:23

contracts 8157:19 8237:16 8368:4

contractual 8322:13

contrary 8161:4 8184:14 8235:5 8243:15

contributed 8262:15,16

control 8161:2 8228:4 8239:15

controlled 8090:21 8331:12

controls 8331:16

controversial 8233:17

convenience 8268:24

conversation 8312:11

conversations 8033:23 8153:24 8298:17 8322:4

convert 8017:5 8018:7

converted 8020:6

converting 8160:19, 25

convince 8308:9

convinced 8300:11

convincing 8111:3

copied 8039:12 8257:20 8347:22

copies 7982:9 7986:15,20 8109:22 8180:20,24 8181:22 8195:5 8377:18

copy 7993:14 8004:9 8006:9 8020:22 8024:13,20 8242:19 8258:5,6 8325:13

copying 8249:3

cord 8279:14

core 8192:21 8219:19 8363:14

corner 8196:25 8198:12 8359:12

corporate 8024:25

Index: corporation..databases

8189:11.14 7995:9.14.17 7996:8 7997:23 7998:3.23 corporation 8013:15 7999:8,12 8000:9 8018:17 8001:25 8002:18,23 corporations 8003:8,11,16,25 8374:22 8004:4,9,12 8005:9, 16,22 8006:5 correct 7982:20 8008:12 8009:2 8032:22 8033:20 8021:9,17 8139:4 8064:25 8126:15 8140:7 8167:8,18,25 8180:16 8197:11,18 8168:6,13,16,18,23 8277:18 8280:13 8169:2,4,7 8171:6 8289:13 8377:21 8292:18 8293:11,17, 20,23 8344:4,13,17, corrections 7982:7 20,24 8345:13 correctly 8248:4 8376:19 8377:5,13 8378:12,22 8379:3,7, corresponded 8 8255:19 Court's 8020:20 cost 8159:15 8162:24 8208:21 8282:17 courthouse 8375:5 8286:22 8287:2,4,17 **courtroom** 8294:9 8371:10 8315:24 costs 8025:12 courts 8374:25 8157:10 8158:22 8287:8,16 8288:2,11, **cousin** 8180:25 20 8290:8 8370:15 8354:20 counsel 7985:18 cousins 8098:9,10 7999:19 8004:23 8133:21 8294:7 cousins's 8129:23 count 8162:2 8221:2 cover 8204:17 8331:15 8337:11 8338:4,9,21 8210:17 8245:18 covered 8078:18 counter 8280:7 8331:20 countries 8052:2 covers 8165:9 country 8272:16 8370:9 couple 7983:9 create 8032:10 7998:2 8002:6,17 8033:5 8065:18 8152:2 8153:10 8071:3 8118:21 8156:9 8195:11 8126:6 8218:5.21 8254:25 8297:7 8219:7 8246:17 8366:3 8252:2,19 8255:24 8262:9 courses 8107:25 created 8120:4 court 7982:2,5,22,23 8187:21 8210:14 7983:2,8,11,14 8213:13 8262:8 7984:6,10,14,19,23 8263:9 8282:19 7985:6,9,13,23 8311:20 7986:5,6,14,25 7987:8,12,19 7988:5, creates 8097:14 17,21 7989:6,20 creating 7990:22 7990:3,17,25 7991:6 8092:19 8116:17 7992:9 7993:15

8313:3 credentials 8093:10 8098:13,15 8129:23 8132:7,17 8133:23 8309:16 8349:13 8350:21 8351:24 credibility 8007:3 8009:18 8027:8,10 8032:4 8034:4 8037:15 8040:11 8041:14 8043:12 8048:14 8049:17 8055:5,7 8138:2 8143:3 8190:12 8358:14 credible 8137:22 8138:13 8335:19,22 8336:23 8365:4 credit 8075:23 8304:24 **Creed** 8378:3 crime 8025:21 8160:15 8184:6 crimes 8016:22 8017:14 8019:23 8067:18 8160:11 8164:25 8165:13 8184:7 8376:13 criminal 8025:20 8053:8 8061:17 8164:12 8343:7.15 criteria 8113:19 8116:16 8282:5,15, 19 critical 8092:9 8115:14 8129:8 8169:17,22 8238:6 8280:6 8319:6 8358:21 8360:19 8375:25 criticism 8172:11 8210:23 8211:23 8212:11 8289:9 criticizing 8210:4 8220:8 cross-examination 8219:3 8229:21 8297:12 8307:21 8128:12

cross-examining cyber 8103:23 8104:10.11.22 8185:10 8304:19 8310:11 **crown** 8307:13 8315:12 8328:21 8308:10 Crush 8054:9 D 8075:17,21 8112:6 8116:10 8122:9,22 damage 8170:22 8187:24 8364:22 8228:12 8229:10 crux 8191:21 8285:6 cue 8225:19 damages 7999:22 8008:13 8011:11 **culture** 8025:2,3 8013:21,23,25 8048:21 8052:25 8014:2,16 8015:15 8053:3 8061:7 8016:10 8020:15,18, 8094:10 8098:24 19 8150:4 8151:22 8134:10 8152:11 8157:5 current 8072:15 8165:5.7.14.17 8183:25 8184:2,9,13, curve 8120:23 16 8256:17 8271:2, custom 8120:12 13.14.20.22.23.25 8269:12 8272:3,4 8288:22 8289:2 8290:11,25 **customer** 8078:8 8291:5 8295:12 8084:15 8127:12 8296:23 8300:17 8171:25 8174:16 8338:24 8360:11 8175:25 8180:2 8362:12 8368:2 8208:18 8209:7,11 8228:13 8238:23 damning 8095:18 8239:14 8240:10,11, danced 8130:8 13.16 8247:23 8269:18 8272:17 dancing 8119:7 8273:21 8275:24 Dantus 8283:15,16, 8278:22 8285:13 21 8361:13 8367:19 dashboard 8268:19 customer's 8176:7 8208:19 data 8009:9 8018:21 8069:3 8076:14 customers 8072:6 8079:17 8085:23 8081:8 8087:17 8116:18 8120:12.16 8117:4,8 8118:25 8121:25 8122:15 8151:10 8156:22 8123:14,18 8171:17 8171:11 8172:14,16 8205:23 8206:8.14 8173:9,13 8174:15 8207:11,18,21,22,23, 8175:10 8177:24 25 8208:4 8212:7 8178:6 8188:20 8214:7,21 8222:9 8190:25 8209:17 8269:12,25 8286:3 8234:8 8235:3,4 8242:22 8243:24 database 8035:20 8273:20 8280:18 8203:17 8205:17 8316:16 8361:10 8206:7,8,9,13,16,18, 8366:19 8367:9,12, 23 8207:8.13.19 14,25 8368:13 8208:2 8353:19 customization databases 8212:8

Index: date..Demonstrative.....

			Index. dateDe	emonstrative
date 8237:20 8241:21	8358:17	8190:1 8191:1	8302:1 8303:1	deliberations
		8192:1 8193:1	8304:1 8305:1	8296:11 8299:13
dated 8323:13	decided 8020:15	8194:1 8195:1	8306:1 8307:1	8302:20 8316:2
8324:4 8325:5	8117:10 8134:4	8196:1 8197:1	8308:1 8309:1	8320:9 8323:4
Dave 8283:15	8274:13 8294:18	8198:1 8199:1	8310:1 8311:1	8333:25 8344:3
	deciding 8006:7	8200:1 8201:1	8312:1 8313:1	0000.20 0044.0
Davis 8089:25		8202:1 8203:1	8314:1 8315:1	delighted 8067:24
	decision 8176:13	8204:1 8205:1	8316:1 8317:1	8068:10 8094:17
Davison 8110:23	8182:4,14 8291:15,	8206:1 8207:1	8318:1 8319:1	delivered 8111:25
day 7988:4 8035:7	16 8292:2,3	8208:1 8209:1	8320:1 8321:1	
8038:24 8061:3				8122:23
8073:25 8074:4	decisions 8178:5	8210:1 8211:1	8322:1 8323:1	delivering 8111:23
8085:6 8114:19	8273:21	8212:1 8213:1	8324:1 8325:1	
8119:15,16 8135:2	deck 8021:5 8087:23	8214:1 8215:1	8326:1 8327:1	demeanor 8335:16
8164:16 8185:6		8216:1 8217:1	8328:1 8329:1	demo 8069:24
8234:15 8248:17	decks 8075:4,11	8218:1 8219:1	8330:1 8331:1	8094:23 8095:5
8312:15 8332:6	declares 8180:5	8220:1 8221:1	8332:1 8333:1	8251:6
8344:22,23,25		8222:1 8223:1	8334:1 8335:1	
8378:25 8379:11	declined 8229:20	8224:1 8225:1	8336:1 8337:1	demonstrate
0070.20 0079.11	dedicated 8018:2	8226:1 8227:1	8338:1 8339:1	8238:9,20,21,22
day-to-day 8045:11		8228:1 8229:1	8340:1 8341:1	8239:5 8240:7
8120:18	deduct 8158:22	8230:1 8231:1	8342:1 8343:1	8242:3,11 8243:7
	8287:25	8232:1 8233:1	8344:1	8301:12 8310:8
days 8076:4 8086:14		8234:1 8235:1	defendants 8011:4,	8323:2
8168:20 8185:7,8	deducted 8015:7	8236:1 8237:1	8 8271:8 8291:21	dom on otroto d
DCO 8219:5 8220:10,	8016:6 8151:4	8238:1 8239:1	8293:2	demonstrated
17,25 8277:5	8157:10,23 8159:16	8240:1 8241:1		8239:14 8248:6
	deduction 8159:15	8242:1 8243:1	Defendants' 7985:6	8333:7
dead 8310:17	8162:24 8340:5	8244:1 8245:1	defense 8145:6	demonstrating
8316:18 8317:15		8246:1 8247:1	8283:17	8123:10 8233:14
deal 8056:23 8154:25	deductions 8008:22	8248:1 8249:1		8333:3
8217:13 8251:14	8156:4 8157:3,8	8250:1 8251:1	deficiencies 8178:2	
8316:24 8330:11	8340:17	8252:1 8253:1	8299:18 8334:13,19	demonstration
	deemed 8240:10	8254:1 8255:1	defies 8336:8	8072:21 8239:25
dealing 7990:4	8257:8 8335:13	8256:1 8257:1	delles 0330.0	8245:21 8255:14,16,
8193:12 8274:22		8258:1 8259:1	define 8121:25	22 8256:7 8290:22
deals 8096:16	deep 8028:15 8068:9	8260:1 8261:1	8218:22 8329:12	8301:10 8302:15,22
	8093:11 8095:22,24	8262:1 8263:1	defined 8237:3	demonstrations
dealt 8050:2,21	default 8208:17	8264:1 8265:1		8240:15 8243:9
debating 7987:16	8209:2,5,10,12,13	8266:1 8267:1	8281:14 8329:19	8322:16,18
		8268:1 8269:1	definition 7982:14	
decade 8270:20	defend 8134:14	8270:1 8271:1	8105:2 8113:12	demonstrative
8309:24	8181:3,13	8272:1 8273:1	8241:13,16 8330:17	7993:24 7995:10
decades 8270:19,20	defendant 7979:10,	8274:1 8275:1	8331:20 8348:25	7999:4 8021:4
8364:19	11 8004:16 8014:14	8276:1 8277:1		8265:15,17 8346:4,7
	8015:13 8016:24	8278:1 8279:1	definitions 8011:13	8347:13 8348:23
December 8069:11	8019:21 8169:1	8280:1 8281:1	8017:13 8161:2	Demonstrative
8070:12 8071:12	8170:1 8171:1	8282:1 8283:1	degree 8137:21	8265 7980:5
8248:5,17 8256:2	8172:1 8173:1	8284:1 8285:1		
8258:2	8174:1 8175:1	8286:1 8287:1	degrees 8335:12	Demonstrative
decide 8014:16	8176:1 8177:1	8288:1 8289:1	deliberate 8004:6	8346 7980:24
8015:15 8020:15	8178:1 8179:1	8290:1 8291:1,11	8020:24 8166:14	7981:2
8042:20 8130:22	8180:1 8181:1	8292:1 8293:1	8329:4	Demonstrative
8141:19 8142:25	8182:1 8183:1	8294:1 8295:1		8347 7981:4
8162:14 8192:2,4	8184:1 8185:1	8296:1 8297:1	deliberation	
8200:16 8295:24	8186:1 8187:1	8298:1 8299:1	8334:24	
8335:17 8336:22	8188:1 8189:1	8300:1 8301:1		

Index: Demonstrative.....distributed

Demonstrative......

denial 8018:13 8161:3

denied 7985:11 7997:12 8189:18,20

denies 8046:17

denigrate 8335:7

departed 8331:19

Department 8132:9 8283:17

depended 8228:16

dependent 8158:24

depending 8208:19

depends 8229:10 8287:22 8327:12 8369:25

deploy 8100:8 8120:20

deployed 8127:11

deployment 8257:22

deposition 8028:11, 12 8029:7,9,15,19 8030:11,15 8032:8, 19 8033:15 8042:2 8043:18,25 8045:4 8048:7 8050:10 8061:2 8087:4 8090:2 8092:25 8130:9 8131:6,22 8148:9 8190:2,8,10, 15,18 8193:9 8204:13 8213:6,10, 14,19,25 8223:9,25 8254:5 8278:8 8311:19

Depp 8375:6

deprive 8201:18

depriving 8018:10

deputy 8004:2 8189:9 8213:16 8224:19 8225:2 8378:3

derive 8193:21 8347:17 derived 8012:6,11 8257:2 derives 8012:20 8105:3

describe 8227:4

describes 8044:22

describing 8212:14, 20 8255:24 8256:14

description 7979:16 8286:7,8

design 8099:25 8129:6 8174:13 8219:7,15 8282:16

designate 8377:25

designed 8100:6 8174:12 8272:9,10

designee 8059:9 8378:10

designer 8259:23 8266:3

designs 8171:9

desktop 8054:14

destroying 8164:7

detail 8105:14 8117:15 8141:23 8152:18 8180:11 8248:25 8366:10

detailed 8079:8 8113:14 8144:15 8364:7

details 8101:14 8102:5 8110:19 8122:3 8141:21

detect 8216:22

determination 8342:6

determine 8008:4 8016:10 8187:7 8321:5,17 8340:11 8360:10

determines 8217:4, 7

determining 8015:7 8016:6 develop 8280:6 developed 8099:6

developer 8037:6 8082:18 8120:2 8192:13 8219:16,17 8223:15,24 8224:3, 15 8225:6,10 8226:15 8268:9 8285:9 8297:14,19, 21,23 8298:9 8306:11 8309:5 8311:16 8314:11 8316:10,11,13 8317:9,19 8318:24 8320:21 8336:2

developer-centric 8218:19

developers 8119:14 8123:14 8146:25 8147:3 8218:21 8225:10 8258:24 8259:5 8306:6,8 8316:20 8356:10

development 8068:25 8079:13 8080:6 8085:15 8099:9 8101:11 8103:14 8149:14 8219:18 8223:12,13 8248:13 8255:18 8335:25 8356:2

device 8012:19 8017:15 8019:6 8259:3

devices 8017:23 8019:4 8246:22,23

difference 8119:17 8120:17 8249:14 8347:23 8348:3

differentiators 8085:20

differently 8174:12 8279:20

difficult 8090:6

dig 8068:9 8100:2

digging 8356:25

diligence 8021:24

digital 8017:16

8376:15

dinner 8286:23

direct 7982:23 7991:18 8008:18 8111:17 8152:4,9,16 8212:22 8220:12 8289:4 8306:25 8350:10

directed 8187:12

directing 8033:14 8054:24

direction 8232:12 8340:22

directionally 8155:7

directly 8021:20 8033:12 8057:3 8058:14 8081:7 8082:10 8092:8 8097:23 8219:5,9 8220:19 8273:3 8277:5 8357:17,22 8371:9

disadvantages 8177:21

disaggregated 7998:13

disbelieve 8260:13

discard 8007:22

discipline 8050:8, 11,18,23 8051:5,12 8052:9 8066:6 8134:5

disciplined 8049:23 8050:3 8051:14,22

disciplining 8051:24

disclose 8107:11 8330:20

disclosed 8005:4 8143:20 8144:20 8330:4,19

disclosure 8011:22 8012:3 8013:2 8113:17 8193:25 8194:9 8329:23 8330:24 8331:2

discovered 8177:15 8247:12 discovery 8177:9 8189:15 8236:6 8277:4

discuss 7984:17 8122:14 8375:16,17, 19 8376:23

discussed 7984:14, 16 8143:10

discussion 8004:10 8029:5 8280:23 8283:22 8375:23

discussions 8345:16

disgorge 8295:15

disgorgement 8295:19

dishonest 8084:16

dishonesty 8084:17

disk 8207:20

dismissing 8070:25

display 8004:22 8305:12

displayed 8239:20

displays 8301:3

disproportional 8292:23

dispute 8120:10 8181:13 8247:2 8339:13

disregard 8007:18

disregarded 8009:3 8014:9

dissatisfied 8274:11

distinction 8286:20

distinguished 8176:22 8219:14 8267:24

distraction 8185:2

distractions 8174:18 8180:14

distribute 8037:5 distributed 8004:5

Index: distributing..ears

distributing 8068:12	Document	8166:10,11 8172:5	drawing 8206:2,6	8283:11,12
dive 8028:16	8231 7979:23	8174:23 8175:22,23 8190:6 8203:23	8215:2 8269:20,22, 24	
divert 8185:17	Document	8226:24 8311:8,10	Drawing	E
diving 8095:21,24	8274 7980:8	8315:9 8323:9,18	.8269 7980:7	e-mail 7980:12
docs.appian.com	Document	8353:5,15 8354:4 8355:3 8367:6	drew 8192:24	8022:14 8025:5
8183:4	8305 7980:13	8377:23		8039:2,24 8040:5,23
doctor 8160:5	Document	dog 8044:17	drill-downs 8223:8	8044:12 8062:5
8225:4	8332 7980:23		drink 8304:17	8074:4 8086:6,9 8089:17 8092:14
document 7979:21	Document	dollar 8341:12	drive 8112:17	8108:25 8111:12
8022:8 8024:16	8359 7981:8	dollars 8369:20	8209:17 8273:21	8112:2 8118:21
8025:4 8031:12	Document	8376:8	driven 8284:19	8185:19,20 8248:18,
8044:6 8058:6,9	8360 7981:10	Dolls 7999:10,11	8287:8	23 8256:4 8275:5 8276:9,14 8277:10,
8076:6,19 8077:14,	Document	8000:7 8093:17	drivers 8179:25	12,14,18 8278:2,14,
23 8078:21 8079:2,5 8080:3 8084:13	8369 7981:12	8159:9		15,18 8279:5,7
8085:2,19 8087:20	Document	domain 8057:9	drives 8287:11	8280:10 8283:13
8096:15 8118:25	8371 7981:14	8140:8 8329:22	driving 8112:20	8323:12 8324:3,5
8123:16 8125:21		8337:19	8180:6 8348:5	8325:5,7,25 8326:3
8127:16,20 8128:20	Document	dominion 8018:11	drop 8079:10	8327:6 8348:9,10 8366:23
8134:25 8139:17	8372 7981:16	Don 8028:7	8220:14 8222:19	
8140:5 8142:21,22 8146:17 8149:20	documentary	doubt 8025:24	8237:12 8241:12	E-MAIL
8174:24 8176:14	8202:20	8106:15 8164:12	8245:17 8249:9,21 8251:3	8248 7979:25
8198:3 8201:5,11	documentation	8168:4 8260:16		E-MAIL
8202:18 8203:3,7	7994:11,22 7995:2,5,	doubting 8249:6	drop-down 8266:18	8276 7980:9
8204:9,11 8205:7,11	7 7996:3,11 8067:23	_	dropped 8225:14	E-MAIL
8206:12 8210:3,13 8211:10 8215:6	8069:15,16,23 8099:21 8102:7,24	Douglas 7982:12 8022:24 8025:5	drops 8257:5	8279 7980:10
8216:12 8220:3,5,17	8103:5 8125:3,9,11		drove 8172:17	E-MAIL
8222:4 8226:2,14	8146:19 8148:22	download 8306:16		8325 7980:17
8231:10 8274:4	8182:5,7,12,16,18	downloaded	droves 8363:22	E-MAIL8325
8284:2,16 8296:19	8183:5 8195:7	8320:24 8333:6	due 8235:19	7980:15
8305:15 8311:11 8315:19 8323:17,25	8237:6 8298:7 8303:6 8306:5	downloading	Durso 8278:4	e-mailed 7986:12
8325:3,21 8326:6	8313:6,19 8315:2	8153:16	8280:10	8090:24
8328:23,24 8329:8,9	8322:25 8330:25	dozen 8273:23	dust 8069:5,6	
8331:12,16 8332:12	8352:6	dozens 8067:15	8078:11,25 8095:19	e-mails 8005:2 8036:4,13 8039:4,10,
8350:8 8359:5	documents 8004:21	8372:24	8110:10 8131:20	22 8051:4 8090:22
8360:23 8364:16 8366:16 8369:4	8024:7,14 8027:3,4		8150:7 8347:3	8094:12,20 8116:14
8371:6 8372:13	8033:20 8038:5,8	drafted 8154:17 8216:6,9	8356:23	8274:20 8277:25
Document	8040:3,21 8043:20 8055:9 8059:18		duty 8012:9,12	8322:6 8331:6
8198 7979:18	8070:9 8073:23,24	drag 8079:10	8013:9,11 8114:3	earlier 8107:22
	8077:11,12 8085:5	8265:10	8349:4	8113:23 8144:16
Document	8086:12 8088:20,25	dragged 8265:22	DX 7979:21,24	8275:9 8283:24
8203 7979:19	8089:4 8099:5	drama 8170:6,7	7980:3,7,8,9,10,11,	8350:2 8378:6
Document	8111:7,21 8121:17 8124:10 8125:7,16	8174:17 8201:19	12 8210:18 8211:7,9 8214:14 8236:13,14	early 8041:18 8090:2
8204 7979:20	8131:23 8134:23	8372:22,23,24,25	8245:17 8264:21,24	8121:12 8122:4,19
Document	8135:15,22 8137:2,	8373:5,16	8269:20,21 8274:2,3	8168:19 8259:10 8273:10 8378:25
8216 7979:22	10 8145:14 8148:13	dramatic 8081:21	8276:6,8 8279:3,4	
	8155:3,4,15 8156:15	draw 8008:20 8253:9	8280:22 8281:5,6	ears 8303:16

Index: ease..evidence essence 8306:25

essential 8323:20

ease 8037:7 8071:22 8100:7 8115:23 8116:5 8117:2 8119:11 8267:18 8268:2 8277:3

ease-of-use 8264:17 8268:11

easier 8034:14 8123:15,19 8270:14, 17 8284:11

easily 8266:20 8297:3

Easttom 8104:24 8107:16 8109:17 8114:24 8122:25 8137:14 8139:12 8140:11 8182:23 8225:5,20 8335:2,8, 11,18,23 8358:7,8

easy 8001:5 8116:16 8251:19 8272:15

economic 8012:21, 25 8105:4 8109:5 8119:17 8193:21.25 8194:5,8 8347:17

economics 8286:17 8290:19

Ed 8279:6,9

edit 8269:6,7

editing 8100:7 8119:11 8120:10 8267:18 8268:2 8365:5

Edward 8172:19 8276:10 8277:18

EE 7984:3 7985:7

effect 8081:22 8347:10

effective 8228:24 8237:20 8241:21

effectively 8192:9

effort 8102:19 8171:5 8321:17 8335:6

efforts 8013:3 8105:5 8176:20 8194:10,25 8336:9 8351:7

ego 8152:21 eight-year 8191:2 **eighth** 7994:4,7,10 electronic 8013:12 elegant 8279:23

element 8301:25 eligible 8340:5

eliminate 8111:14 eliminated 8157:18

eliminating 8111:19

else's 8098:15

emphasize 7998:25 7999:2

emphasizing 8126:2

employed 8188:8 8226:15 8319:12.19 8331:25

employee 7980:19 8009:22 8010:6,9 8031:6 8187:5,6 8195:14 8328:9,15 8329:24 8330:23 8331:17 8350:9 8357:14

employee's 8010:11

employees 8010:6 8051:25 8059:21 8072:16 8081:21 8105:21 8107:11 8108:4 8132:11 8166:2 8177:8 8180:18,19,23,24,25 8181:9,23,25 8183:19.21 8185:4 8248:10

employer 8010:5 8132:18 8133:2 8230:2 8242:9 8309:23 8316:16,17, 23 8320:2 8322:14 8324:16 8327:13,20 8329:10

employer's 8133:6 8317:7

employers 8010:8 8053:21 8350:22

employment 8010:12 8326:11 8333:14 8350:11

empowered 8242:20

enabled 8116:2

enclosure 8220:22

encountered 8201:18

encounters 8279:11

encouraged 8085:16 8196:19

encryption 8105:20

end 8026:16 8029:24 8058:25 8071:15 8083:6 8084:20 8118:18,22 8119:24 8122:12 8123:7 8154:23 8160:9 8161:22 8165:21 8252:7 8292:10 8368:25 8378:25

end-user 8017:25

end-users 8242:4

ended 8045:15 8077:7

endlessly 8070:19

enemy 8152:22 energy 8294:24

enforced 8237:18

engage 8244:24

engaged 8343:16 8369:21

engagement 8327:17

engineers 8192:3

enhance 8070:6

enhancement 8070:4,10 8125:6

enormous 8171:20 8188:21

enrichment 7997:6 8013:22 8014:19,21 8015:19 8151:21 8152:12 8175:13

8191:4 8193:6 8229:6 8286:4 8287:14 8295:10,14 8339:7

ensure 8166:19 8376:3

enter 8107:20 8309:19

entered 8227:20

enterprise 8210:19 8216:21

Enterprises 8206:15

enters 8005:21 8169:6 8293:22

8254:18

8087:13 8171:19 8321:21,24

entitled 8007:24 8014:22 8015:20 8016:9 8131:4 8177:17,18 8234:6,8 8300:17

entity 8013:20

entries 8174:23 8205:14

8197:20 8268:9.10 8298:3 8316:10,11, 20 8317:20

environments 8245:6

equipment 8287:19

era 8035:11 8036:2 8089:10 8127:12 8129:11 8353:6 8374:21

Eric 8304:15

error 8206:22

espionage 8013:12 8060:16 8095:14 8114:4 8349:5

essentially 8236:17

establish 8172:6 8177:2 8276:20

established 8360:8

establishing 7997:21 7998:5 8014:24 8015:3 8016:2 8361:6,19

estate 8013:16

ethical 8131:16

ethics 8049:8 8066:16

evaluated 8010:19

evaluation 8276:17 8281:24 8282:24 8283:10

event 8043:23 8044:8 8048:18 8226:6 8281:18 8291:5

events 8188:13 8206:25 8247:3 8274:8

eventually 8088:9 8327:16

evidence 7989:2,9, 13,22 7990:17,20 7991:5,12,22 7992:3, 11,13,18 7994:21,25 7995:6,15 7996:2,4,6 7997:22,24 7998:6 7999:25 8000:4 8001:13 8004:21 8006:3 8007:4.20 8008:11,14,15,18,19, 23 8009:2,11,18,21 8010:2,16,17,18,20, 23 8014:12,25 8015:4,11,23 8016:3, 12 8019:25 8021:25 8022:5 8026:3,10,19 8033:13 8041:19 8055:10 8123:2 8130:6 8131:2 8139:15 8143:7.9.19 8144:19 8145:12 8150:16 8164:2

enthusiasm 8091:24

entire 8022:11

entry 8212:19 8222:12 environment

8166:9 8167:3 8176:25 8182:19 8186:7 8195:24 8199:19 8202:20 8204:12 8245:17 8254:16 8273:24 8300:2,5,7,12 8302:13 8311:5 8315:19 8318:22 8320:11,13 8321:22 8322:12 8324:13,17 8327:4,19,25 8337:23,24 8349:8 8351:19 8356:7 8361:7,20 8364:7 8368:23 8373:4,6 8377:22 8378:14

evidenced 8330:25

evidences 8014:7

evidentiary 7989:17 7991:2,7 7992:7 8022:11 8023:16

evolves 8092:7

exact 7998:9 8369:16

examination 8037:23

examples 8083:9,14, 16 8129:12 8135:5 8153:8 8273:23 8276:16 8362:9

exceeding 8019:18

Excellent 8111:8 8149:25

excels 8037:7

excerpts 8036:3 8210:20

exchange 8215:4 8283:14

exchanged 7988:25

excited 8094:17

excitement 8102:23

exciting 8215:12 8355:12

excluded 8001:24 8210:25

excuse 7994:20 8311:23 excused 8377:4 execute 8222:10,22 executive 8190:17 executives 8023:3 8051:11 8056:19 exercise 8018:8 8028:13 exerted 8018:12 exerting 8161:2 **Exhibit** 7979:16,17, 18,19,20,21,22,23, 24,25 7980:2,3,5,7,8, 9,10,11,12,13,14,15, 17, 19, 23, 24 7981:2, 4,6,8,10,12,14,16 8196:9,10 8198:2 8203:2 8204:8 8211:9 8213:2,5 8214:5,11 8216:11, 16 8223:19 8231:9 8236:14 8248:19,22 8262:22 8264:24 8265:16 8269:21 8274:3 8276:8 8279:4 8281:6 8283:12 8296:13 8305:13,14 8311:24, 25 8323:12 8324:2 8325:4,6,23,24 8328:12,14 8332:9, 11 8346:3.6 8347:12 8348:22 8359:4 8360:22 8369:3 8371:5 8372:12 **EXHIBITS** 7979:14 exist 8268:19 existed 8266:9 8331:2

existing 8235:3

exists 8016:14

8268:15 exits 8167:12 8292:20

expand 8171:23 8272:13

expect 7991:24 8139:3 8199:8 expected 8242:10 expects 8236:21

expenditures 8289:17,22,25

expense 8340:14,15

expenses 8015:6 8016:6 8151:3 8157:24

experience 8037:7 8073:15 8112:19 8114:13 8176:15 8227:10 8285:8 8298:9,22 8299:8 8303:20 8335:24 8373:19,23 8374:2 8375:22

experienced 8297:18 8370:24

experiences 8027:23 8028:2 8030:17 8039:14

experiments 8099:15 8102:9,13

expert 7999:22 8009:5 8083:17 8093:11 8106:8 8115:11 8139:3 8146:14 8156:8 8157:3,7,12 8158:5, 16 8162:21 8182:9 8183:10,25 8256:20 8304:14,20 8310:12, 13 8315:13 8328:21 8331:11 8363:5 8365:24 8366:4 8371:2

experts 8080:17 8112:9 8125:13 8143:2 8146:25 8159:17 8166:6 8289:8 8290:17 8334:17,18

explain 7986:4 8136:5 8345:20

explained 7999:12 8061:11 8063:15 8064:10 8065:2 8079:21 8081:17 8082:25 8084:10 8087:10 8103:3 8110:13 8111:11 8113:5 8141:25 8143:13 8145:24 8148:16 8150:12 8159:18 8193:18 8218:25 8259:20 8364:3 8371:8 8372:8

explaining 8105:10 8118:10

explains 8110:17 8112:15 8123:17 8125:22 8128:6 8148:19

explanation 8045:18 8216:15 8224:17 8269:13 8355:11,14, 17 8360:13

explicitly 8139:21

exponentially 8081:22

exporting 8214:7

exposed 8280:15

express 8011:24 8250:22

expressed 8038:19 8335:20

expressing 8155:23

expressly 8058:17

extent 8004:20 8010:7 8174:13 8296:10 8303:16 8308:16 8310:11 8314:9 8315:13 8317:25 8319:2,23 8320:8 8321:14,19 8323:5 8327:11 8331:10,15 8333:2, 10

external 8214:9,22 8263:23

extra 7993:14

extraordinarily 8244:15

extraordinary 8174:20 8178:16

extreme 8198:12

Index: evidenced..failure

extremely 8112:13 8177:25 8253:18

eye 8249:11

eyes 8032:4 8034:4 8037:15 8040:11 8041:15 8043:12 8048:14 8055:6 8165:11 8375:5,7

eyesight 8267:11

F

Facebook 8244:9,10 8245:11

facility 8248:14 8274:7

facsimile 8017:21

fact 7994:14 8007:15 8008:17 8028:24 8029:17 8030:9 8050:9 8053:25 8054:3 8097:4 8131:5 8221:17 8224:14 8225:5 8252:8 8268:13 8273:4 8289:11 8290:16,18 8295:25 8299:2,14,20,25 8300:14 8301:16 8302:11 8303:3,9 8305:19 8307:10,22, 25 8308:4,13,17,21 8309:2,8,12 8310:6 8313:2 8319:6,19 8320:22 8321:8 8323:22 8326:12 8330:3 8331:22 8333:11 8334:10 8335:2,14,21 8336:14 8337:18 8340:7

facts 8007:2 8009:9 8027:9 8045:8,12 8171:2 8177:4 8183:8 8215:13 8290:11

failed 8171:7 8187:7 8299:23 8320:10,12

failure 8066:15 8171:17 8205:22 8208:3

Index: failures..forward

failures 8216:23 13.18.19 8240:19 fair 7996:13 7998:16 8169:22 Fairfax 8173:24 fairly 8264:14 8273:23 fairness 8263:22 fake 8023:21 8042:20 8090:15 8092:19 8138:7,17 8147:23 fall 8192:20 falls 8230:14 false 8017:4 8020:5 8030:11 8138:24 8160:18 8213:8 8223:4,5 8224:5,15 familiar 8153:22 family 8312:18 8331:6 famous 8058:6 8072:11 8312:5 Fantasia 8068:18 fast 8206:18,19 faster 8123:19 fat 8250:2 fault 8232:15 8131:14 favorite 8083:16 8153:7 8354:21 feature 8158:14 feels 8251:8 8191:10 8206:15 8219:4 8225:22 felt 8322:21 8226:10 8235:23,24 fend 8111:24 8239:17 8258:4,6,7, 12,18 8259:5 8268:11 8273:4,6 8314:22 8318:23 8335:4 8353:7,8 8362:18,20 8363:2 8365:21 8286:2 features 8124:4 file 8099:18 8142:7 8171:10,13 8172:8 8174:13 files 8246:15 8179:24 8180:3 8191:18 8192:17 8250:20 8227:2 8234:25 8235:9,14,16 8236:3 8238:17 8239:3,11,

8243:10.14.17.19 8244:20 8245:9,11 8246:3,14 8247:9,20, 24 8248:2,3,7,16 8255:19,20,23 8256:13,22 8257:2,8, 21 8262:11,12,13 8263:15,16,19,24,25 8264:14,18 8273:2, 18,20 8277:7 8284:21 8286:12 8299:4,10,19 8301:13 8318:11,18 8322:22 8323:20 8346:23 8363:12 February 8038:10 8068:15 8075:2 8121:22 8195:23 8260:4 8295:22 8311:21 8319:18 8322:5 8323:13 8324:4,9 8330:16 feed 8118:22 8126:24 feedback 8070:3 8076:11 8117:11 8122:22 8220:12 feeds 8052:16 feel 7988:16 8061:5,7 feeling 8348:5 feelings 8339:21 field 8104:6 8230:23 fight 8087:8 8156:15 fighter 8348:3 figure 8228:24 files/links/create fill 8045:20 8161:22 8163:7 8309:13

8337:8.10 filled 8196:18 filling 8305:23 final 8376:25 8377:14 finally 8098:15 8158:10 8166:15 8251:12 8253:10 financial 8018:20 8041:22 8055:21 8233:11 8274:9,10 find 8000:8 8010:18 8014:10,15 8015:9, 14 8016:8 8019:20 8020:12,14,17 8057:7 8059:5,11 8068:2 8075:14 8082:21 8095:3 8102:17 8104:6 8113:7 8121:15 8155:3 8159:25 8162:3.4.6 8165:2 8173:22 8198:11 8223:22 8253:14 8291:6,18 8296:24 8299:22 8300:16 8318:6 8337:13,16 8338:5,8,16,17 8339:3 8341:9 8342:10,11,15,17 8343:14 8360:3,9 **findings** 8183:2 8324:6.18 8335:19 finds 8338:22 fine 7993:17 8002:3 8003:3 8051:18 8092:12,13,23 8094:4 8097:19 8130:19 8159:10 8166:22 8168:21 8371:14

Fine's 8093:10

finished 8257:18 8296:15

finisher 8283:8,9

fired 8066:10,17 8088:16

firewalls 8105:19 8200:2

fit 8160:15 fits 8138:16 8332:4 fix 8119:23

firing 8327:21

flagpole 8315:23

flair 8170:24 flash 8323:7

flavor 8101:2

flicking 8209:4

floor 8157:6 8167:11 8169:10 8225:14 8294:3

flow 8220:12

flowed 8361:9

flurry 8069:12

flying 8056:7 8357:5

focus 8079:18 8113:22 8117:10 8252:10 8271:2 8300:22 8341:5 8370:11

focused 8105:21 8164:6

focusing 8184:20

folks 8214:18 8275:8,9 8314:23 8345:14 8365:12

follow 8016:18 8163:20 8255:2 8342:5,14

food 8287:2.19

Foon 8043:17,22 8044:10,21 8094:11 8134:11

forbidden 7995:6 8043:5

force 8079:2 8081:25 8104:11 8178:8 8180:6 8245:21 8254:3 8284:10,12 8356:23

forecasted 7994:5

foreign 8051:25

foremost 8310:12 8328:21

foreperson 8369:8

forever 8060:6 8364:20

forget 8138:14 8140:23 8149:22 8152:13 8368:17

form 7984:9,17 8002:12,24 8005:3,5, 18 8017:17 8161:21 8163:8 8196:11,13, 17 8200:9 8337:5 8338:7 8369:6

form......8196 7979:17

format 8018:25

formed 8306:25

forms 8002:14 8245:5

formula 8012:18

forthrightly 8208:15

forum 7979:17 7994:12,23 7995:2,8 7996:4 8014:5 8046:4 8062:11,13 8064:13 8067:22 8069:16 8076:24 8113:9 8125:10 8147:7 8194:21 8195:3 8196:6,11,15, 21 8197:6,14,17,18, 20,24 8198:18 8199:23 8200:6,7,19 8201:3,4 8303:7 8305:11,22,24 8306:2,4,11 8308:20 8309:7,9,19,22 8310:23 8313:18 8314:12,21,22,25 8315:16 8316:13,21, 22 8317:5,19,20 8321:20 8349:20 8350:21 8352:7

forum.appian.com. 8313:22

forward 8040:22 8277:9 8314:17

found 7982:18 7992:14 8043:20 8078:7 8139:10 8203:12 8261:20,23 8284:12 founder 8190:16 8275:6 fox 8086:19 fragmented 8123:12 frames 8051:2 framework 8276:24 frameworks 8220:13 Frank 7979:10 7993:4,9 8000:25 8001:12,22 8167:11, 14,19,21 8168:4,9,21 8169:1,10,11,14 8170:1 8171:1 8172:1 8173:1 8174:1 8175:1 8176:1 8177:1 8178:1 8179:1 8180:1 8181:1 8182:1 8183:1 8184:1 8185:1 8186:1 8187:1 8188:1 8189:1 8190:1 8191:1 8192:1 8193:1 8194:1 8195:1 8196:1,12 8197:1 8198:1,4 8199:1 8200:1 8201:1 8202:1 8203:1,4 8204:1,10 8205:1 8206:1 8207:1 8208:1 8209:1 8210:1 8211:1,12 8212:1 8213:1 8214:1 8215:1 8216:1.13 8217:1 8218:1 8219:1 8220:1 8221:1 8222:1 8223:1 8224:1 8225:1 8226:1 8227:1 8228:1 8229:1 8230:1 8231:1,11 8232:1 8233:1 8234:1 8235:1

forwarded 8022:25

8236:1.16 8237:1 8376:5 8238:1 8239:1 fully 7999:14 8125:24 8240:1 8241:1 8242:1 8243:1 8244:1 8245:1 8246:1 8247:1 8248:1,24 8249:1 8250:1 8251:1 8252:1 8253:1 8254:1 8255:1 8256:1 8257:1 8258:1 8259:1 8260:1 8261:1 8262:1.24 8263:1 8264:1 8265:1,3,18 8266:1 8267:1 8268:1 8269:1,23 8270:1 8271:1 8272:1 8273:1 8274:1,5 8275:1 8276:1,10 8277:1 8278:1 8279:1,6 8280:1 8281:1.8 8282:1 8283:1,14 8284:1 8285:1 8286:1 8287:1 8288:1 8289:1 8290:1 8291:1 8292:1 8293:1.13 8294:15 8298:14 8302:18 8305:16 8352:12,15 8355:9 8357:7 8360:12 8365:10 8367:23 8377:8 Frank's 8352:21 free 8033:5 8063:11 8064:7 8091:10 8145:3.8.9 8180:20 8181:18 8182:15,21 8183:2,12,16 8184:3 8240:12 freeze-framed 8054:8,13 Friday 8379:12 friendly 8275:19 front 7984:20 8204:6 full 7988:4 7998:22, 24 8006:12 8031:13 8107:5 8137:17 8144:5 8146:2 8222:14 8277:17 8127:24

8130:14 8166:20 8259:11 8275:17 function 8268:16,20 8279:10 functionality 8191:10,19 8192:17 8282:16 8365:18 functions 8017:24 8142:7 8265:7 fundamental 8363:11.12 8376:2 fundamentally 8149:6 8174:6 8278:11 8368:9 8374:19 8375:10 funny 8036:23 furtherance 8340:15,16 future 8051:7 8235:4 8289:18 8309:20 8340:5 8363:21 futures 8255:20 G gaining 8214:20 8222:7 game 7996:13 GAO 8108:10 garbage 8147:16,17 Gardiner 7979:5 8025:15 8060:13 8113:13 8193:18 8296:21 Garg 8070:21 8074:24 8124:11 8126:12 8248:10,11 8252:5 8254:5.20 8255:3,24 8256:4,10 8258:17 8260:14 Garg's 8253:15 8257:25 Gartner 8114:14,19

Gates 8104:22.23 8142:4 8153:9 8304:21 8160:2.5 8182:15 **gathered** 8097:16 gave 7988:6 8003:21 8027:6 8044:17 8047:13 8063:23 8073:16 8082:13 8083:9 8097:21 8110:15,19 8142:5,6, 18 8159:14 8208:23 8305:16 8321:15 8336:7 8337:7 8360:12 8363:11 8364:7 8372:9,22 8377:21 gee 8289:16 general 8041:5 8244:6 8256:10 8318:17 generally 8012:22 8112:24 8193:22 8194:6 8274:6 8347:18 generated 8076:6 gentlemen 8005:25 8021:11 8023:12 8024:5 8026:20 8027:21 8034:5 8044:10 8046:21 8052:11 8055:8 8058:10 8073:14 8083:11 8098:21 8113:2 8123:23 8134:3 8135:2 8138:15 8150:11 8160:12 8161:20 8162:13 8164:11 8165:20 8167:9 8278:24 8344:5 8346:11,20 8348:18 8358:14 8359:25 8369:6 8372:15 8373:8 8375:21 8376:20 get all 8109:9 girls 7999:9 8372:2 give 8006:5,15,24 8033:21 8065:16

8076:11 8088:19

8089:3 8091:16

8096:8 8134:18

8208:25 8210:7 8221:22 8227:9 8236:9 8243:9 8273:16,22,23 8280:20 8302:14 8323:9,18 8339:11

8377:15 giving 7985:24 8012:9 8090:4 8227:25 8258:14

alance 8241:15

Glen 8086:7

gmail 8048:10

goal 8096:16

gold 8069:5,6 8078:11,25 8095:19 8110:10 8131:20 8150:7 8347:3 8356:23

Goo 7999:9 8000:7 8093:16 8159:8 8372:2

good 7986:7,10 8021:11,13 8062:24 8138:20 8146:5 8148:4 8164:8 8168:5 8169:13 8177:19 8196:20 8227:14 8234:9.13 8235:5,22 8236:3 8238:14 8239:3,11, 19 8242:16 8243:10 8254:9 8294:6 8344:2,3

good-bye 8312:19

Google 8057:7,10 8059:6 8068:4.6 8075:14 8082:20 8094:25 8095:23 8097:18 8101:9,21 8103:10,12 8113:3 8139:8 8140:18 8182:6 8357:6

gosh 8179:8

governance 8276:24 8277:6,19

government

Index: forwarded..government

8013:18 8022:20 8063:20.21.25 8132:4,21,22 8282:12 8326:22 8349:13 8351:3.24 8373:24

governmental 8013:18

graciously 8343:5

grand 8092:21 8132:3

grant 8237:20 8241:19,23

granted 7988:14,15

graph 8231:2 8233:10

graphic 8151:17 8223:20 8360:25

great 8021:17 8024:21 8065:19 8075:25 8081:6 8112:3 8125:18 8139:12 8148:5 8180:11 8251:13 8254:12 8316:24 8330:11

greater 7997:21,24 7998:6 8010:15.23 8014:11,24 8015:3, 10,23 8016:2,12 8019:24 8026:2.10. 19 8150:15 8207:25 8300:2 8361:6,19

greatly 8223:15 8224:4

green 8263:6

grid 8250:3

grimacing 8292:12

grocery 8044:23

gross 8296:8

grounds 7991:13

group 8052:13 8074:12 8266:16

growing 8179:18

grown 8244:12

growth 8244:5 **GSA** 8349:12 8351:3. 23

quess 8167:15,25 8198:20 8250:21 8301:19 8304:18 8332:3 8354:19 8367:20

guesses 8355:4

quessing 8354:11 8367:3

guesswork 8008:9

guidance 8049:16

quide 8027:4 8038:5 8039:14 8145:14 8161:20 8166:11 8272:20

guiding 8359:14

guilty 8342:15 8343:14

guy 8037:25 8038:12, 22 8039:12 8041:20 8045:6 8050:24 8053:9 8057:19 8073:9 8075:18 8086:8 8088:7 8093:8 8104:21 8114:14 8131:21 8137:16,23 8140:24 8142:17 8188:18 8359:15 8371:22

guys 8052:9 8092:12 8104:5 8133:17 8134:7

н

ha 8092:20

hacker 8104:4

hackers 8105:18

half 8038:7 8056:13 8204:22 8230:18 8244:7 8249:16 8253:20 8273:22 8332:4,16 8372:18 8374:10

hallways 8021:16

halt 8076:21 hand 7991:15 8004:2 8024:8 8207:7

handful 8036:7,11

handing 8219:15 8352:5

handle 8189:16 8272:10

handled 8064:8 8369:25 8375:8

hands 8176:11 8373:15

hang 7989:16 8378:20

hanging 8294:16

happen 7996:25 8046:24,25 8059:10 8084:14 8181:12 8278:12 8302:10 8351:25 8363:21

happened 8029:12 8031:20 8035:16 8036:4,12 8046:23 8061:9 8077:6 8088:17 8089:8,11 8115:2 8143:8,25 8148:19.21 8149:9 8181:2 8183:3 8276:5 8321:18 8362:25 8363:23 8367:2 8373:9

happening 8029:22 8054:18 8056:16 8058:12 8061:15 8073:20 8081:23 8087:7 8102:11 8155:18 8245:10 8366:25

happy 8093:2 8345:9.10

hard 8111:4 8113:10 8118:5 8124:10 8253:21 8286:2

hardware 8099:20

harkens 7996:20

Harry 8098:22

he'll 8202:5 8332:17

head 8106:21 8188:14 8200:10 8279:9 8280:11 8304:3 8322:19 8334:12

heading 8266:17

headline 8203:13

headlined 8214:6

health 8062:8 8216:19

healthcare.gov. 8022:19

hear 8026:25 8145:7 8166:21 8167:4 8289:15 8290:13 8344:8,9 8365:13 8376:21

heard 8006:2 8037:9 8083:5 8105:16 8184:19 8199:25 8230:22 8233:19 8256:18 8265:4 8295:9 8298:8 8312:7 8324:22 8325:11 8334:16,25 8335:25 8346:14 8348:11 8357:15

hearing 8136:9

heavier 8300:7

heavily 8272:18

heavy 8093:14,17 8261:9

held 7984:12 8004:10 8165:11 8369:23 8374:7 8375:10

helicopter 8174:3

helpful 8112:13 8155:7 8204:16

helping 8086:20

hen 8086:20

herring 8335:10

hid 8059:19

hide 8178:2 8236:2 high 8056:7 8171:12 8221:9 8365:8

highlight 8252:16

8251:22,24

highlighting 8251:17 8252:9

Highly 8044:15 8046:10

hire 8130:11

hired 8056:5 8076:3 8104:22 8140:24 8260:24 8326:22

hiring 8065:9 8075:24 8159:8,9

historic 8214:21 8222:8

history 8244:2,4 8374:24

hit 8338:5,12

hitting 8279:14

Hoffman 8131:5 8368:20

hold 8002:20 8166:17 8376:2.12

home 8047:8 8053:15,19 8063:12

honest 8053:11 8072:8 8117:11 8359:15,19

Honor 7983:4 7986:8,11,17,22 7987:5,10 7988:23 7990:8,19 7991:5,10 7993:12,21 7994:5 7995:24,25 7996:5, 16,24 7997:8,12 7998:18 7999:5,10 8000:12 8001:3,13, 17,22,24 8002:5,9 8003:5,10,19,23 8004:18 8021:3 8167:7,14 8168:22 8169:12 8292:21 8293:7 8294:5 8344:11 8345:5 8377:8

Honor's 7994:16

Index: governmental..Honor's

higher 8272:24

highlighted

Index: hood..independent

hood 8082:19

hope 7992:12 8061:4 8186:21 8218:23 8221:9 8271:10 8290:24

hopes 8043:7

hoping 7993:12 8248:12

horizontal 8220:13

host 8154:7

hotels 8044:24

hour 8040:23 8094:23 8327:5 8344:7,12

hourly 8078:21

hours 8137:17 8167:19 8292:24 8293:12,14 8332:15 8366:6

house 8086:20 8098:11

housed 8303:7

housekeeping 7983:10,22 7987:11 7989:8

huge 8119:17 8120:16 8149:15 8249:14

hugely 8110:14 8354:14

Hughes 8172:19 8173:5 8276:11 8278:7 8279:6,9

human 8019:7 8123:13 8250:14

humans 8019:2

humor 8344:2

hundred 8152:23

hundreds 8309:20

hurt 8043:14 8150:6 8339:21 8342:21,22

hustle 8343:4

IBM 8181:23,25 8252:17,25 8258:4 8274:18

Т

icons 8268:18,19,22, 25

ID 8062:11,13 8079:18 8085:24 8099:11 8101:16 8132:24 8137:12

idea 8091:21 8132:3 8168:5 8200:21 8218:13 8219:20 8260:6 8336:16 8339:7 8366:24

ideas 8219:9 8233:22 8254:18,19 8337:8, 10

identical 8354:22,24, 25

identification 8200:3

identifications 8346:23

identified 7979:14 7982:14 8108:15 8156:19 8196:11 8198:3 8202:13 8203:3 8204:9 8211:11 8216:12 8231:10 8236:15 8248:23 8262:23 8265:2,17 8269:22 8274:4 8276:9 8279:5 8281:7 8283:13 8305:15 8312:2 8325:8,25 8328:17 8332:12 8346:5,8 8347:14 8348:24 8359:5 8360:23 8361:15 8369:4 8371:6 8372:13 identify 8031:5

8175:2 8183:10,25 8191:5 8201:11 8224:12

identities 8023:21 8042:16 8180:22 identity 8043:6 8091:5 8092:19 8120:5 8133:14 8181:4

idiosyncratic 8044:15 8046:10

ignore 8178:4 8373:16

ignoring 8360:16,17

ill 8016:20 8163:22 8342:9,18

illicit 8146:8

illustration 8151:7

illustrative 8222:16

image 7980:3 8054:12 8172:24 8187:21 8264:21,25 8336:19

images 8142:3

imagine 8086:4 8363:9

immediately 8179:23 8230:24 8267:9 8268:16

impact 8056:8,9

imparted 8298:16

impeached 7992:16

impeachment 7989:11 7990:4,9 7991:11,15,21,23,25 7992:10,17

impeachments 7991:17

imperiled 8152:23

implementation 8211:3

implemented 8100:6

implied 8011:24

implore 8375:21

implored 8302:17

importance 8083:2

important 8006:23

8026:17 8039:13,17 8041:20 8071:7,8,9 8078:25 8083:11 8085:20 8100:10 8119:14 8120:15 8147:20 8150:10 8151:4 8152:6 8160:12 8215:14 8224:22 8278:21 8279:17 8310:3 8320:9 8358:13,21 8359:25 8360:2 8362:19 8374:12,20, 21,24

impose 8320:2

impossible 8047:19, 20 8090:5

impressed 8253:17, 19

impression 8252:4 8319:8

impressive 8070:24 8252:17 8253:2 8281:2

imprint 8048:24

improper 7991:16 8011:20,25 8012:7 8013:6 8060:14,15 8095:13 8113:22 8327:21 8328:3 8349:2

improve 8070:6 8150:9

improvement 8122:14 8142:23 8157:14 8161:18

improvements 8034:9,19 8072:3 8115:22 8122:24 8123:3 8126:18,22 8141:2 8152:10 8230:18 8233:18 8256:24 8362:11 8364:5,24

impugning 8185:3

in-flight 8203:15 8208:12

in-house 8283:4

in-line 8250:22 in-memory 8203:16

8206:6,13,16,17,23 8207:2,8,12,19 8208:2 8353:19

inapplicable 8257:13

inappropriate 8359:7

incapable 8204:4

include 8013:21 8017:20 8018:15,18 8212:16 8288:11,20

included 7998:20 8051:16 8245:10 8246:2 8288:9,19 8324:20

includes 8013:6 8109:23 8161:11 8244:21

including 8012:17 8027:10 8095:24 8099:14 8104:18 8185:5 8220:18 8275:8 8365:2 8370:10

inconsistent 8007:13 8009:14,24 8018:14 8161:3

inconspicuous 8198:14 8199:17

incorporated 8325:16

increase 8287:7,8

increased 8261:18, 24 8262:2

incredibly 8112:8

incumbency 8156:12

incumbent 8087:2, 16 8156:14

indemnified 8188:16

independent 8012:20 8105:3 8109:5,12 8138:3,4 8193:21 8194:4

Index: India..irrelevant

8283:10 8334:15 8347:17

India 8050:12 8052:6,10 8098:3 8129:19 8133:25 8149:21 8166:4 8357:17

Indian 8180:23

indication 8258:19 8353:25

indirect 8350:10

indisputably 8231:22

individual 8018:16

individuals 8108:15

indoctrination 8083:7

inducement 8013:10

industry 8089:14 8093:21 8106:4,19 8114:13 8351:12 8375:3,4 8376:7

inescapable 8289:11

infected 8152:20

inferences 8008:20, 22

influenced 8255:11

info 8062:9 8085:9 8101:24

information 7980:21 8012:17 8017:16 8023:5 8032:14 8033:2,3 8040:17 8041:5,9 8043:8 8046:3 8047:3 8048:5 8066:11,14, 19,21 8067:16 8068:13 8077:9,21 8078:7,15 8079:3,19 8080:14,18,23 8081:3,19 8085:11 8086:12,16 8087:22, 25 8091:4 8097:16, 24 8098:4,17 8101:17 8102:4,14 8107:10,14,23

8111:9.23 8112:5 8114:7 8127:23 8139:7 8144:23 8149:23 8150:14 8153:2,3,23 8154:9 8178:23 8179:12 8180:9 8182:20 8187:9,16 8194:20 8195:3 8196:17 8199:23 8209:16 8211:19 8212:5 8215:4 8216:17 8219:13 8233:25 8234:17 8235:11 8239:8 8243:23 8244:22 8257:3 8258:20 8264:10,11 8269:17 8270:5,6 8285:19 8296:24 8297:6 8299:24 8305:24 8315:8 8328:11,16,25 8329:10,13,20,21,25 8330:2,5,6,12,15 8331:18 8332:24 8334:10,12 8337:16, 21 8350:9,12,17,24 8357:23 8368:17

8109:8 8110:4.7.12

infrastructure 8155:24

inheritance 8279:13

inheriting 8172:3 initial 7983:25 8045:22 8280:12 8322:3

initiate 8306:7,12

injured 8020:8,13 8161:15

injury 8016:17 8017:7 8163:20 8342:4,14

innocent 8150:25 8153:5,8,13 8158:13 8162:21 8361:25 8362:7,23 8367:11

innovation 8267:15

innovative 8120:22 8124:7

inputs 8219:12

inside 8128:10 8188:4 8217:4 8220:21 8237:9 8314:21,22,25 8315:7 8316:13,22 8317:18,20 8324:4, 19

insider 8104:19 8161:17

insight 8069:7 8110:15 8214:20 8222:8 8251:11

insights 8068:22 install 8211:16

8237:24

instance 8196:14 8215:18 8282:9 8306:16 8320:24 8321:10 8339:15

instances 8236:23 8351:23

instant 8029:10,20 8030:4 8032:9 8098:17 8112:12

instantly 8179:2,3

instructed 7988:12 8140:6 8147:5 8296:22

instruction 7982:24 7984:3,4,19 7985:7 7987:14,17 7988:6 7997:3,4,16,19 7998:11,22,24 8006:22,24 8026:15 8027:7,13,19 8109:4 8113:24 8271:5,7,17 8341:21,25 8356:14 8361:16,17 8363:4

instructions 7982:8 7983:17 7986:18 7987:24 7988:3 8003:12,17 8006:6,9, 14,21,25 8008:11 8017:19 8020:21,23 8025:16 8058:7 8060:12 8103:18 8271:3 8341:20 8347:10 8374:17 8376:25 8377:15,18 instruments 8018:21

Insurance 8097:22

intake 8244:21

intangible 8018:25

integrated 8100:9 8125:24 8139:24 8250:4

integration 8124:16 8126:23 8129:17 8209:23 8210:6 8211:2 8212:14,15 8214:22

intellectual 8158:3 8370:25

intelligence 8007:7 8039:3 8092:10 8131:8 8138:11 8153:25 8188:10,11, 15.24

intended 8172:11 8253:8 8280:7

intends 8293:5

intensifying 8086:15

intent 8017:10 8020:11 8256:5 8258:5,19

intentional 8180:14

interest 8007:10 8027:14 8038:19 8149:13,19 8182:11

interested 8038:16 8327:2,9

interesting 8069:13 8123:22 8131:5 8153:18 8277:24

interface 8336:3

interfaces 8212:7

intern 8098:22

internal 8084:7,12, 13 8097:13 8121:17 8124:10 8205:6 8238:5

internet 8068:3 8082:22 8352:4 interprets 8219:11

interrogatory 8030:25 8031:5 8098:16

interrupt 7992:22 8312:19

interruptions 8004:19

interval 8208:16

intervals 8078:22

intervention 8018:2

introduced 8244:13 8245:5,9,25 8264:3

introduction 8071:15 8244:19 8247:20

intuitive 8116:10,11, 24

invented 8120:19 8246:21

invested 8056:2

investigate 8068:9

investors 8363:16, 18

invite 8072:19

invited 8072:20 8198:15

involved 8023:2 8050:3 8054:18 8072:7 8088:7 8094:18 8191:25 8192:5 8218:10,11 8234:10 8295:25 8340:8 8359:20

involvement 8261:16 8295:7

involves 8095:13

involving 8190:6 8283:15

IP 8047:8

iphone 8199:2 8244:14,18

irrelevant 8355:4 8357:20

Index: irrespective..KX

			Index	: irrespectiveK
irrespective	jets 8357:5	8338:3	8271:3,4,16 8288:7,	8118:20 8129:5
8335:16	jewels 8307:13	jot 8269:23	9,16,18 8292:20	8148:25 8259:5
IRS 8340:10		-	8293:21,22,24	8299:9 8301:23,24
issue 7987:6 7988:8	JMX 8217:2,14 8218:4	journals 8032:13,17	8311:6 8337:5,13 8338:22,24 8341:20,	8307:15
7994:3,5 7996:11,17,		JP 8148:6	21,24 8356:13	keys 8054:22
23 8014:7,16	job 8002:16 8021:18	judge 7979:5	8361:16 8363:3	8308:10,11
8015:15 8020:15	8042:17,19 8065:18	7983:25 8025:15,18	8374:17 8377:4,18	killer 8279:18
8026:13,22 8028:7	8107:13 8108:3 8125:18 8140:25	8027:6 8060:12	8378:5,16,24	Kim 8022:24 8025:5
8085:18 8099:11	8281:22 8326:18,25	8113:13 8139:13	jury's 8002:16	KIIII 0022.24 0025.5
8105:13 8133:20	8327:22 8328:8	8147:5 8150:12	8054:22	kind 8079:17 8117:20
8139:18 8157:14	8333:15,16,20	8190:12 8193:18		8119:8 8144:15
8183:22,23 8195:15	8336:22 8338:5	8252:23 8296:21	justice 8163:2	8154:24 8167:25
8247:4 8256:23		8375:14 8378:20	8169:17	8208:9 8217:20
8296:23 8304:7 8307:9 8310:24	Joe 8278:16	judges 8007:2	justify 8286:13	8228:6 8240:5 8268:14 8332:13
8320:13 8327:18	John 8022:15 8076:2	8027:9		8375:9
8349:6 8360:4	8085:5 8086:13	judging 8007:25	K	
8362:13 8365:8,11	8186:18,23 8187:3,4,	8027:20		kitchen 8286:25
issued 8050:18	11,20 8188:3 8189:5		K-FORCE 8057:2,5	8287:4,19
133UCU 0000.10	8243:3 8248:7 8326:4	judgment 8208:20 8336:25 8337:2	8058:8 8059:9	knew 8012:4
issues 7989:4		8340:13	8063:3 8295:20	8029:21,22,24
7993:11 8010:24	Johnny 8375:6		8326:25 8331:24	8030:7 8058:3
8016:21 8017:11	join 8061:14 8195:20	June 8245:24	8339:18	8067:7 8072:24
8021:5 8025:14	8294:14	juror 8344:23	Karen 8277:14	8077:2 8089:15
8026:7 8080:6,7 8135:13 8136:3,24		8345:12 8352:18	8378:2	8094:3 8109:13,25
8142:15 8147:8	joining 8038:19	8375:16		8110:2 8116:13 8124:9 8134:21
8306:13 8355:5	joint 8013:17	jurors 8252:21	Kay 7982:10,12,23	8135:7,10,18 8136:4,
8358:2,16 8364:5	8018:17	-	7983:9,12,18,21 7984:7,11,16,25	13 8138:21 8161:8
8369:15	Josh 7993:12	jury 7979:5 7982:7	7985:8,12,20 7986:9	8177:4 8202:21
Italiane 8276:18	7996:22 8172:20,23	7983:17 7984:2,8	7987:10,13,20	8203:25 8215:18
8277:3	8180:15 8186:19	7985:7,17,21,24 7986:3 7988:11	7988:8,20 7996:23	8221:17 8245:22
	8196:8 8197:25	7989:14 7993:18	8154:22	8275:11 8298:21
item 7994:4 8238:6	8202:24 8204:7,15	7994:21 7997:3,4,15,	kbd+ 8099:18	8304:2 8330:3,13,18
items 8076:8	8205:9 8209:20	19 7998:11,22,24		8331:9 8350:19,21,
iterations 8326:7	8210:11,17,22	8000:17 8002:24	kdb+ 8135:18	23 8352:20,22,25 8353:25 8354:12
11G1 alivii3 0320.1	8211:6 8213:3 8214:13,15 8218:2	8003:11,17,20	8353:18	8356:8,19 8358:4
	8220:2,6,22 8222:3,	8004:5,13 8005:1,7,	keen 8049:22	8367:4
J	20 8223:23 8231:7,	17,20,21,23 8006:1,	keeping 8006:20	
	11,19 8232:7	2,10,16 8007:1	8063:13 8140:18	knowing 8007:8 8016:17 8116:23
January 8030:25	8236:13 8237:15	8008:1 8009:1 8010:1 8011:1	8236:3 8260:10	8163:20 8164:18
8072:11 8077:25	8240:22 8245:16	8012:1 8013:1	8307:14	8209:9 8342:4,13
8190:18 8246:9 8254:3 8259:12,15	8248:12,21 8249:8	8014:1 8015:1	Ken 8041:16	
8260:2 8262:7,24	8251:3,15 8252:16	8016:1 8017:1		knowingly 8007:14
8264:3 8319:17	8253:14 8263:3	8018:1 8019:1	Kennedy 8243:3	8019:18 8027:16
8321:12	8264:20 8267:11 8268:4 8271:4,18	8020:1,21,23	Kerim 8034:7 8037:5	knowledge 8012:2,5
	8274:2,20 8276:7	8021:13 8027:22	8050:9 8052:20	8028:20 8030:16
Java 8217:15	8277:11,25 8278:16	8051:19 8167:12,23	8071:10,18 8118:11	8161:17 8196:3
Java-based 8217:18	8281:4 8283:11	8168:10,19 8169:5,6,	key 7997:3 8026:21	8207:3 8304:3
Javascript 8297:15	8296:17 8305:12	8,16 8204:17 8213:4	8068:21 8085:14	8322:19
•	8310:10 8311:18	8220:7 8229:2 8231:21 8234:23	8104:13 8106:3	Kramer 8275:6
jet 8348:4	8313:9 8314:2	8241:2 8256:24	8116:16 8117:3	KX 8135:7 8205:18
	8328:13 8329:14			

Index: labor..login

8374:18 laws 8294:19 8340:10 lawyer 8145:4,5 8328:20 lawyers 8006:4 8096:24 8145:10 8166:22 8225:17 8294:25 8335:7 layering 8172:2 **Le** 8030:5 8090:14 8092:12 8093:19.20 8094:4 8112:15 lead 7999:17 8294:7 leader 8125:15 8127:4 8230:23 leaders 8048:20 8125:20 leadership 8075:8 8096:3 8117:2 leading 8179:16 8231:4 leads 8278:22 8327:17 learn 8057:23 8072:2 8102:14 8116:3 learned 7986:16 8029:4 8041:5 8101:15,17 8102:5 8137:12 8195:12 8202:10 8204:25 8221:15 8229:14 8230:3,10 8298:4,5, 11,12 8303:17 learning 8038:16 8214:20 8222:7 leave 8012:13 8021:7 8061:14 8084:14 8102:17 8137:24 8159:11 8302:19 8334:23 8344:19 leaving 8088:18 led 8055:19 launched 8246:9 law 8006:6 8025:14,

left 7984:2 7997:16 8032:4 8034:4 8037:15 8040:11 8041:15 8043:12

8048:14 8052:19 8055:6 8088:24 8151:20 8200:15 8209:25 8210:23 8216:5 8218:10 8219:23 8221:3,4,14, 16 8263:6 8265:6 8268:6,17 8281:19 8282:8 8283:2 8321:2 8326:14 8368:19

left-hand 8196:25 8203:20 8266:10

legal 8013:19 8235:9 8347:15,23

legality 8097:7

legitimate 8008:21 8098:7 8146:4

length 8195:10 8275:7

Leon 8050:24 8080:10 8091:9 8096:6 8106:16 8110:6 8154:8 8164:4

lesser 8208:4

letter 8065:10

lettered 8006:18

letters 8025:11

level 8037:19 8049:18 8110:15 8120:18 8133:8 8141:23 8171:12 8226:11 8283:23 8301:18,20 8336:4

levels 8117:2

leveraged 8214:8

liability 8282:18

liable 8291:23

liars 8260:18

license 8058:4 8064:5 8072:25 8096:4 8105:25 8106:13 8146:21 8147:9,10 8236:18 8237:22 8241:19,24 8242:19 8274:7

8275:18 8356:12.16

licensed 8238:8 licensees 8014:6

licenses 8106:3,17 8307:10 8351:10

lied 8030:12

life 8027:23 8028:2 8030:17 8039:14 8045:11 8073:15 8297:20 8373:19,21 8375:22,23

lift 8261:9

light 8263:10

limit 8012:10

limitation 8238:10, 18 8239:12.21 8242:6

limitations 8136:7 8183:18 8298:12,19 8299:4 8323:23 8331:9 8346:18,22

limited 8012:17 8209:23 8211:4 8214:19,22 8218:15 8221:21 8222:6 8254:20

lined 8091:22

lining 8074:20,21

link 8256:16

linked 8252:8

links 8246:16

lips 8060:5

list 7994:2,4 8031:13 8069:21 8099:7 8101:6 8156:18 8250:14 8258:14 8262:10,11,12 8353:23 8355:2 8360:6

listed 7994:7 8196:22 8346:12

listen 8053:6 8067:3 8109:7 8292:5

listening 8093:15, 16,17

listing 8265:5,21 lists 8070:23 8124:17

8126:24 8220:18 8251:23 8252:13 8253:5 8258:14 8263:15

literally 8267:20

litigation 8043:15 8096:22 8279:2

live 8035:6 8069:24 8072:23 8074:22 8077:4 8079:11 8082:16,22 8085:8 8098:11 8116:2 8126:9 8136:17 8357:3

lived 8300:23

livelihood 8327:12

lives 8087:9 8156:16 8203:16

Living 8030:6

loan 8272:22

located 8019:5 8183:6

location 8171:25 8269:4

locations 8171:22

lock 8106:5 8307:14

Lockheed 8104:7 8153:20 8195:14 8196:2 8297:10 8308:20 8320:5,17, 23 8321:5,16 8349:14

log 8064:14 8349:22

log-on 8197:20

logged 8148:2 8197:24 8314:11 8357:10

logging 8201:17 8351:23

logic 8041:11 8203:16

logical 8123:12

login 8064:11

L

labor 8287:4

lack 8335:3

lacked 8135:11 8224:14

lacks 8216:18,21 8218:4 8220:9,13 8223:7,14,23 8224:3

ladies 8005:25 8021:11 8023:12 8024:4 8026:20 8027:21 8034:4 8044:9 8046:21 8052:11 8055:8 8058:9 8073:14 8083:10 8098:20 8113:2 8123:22 8134:3,25 8138:15 8150:11 8160:12 8161:19 8162:13 8164:10 8165:20 8167:8 8278:24 8344:4 8346:11,20 8348:18 8358:14 8359:25 8369:6 8372:14 8373:7 8375:20 8376:19

laid 7984:4 8165:25 8366:9

language 8223:18 8241:20 8254:6

laptop 8053:15,19,25 8055:3 8063:12 8132:16 8207:15 8237:9 8352:3

large 8081:18 8174:12 8183:16 8184:18 8205:21 8241:9 8247:10 8272:9 8290:25

larger 8178:14 8285:5

late 8167:6 8231:15

16 8160:14 8165:12,

15 8307:16 8347:6

8360:16,17 8362:4

Index: long..Mangi

8317:10 long 7983:23 8026:8, 23 8041:17 8046:13 8059:17 8107:6 8124:14 8135:3 8169:25 8225:13 8261:2 8264:8 8269:13 8293:4 8343:19 8344:25 8355:18,19 8360:12 8368:19 8375:15

longer 8073:8 8136:20 8207:24 8226:4 8228:23

looked 7985:2 7989:9 8036:2,9,11, 18,24 8075:19 8078:17 8083:19 8098:20 8102:24 8117:24 8123:2 8124:18 8141:10 8145:23 8146:2 8152:17 8328:23 8364:15 8366:23

lose 8080:16 8188:20 8207:14

losing 8056:3 8087:12

loss 8159:21 8171:17 8207:11,23 8283:24, 25 8371:14

losses 8278:23

lost 8095:8 8172:6 8190:25 8205:23 8207:14 8280:5

lot 7996:18 8022:5 8041:19 8061:4 8065:14,15 8069:13 8097:21 8098:19 8100:21 8102:10 8118:5 8127:25 8170:5,7 8186:10,11 8195:12 8200:4 8258:16 8304:16 8326:12 8333:12 8365:15 8367:24 8370:18

lots 8066:3 8107:25 8114:13 8203:6 8244:11 Lotus 8115:15 8129:7 8363:13

Louis 8040:12 8052:20 8055:11 8074:17,24 8115:4 8127:4 8154:7 8259:10,20 8260:15

8259:10,20 8260:1 8262:15 8263:9 **Iove** 8102:25

loves 8354:19

low 8034:10 8041:23 8357:14

low-ranking 8051:25

lower 8196:25 8198:12

loyal 8058:20 8059:12 8087:17 8113:8

luck 8344:3

lucky 8369:8

lunch 8167:24 8168:10,19

lying 8028:4 8375:13

М

machine 8205:22

machines 8017:21

made 7982:7 7994:17 8009:13,23 8025:6 8028:24 8065:3 8068:18 8082:8 8086:19,21 8115:21 8117:20 8119:22 8125:4 8126:22 8129:3 8138:24 8141:2 8145:11,15,16,17 8148:23 8149:2,15 8150:18 8160:8 8162:20 8170:15 8175:8,25 8176:12 8179:5.10 8180:8 8181:17,22 8182:4, 14 8198:10 8215:5,7 8222:23,24 8225:21 8229:4 8246:10

8251:13 8270:14,17 8273:6 8285:3,11 8286:5 8294:19,20 8321:17 8325:17 8326:12 8333:12 8335:7,19 8339:10 8340:12 8341:11 8350:9 8371:18

Mahindra 8081:13

mailing 8325:13

maintain 8012:10,13 8013:4,11 8105:6 8114:4 8194:12 8225:11 8337:20 8349:5

maintaining 8229:11

make 7982:9 7986:21 7988:9,16 7994:19 8001:5 8006:4 8024:17 8034:18 8053:9 8058:18 8072:3 8080:22 8098:6 8111:2 8133:6 8156:11 8159:6 8165:14 8168:11 8171:4 8178:13 8181:11 8182:5,12,15 8185:2 8225:12,14 8272:14 8282:22 8284:13 8286:19 8291:15 8330:5 8336:2 8342:7 8365:11 8369:19 8372:6 8374:4 8376:11 8377:19

makes 8119:16 8123:18 8126:13 8159:19 8171:3 8174:20 8183:20 8198:13 8230:20 8270:6 8347:22 8371:24

making 7986:14 7990:16 8042:7 8045:7 8097:12 8136:6 8151:11 8164:7 8300:6 8343:3 8364:2

Malackowski 8077:12 8080:13

8152:19 8156:3 8157:10,15 8158:2 8159:14 8163:6 8166:7 8178:21 8183:24 8228:14 8229:20 8256:21 8257:12 8288:6,25 8289:7,12 8339:23, 24 8356:3 8368:3 8370:24 8371:8 8372:8 Malackowski's 8230:14 8340:18 malady 8034:15 Malcolm 8189:8 8308:2,3 male 7999:15 malicious 8010:14 8016:13,19 8017:10 8020:11 8163:21 8164:8,20 8341:2,7, 14,15,16,18,23 8342:8,16 8343:16 maliciously 8163:16 man 8034:8 8061:6 8134:10 8138:15 8204:25 8248:8 8261:6 8277:12 8278:3,16 8283:15 manage 8123:14 8216:19 8217:20 managed 8120:16 management 8037:3 8070:13,16 8073:6 8074:11 8076:10 8079:4 8089:19 8091:22

8099:12 8101:20

8122:15 8129:14

8136:2 8149:11

8220:11 8248:14

manager 8052:6

Mangi 7979:9,12

7983:4 7984:18,22

7987:5,9,22 7988:22,

8309:8,14

8166:5 8216:2,4,18

8065:21,23 8254:22

8081:15.17 8082:25

8111:11 8151:12.24

23 7989:16.23 7990:13 7991:10.20 7992:6,20 7993:7 7995:12,18 7998:9 7999:10 8000:12 8001:4,5,14 8002:3, 21,22 8003:9 8005:12 8020:25 8021:1,2,10,14 8022:1 8023:1 8024:1 8025:1 8026:1 8027:1 8028:1 8029:1 8030:1 8031:1 8032:1 8033:1 8034:1 8035:1 8036:1 8037:1 8038:1 8039:1 8040:1 8041:1 8042:1 8043:1 8044:1 8045:1 8046:1 8047:1 8048:1 8049:1 8050:1 8051:1 8052:1 8053:1 8054:1 8055:1 8056:1 8057:1 8058:1 8059:1 8060:1 8061:1 8062:1 8063:1 8064:1 8065:1 8066:1 8067:1 8068:1 8069:1 8070:1 8071:1 8072:1 8073:1 8074:1 8075:1 8076:1 8077:1 8078:1 8079:1 8080:1 8081:1 8082:1 8083:1 8084:1 8085:1 8086:1 8087:1 8088:1 8089:1 8090:1 8091:1 8092:1 8093:1 8094:1 8095:1 8096:1 8097:1 8098:1 8099:1 8100:1 8101:1,2 8102:1 8103:1 8104:1 8105:1 8106:1 8107:1 8108:1 8109:1 8110:1 8111:1 8112:1 8113:1 8114:1 8115:1

Index: Mangi's..met

8116:1 8117:1 8118:1 8119:1 8120:1 8121:1,10 8122:1 8123:1 8124:1 8125:1 8126:1 8127:1 8128:1 8129:1 8130:1 8131:1 8132:1 8133:1 8134:1 8135:1 8136:1 8137:1 8138:1 8139:1 8140:1 8141:1 8142:1 8143:1 8144:1 8145:1 8146:1 8147:1 8148:1 8149:1 8150:1 8151:1 8152:1 8153:1 8154:1 8155:1 8156:1 8157:1 8158:1 8159:1 8160:1 8161:1 8162:1 8163:1 8164:1 8165:1 8166:1 8167:1 8168:1 8169:15 8185:8,21 8202:4 8232:2 8233:19 8256:19 8260:17 8261:21 8290:13 8292:21 8293:10,16 8294:15 8300:3,20 8301:3 8302:18 8318:7 8337:6,25 8343:5,8 8344:6,11, 14,18 8345:1,5,14 8346:1,9 8347:1,15 8348:1,25 8349:1 8350:1 8351:1 8352:1,19 8353:1 8354:1 8355:1 8356:1 8357:1 8358:1 8359:1,6 8360:1,24 8361:1 8362:1 8363:1 8364:1 8365:1 8366:1 8367:1 8368:1 8369:1,5 8370:1 8371:1.7 8372:1,14 8373:1 8374:1 8375:1 8376:1 8377:1 8378:1,9,20,23 8379:1,6

Mangi's 8170:24 8184:13 8293:12 manifested 8217:9 manipulates 8017:17 manipulating 8301:23 manner 8007:5 8009:7 8019:17 8105:17 manuals 8303:5,8 8306:6 marathon 8343:20 March 8017:2 8331:8 Marcus 7982:8,19 7983:6,13,15,16,20 7986:4,7,10 8003:18 8004:3,7 8297:11 Marcus' 8317:2 marginal 8286:22 marked 8005:6 8315:10 market 8117:2 8136:10 8143:8.18 8177:5 8223:16 8224:5 8235:2 8238:8,10 8242:2,10, 21 8243:6 marketers 8192:4 marketing 8043:23 8048:18 8122:23 8170:13 8174:25 8176:13,19,23 8177:13 8178:12 8210:24 8228:10 8234:4 8249:15 8250:23 8253:19 8254:8,11,14 8291:8 8302:25 8325:15 marketplace 8097:21 8171:7 marks 7985:15 8263:7 Marshall 8069:4 8071:23 8076:14 8078:24 8100:4 8114:7,25 8115:12

8117:14.22 8118:17 8119:12 8120:13 8123:5 8124:18 8126:2,25 8128:5,22 8129:4,9 8139:20 8140:24 8141:18,24 8142:16,17 8166:7 8178:20 8182:9 8207:9 8230:22 8233:5,6,14 8247:2 8257:19 8259:4 8260:21 8269:10 8347:2 8358:17 8363:10 8364:2.6 8365:5,9 8366:2 Marshall's 8179:15

Marshall's 8179:15 8268:3

Martin 8104:7 8153:21 8195:14

mask 8091:5

Masking 8133:14

master's 8137:20

mastermind 8053:8 8061:18 8164:12 8343:7

match 8213:4

matches 8355:2

material 8007:15 8027:17 8174:25 8183:18 8202:2 8211:7 8234:5 8244:8 8325:16

materials 8025:6,8 8148:10 8153:17,18 8170:14 8176:20,23 8177:6 8178:12 8185:17 8187:19 8205:5 8210:24 8228:10 8230:6 8240:14 8325:19

math 8371:3

Matt 8059:25 8060:7, 19,21,25 8061:25 8187:23 8190:15,16 8275:15 8276:14 8327:7

matter 8008:24 8048:17,19 8055:7 8056:10 8081:16 8120:6 8151:6 8180:3,4 8204:2 8226:17 8245:18 8261:10 8273:20 8290:18 8296:21 8308:21 8321:8 8338:7 8340:19 8356:15

mattered 8110:20 8116:6 8362:21

matters 7983:10 7987:11 7990:4 8109:11 8111:12 8129:5 8376:2

Mayran 8089:18

Mcafee 8104:9

meaning 8261:3

means 8011:16,21, 25 8012:7,16,24 8013:6,13,14 8017:15 8018:4,7 8027:12 8060:15 8061:25 8072:22 8095:7,13 8113:22 8160:22 8193:24 8194:7 8237:4 8311:2 8349:2 8361:24

meant 8302:23

measure 8232:5,8

measures 8108:21, 24 8138:20 8181:10

mechanisms 8078:22

media 8244:22 8246:21,24

media-type 8244:25

medieval 8093:4

meet 8038:12 8071:11 8073:5 8074:21 8171:23 8175:17 8275:25 8282:17 8377:25

meeting 8036:19,24 8037:11,21 8038:10, 25 8039:5 8040:6,22 8067:13 8068:12,15, 22 8069:18,19 8070:18 8072:10,12, 18,19 8074:8 8077:25 8084:3 8085:7 8118:12 8121:22 8125:4 8126:9,14 8128:14, 15 8143:11 8210:14 8330:9

meetings 8033:24 8041:7 8067:17 8070:12 8075:2 8331:5

meets 8218:24

Melinda 8104:21 8304:21

member 8186:8

members 8005:22 8169:7 8293:23

meme 8093:4 8149:14

memo 8257:25 8284:3 8364:23

memorandum 8182:25

memorializes 8058:7

memorized 8085:4 8115:18

memory 7994:17 8040:24 8205:21

mention 8329:7

mentioned 8298:14

menu 8266:19

merits 8186:14

message 8037:22 8284:2

messages 8029:10, 21 8030:5 8032:9,18 8033:19 8093:4 8096:25 8098:18 8112:12

messaging 8253:21 messy 8294:21

met 8033:25 8070:22 8176:24 8202:11 8211:25 8214:17

Index: met all..notes

8215:8,20 8216:7,9 8217:24 8221:11 8228:19 8231:5

met all 8113:19

metal 8093:14,18

metaphor 8173:21

method 8012:19

methodology 8220:10 8368:2 8370:5.7

methods 8116:20,23 8118:6

Michael 8039:2 8093:10 8248:8 8326:3

microseconds 8054:13

Microsoft 8226:2 8352:3

middle 8168:7 8187:2 8276:11 8283:19

might've 8047:11

mile 8366:15

million 8157:4 8191:3 8193:5 8228:11,15 8229:19 8285:22 8291:10 8370:6

million-dollar 8178:13

mind 7983:12 7996:21 8166:23 8257:11 8297:8 8299:15 8304:6

minds 8365:11

mindset 8024:19 8127:21,22

mines 8046:15

minimal 8017:25

minimum 8254:13, 14

minority 8278:9

minute 7983:7

9 8053:5 8198:9 8213:15 8221:22 8236:20 8244:6 8281:4 minutes 7986:24

7987:2 8003:15 8036:25 8037:11 8128:14 8167:20 8293:8,13,14,18 8295:6 8305:3 8312:20 8327:6 8345:9,13

mirrors 8204:4

misappropriate 8011:6 8066:12

misappropriated

8011:9 8150:13 8191:6 8271:9 8300:15 8339:4,9 8341:10

misappropriation 7997:7,10 7998:15, 19 8010:25 8011:12, 14,16 8013:22 8014:13,20 8015:12, 20 8016:13 8060:14 8066:13 8095:12 8113:14 8160:2 8162:2 8165:18 8188:2 8257:10 8271:15 8290:23 8291:7,14 8295:16, 25 8333:19 8337:12 8341:3,8,13 8348:20 8350:16 8361:5

misappropriator 8159:2 8362:5

misleading 7994:16 8000:3 8255:9

misrepresentation 8013:7 8114:2 8349:2

misrepresenting 8043:6 8180:21 8181:4

missed 7984:25

missing 7982:13 7998:3 8069:20 8115:9 8322:23 mistake 8012:15 8119:22,23 8149:15 8280:25

misunderstood 8213:10 8269:10

misuse 8066:12

mobile7980:28040:168071:218100:9,138114:158123:218127:3,11,178128:2,178238:178243:14,168244:58246:2,10,22,238257:19,21,228258:228259:3,9,158261:258262:4,8,238263:248273:58281:12,208365:12

mode 8021:7

model 8123:18 8139:20,21 8222:9, 22

Modeler 7980:4 8264:22,25

modeling 8076:14 8122:15

models 8121:25

modern 8116:12

modify 8222:10,22

module 8277:5

moment 7982:11 8097:9 8137:15 8159:6 8207:13 8253:11 8296:3 8309:17 8319:21 8361:3 8375:24

money 8000:19 8053:10 8056:3 8091:17 8132:6 8133:6 8159:3,23 8160:3,8 8165:6 8188:22 8296:5 8343:3 8360:25 8361:9 8370:17,18, 22

monitoring 8206:21 Montgomery 8208:5 **months** 8048:6 8088:5 8140:9 8190:5,7,19 8193:4, 10 8332:17

month 8332:16

moral 8049:15

morning 7986:7,10, 16 8003:21 8021:12, 13 8022:7 8184:19 8185:14 8232:2 8293:7 8300:21 8318:10 8337:7 8344:10 8345:3 8362:8 8368:9 8373:4 8376:24 8377:3 8378:7,24 8379:8

motels 8044:24

motions 7988:14,18

motive 8010:9 8255:8 8342:21

move 8207:18,20 8268:8,22

moved 7991:14 8132:25 8261:11

mover 8127:17

moving 8208:7 8269:3 8274:25

mrappian 8189:16

multifactor 8105:19

Ν

multiple 8113:20

music 8093:14

named 8144:7 8238:3 8248:8,9 8277:13 8278:3,16 8283:15

names 7999:16 8023:21 8042:15,20 8060:4 8090:15 8098:19 8112:25 8138:7 8147:23

narrow 8017:24

Nasdaq 8056:6

natural 8013:14,24 8271:21 8272:2

navigate 8313:17

navigates 8314:24

Nazareth 8277:13

NDA 8081:9

necessarily 8273:14

necessity 8279:22

needed 8086:21 8099:20 8140:20 8274:16 8281:13 8306:18

net 8014:22 8015:7, 21 8016:7

network 8013:8 8016:25 8018:4,6 8019:4,10,12 8020:3 8114:3 8160:17,21 8349:3

networks 8018:20

neutral 8282:9

Nguyen 8093:19

nice 8039:25 8253:23 8294:12

Nicely 8148:5

nicest 8343:8

night 7982:15 7986:12 8164:16 8286:21

nominal 8020:18

nondisclosed 8256:15

nonetheless 8006:11

nonexclusive 8237:21 8241:24

nontransferable 8237:22

note 8102:22 8113:15 8124:17 8221:9 8345:6

noted 7988:19

notes 8027:19

Index: notice..pardon

8141:21 8198:9 8199:16 noticed 7986:13 notion 8307:12 notwithstanding 8031:15 8184:15 November 8260:25 8261:3 number 8014:4 8046:13.18 8140:14 8147:6 8152:9,11,14, 17 8156:6 8158:9 8159:18 8162:12,15 8163:13 8166:24,25 8200:18 8210:5 8211:2 8228:15,16, 23,25 8229:4,6,19 8230:14 8238:3 8256:18 8257:4.7.13. 14 8328:12 8356:14 8369:16,18 8370:11 8372:9 8378:16

8036:19

notice 8024:6

numbered 8006:17

numbers 8014:8 8046:14 8152:6 8153:9 8163:9 8285:22 8323:9

0

oath 8029:8 8048:16, 20 8190:22

Obama 8104:23 8304:20

Obama's 8104:9

object 8237:4

objected 7994:23

objection 7996:16 8002:5,20,23 8005:12,14

objections 7988:19 8031:14,16

objectives 8219:6 8220:19 8273:3 8277:6 **obligation** 8195:25 8225:11 8239:22 8310:6

obligations 8107:15,21 8307:4 8320:3

observable 8191:10, 18,19,22,24 8192:7, 11,12,17,23 8226:9 8227:2 8235:2 8239:13 8240:18

observation 8255:10

observations 8305:7

observe 8225:22 8335:15

observed 8007:9 8249:20

observes 8226:18

obsessively 8024:9

obsolete 8179:3

obtain 8012:25 8017:3 8033:5 8160:18 8186:9 8193:24 8194:8

obtained 8020:4 8180:20 8182:20 8183:11 8185:24 8186:8 8202:2 8235:11

obtaining 8043:7

obvious 8225:15 occasionally 8246:24

occur 8206:25 op

occurred 8014:3 8271:24 8272:5 8312:22

occurs 8016:15,19 8342:2,8

October 8195:20 8246:4,6 8261:13 8285:12

off-the-shelf 8312:6

offer 7991:12 offered 7991:11 8132:6 8182:19 8183:17 8198:23 8291:3

offering 8089:13 8249:17 8253:18

officer 7982:2 8028:8 8034:8 8041:21,22 8042:19 8104:8 8168:16 8169:2 8189:9 8190:17 8213:16 8224:20 8225:2 8272:22

offline 8273:5 8281:12

older 8137:2 8355:3

Oleksiak 8131:25 8153:14

on-premise 8317:4

one's 8069:19 8156:20 8357:14

one-click 8259:7 8264:6

online 8089:24 8095:3 8137:19 8273:5

open 7984:2,12 8315:2

opener 8249:11

opening 8026:23 8064:18 8144:24 8145:19 8170:5 8185:6 8347:25 8372:19,23 8374:23

openings 8145:10

operate 8119:2 8371:14

operating 8041:21 8042:18 8128:20

operation 8019:13 8072:23

operational 8036:19,24 8037:11 8214:21 8222:8 8259:11 opinion 8009:7 8224:5 8257:6 8260:23 8278:9 8322:21

operator 8017:25

opinions 8141:25 8335:20

opportunities 8081:5 8083:13,17 8115:9 8152:5 8318:11 8367:8

opportunity 8007:7 8087:12 8132:2 8196:15 8198:24 8317:21 8327:11

opposing 8004:23

opposite 8116:24 8138:12 8256:9

order 7982:4 7986:17,21 7987:23 8003:23 8028:25 8169:3 8193:19 8260:5 8304:13 8305:25 8318:12,14 8337:14

ordinary 8340:13

Organic 8030:6

organization 8244:24

organizations 8048:22 8373:20,24

organizing 8269:25

out-of-box 8264:5

out-of-the-box 8040:16 8100:8 8124:16 8126:3,23 8127:9 8128:7,9 8139:24 8212:3 8258:25 8260:8 8276:23 8365:18

outcome 8007:11 8217:10,11 8369:22

outed 8060:2

outrageous 8373:8, 9,14 8374:7,14

outscored 8282:20

outscores 8282:6 overhead 8348:4

overreach 8178:16, 18 8285:21

oversight 8321:3

overview 8067:10

owed 8012:12

owner 8018:10

owner's 8018:13 8161:4

owns 8056:13

Ρ

p.m. 8379:12

packet 8341:19

pages 8065:15 8084:8 8178:12 8185:9 8205:6 8360:6

paid 8015:24 8064:5 8275:17 8295:20 8296:9 8339:18

pains 8031:2,20

Palencia 8092:15

palette 8265:6,9 8266:9

paper 8019:6 8324:12 8351:8

paragraph 7982:25 7987:21 7997:2,9,12, 15,18 7998:14,20 8203:13 8215:23,25 8218:18 8221:20 8237:13 8249:5,8 8250:10,11,25 8251:2,4,13 8253:12 8278:6 8283:20 8329:15 8330:22

paragraphs 8218:16 8251:18 8284:9

parameters 8057:19

pardon 8277:14,23 8304:16

Index: Parentheses..percentage

				enebebpercentage
Parentheses	8309:25 8314:6	8181:1 8182:1	8276:1,16,25 8277:1,	8331:14,23 8332:22
8250:5,16	8317:9 8321:23	8183:1,19,20 8184:1	7,21 8278:1,10	8333:7 8337:3,17
		8185:1,19 8186:1	8279:1,15,21 8280:1	8338:10,11 8341:4
part 7997:3,18	password-	8187:1,6,9,10,19	8281:1,11,20,22	8361:18
7998:25 7999:2	protected 8352:7	8188:1,4,17 8189:1,5	8282:1,5,20,25	0301.10
8002:11 8007:22				Pegasystems'
8058:18 8106:25	past 8276:20 8343:20	8190:1 8191:1,2,4	8283:1,4,24 8284:1,	7998:7 8014:22,25
8107:8 8109:3,5	path 8042:14	8192:1 8193:1	10,13,15 8285:1,8,	8023:24 8361:7
8112:23 8126:11	-	8194:1,19 8195:1,19,	11,23 8286:1,5	
8139:23 8151:14	patience 8292:6	22 8196:1 8197:1	8287:1 8288:1,10	Pegasystems's
8169:17 8173:7	pattern 8012:18	8198:1 8199:1	8289:1 8290:1	8150:16
8241:2 8246:11	-	8200:1 8201:1	8291:1 8292:1	Pegaworld 7999:23
8252:10 8262:16,17	Paul 8043:17,22	8202:1,9,10,21	8293:1 8295:3	8000:2,5 8001:6
8271:2 8306:24	8044:10,21 8094:11	8203:1,25 8204:1	8296:7,25 8301:5	8246:12
8315:15 8325:18	8109:13 8134:11	8205:1 8206:1	8309:23 8320:16	
8330:17 8336:9		8207:1 8208:1,11,14	8324:4,20 8325:14	penalties 8031:2,21
8338:14 8357:9	pause 8228:8	8209:1 8210:1	8331:19 8338:19	pending 8100.5
8361:2	8369:13	8211:1 8212:1,15,23	8342:24 8353:6,7,8,	pending 8190:5
8501.2	pay 8006:18 8163:2	8213:1 8214:1,17	9,10 8363:22	penny 8370:19
part-time 8327:22	8169:21 8273:12	8215:1,8,9,17,18	8365:13 8366:7	8372:4
8333:15,17,20	8370:21 8372:4	8216:1 8217:1,4,6,	8367:17	
		19,22,23,24 8218:1		people 8022:23,25
participant 8061:19	payment 8296:14	8219:1,4,6,17 8220:1	Pega's 8042:25	8034:10,11 8036:3
participate 8187:25	8340:12	8221:1,11 8222:1,15,	8145:4 8146:17	8037:4 8039:15
		17 8223:1 8224:1	8151:11 8153:25	8042:8,14,20
parties 7988:24	pays 8090:23 8340:4	8225:1 8226:1,16	8170:13,17 8171:10	8049:23 8050:11
7989:9 7990:10	PDF 8118:21	8227:1 8228:1,19	8172:9 8176:4,19	8052:3,12 8070:14
8127:7		8229:1,13,14 8230:1,	8177:5 8179:17	8072:7 8074:12,21
mentionel 0470-44	Pega 7997:9 8023:3	9,10,22 8231:1,24	8185:4 8203:24	8081:6 8090:12
parties' 8176:11	8031:6 8037:23	8232:1 8233:1,20	8212:2 8215:2	8094:12 8098:3
partner 8091:13	8039:4,13 8042:8		8219:20 8222:11	8108:5,17 8109:20,
8144:18 8205:18	8043:14 8046:11	8234:1,3,13,19	8230:5 8231:3,17	23 8115:3 8120:17
8233:9 8236:16	8049:4,7 8054:17,20	8235:1 8236:1	8233:11 8234:14	8129:15,19 8133:25
8237:21 8238:7	8055:2 8057:19	8237:1 8238:1	8245:13 8248:14,15	8134:4,5 8147:24
8326:20 8350:17	8058:22,23 8061:14	8239:1 8240:1	8259:15 8260:2	8149:21 8154:5
	8064:17 8072:15	8241:1 8242:1	8261:18,24 8262:11	8164:16 8166:4,5
partner's 8238:2,5	8084:13 8088:10,16	8243:1 8244:1,19,20	8263:18 8264:8	8169:18 8171:15,21
partners 8063:20	8089:3,15,19	8245:1,8,9,15,20,22	8266:10 8270:12,13,	8186:15 8187:19
8066:15 8081:12	8090:25 8091:13	8246:1,4,7,9,10,11,	18,24 8272:8 8273:7	8196:13 8199:8,18
	8100:11 8106:17	13,20,21 8247:1,4,7,	8285:14,15,16	8200:11,19 8222:18
8098:10 8129:24	8109:13,21 8114:17,	8,12,15,19,21	8288:8,11,17,19,20	
8143:16 8144:2	21 8116:6,13,21	8248:1,10 8249:1,3	8355:21	8225:23,25 8227:22
8236:19,21,22	8117:5 8118:19	8250:1 8251:1	0000.21	8228:3 8232:9,10,11
8321:4	8119:25 8123:16	8252:1 8253:1	Pegasystems	8235:6,18 8236:18,
partnership 8013:16	8127:5 8128:11,16,	8254:1,17,23 8255:1,	7979:10 7982:12	24 8243:9 8244:23
8018:16	21 8129:2 8138:4,5	4,17,21,23 8256:1,5,	7999:21 8011:5	8260:15,19 8273:11,
	8141:3,5 8142:11	8,11 8257:1,3,10,20,	8014:14,17 8015:2	13 8274:15,23,24
parts 8194:3	· · · · ·	21 8258:1,6 8259:1,	8016:24 8019:22	8286:6,8 8307:2
party 8009:12,22	8151:9,13 8159:8 8162:8 8164:19	8,19,23 8260:1,5	8020:2 8023:16	8324:3 8336:11
8010:3,11 8016:16,		8261:1,11,12,18	8025:4,11 8028:9	8344:18 8345:6
20 8044:23 8163:21	8165:3 8166:2,22	8262:1,15 8263:1,8	8034:8 8052:14	8356:15 8357:9,17,
8338:13 8342:2,9	8169:1 8170:1,15	8264:1,10,12 8265:1,	8055:21 8058:8	19 8359:18,19
	8171:1,11 8172:1,6,	18,24 8266:1,7,16	8063:4 8103:9	8366:25 8369:9
party's 8009:22	15,17 8173:1,8,11	8267:1,5 8268:1	8110:7 8113:20	8373:12,21
	8174:1,5,10 8175:1,	8269:1 8270:1,11	8138:16 8150:20	percent 8286:3
pass 8164:13,20	8,12,25 8176:1,9,22	8271:1 8272:1	8178:25 8295:12,22	
8181:24 8375:10	8177:1,4,15 8178:1	8273:1,4,17 8274:1,	8298:16 8300:18	percentage 8200:9,
password 8198:6	8179:1,4,10,15,21	18 8275:1,13,19,21	8302:4,5 8326:9	10,14,17
	8180:1,5,8,18,19		0002.7,0 0020.8	

Index: perception..Plaintiff's

			index: perce	eptionPlaintiff's
perception 8263:17	8248:13 8255:4	8311:20 8312:4,11,	pitch 8273:16	8100:1 8101:1
	8309:5,9 8310:7,22	17 8313:2,4,11,24	•	8102:1 8103:1
Perez 7982:19	8339:8 8358:18	8319:17 8322:5,20	pivot 8079:10	8104:1 8105:1
7986:12 7999:12	8369:9	8323:13,15 8324:3,6,	pizza 8270:3,8	8106:1 8107:1
8003:21 8021:6		11,19,25 8325:13,17	-	8108:1 8109:1
8030:20	person's 8219:9	8326:4 8327:16	place 8185:18	8110:1 8111:1
perfect 8329:21	personal 8018:23	8330:10,16 8331:5,7	8199:17 8270:5	8112:1 8113:1
-	8032:14 8112:19	8332:7 8354:9	8301:2 8306:4	8114:1 8115:1
perfectly 8240:11	8131:15 8132:16	8356:22 8358:24,25	8317:7 8356:25	8116:1 8117:1
perform 8017:24	8320:25	8359:9,11	places 8027:24	8118:1 8119:1
8019:12			8272:16 8305:6	8120:1 8121:1
8019.12	personally 8094:15	Petronio's 8022:24	8314:25	8122:1 8123:1
performance	personas 8044:13	8054:7 8085:5		8124:1 8125:1
8037:16 8056:17	8045:16 8048:2	8189:6 8224:11	plaintiff 7979:9,12	8126:1 8127:1
8206:21 8216:19	8094:14	8313:16 8325:12	8011:2 8014:10	8128:1 8129:1
8231:3,17,25	0094.14	8355:7	8016:8 8017:5	8130:1 8131:1
norformed 0000.44	personnel 8287:20	Ph.d. 8103:23,24	8019:21 8021:1	8132:1 8133:1
performed 8000:14,	8306:9	8114:10,11 8335:8	8022:1 8023:1	8134:1 8135:1
18,22 8001:9		0114.10,11 0000.0	8024:1 8025:1	8136:1 8137:1
8324:23	persons 8012:24	Ph.d.s 8137:20	8026:1 8027:1	8138:1 8139:1
performing 8019:13	8018:15 8161:6	8335:17 8358:11	8028:1 8029:1	8140:1 8141:1
8055:23	8193:24 8194:8	nhono 9046:12.19	8030:1 8031:1	8142:1 8143:1
	8241:10	phone 8046:12,18	8032:1 8033:1	8144:1 8145:1
period 8001:11	persuade 8230:12	8208:23,24 8209:3	8034:1 8035:1	8146:1 8147:1
8054:4 8137:19	8257:15	8269:2 8378:16,17	8036:1 8037:1	8148:1 8149:1
8140:5 8175:10		phonetic 8327:7	8038:1 8039:1	8150:1 8151:1
8176:2,15 8181:17	persuaded 8229:17		8040:1 8041:1	8152:1 8153:1
8186:5 8188:21	8230:15 8257:16	phrase 8133:15	8042:1 8043:1	8154:1 8155:1
8191:2 8194:22	persuasive 8010:19	8324:21	8044:1 8045:1	8156:1 8157:1
8195:17 8202:21	-	phrasing 8105:9	8046:1 8047:1	8158:1 8159:1
8231:4,18,20,21	pervasively 8131:19		8048:1 8049:1	8160:1 8161:1
8232:23 8297:24	Peter 8093:8 8096:12	pick 8083:12,14	8050:1 8051:1	8162:1 8163:1
8332:3,16 8335:9		8142:9 8200:17	8052:1 8053:1	8164:1 8165:1
8349:15 8368:23	Petronio 8022:15	picked 8083:15	8054:1 8055:1	8166:1 8167:1
periodic 8207:19	8038:11,25 8040:2,6	8247:18	8056:1 8057:1	8168:1 8300:6
-	8057:17 8058:15,19		8058:1 8059:1	8337:14 8345:1
periods 8289:18	8059:18,24 8060:8	picking 8225:15	8060:1 8061:1	8346:1 8347:1
perjury 8031:3,21	8061:12 8067:14	picture 8001:19	8062:1 8063:1	8348:1 8349:1
8032:20 8047:14	8068:5 8069:14	8052:21 8060:19,21	8064:1 8065:1	8350:1 8351:1
	8071:14 8073:8	8142:5 8248:11	8066:1 8067:1	8352:1 8353:1
permission	8076:2,22 8077:23		8068:1 8069:1	8354:1 8355:1
8019:17,19	8078:13 8079:20	pictures 7989:3	8070:1 8071:1	8356:1 8357:1
permitted 8108:2,13	8081:25 8082:9	7999:5 8001:7	8072:1 8073:1	8358:1 8359:1
8130:25 8181:24	8084:10 8086:13	8052:15,24	8074:1 8075:1	8360:1 8361:1
8239:9	8101:4,5 8116:15	piece 7990:23 7995:4	8076:1 8077:1	8362:1 8363:1
	8130:12 8140:17	8078:15 8151:19	8078:1 8079:1	8364:1 8365:1
person 8011:18,24	8148:3 8155:11	8164:2	8080:1 8081:1	8366:1 8367:1
8012:6,12 8013:14,	8166:4 8186:18,23		8082:1 8083:1	8368:1 8369:1
15 8019:9,14 8039:4,	8187:3,4,11,18,20	pieces 8078:16	8084:1 8085:1	8370:1 8371:1
13,17 8041:24	8188:3,7 8204:24	pings 8218:6	8086:1 8087:1	8372:1 8373:1
8051:21 8052:4	8205:3 8210:14		8088:1 8089:1	8374:1 8375:1
8058:13 8069:2	8211:24 8216:6,9	Pinto 8106:8 8114:24	8090:1 8091:1	8376:1 8377:1
8071:9 8074:13	8221:13,14,15	8140:22,23 8141:14,	8092:1 8093:1	8378:1 8379:1
8086:20 8092:3	8222:6 8229:24	18 8142:4 8146:14	8094:1 8095:1	
8127:24 8187:6,11,	8230:4 8248:7	8301:19 8366:8	8096:1 8097:1	Plaintiff's 7993:24
20 8188:3 8189:3,13	8262:8,19 8263:12	pipeline 8261:25	8098:1 8099:1	7999:4 8196:8
8239:24 8240:2,5,7	8298:18 8302:9,11			8197:25 8231:7

Index: plan..prior

8232:14 8240:25 8248:19 8262:21 8265:15 8296:12 8300:9 8305:13 8311:23 8323:11 8324:2 8325:4,22 8328:12 8332:9

plan 8004:24 8005:7, 10 8077:15 8204:23 8254:2 8326:6

planned 8005:2

planning 8044:23

plans 8038:15

platform 8014:5 8031:8 8069:25 8079:12 8093:12 8116:7,12 8117:23 8118:3 8120:21 8124:23 8130:2 8141:3,9,10,14 8142:3 8148:25 8150:9 8152:10 8244:20 8247:5 8256:8 8259:2 8301:25 8316:5,21 8320:21 8330:14 8350:13 8357:25

platforms 8119:13 8141:16 8149:5 8278:11

Platt 7999:21 8083:18 8086:23 8087:15 8158:5,16 8288:5 8289:6,10 8301:17 8363:6

Platt's 8291:3

plausible 8178:19

play 8004:24 8129:18 8313:9 8375:25

played 7989:11 7990:9 7991:18,21 7999:23 8000:2,5,8 8028:10 8033:9 8041:17 8050:15 8060:25 8093:13 8100:25 8121:9 8130:9 8131:6 8313:12 8314:4,19

player 8358:18

 plea
 7988:13

 pleased
 8021:19

 plenty
 8172:13,16

 plethora
 8250:18

 PLT
 7979:17,18,23,

 25
 7980:2,5,15,17,

 19,23,24
 7981:2,4,6,

 8,10,12,14,16
 8196:10

8231:9 8248:18,22

8325:6,24 8328:14

8346:3,6 8347:11,12

8348:21,22 8359:3,4

8360:21,22 8369:2,3

8371:4,5 8372:10,12

pluck 8148:14

pocket 8296:6

8339:17 8340:2

point 7987:23 7988:9

7990:6 7995:21,24

8000:14,15 8027:7

8076:20 8083:11

8136:14 8142:12

8167:10 8168:7,17

8150:11 8151:4

8177:8 8179:24

8183:21 8184:25

8185:3 8198:19

8215:5,7 8221:7

8225:20 8226:5

8280:5 8281:9

8297:19 8302:8

8315:11 8345:6

8346:13 8349:9

8359:25 8360:2

8370:4 8377:7

pointed 8025:18

8231:3 8328:9

pointing 8235:8

pointless 8095:5

8366:21

8238:16 8250:19

8285:3 8292:10,19

8308:12 8312:16,24

8363:25 8366:11.20

8088:22 8124:3

POC 8085:9

8262:22 8265:16

8332:11 8345:25

8180:4 8249:2 8284:9 8345:25 8372:14

points 8069:22

poke 8068:8 8112:21 8116:3

policies 8065:11 8066:4 8105:21,24 8350:7,14

policy 8066:7 8350:15

poorly 8055:25

pop 8064:22 8317:22

pop-up 8314:13 8315:6 8318:13,23

popular 8265:22 8267:5

portal 8082:18 8249:24,25 8250:8 8316:23 8317:6

portion 8015:4 8016:3 8035:25 8150:21 8361:20

pose 8078:8

position 8055:10 8189:2 8299:2

positioning 8263:24

positive 8235:8,13, 16

possession 8018:11 8190:7

possibly 8215:16 8223:4 8224:18 8255:11 8303:21

post 8062:17 8118:22 8251:6

post-zou 8128:21

Poste 8276:17 8277:3 8367:24

posted 8052:20

posting 8052:23

posts 8250:15

potential 8012:21 8081:21 8235:3 potentially 8208:2 powerful 8247:5

Powerpoints 8249:10

Powers 8092:20

practical 8151:6

practices 8065:11

pre-zou 8127:12 8212:11 8220:3,4,16 8353:5

prebuilt 8128:8

preconfigured 8266:4,15

predated 8368:4

predocuments 8137:11

preexisted 8203:24

preference 8168:12

prefix 8044:15 8046:8

prejudge 8292:2,3

prejudice 8001:19

prejudicial 8002:15

prepared 8041:12 8154:13 8273:12

preponderance 8010:17 8026:4 8300:12

preprogrammed 8017:23 8266:4

presence 8377:11

present 8005:23 8043:2 8169:8 8203:19 8264:2,3 8266:25 8293:24 8294:8

presentation 8021:7 8062:3 8106:9 8146:15 8168:11 8233:10 8301:18,20 8336:3

presentations 8082:6 8155:11 presented 8021:25 8197:19 8201:22 8233:2,4

president 8104:9,23 8278:4 8283:17 8304:20

press 8336:13

pressing 8054:22 8188:4

pressure 8102:12

presume 8377:6

presumed 8253:22

pretenses 8017:4 8020:6 8160:19

pretrial 8189:15

pretty 8039:13,16 8110:13 8132:15 8254:8,20 8286:24 8302:13 8342:25 8358:11

prevent 7996:10

prevented 7994:24

preview 8134:18

previous 7998:13 8009:25 8084:7 8346:16

previously 8009:13, 20,23 8010:3 8103:8

price 8232:3,5

primary 8010:9 8362:12

principal 8182:2

principally 8298:17 8304:14

printed 7983:3

printing 7983:18

prior 7982:16 8007:12 8035:19 8066:8 8193:4 8210:14 8228:19 8244:14 8247:16 8266:7,12,25 8289:22 8330:8,19 8331:2

Index: priority..public

priority 8280:3 produces 8013:25 8271:22 8272:3 privilege 8096:19 **product** 7994:10 prized 8362:9 8029:25 8034:7 problem 7998:12 8037:3 8057:16 8005:16 8056:22 8065:4 8069:8 8174:8 8227:15,17 8070:5,13,16 8071:17 8073:6 8286:14,16,17 8324:24 8074:11 8076:10 8089:18 8117:6 problems 8059:4 8122:15,23 8129:14 8216:22 8149:10 8157:14 procedure 8344:5 8166:5 8170:14 8171:9,10,13,24 procedures 8105:24 8172:12 8177:16,20 8178:3 8179:2.23 proceedings 7982:1 8180:3 8191:11,15 7983:1 7984:1 8192:15 8225:21 7985:1 7986:1 8226:7,8,10,20 7987:1 7988:1 8230:17 8231:15 7989:1 7990:1 8232:10,11 8233:18 7991:1 7992:1 8234:3,14,25 7993:1 7994:1 8235:14,16,22,25 7995:1 7996:1 8236:3 8238:18,21, 7997:1 7998:1 22 8239:3,10,11,17, 7999:1 8000:1 8001:1 8002:1 18,19 8240:19 8003:1 8004:1 8243:6,8,11 8245:7, 25 8246:10 8248:13. 8379:10 14 8249:16 8253:17 process 7980:3 8254:9,11,12 8012:20 8078:11 8255:15,17 8256:22, 8079:18,21 8085:24 23,25 8257:8 8099:11 8101:16 8259:12,16 8260:20 8111:14 8137:11 8263:8,18 8264:8 8139:20.21.25 8268:7 8270:12,14, 8206:20 8208:8 19,24 8272:8 8273:7, 8211:20 8214:7 18,19 8274:12 8218:5,8 8219:8,10, 8284:20 8286:12 12,18 8225:7 8288:12,14,21,23 8259:22 8264:22,25 8298:20 8299:3,18, 8265:11 8272:21 19 8303:17,18,20 8277:4,19 8294:21 8310:8 8312:6 8305:23 8306:21,22 8314:24 8318:21 8307:23 8308:7,18, 8322:22 8323:2,24 22,25 8309:2,4,11 8334:13,21 8335:3,4 8310:15,20 8311:4 8336:10 8346:24 8312:23 8315:16 8351:14 8362:11 8363:13 8364:5 processes 8203:15 8208:12 8308:6 productive 8245:2 prod 8068:8 8112:21 products 8065:5 8116:3 8141:6 8170:17 8171:21 8174:11 produce 8236:6 8176:4,6 8179:8 produced 8024:7 8251:9 8272:15 8096:22,23

8273:8.9 8281:25 8284:21 8298:23 8299:11 8334:19 profited 8295:15 8296:4 profits 8014:23 8015:8,21 8016:7 8295:19 8339:10 program 8012:19 8083:3 8108:23 8118:4 8227:8 8297:14 8298:11 8302:6,12 8303:2,23 8305:5,8 8306:14,15 8321:7 8325:2 8330:12 8333:5 8336:14,17 programming 8217:16 8265:12 8266:2 programs 8018:22 8217:18,19 8322:23 progress 8067:18 8170:16 prohibited 8138:22 project 8022:18,21 8054:9 8075:17,21 8092:7 8112:6 8116:10 8122:9,22 8153:13,16 8187:24 22 8220:11 8309:7.14 8326:21 8332:7 8364:22 projects 8063:22 8132:22 promise 8145:15,16, 17 8295:5 8344:14 promised 8329:18 promises 8145:11, 13 8329:9,17 promote 8238:8,12, 13 8239:10 8242:2, 10,13 8243:18 promoting 8243:16 promotional 8240:14 pronouns 8042:12

proof 8017:12 8085:12 8167:2 8184:4 8249:3 8310:25 8313:3 8324:20 8360:18

prop 8084:4

proper 8007:23 8012:24 8112:25 8138:10 8193:23 8194:7

properly 7991:14 8166:19 8213:22

property 8017:3,5 8018:7,9,12,18,19,23 8020:5,7 8133:6 8158:3 8160:18,19, 25 8161:3,11 8370:25

proportionate 8293:3

proposed 7984:8 7985:7

proprietary 7980:20 8064:6 8066:18 8109:8 8205:17 8303:9 8318:12,19 8328:10,15 8336:20

prospective 8240:9, 10,11,13,15 8242:4, 22

protect 8061:13 8106:12,20 8107:2,9, 13 8108:24 8147:11, 20 8195:2 8235:17 8290:16 8299:17 8307:17 8351:7 8356:17

protected 8096:20 8108:19 8113:9 8170:12 8184:23 8191:14 8202:3 8213:23

protecting 8105:17 8107:17 8240:18 8351:9

protection 8198:5 8199:22 8200:5,7,24

protections 8107:5 8108:13 8144:6,15, protects 8149:3

22

protest 8326:23 8327:14

protocols 8212:16

proud 8047:21

prove 8026:8 8141:8 8145:12 8151:2 8184:16 8299:23 8304:8,10 8362:2 8363:2

proved 8008:17,18 8014:11 8015:10 8019:24 8020:18 8112:7 8315:20 8360:14,15

proven 8016:11 8300:13 8362:17

proves 8363:25

provide 8091:4 8122:2 8187:9,10 8291:17 8302:3,21 8322:25 8334:10 8352:3

provided 8030:24 8031:14 8040:18 8178:23,24 8180:10 8187:16,17 8217:15 8219:13 8234:19 8264:10,12 8284:24 8285:8,19 8296:25 8297:6 8299:24 8301:4 8303:5 8322:19 8330:11,16 8337:17 8352:4

provider 8047:9 8127:18 8241:6,24 8242:24

providing 8057:18

proving 8015:22 8026:12

provisions 8144:14 8241:22

PTX 7980:13,14 8305:14 8311:25

public 8057:8 8140:8,19 8148:23 8149:2 8186:9

Index: publicized..red

8229:15 8329:22 8337:19

publicized 8246:3

publicly 8023:19 8044:25 8073:3 8186:2 8205:4 8206:4 8373:10

pudding 8184:5

puffy 8042:3

pull 7993:13 8212:7 8268:3 8269:2

Pulse 8246:13,14

punitive 8276:21

purchased 8261:12

purchases 8178:14

purloined 8133:23

purpose 8009:15 8271:13 8302:24 8372:3

purposes 7992:10 8108:14 8140:7 8238:5 8306:17 8310:9 8316:14 8317:11 8318:25 8343:3 8350:24

pushed 8253:20

put 7982:16 7985:15 7986:18 7990:11,14, 15 7993:15,17 7994:20 7996:2,3 8003:22 8027:25 8060:19,21 8077:10 8093:3 8123:9 8154:12 8155:11 8172:20.24 8186:19. 21 8188:9 8189:14 8196:8 8198:5 8203:22 8207:20 8210:16 8219:8,25 8220:2,6 8223:18 8231:6 8234:4,14 8236:13 8239:23,25 8248:12,20 8262:19 8264:20 8266:18,20 8267:6 8274:17 8281:4 8296:5,17 8303:11 8309:24 8320:10,12 8336:11 8339:17,25 8353:13

8368:24 8371:19 puts 8096:18 8362:4

putting 7995:6 7996:5,22 8230:5 8314:6

puzzle 8291:12

PX 7979:19,20,22 8203:2,5 8204:8,11, 17 8216:10,11 8218:17

Q

quadrupling 8232:22

qualification 8256:20

qualified 8089:20

qualify 8090:16 8193:20 8334:14

qualities 8176:4 8281:25 8298:13

question 7985:15 8000:10 8001:10.18 8028:18 8031:4 8042:6,11,22,24 8043:3,9 8049:8,10 8160:16 8173:11,19 8175:14,16 8184:11 8191:21,23 8192:12 8194:17 8197:9,12, 15 8199:5,11 8201:25 8202:5,7 8231:14 8233:8,24 8234:18,22 8235:10, 13.20 8243:20 8259:8 8271:13 8285:5 8288:15 8291:4 8297:3 8308:3 8318:18,20 8341:3,4,7,13 8368:15 8378:19

questions 7993:23 7996:6 7999:20 8001:8,12,23 8047:7 8078:8 8103:17 8154:24 8161:23 8164:22 8173:5 8184:21 8306:14 8317:2 8323:15 8324:11 8341:2 8345:17

quick 7989:7 8059:23

quickly 8264:15 8273:24

quote 7998:9

R

Rabo 8086:21 8280:5 8368:7

Rabobank 8084:2, 22,24 8085:3,15,21 8112:4 8156:24

raise 7989:4

raised 7996:24 8091:20 8188:16 8334:5 8366:12,20

range 8017:24

ranking 8034:10 8041:23 8357:14

rapid 8179:19

rapidly 8222:9,21

reach 8129:25 8169:22

reaction 8023:7 8067:20 8253:16

read 7987:18 8006:12 8025:15 8060:13 8064:23 8105:15 8112:18 8113:13 8173:2 8198:15,17 8199:3,4, 8,12,19 8200:12,19 8210:8 8212:17 8221:23 8251:20 8306:7 8318:15 8376:25

read/write 8206:19

readable 8018:25

readily 8012:23 8112:25 8147:2 8183:4 8193:23 8194:6 8347:18 reading 8285:2 ready 7988:3 8003:9 8004:12

real 8018:19 8090:14 8102:22 8156:16,20 8157:13 8170:12 8182:15 8206:17 8215:13 8278:25 8300:8

realized 8224:13

realties 8170:21

realtime 8097:20 8206:14,16,20,22,23 8313:16,25

reams 8352:5

reason 7996:9 8011:19 8012:4 8017:8 8020:9,13 8024:5 8061:13 8063:25 8124:5 8156:17 8184:12 8207:17 8229:22 8233:3 8243:17 8307:6 8322:10

reasonable 8008:20, 21 8013:3 8105:6,13 8108:21 8194:11,25 8238:3 8304:10 8337:20

reasons 8111:16 8275:3 8276:21 8336:7 8367:15 8371:13

rebut 8140:24 8142:17

rebuttal 7979:12 8289:15 8344:6 8345:1 8346:1 8347:1 8348:1 8349:1 8350:1 8351:1 8352:1 8353:1 8354:1 8355:1 8356:1 8357:1 8358:1 8359:1 8360:1 8361:1 8362:1 8363:1 8364:1 8365:1 8366:1 8367:1 8368:1 8369:1 8370:1 8371:1 8372:1 8373:1 8374:1

8375:1 8376:1 8377:1 8378:1 8379:1

recall 8262:25 8312:12 8316:6,7 8339:20

received 8179:12 8240:16

receives 8211:18 8239:24 8376:5

receiving 8109:22

recent 8276:13,15,19

recently 8225:24

recess 8167:10,13 8168:24,25 8292:19 8293:18,19 8379:9

recessed 8379:11

recognize 8203:5

recognized 8116:25

reconvene 8168:20

record 7984:24 7985:2,4,19 7989:10, 15,17,18,24 7990:11, 14,15,16,20,22,23,24 7991:2 8004:11 8022:11 8023:17 8035:11 8065:14 8083:20 8086:3 8099:23 8146:3 8162:10 8163:23 8165:25 8166:9 8311:11,12 8319:14 8331:4 8333:4,8 8342:20,25 8349:19 8368:24 8373:5

recording 8313:11, 25

recordings 8098:6

records 8162:16 8200:12,13 8296:14

recover 8014:22 8015:21 8159:12

recovered 8020:19

recovery 8206:22 8275:2

red 8268:13 8335:10

redeployed 8159:24	reinforcing 8357:25	8040:14,19,20	rendered 8260:22,25	requires 8045:20
refer 8154:15	rejected 8003:2	8041:2,7,25 8043:18 8045:14,16 8047:5	rent 8199:3	8209:23 8216:14
reference 8037:12	8008:25	8048:3,7 8049:6,21,	reordering 7986:20	Resale 8240:23
8154:20 8198:10	rejuvenated	23 8054:7 8055:20	rep 8082:13 8084:14	research 8038:14
8216:25	8234:17	8056:25 8060:18 8061:2 8064:13,17	repeat 8082:4 8083:5	resell 8236:24
references 8054:10	relate 8062:19 8143:22 8236:7	8067:14 8069:4	8100:22 8257:11	reseller 8238:7
referred 8174:25 8317:4		8070:13 8073:13,17, 18,19,20 8074:12,17	8265:4	8240:4,9 8241:4
	related 8093:21 8170:22 8264:11	8075:4 8076:7	repeatedly 8091:7	resellers 8236:24
referring 8084:18 8205:16 8218:3	8284:19 8320:20	8078:17 8079:5	replace 8256:12	reside 8148:25
8358:6	relates 7987:14	8080:10 8082:7 8084:10 8085:10	replete 8349:9	resided 8300:25
refers 8206:12	relating 8284:20	8086:7 8087:3	report 8065:20,22	8303:13 8316:12
8211:13 8219:4	relationship	8088:15 8089:22	8081:4 8154:13,15,	8317:16
refinements 8237:7	8261:15 8311:22	8090:8 8091:8,14 8093:8,13,18 8094:9	20 8215:24 8218:24 8220:24 8261:2	resist 8225:18
refining 8121:3	8332:25	8095:12 8097:2	8366:4	resolution 8376:6,9
reflective 8263:7	relationships	8098:2,9 8099:15 8102:21,25 8103:22	reported 8132:8	resolved 8021:6
reflexively 8297:4	8063:23 8180:2 8331:13	8104:12,20 8107:22	reporter 7993:6	resources 8096:8,11
refocus 8361:3	release 8066:11	8110:23 8114:8,17	reporting 8079:8,9,	respect 8006:14
reframes 7997:14,17	released 8256:8	8115:7 8116:7,9 8117:22 8118:9,18	11 8099:11 8101:13	8127:25 8184:5 8194:18 8219:3
		8119:19 8120:13	8218:14,15,20	8226:19 8227:7
refresh 7994:16 8042:3 8100:23	relevance 8258:3	8121:6,18 8123:7	8221:21 8274:23	8235:19 8255:4
refute 8111:4	relevant 8014:6 8086:17 8147:7	8125:12,25 8126:18 8127:6,13 8128:14	reports 8218:21 8221:6 8276:12	8256:23 8267:19 8269:18 8288:12,21
	8195:4 8237:18	8129:9,11,19		8290:21
regard 8016:16 8163:19 8191:17	8264:9,11 8269:25	8133:15 8134:2	representation 8138:24	respectfully
8338:15 8342:12	8296:11 8333:24 8358:21	8137:16 8139:13 8140:3,6,21,23		8180:13 8240:20
regarded 8191:17		8141:4,23 8142:19	representative 8189:12,14 8236:11	8269:9
regional 8283:16	relied 8009:10 8178:21	8143:6 8145:13,18, 23 8147:23 8149:9	representing	respond 8039:19
-	rely 8073:15 8136:20	8152:25 8153:4	8166:22	8290:15 8292:9,12
register 8196:14 8308:17 8349:20	8139:14 8304:14	8154:3,4,22 8157:25	reprimanded	responded 8039:25
registered 8308:19	remain 8058:24	8158:10,23 8162:18 8164:14 8292:8	8181:10	8191:7
0	remaining 8264:13	8312:21 8347:25	request 7997:13	responding 8040:4 8149:24 8323:14
registers 8309:6,8 8349:22	8341:6	8349:11 8350:15,25	8313:17	
registrant 8064:11	remarkable 8058:9	8351:22 8352:2 8356:3,13 8360:24	requested 8008:13	responds 8060:5
8305:22	8178:22	8364:8 8372:22	requests 8090:17	response 8030:24 8043:24 8096:2
registration 8197:21	remediate 8216:22	remind 8001:16,17	require 8307:16	8246:18 8316:25
8305:11,25 8307:23	remember 7999:6	8101:3 8117:16	required 8065:25	8340:18 8370:3
8308:5 8309:11,14 8310:21	8000:15,17 8001:8	8121:7 8164:2 8165:23	8082:2 8128:12,22,	responsible 8010:5
registrations	8022:13,22 8023:7 8026:22 8028:10,15	reminder 8000:16,17	25 8199:20 8201:2 8365:19	8134:12 8188:25 8248:15
8307:11	8029:13 8030:4,23	8001:10 8306:3		
registry 8139:25	8033:7,12 8034:7,12,	reminders 8059:23	8085:14 8201:7	rest 8002:10 8267:14 8282:12 8338:6
regret 8170:23	16,20,21 8035:4,15 8036:22 8037:18,20,	remotely 8317:8	requirements	restaurant 8286:21
Ugict 0170.20	21 8038:4 8039:8		8205:21 8218:23	8287:11,13

Index: rested..search

rested 7990:2

restricted 8226:17

restriction 8238:15 8243:12 8327:19

restrictions 8144:6 8199:14 8200:21,23

restricts 8227:21 8228:3

result 8017:18 8169:22 8176:3,5,6 8257:9 8262:20 8285:10 8306:21 8309:10,15

results 8324:20

retained 8261:4

retire 8020:24

return 8079:16,17 8272:6

returned 8085:23 8372:25

returns 8159:21 8340:6

revelation 8051:16, 17

revenue 8109:10 8149:3 8156:4 8157:25 8287:11 8363:19

revenues 8088:11, 13 8157:16,18,20,22 8286:19 8287:13,24 8288:10,19 8289:24 8290:7

reverse 8233:15

review 8082:13 8305:4 8377:17

reviewed 8148:20

revised 8325:13 8326:5

revisiting 7988:2

revoiced 8082:9

revoked 8138:19

RFPS 8111:10

Richard 8115:8 Richard's 8114:21

8115:8

riding 8348:4

right-hand 8198:12 8203:22 8245:19

rights 8016:17 8163:19 8342:13

ring 8208:25 8209:3 rise 8012:9 8169:2 8232:24

risk 8171:16 8207:10, 21,23 8208:5,21 8275:12

risky 8203:16

Robert 8248:9 robust 8083:3

Roland 8074:24

role 8375:25

roll 8314:2,8,17

room 8006:10,16 8020:23 8073:17 8311:7 8334:24 8378:5

Ross 8021:22 8063:15 8064:10 8065:2 8106:23 8109:7 8143:13 8144:12 8145:23 8148:8,16 8150:5 8161:15 8189:8,17, 22 8193:8 8197:3 8200:25 8201:10 8213:6 8222:25 8223:9,25 8224:9 8236:22 8308:2,3,13, 23 8310:18 8311:2 8349:19 Ross' 8197:6,7 roughly 8278:7 round 8161:12 row 8185:8 8187:2

rule 7984:23 8272:19 rule-approval 8220:12 ruled 7984:12,18,21 7985:3 7988:17 7998:18 rules 8002:9 8023:25

8025:13 8139:25 8272:19,20 8279:13 8280:14 8292:9,15

ruling 7982:17 7985:4 7986:2 7988:7 8003:6

run 8024:9 8034:11 8137:7 8207:10 8231:19 8279:10 8343:19,21

running 7990:21 8055:24 8057:20 8094:15 8136:17 8188:23 8216:20 8225:13 8357:6

runs 8052:14 8217:3 8237:8 8272:18

Ryan 8023:4

Ryder 8276:18 8367:24

résumé 8327:8

S

SA0 8046:15

salary 8188:16

sale 8154:21 8175:8, 19,25 8179:4,10 8180:8 8246:11 8247:19,21 8259:12 8285:11,18 8361:24 8362:6,21 8367:11

sales 7998:7 8014:25 8015:4 8078:11,25 8080:25 8081:25 8082:13 8106:21 8111:13 8150:17,18, 21,24 8151:9,10 8153:5 8158:13 8162:20 8165:9 8172:6,17 8173:9,12 8176:20 8179:17,25 8187:18,19 8209:17 8214:18 8230:5 8231:3,24 8232:22 8245:13,15,20 8254:2 8261:19 8273:16 8279:10 8280:11 8283:18 8285:15,17 8286:3,5 8287:7,9 8289:18 8290:2 8291:7 8325:15,18 8336:9 8356:23 8361:8,21 8362:23 8365:21 8370:9

Salesforce 8083:8

salesforce.com 8245:4 8262:13 8263:13

salesman 8274:21

salient 8183:8

salmon 8283:2

salmon-colored 8282:7

sample 8065:16 8239:2 8324:23

samples 8107:7

Sarada 8098:8 8133:22

Saritha 8059:8

sat 8155:2

satisfied 8026:12 8105:11 8161:13

satisfy 8160:15

sausage 8294:20

save 8159:4

saved 8234:15

scale 8026:7,9 8274:13 8300:3

scaleability 8275:3

scarcely 8351:8

scenario 8289:3

schedule 8108:11 8282:17 8351:4

schema 8069:3 8078:19 8099:13 8101:23 scheme 8134:13 schooling 8297:13

Schuerman 8028:7 8030:23 8032:3 8051:12 8052:22 8055:14 8057:25 8074:23 8077:9 8078:2 8091:23 8094:3 8097:2,3,10 8103:6 8115:6 8117:9 8135:20 8204:12 8208:14,23 8209:8 8217:2 8218:25 8222:15 8354:5

Schuerman's 8355:8

Schuermans 8109:25 8134:6

Schwartz 8248:9

science 8297:14

scientist 8104:7

Scii 8045:17,18,19 8046:24

scope 8010:12

score 8283:3

Scott 8274:20

scratch 7993:21

screen 7993:13,16 8203:20,23 8237:11 8259:21 8268:23,25 8296:18 8301:2,22 8313:10,23 8318:13 8323:7 8336:4,17 8353:13 8376:10

screens 7993:18 8060:3

screenshot 8122:13

screenshots 8118:13 8121:18,21 8250:21 8252:18

scroll 8274:19

seal 8154:24

sealed 8060:6

search 8000:6 8068:4 8357:6

Index: searches..sharing

searches 8139:10 seated 7982:3 8005:24 8169:3,9 8293:25 second-place 8283:9 seconds 8004:25 8355:22 secrecy 8012:10,13 8013:5,11 8114:4 8194:12 8337:21 8349:5 secret 7994:7 8010:25 8011:3,6,9, 18,20,23 8012:2,5,16 8015:5 8016:5 8060:22 8063:14 8103:19 8113:17,18 8135:9 8139:9.22 8140:12 8143:20 8144:20 8146:13 8147:4,13 8148:14 8151:16 8158:3 8170:8,10,19 8177:17 8183:10,11, 22 8184:22,24 8185:22 8187:15 8188:2 8191:9,14,17 8192:2,9,18,21 8193:2,3,20 8194:15, 19,23 8202:19 8203:22 8209:22 8212:25 8213:7,11, 20,21,22 8214:5 8215:22 8219:24 8223:6,11 8224:7,16, 8375:2 20,21,22,23,25 8225:3,8 8227:3 8228:5 8229:14 8230:16 8234:7,20 8235:12,17 8236:4 8253:4 8257:3,9,17 8260:7,10 8271:9 8290:23 8291:7,14 8299:5 8303:15,21, 25 8307:5 8310:14 8334:11,14,20 8335:5 8336:6,20 8337:18 8346:19 8347:8,16 8348:7 8352:10 8353:22 8356:11 8358:16 8295:14 8360:4 8361:14

sees 8124:21 8362:20,24 8365:17 selection 8279:17 secrets 7984:5 7985:10 7994:3 self-answering 8011:3,7,10,15 8199:11 8235:20 8014:13 8015:6,12 8016:5 8068:23 self-identify 8083:7,22 8085:16 8042:16 8090:10 8099:2 self-registration 8100:5,18 8105:3 8308:15 8106:10 8109:9 8112:22 8135:4,16 sell 8179:22 8235:7. 8139:18 8143:10 21.25 8239:10 8146:15 8148:25 8351:13 8150:8,23 8155:25 selling 8106:7,12 8156:25 8158:20 8164:17 8173:16 8162:3 8164:17 8179:9 8363:22 8170:10,13 8175:5 8176:18,21 8177:3,7, sells 8171:20 23 8189:20,21 Sencha 8127:7 8190:3,11,21 8191:6 8246:6.8 8365:14 8193:8,10,15,17 8196:7 8202:9 send 8068:19 8203:11 8228:18,22 8069:16 8118:20 8229:8 8230:10,13 8168:10,18 8235:4 8271:10 sending 8086:11 8284:24 8295:17 8094:12 8097:13 8296:2 8297:2 8099:22 8121:20 8299:15,25 8300:14, 8125:8 8149:25 19,23 8303:10,13 8304:9,11 8307:17 sends 8074:4 8310:14 8318:5 8080:10 8086:6 8323:21 8333:19 8121:17 8337:12 8339:4,9 senior 8023:2 8341:11 8346:10 8051:10 8091:21 8351:19 8353:12 8274:23,24 8275:8 8354:2 8360:7 8361:22 8365:22 senior-most 8370:17 8371:17,23 8051:21 8052:4 sense 8007:25 section 8122:10 8027:20 8028:3,5 8159:6.19 8169:18. security 8103:23 20 8171:3,4 8175:18 8104:10,22 8105:6, 8227:6 8230:11 13,23 8138:20 8232:19 8252:22 8145:20 8146:5,10 8253:7 8282:22 8304:20 8310:12 8302:19 8329:21 8315:12 8328:21 8334:24 8336:9 see-saw 8142:5 8372:6 8373:18 seed 8091:17 sensitive 8088:25 seeking 8012:13 sentence 7982:13 8015:18 8042:8 8026:16 8249:12,13 8047:2 8184:8 8251:19,25 8252:5,7, 16 8258:16 8278:18, 19 8279:25 8280:4,

13 8341:24

separate 8143:24 8175:10 8185:18 8250:8 8251:9

September 8195:20 8285:12 8295:23 8321:12 8326:13 8328:5 8331:24

sequence 8013:25 8017:18 8271:22 8272:2

Serco 7980:19 8022:19 8053:25 8054:17 8062:15 8063:6 8065:9,10,12 8066:4,14 8106:24 8107:13,17,20 8133:4 8164:15 8195:20 8240:22 8241:8 8242:8,15,20, 23 8243:5,10,18 8319:12 8321:13 8322:3 8325:10,20 8326:11,15 8328:8, 14 8329:17,23 8330:4,19,24 8331:17,25 8333:14, 16,22,23 8334:4,5 8348:14 8350:6 8351:2 8352:2,4

Serco's 8066:23 8242:5

series 8033:8 8045:16 8046:13 8059:17 8082:5 8312:13 8340:25 8365:2

serve 8010:7 8171:14 8287:3

server 8207:11,13

service 8103:15 8169:16 8241:6,23 8242:23 8287:20 8306:9,12 8315:2

services 8017:4 8019:8 8020:5 8079:16 8100:7 8117:17 8118:11,14 8210:6 8211:3,4 8212:9 8241:4,10 8264:19 8265:20 8274:10,11 8295:21 8355:21 8364:6

serving 8286:22

session 8069:24 8324:8

set 8003:18,20 8004:8 8048:20,21 8092:14 8100:5 8207:5 8208:24,25 8216:18 8263:6 8265:7 8272:20

sets 8022:9 8049:3 8134:10 8166:8 8236:17 8272:19 8324:6

setting 8053:2 8094:9 8208:18 8209:2,5,6,10,12,13

settings 8135:23

Seuss 8047:21

shadiness 8024:23 8049:5 8071:5 8092:2 8166:18

shady 8023:11,12, 18,22 8075:19 8088:23 8090:14

shady-arrogant 8086:8

shape 8085:9,12 8141:22 8267:4

shapes 8116:19 8121:19 8266:8,18, 21,23 8267:7,13 8355:22

shaping 8111:9,13

share 8032:15 8056:14 8081:9,19 8130:25 8196:2

shared 8102:8 8243:23

shareholder 8056:12

shareholders 8056:11

shares 8056:20

sharing 8149:22

Index: shaving..social

shaving 8293:7 she'll 7982:10 sheet 8210:17 shifting 8162:19 8362:14 **ship** 8024:18 8071:3 8126:7 8252:3,19 Shocker 8353:11 **shop** 8270:3 shop's 8270:8 short 7988:10 8190:14 8227:18 8269:14 8313:3 shortcomings 8298:18 8322:21 8323:23 shorter 8208:3 shortly 8244:10 8375:14 shot 8086:25 shoulder 8164:14,15 **show** 7993:2,5,8 8004:25 8005:3 8038:8 8057:20 8066:22 8094:16 8100:20 8107:6 8111:16,20 8122:13 8130:18 8134:22 8139:13,15,16 8140:14 8146:7 8150:15,17,21 8151:3,6,11,14,18 8153:4 8158:12,15 8159:21 8162:20 8163:25 8166:25 8167:2,3 8171:2 8201:16 8202:16 8203:25 8204:17 8221:24 8229:24 8233:11 8236:20 8238:23 8239:2,4 8242:24 8243:13 8245:14 8250:9,12, 24 8296:14 8301:15 8302:25 8305:5 8307:7,18 8313:18 8324:24 8332:10 8336:10 8345:18,22 8352:25 8353:3

8356:4 8361:8.11.13. 17 8362:6.8 8365:20. 23 8367:6,10 showcase 8078:9 showed 8029:20 8030:4 8031:22 8040:20 8044:7 8052:12,15 8055:21 8059:17 8067:14 8071:13 8075:3 8076:7 8077:11 8078:12 8079:6 8080:24 8082:7,11 8097:19 8098:8 8099:16,22 8100:20 8101:6 8107:22 8109:20 8118:2,9 8119:19 8120:8 8124:19,23 8129:12 8131:6,21 8134:24 8135:6,21 8140:5 8141:22 8142:2,13, 24 8143:6 8144:4,17 8154:14 8165:8 8190:14 8200:8 8205:11 8228:17 8251:21 8315:20 8348:10 8349:10,16, 25 8350:6,8,14,25 8351:15,20 8353:5, 23 8355:20 8356:13 8360:24 8362:7 8365:6 8373:3 **showing** 8033:13 8118:17 8119:20 8137:10 8140:2 8151:9 8201:20 8204:14 8250:6 8280:24 shown 8007:12 8021:24 8043:19 8135:14 8140:15 8150:12 8162:10 8187:22 8201:5,9 8226:13,25 8227:19 8230:25 8231:2 8281:8 8351:20 8361:4 8374:16 **shows** 8119:23 8120:20 8124:24 8139:16 8163:24 8311:12 8315:9 8327:5 8330:13 8026:5 8113:2

8333:4 8342:20 8368:24 shred 8032:3 8034:3 8037:14 8040:10 8041:14 8043:11 8048:13 8055:5 8144:19 8356:7 shut 8028:17 sic 8170:20 8208:5 8215:21 8233:5 8243:11 Sid 8277:13 side 7984:8 7989:4 8028:20 8071:5,6 8133:7 8155:21 8177:14 8203:20.22 8205:15 8209:25 8217:25 8218:9 8232:16 8234:10 8245:19 8265:6 8266:10 8293:3 8300:8 8343:4 8378:18 side-by-side 8284:14 sides 7989:25 8022:2 8107:9 8348:7 sideshows 8174:18 sign 8048:5 8091:11, 13 8233:7 sign-up 8046:6 sign-ups 8044:19 signed 8031:2 8043:21 8065:9 8066:18 8107:23 **sianina** 8044:10 8349:11,12 signs 8217:7 **signups** 8044:7 silence 8354:8 similar 8017:17 8245:11 8355:11 similarities 8118:16 8123:6 **simple** 8017:20

8117:6 8163:17 8279:18,23 8374:11, 13 simpler 8211:20 simplified 8118:7 **simply** 7988:10 8000:15 8006:19 8171:25 8209:4 8273:17 8275:21 8276:3 8284:11 8286:10 8371:9 single 8092:3 8119:16 8175:8,12 8179:4,9 8180:8 8225:6 8282:8,18 8285:10 8289:21 sir 7985:8 8030:10 **sit** 8292:12 site 8136:21 sitting 8073:19 8134:5 8266:8 situation 8072:14 8159:5 8171:24 8291:11 8347:4 8370:2 size 8205:24 8214:24 sizzle 8058:6 sketches 8314:23 sketchy 8358:11 Skii 8045:25 8046:6 8094:11 skill 8226:11 8261:18 skip 8284:4 Skipping 8280:4 slash 8206:18 slick 8225:16 8253:25 slide 7993:3 7996:17 7997:14 7999:5 8000:3 8001:2,25 8021:5 8075:11 8087:23 8122:6,7 8145:18 8186:20 8196:25 8202:24 8204:7,20 8205:8 8210:22 8222:12

8223:17.21 8231:8 8232:14 8233:10 8245:16 8268:3 8281:7,8 8349:25 8355:20

Slide..... 8281 7980:11

slides 7987:6 7988:24,25 7992:2,5, 7 7993:5,8,11 8002:6.17 8075:5 8105:7 8121:23 8210:21 8364:25 8373:4

slightest 8171:16

slightly 8026:9 8216:15 8292:22

slow 8369:13

slowing 8223:15 8224:4

slows 8208:7

small 8091:15 8092:16 8210:5,25 8286:24 8287:6,8

smaller 8159:18 8273:13

smart 8100:7 8117:17 8118:11,14 8121:18 8141:22 8261:5 8264:18 8265:19 8266:17,21, 23 8267:4,6 8355:21, 22 8364:6

smartest 8178:9

smoke 8139:19 8204:4

snapped 8234:13

so-called 8203:11 8209:22 8219:23 8229:5

SOA 8211:10,12 8212:2,8

SOA.....8211 7979:21

social 8069:13,23 8070:22 8071:21 8100:9,13 8123:21 8124:6,17 8125:6,23 8126:11,24 8139:22 8238:17 8243:13,16 8244:5,11,20,22,24 8245:5,9,23 8246:2, 14,21,24 8248:3,6,15 8251:10,15,23 8252:12 8253:5 8255:4,5,18,20,22 8258:13 8365:12

Socials 8124:10

software 8018:22 8056:6 8064:7 8067:22 8068:8 8072:23 8077:5 8095:22,24 8106:4,5 8114:14 8128:10 8131:13 8146:12,13, 19,23 8147:11 8161:11 8171:8 8172:7,9 8175:3 8176:12,16 8179:16 8180:21 8195:6,13 8196:3 8198:25 8199:2 8211:18 8219:10,11,18 8226:22.23.25 8227:3,8,9,12,13,21, 23 8228:2 8232:9 8235:7 8236:8,24 8237:3,5,25 8238:4, 9,11,24 8240:8 8241:11,14,17 8242:3,11,16,18,21, 25 8243:2 8261:7 8297:19 8298:19.23 8301:5,10,13 8302:3, 16,23,24 8303:2 8310:13 8317:5.16 8318:12,19 8320:25 8321:7,10 8333:3 8335:24 8336:2,10 8351:12 8363:24 8375:3

software's 8089:24

software-enabled 8220:10

sold 8117:6 8286:9

sole 8199:22 8200:24

solely 8008:10

solution 8125:19 8127:8 8173:22,24, 25 8283:5 8324:24 solutions 8127:9 8143:16,18 8173:15, 17 8278:5

solving 8174:8

sophisticated 8176:10 8178:6 8218:8 8275:23 8373:20

sort 7984:7 8089:20 8117:19 8119:8 8185:3 8220:8 8259:7 8267:5 8286:17 8294:19 8310:21 8337:7 8341:11

sorts 8242:16

sound 8172:23

source 8201:25 8206:4 8229:16 8282:10

sourced 8205:4

sources 8140:19

Sovatella 8327:7.15

spades 8163:24 8360:8

speak 7990:22 8021:19 8344:22

speaking 8170:3 8319:16

specialized 8017:22

specializes 8158:2

specific 7994:15 7995:4 8018:3 8040:14 8078:23 8099:13 8100:18 8101:14 8102:5 8124:4 8142:15 8217:9,10 8323:15 8345:24 8366:19

specifically 8106:24 8156:23 8220:23

specifications 8094:21

specificity 7984:5 8073:16

specifics 8079:9 8099:10,12,14 8103:15 8137:3

spectacular 8178:18 8285:20

speculation 8008:9 8139:14

spend 8024:10 8052:22 8094:22 8137:15 8158:25 8159:2,10 8370:18 8371:25

spending 8073:25 8095:20 8109:21

spent 8076:4 8086:13 8103:24 8114:11 8160:4 8185:8 8229:23 8258:16 8294:10,25 8305:10 8366:3,6 8367:24 8370:22 8372:5

spies 8095:10,15 8114:5

Spieth 7989:7,21 7990:7,19 7991:4,17 7992:4,19 7993:10, 20 7995:13,16,23 7996:15 7997:25 7998:5,10 7999:3,17 8001:2 8002:4 8003:3 8170:4 8173:4 8233:9

spite 8016:20 8163:22 8342:9,18, 23

spoiler 8305:17 8337:9

spoken 8279:15

spokesman 8189:24

spot 8066:9,17

spots 8332:14

spreadsheet 8247:11

spring 8246:12 8322:7

spy 8060:2,9,17,21 8187:21

square 8268:13 staffing 8057:2 stage 7991:2,7 stake 8376:8

stand 7982:6 7983:23 8007:6 8074:10 8076:25 8270:16 8345:12 8359:17

standard 7998:17 8025:18 8026:2 8138:9 8163:16 8259:2

standing 8273:15

stands 8215:3

star 8069:3 8078:19 8099:12 8101:23

start 8022:12 8025:17 8028:6 8069:10 8089:15 8091:17 8111:9 8167:15 8180:12 8186:17 8195:8 8247:3 8261:9 8377:13 8378:4,8

started 8035:8 8038:21 8047:7,10 8089:12 8091:9 8195:21 8244:9,10 8296:15 8326:17,21 8374:10

starting 8244:18 8277:15 8374:4

starts 8046:14 8068:12,23 8092:11 8251:14 8253:13 8332:5

state 8255:18

statement 7998:16 8009:13,16,23,25 8030:14 8055:22 8064:18 8144:25 8165:15 8178:22 8190:13 8202:17 8203:21 8213:8 8214:11,13 8216:5,8, 14 8223:3,7 8224:2,6 8298:15 8318:9 8372:19,23

Index: Socials..stop

statements 8007:13 8026:23 8045:7 8174:21 8175:6,21, 22 8177:2 8184:13 8220:2,4 8329:5 8347:25

States 8063:24 8178:7 8282:12

status 8076:12,13 8122:16

statute 8025:22 8105:10 8165:19 8184:10 8376:13

stayed 7982:17

steadily 8056:3

steady 8179:19 8232:24

steal 8096:16 8150:8 8160:3 8258:20

stealing 8254:17,19

steals 8371:23

step 8061:20

Stephanie 8040:12

stepping 8131:15

steps 8304:11 8307:15 8337:20

Steve 8038:15,18,21 8039:6

sticking 8344:2

sticky 8004:8

Stillwell 8041:16 8042:13

stock 8055:23,25 8056:19 8232:3,4 8363:16,22

stole 8370:16,17 8371:17

stolen 8170:19

stone 8102:17

stop 8019:12 8030:3, 22 8034:6 8061:16 8096:5 8134:9 8167:17 8211:15 8232:11 8244:3

Index: stopper..talked

8285:2 8313:13 8314:7 8354:20 8359:8

stopper 8356:4

stored 8019:7 8306:5

story 8055:4 8111:18 8127:3

straight 7985:19 8044:5 8045:13 8078:20 8132:14

straightforward 8042:6

strategic 8205:18

strategies 8222:22

strategy 8114:19

stray 8036:3 8052:13 8357:9

stream 8250:2,7,13

streamlined 8116:12 8118:7

strengths 8071:21

stricken 8008:25

strictly 8097:13

strike 7988:14,18

striking 8123:6

string 8278:2 8283:13

string......8283 7980:12

stripped 8174:19

strokes 8299:9 8301:23,24

strong 8086:17 8212:13,15

stronger 8250:6

structure 8229:9

student 8181:21

studied 8094:22

studio 8259:24

studiously 8021:15

study 8122:6

8129:21 8141:9 8329:3 8364:23 studying 8024:8,11 8122:7 8126:8 8129:20 8357:24 8358:3 8364:25 stuff 8059:3 8090:14 8095:3 8100:14 8101:20 8102:12 8124:13 8131:7,9,20 8133:10 8141:11,12 8144:21 8148:6 8153:19 8161:18 8212:16 8215:12 8221:16,17 8230:4 8234:2,7,9,18 8235:5 8259:25 8265:4 8270:18,23 8290:6 8294:19 8356:10 8357:11 8359:12 style 8084:7 subcategories 8113:21 subdivision 8013:18 subject 8013:3 8076:9 8105:5 8107:14 8108:6.18 8144:8 8146:21 8194:10,24 8198:17 8204:2 8228:11 8230:17 8241:21 8256:19 8258:15 8260:23 8326:22 8327:21 sublicense 8237:24 submit 8023:13 8062:7,16 8162:4,17

8062:7,16 8162:4,17 8240:20 8297:2 8304:4 8342:19 8360:5

subscribe 8032:12

subscribing 8032:17

substance 8186:11

substantially 8179:22 substantiate 8284:5 subterfuge 8091:18 subtract 8290:7 subway 8173:25 succeeding 8124:2 success 8232:6,8 successful 8172:12 successfully 7994:24 sudden 8224:13 suddenly 8050:17 sued 8147:21 8189:3,5 8334:5

suggest 8000:24 8001:3 8022:8 8062:3 8088:4 8180:13 8198:14 8246:20 8254:25 8255:13 8256:4 8258:4 8317:18 8337:22 8353:15

suggested 8310:18 8318:8

suggesting 8053:7 8064:17 8090:9 8183:19 8261:6 8280:16,18 8361:12

suggestion 8126:13 8230:8 8252:24 8284:18 8291:19 8314:10

suggests 8131:3 8256:5 8261:14 8269:5 8291:22

suing 8333:16

suit 8188:17

summarize 8067:10, 12

summarized 8182:25

summary 8264:5

summer 8098:22

sums 8102:10,19 Superbowl 8371:25

superior 8254:13

support 8045:9,12 8212:13,15 8214:7 8220:9 8223:15,24 8224:4,15 8229:21 8306:9 supporting 8210:5

supplier 8179:16

supply 8274:15

8223:3

supports 8206:20 8210:25

suppose 7994:9 8198:21

supposed 8002:19 8151:23 8196:6 8212:25 8215:22 8229:7 8252:21 8268:10 8349:17

supposedly 8187:13 8254:17 8267:17 8270:17

surely 8290:24

surface 8100:2 8102:15 8357:2

surprise 8155:20 8334:17

surprised 8094:13 8275:16

suspect 8029:13 8201:20

suss 8028:4

switch 8209:3,4 8270:25

sworn 8029:7 8030:11,24 8032:19 8130:9

sympathy 8008:8

synchronous 8206:24

system 8035:23 8057:15 8082:16,17 8112:14 8169:18,23 8172:4 8206:3,21 8215:3 8216:21 8217:3,11 8282:16

system-generated

8250:15

systems 8132:4 8135:7 8156:19 8205:18 8211:14 8214:9

т

table 8073:18 8079:11

tables 8286:25 8287:18

tainted 8154:21 8368:9

take-away 8129:21

takes 8321:25 8355:11,13,19,22

taking 8065:17 8095:25 8156:23 8268:24 8280:21 8299:2 8378:4

talk 8001:23 8024:21 8032:7 8033:11 8039:15 8043:16 8049:22 8050:22 8053:4 8055:19 8060:3 8062:13 8071:23 8089:10 8103:20 8105:12 8134:16,17 8135:23 8138:5 8150:3 8155:10 8157:13 8160:10 8166:15 8170:9,20,25 8180:11 8186:12,14 8189:8 8193:14,16 8227:11 8235:23.24 8240:12 8242:14,15 8243:25 8244:4 8247:25 8252:5 8274:5 8281:3 8295:6 8303:19 8305:18,20 8319:22 8323:19 8340:24 8353:4 8354:16 8360:20 8364:4 8369:14

talked 8055:22 8060:14 8081:2 8099:10 8100:4 8102:9,15 8132:3

Index: talking..three-letter

8280:25 8289:8

8292:7 8313:5

8290:17,20 8291:20

8136:8,24 8142:16 8154:3 8157:16	8203:8 8224:19 8276:17 8346:23			
8293:2 8300:20 8316:4 8337:6	technique 8012:19			
8363:16 8366:22 8367:23	technologies 8174:6			
talking 8022:16 8038:2 8071:17 8076:15 8099:3 8125:17 8129:15 8130:12 8181:16 8220:17,24 8227:22 8228:3,7 8252:11,25 8258:17 8305:10 8307:6 8327:9 8353:6 8354:21 8367:12	technology 8028:8 8094:20 8104:8 8116:8 8189:9 8213:16 8219:20 8225:2 8233:21 8365:8 8366:15 telling 8030:2,18 8035:10 8036:8,14 8047:23 8054:5 8073:21 8095:16 8097:5 8117:4	1		
talks 8045:10 8083:3 8113:16 8116:17,18 8220:23 8327:15,16 8341:22	8122:20 8123:19 8355:10 8359:21 8375:15,17 8378:24	t		
tangible 8018:24	tells 8038:11 8050:16 8058:10 8097:2 8124:19,25 8217:12			
tangled 8192:23	Telstra 8276:17,23	1		
tape 8314:3	8367:23			
targeted 8095:17	Telstra.com			
task 8018:3	8046:11			
tasks 8070:24 8250:3 8251:24 8252:13 8253:6 8258:15	template 8236:10 templates 8143:7 8144:3,17 8351:16			
tasks/take 8250:20	Tempo 8069:23			
taste 8121:7	8125:6,20 8126:8			
taught 8215:17	8191:11,15,19,20 8192:18 8193:2			
tax 8159:21 8340:5, 10 8371:12	8245:25 8248:6 8249:10,23 8258:24			
taxes 8054:14 8296:9 8339:19 8369:25	ten 7986:24 7987:2 8037:6 8265:21 8266:17			
taxpayers 8282:13	tend 8290:3			
team 8085:4 8086:13 8153:25 8354:18	tens 8171:14 8272:10			
teardown 8028:13, 15	tenuous 8136:11			
tech 8057:11 8081:13	term 8017:19 8301:4	1		
8093:11 8094:25 8141:5 8221:9	terminated 8066:8, 25 8350:18	1		
technical 8045:6	termination 8350:15			
8077:14,19 8084:11 8094:21 8181:10	terms 8064:12,15,19, 22 8065:3 8090:22,			
	TSG Reportir			
	199 VEDOLCII	٠A		

24 8105:24 8106:3. 13.18 8108:10.11 8138:22,25 8144:10 8147:25 8161:5,10 8197:2,4,5,10,13,16, 19 8198:11,18 8199:4,7,8,9 8200:12,14,20 8201:3,6,22 8241:21 8306:23 8310:2,4,21 8311:13 8314:13 8315:6,14,21 8317:22 8318:25 8333:13 8348:17 8349:23 8351:5,9 8356:17 terrible 8024:22 8125:14 8127:14 8145:20 territory 8242:5,7 test 8112:17,21 8175:18 8194:14,16 8347:16,20,23 testified 7992:14 7999:24 8007:10,14 8027:16 8034:13 8047:6 8087:4 8089:25 8103:21 8146:2 8178:20 8182:9,23 8190:8,22 8197:3 8200:25 8207:9,10 8208:6 8209:8 8213:9,24 8217:2 8224:2,9 8225:5 8228:14,21 8236:23 8247:2,5,6 8254:7 8256:21 8259:10,14 8269:19 8270:23 8288:6 8297:16 8305:21 8306:19 8307:19 8308:8,24 8310:15 8311:3 8315:14 8316:8 8319:3,8 8320:16 8321:21 8328:19 8359:17 8366:5 testifies 7996:12 testify 8139:4 testifying 8189:17 8331:11

testimony 7992:15, 17 8007:18.22.25 8008:5 8009:5,14,24 8010:21 8026:17 8027:20 8028:11 8029:8 8030:11 8032:5,22,23 8033:8 8036:22 8037:9 8047:15 8053:12,23, 24 8054:8 8056:21 8094:16 8097:22 8130:10,16 8172:19, 22 8187:12 8190:24 8197:6,7 8213:14 8291:3,9 8304:25 8307:22 8312:7 8316:25 8317:14 8318:21 8334:16 8349:18 8355:7,8 testing 8102:12 8220:11 8357:4 tests 8194:13 text 8096:25 8209:25 8211:5 8216:15 8246:17 8276:13 thanking 8294:15 Theft 8013:7 8113:25 theme 8373:2 themes 8022:9 8023:14 8080:4 theory 8001:23 8233:19,25 8234:11 8288:12,22,23 8290:21 8295:10,13 8335:14 8339:6 8362:11 there'd 8088:12 thieves 8260:18 thing 7989:8 8005:2 8036:23 8089:2,6 8134:19 8140:16 8141:7 8169:16 8172:2 8177:11 8201:9 8206:5,11 8208:13 8214:4 8221:19 8227:8 8228:7 8229:15 8242:23 8249:23 8255:13 8260:11 8267:21 8268:12

8316:3 8318:7,24 8323:16.22 8329:11 8337:4 8340:24 8343:8 8366:8 8368:10 things 8007:9 8008:2 8024:12 8027:12 8029:10 8032:13 8033:8 8057:23 8099:25 8131:3 8154:14 8156:24 8158:19 8177:19,20 8192:4 8195:11 8196:20,22 8200:4 8202:8,11 8208:10 8228:17 8229:12 8242:17 8247:11,14, 15 8265:13,22,24 8266:6 8269:8 8273:6 8278:20,21 8294:22 8297:7,16 8298:20 8299:17 8303:18 8305:9 8322:20 8345:19 8354:23 8355:17,24 8378:4

thinking 8047:13 8304:5 8372:17

thinks 8053:16 8123:13

third-party 8319:24

third-place 8283:7

thought 7983:24 8053:21 8075:25 8078:10 8099:7 8148:5 8193:2 8213:11,20 8222:17

thoughtful 8061:22

thoughts 8280:12

thousands 8081:20 8171:15 8272:11 8309:21

threats 8104:19 8105:18

three-hour 8324:7

three-letter 8278:13

Index: three-year..Travell

			inden e	IIIee-yearIIaveii
three-year 8137:18	8290:2,3 8292:23	8128:2,23 8129:4,9	touch 8195:10	8303:9,12,14,21,25
-	8293:3 8294:24	8139:20 8140:17	8378:18	8304:9 8307:17
threshold 8243:20	8295:5 8297:9,24	8144:12 8145:5,13		8310:14 8323:21
thrilled 8091:24	8298:2 8302:2,9	8149:10 8150:5	touching 8354:4	8333:19 8334:14,19,
	8305:10 8309:7	8152:18 8161:15	TOUS 8322:12	20 8335:5 8336:5,20
throw 8139:19	8310:22 8311:15	8162:21 8170:4	8324:15	8337:12 8339:4,9
tick 8263:7	8312:9 8314:11,15	8184:7 8188:12		8341:11 8346:9,10,
	8315:5,7 8319:15	8196:19 8201:12	touting 8217:22	19 8347:8,16 8348:7
ticket 8062:8,17	8320:14 8321:21,24	8221:15 8232:2	traced 8078:14	8351:19 8353:12,22
8306:12,13	8326:8 8328:7	8234:2 8239:16		8354:2 8356:11
tickets 8315:3	8329:23 8330:8,19,	8257:20 8259:4	tracing 8079:6	8358:16 8360:4,7
	24 8332:20 8335:9,	8263:12 8270:15	track 8006:20	8361:14,22 8362:20,
tied 8157:24 8158:19	12 8341:5 8343:18,	8305:4 8322:19		24 8365:16,22
tier 8206:14	23 8349:22 8352:16	8347:2 8354:9	tracked 8062:14,15	8370:17 8371:17,23
	8358:3 8366:24	8356:22 8359:18	tracking 8105:9	8375:2
tight 8146:9 8307:14	8367:24 8368:23	8364:9,15 8368:5		traded 0000.00
tightly 8090:20	8375:15,19 8376:20	8371:2 8374:23	trade 7984:4 7985:9	traded 8023:20
8252:7	8379:2	8375:12	7994:3,7 8010:25	8044:25 8073:3 8373:10
	time concuming	tomorrow 0020:12	8011:3,6,7,9,10,14,	8373:10
time 7996:10 8012:3	time-consuming 8209:24	tomorrow 8038:13	17,20,23 8012:2,5,16	tradeoff 8208:9
8023:6 8024:11	8209:24	8344:10 8345:3,10	8014:13 8015:5,6,12	
8026:23 8029:22	timeline 8030:16	8376:24 8377:3,10,	8016:4,5 8068:23	tradeoffs 8203:17
8030:7 8031:23	1	17 8378:2,7,21,24	8083:7,22 8085:16	8207:6
8034:23 8036:15	times 8125:17	8379:5,8	8099:2 8100:5,18	train 8159:9 8187:19
8040:23 8041:17	8203:6 8261:25	tone 8048:20 8049:4	8103:19 8105:2	
8043:19 8048:10	8262:2 8309:21	8053:2 8134:11	8106:10 8109:8	training 8081:24
8050:25 8052:23	8372:24	8209:2	8112:22 8113:16,17,	8082:2,12,14 8083:2
8053:22 8054:3	tip 8026:8	tomo 0105:00	18 8135:3,16	8085:10 8245:20
8058:4 8060:17		tons 8185:20	8139:18 8143:9,20	trainings 8105:22
8064:14 8069:3	title 8210:18	Tony 8278:3 8280:10	8144:20 8146:15	_
8070:25 8072:7	to-do 8069:20 8125:4		8148:14 8150:22	trajectory 8233:12
8074:5 8076:19		tool 8057:12 8099:12	8151:15 8155:25	transaction 8206:24
8077:21 8092:21	today 8035:7	8101:20	8156:25 8158:2,19	1
8095:21 8109:21	8068:15 8168:19	tools 8079:4,8,9	8162:3 8170:8,9,13	transcript 7990:8
8111:7 8112:2	8184:8 8188:8,23,24	8099:11 8101:13	8175:5 8176:18,21	8185:9
8119:15 8124:14	8189:19 8280:15	8216:2,4,18,21	8177:3,7,23 8183:9,	transcripts 7989:10
8137:17 8140:4	8294:8 8308:21	8218:14,15 8221:21	11,22 8184:22,23	8000:7
8148:2,4 8154:16	8323:8 8329:6	top 7007:00 0000:00	8187:15 8188:2	
8169:25 8171:16	8344:9 8345:10	top 7987:20 8060:22	8189:19,20 8190:2,	transferable 8270:7
8173:3,6,8 8175:12	8350:2 8373:2	8074:21 8075:7	11,21 8191:5	transit 8019:2
8181:17,25 8182:3	token 8317:10	8080:21 8085:22	8192:18 8193:7,10,	treating 0004.45
8186:6 8189:25	told 9020:21 9020:14	8096:18 8109:23 8172:2 8203:13	15,20 8194:19,23	trashing 8024:15
8190:5,9 8195:18	told 8020:21 8029:14		8202:8,19 8203:11,	Travell 7979:11
8202:15,21 8208:16	8030:8 8031:17	8211:8 8214:16 8220:7 8222:3	21 8209:22 8212:25	8003:13,14 8004:14,
8210:7 8213:19,23, 25 8223:16 8224:4	8035:21 8058:15	8241:2 8263:23	8213:7,11,20 8214:5 8215:22 8219:23	15,16 8005:10,13
	8064:13 8067:6 8076:25 8077:9,23	8275:15,19 8280:2,	8223:5,11 8224:6,16,	8062:12 8195:9
8225:7 8226:3		10 8313:21		8201:13,19 8293:5,6
8228:19 8229:23	8078:24 8080:13,17 8082:2 8087:6		20,21 8225:8 8228:5, 18,22 8229:8,13	8294:1,2,4,7 8295:1
8231:4,5,13,22 8237:18 8245:22	8088:16 8089:7	topic 8082:12 8138:2	8230:10,13,16	8296:1 8297:1
	8090:19 8092:24	topics 8078:18	8234:20 8253:4	8298:1 8299:1
8255:10,15 8258:16 8260:16 8263:9,18	8101:7 8106:2,23	-	8257:2,9,17 8271:9,	8300:1 8301:1
	8108:22 8109:6,7,13	topology 8087:24	10 8284:24 8290:23	8302:1 8303:1
8264:2,16 8270:20	8113:9,23 8115:13,	8099:14 8102:4	8291:6,14 8295:17	8304:1 8305:1,16
8278:6,15 8279:7 8280:21 8281:15	21,24 8117:25	tossed 8092:6	8296:2,25 8299:5,15,	8306:1 8307:1
8289:15,21,23,24	8119:13,25 8123:5,7	1033CU 0032.0	25 8300:14,19,22	8308:1 8309:1
0203.13,21,23,24				8310:1 8311:1

Index: Travell's..usable

8312:1.3 8313:1.13 8314:1.5.20 8315:1 8316:1 8317:1 8318:1 8319:1 8320:1 8321:1 8322:1 8323:1 8324:1 8325:1,9 8326:1,2 8327:1 8328:1,18 8329:1 8330:1 8331:1 8332:1,13 8333:1 8334:1 8335:1 8336:1 8337:1 8338:1 8339:1 8340:1 8341:1 8342:1 8343:1 8344:1 8349:10 8350:8 8352:12 8358:10 Travell's 8264:16

treat 8177:22

treated 8191:9

Trefler 8022:15 8023:21 8033:11,13, 16,25 8037:4 8043:17 8044:12 8048:12 8049:14,21 8051:9 8052:19,24 8056:13 8061:6 8072:12,17 8073:11 8074:5,7 8075:8 8078:4 8080:10 8084:2 8087:16 8090:19 8091:9,15, 23 8092:8 8094:4,5, 24 8096:6 8106:16 8110:6 8112:3 8127:24 8128:15 8130:7,25 8133:11 8147:14 8166:3 8181:7 8185:5,10,15 8186:7 8270:22 8357:16 8359:10

Trefler's 8185:11,24

Treflers 8109:24

trends 8089:14

8134:6

trial 7990:5,10 7991:18 8009:15,25 8021:25 8029:12 8033:6 8050:17 8090:10,17 8095:8 8108:23 8130:17 8145:3,8,9,22 8149:12 8160:24 8180:20 8185:6 8189:11,22 8190:9, 19 8193:4 8199:25 8213:9,24 8223:2 8229:25 8251:22 8261:21,23 8265:5 8324:22 8375:7 8376:16 8379:11 trials 8089:13 8090:4

8091:10 8181:18 8182:15,21 8183:2, 12,17 8184:3

trigger 8218:7

trivial 8080:18 8109:18 8112:10

trophy 8294:11 trouble 8217:8 8233:20 8306:12

true 8009:20 8010:4 8129:3 8223:10 8225:8 8226:4 8289:21 8304:22 8308:4 8319:13 8335:22 8339:23 8345:21

trust 8013:16

trusted 8063:20

truth 8007:8 8027:4 8030:2,19 8036:14 8038:6 8047:24 8054:5 8073:21 8077:2 8122:20 8145:14 8166:12 8355:17 8359:22 8363:10 8373:22 8375:12

turn 7995:21 8301:21 8338:19

turning 8067:16 8088:22

Turns 8035:18 Twenty 8345:13

Twitter 8052:16,23 8189:16

two-factor 8200:3

two-page 8176:13 type 8171:24 8269:15 8308:22 8322:23 8323:22 8336:15 types 8116:18 8120:12 8136:25 8137:3 8174:14,15 8218:16 8221:22 8222:14 8269:12 8272:17 8298:23 8300:4 8307:10 8308:5.14 8315:6 typewriters 8017:21 typically 8323:6 typo 7998:10 typos 7986:13 7998:2,8 U **U.S.** 8178:8 ugh 8250:3 **Ulrichs** 8274:21 **Ulrichs'** 8274:20 ultimately 8119:9 8234:22 8368:10 unable 8177:8 8179:21 unauthorized 8066:10 unbroken 8168:11 unclear 8030:15 uncomfortable 8045:7

undercuts 8307:12

underlies 8219:20 8285:6

underline 8096:9

underlined 8096:10

underlying 8009:9 underneath 8265:25

7983:5 8003:6

8266:8 8267:7,9 understand 7982:6 8029:2 8066:25 8130:16 8167:22 8201:13 8204:18 8210:8 8215:15 8268:6 8344:19

understanding 8004:20 8077:13 8174:22 8175:21 8201:15 8202:17 8203:7 8210:2 8215:6

understandings 8169:20

understands 8067:2

undertook 8307:4

underway 8076:12, 13 8122:24 8364:24

undesirable 8203:17

undisputed 8207:4 8217:3 8244:7 8245:12 8281:16

undivided 8295:4

undoubtedly 8304:22

unequivocal 8319:15 8321:22

unequivocally 8306:20

unfairly 8002:15

unfamiliar 8261:8

unfortunate 8291:18

unified 8079:3 8136:2 8216:2,3

unique 8206:15

8270:10 unit 8217:21

United 8063:24 8178:7 8282:12

universities 8137:19

university 8104:2

unjust 7997:6 8013:21 8014:19,21 8015:18 8151:21 8152:11 8175:13 8191:3 8193:6 8229:5 8286:4 8287:13 8295:10,13 8339:7

unknown 8230:9

unlike 8168:19 8337:25

unlinked 8286:11

unmistakable 8358:20

unnecessary 8004:19

unprepared 8044:2

unreasonable 8285:21 8335:13

unrebutted 8349:18

unrelated 8143:24 8231:22 8366:15

unsigned 8144:4,19

unsupported 8229:19

untainted 8150:25 8151:15 8153:6,13 8156:2 8361:25 8362:24 8365:21

untruthfully 8007:15 8027:17

unturned 8102:17

update 8038:14

updated 8098:16

updates 8085:8 8237:7

updating 8077:20

upgrade 8123:16 8125:21,23 8129:3 8364:16 8366:16

upgrades 8333:5

urge 8370:11

urging 8339:2

USAA 8097:22

usability 8142:8

usable 8270:6

Index: usage...white

VUTSA 8165:8 usage 8108:2,14 8018:17 videos 8067:17.19 wavs 8102:20 8152:2 8071:13.14 8079:6 8242:14 **useless** 8112:10 ventures' 8091:13 8082:8,12 8095:25 w weak 8101:13 user 8108:16 **verdict** 7984:9,17 8097:13 8099:16 8218:14,15 8221:20 8132:24 8145:2 8002:11,14 8005:3,5, 8100:21 8101:3 8277:20 wait 8213:15 8218:20 17 8008:7,10,16 8102:22 8115:17,18 8198:6 8249:25 8277:17 8014:15 8015:14 8118:9,10 8121:5,8 weakness 8280:15 8268:8,15,20,23 8303:5,8 8305:24 8019:20 8020:14 8148:10,11,17,18,20 waiting 7983:13 weaknesses 7994:9 8213:12 8224:11 8306:5 8307:3 8161:21 8163:8 7987:3 8078:10 8082:17 8165:2 8337:5,13 8253:24 8312:14,17 8102:18 8175:2 wakes 8218:6 8338:22 8369:5 videotapes 8301:7 **username** 8061:23 8177:15,21 walk 8174:3 8221:7 8098:6 8309:25 version 7982:20 **view** 8023:24 wear 8284:25 8314:6 8321:23 7984:15 7985:16 walked 8068:17 8024:17 8070:23 8044:20 8117:23 wearing 8042:2 users 8014:4 8144:7 8071:3 8082:3 walks 8336:18 8118:4,7 8136:17 8145:21 8146:4 8100:9 8126:6,11 web 8079:16 8103:15 8198:25 8237:4 wall 8245:12 8199:10 8250:3 8147:6 8191:20 8139:9 8211:3 8245:8 8246:11 8246:16.17 8250:14 8200:14 8201:2 8251:15,23 8252:2, 8247:13,16,17 website 8024:2 8218:20,22 8227:21 12,18,19 8253:2,5 walls 8286:25 8256:7 8257:22 8182:22 8197:14 8254:21,22 8238:3 8272:11 8266:12,25 8317:4 8253:24 8317:12 wanted 7986:22 utilities 8267:6 8349:13 viewed 8031:7 8352:7 7990:15 8031:9,12 8115:10 8032:13 8049:24 versions 8077:19 utility 8266:12 websites 8045:20 8050:19 8057:22 8120:4 8141:2 views 8114:21 week 8084:20 8059:20 8078:7 8266:7 Vijay 8134:2 utilization 8292:23 8137:18 8084:11 8086:17 **versus** 8115:25 8089:6 8094:22 utilized 8012:7 Vinny 8354:20 weeks 8021:15 8142:8 8284:15 8102:11 8140:21 8038:7 8050:16 violated 8138:25 utterly 8371:10 8143:17 8154:24 vest 8042:3 8261:4,7 8294:11,17 8165:15 8333:13 8182:6 8311:8 8327:13 8343:21 veteran 8189:23 8362:22 8365:20 V violates 8138:9 8348:2 8366:4 Vets 8107:4 8374:18 8372:18 8374:10 wanting 8043:13 8376:16 VA 8107:4 8351:3 vice 8278:4 8283:16 violation 8334:3 war 8153:18 Wees 8084:23,25 Vaddem 8134:2 victim 8040:13 Virginia 8016:22 warn 8199:18 8085:13 8086:7 8159:4,11 8362:5 8019:22 8184:6 valuable 8078:6 warning 8198:16 8371:19 8376:4 8374:25 weigh 8008:5 8082:23 8109:15 8112:16 8177:25 warrior 8166:16 virtually 8181:19 video 8004:25 weighing 8337:23 8187:15 8235:15 8033:9 8037:6,10 8241:13 wash 8290:3 weight 7997:21,24 8354:14 8041:18 8050:15 visible 8117:21 7998:6 8007:4 Washington 8054:8 8067:15 Value-added 8009:4 8010:15.23 8173:23 8068:18 8069:18 visiting 8046:12 8240:23 8241:3.6 8014:12,24 8015:3, 8078:13 8082:8 waste 8231:13 visual 8000:16 10,23 8016:2,12 values 8269:25 8100:25 8103:2 8019:24 8026:2,10, watch 8083:4 8112:18 8115:25 visualization 8069:3 van 8084:23,25 19 8150:16 8300:2 8112:18 8225:12,16 8119:20 8121:9 8223:8 8085:13 8086:6 8361:7,20 8302:8 8311:19,20 visually 8222:10 watching 8348:3 **VASP** 8143:5.14 8312:2,4,5 8313:12 whistleblower 8240:23 8351:15 8314:4,19 8351:25 water 8304:17 vital 8169:17 8088:15 vast 8294:24 wave 8373:15 Video..... whistleblowers voice 8102:23 8312 7980:14 8087:5 vault 8106:5 waved 8315:23 voiceover 8082:10 videoconference white 8073:19 8078:2 **VBD** 8222:11 Wayne 8004:16 volunteered 8051:6 8330:10 8079:22 8294:7 venture 8013:17 **VPN** 8317:8

8336:3

8267:3

Index: widely..Zou

widejt 824:6:3 words 780:10 8336:16 837:16.17 wrongfulls 8336:16 837:16.17 widget 8336:16 837:16.17 8336:16 837:16.17 words 8032:19.802:19 8023:18.902:15 8023:18.902:15 8023:18.902:15 8023:18.902:15 8023:18.902:16 8023:18.902:17 8023:18.902:18 71:19.22 8023:18.902:18 71:19.22 8023:18.902:18 71:19.912 8023:18.902:17 803:11.803:15 8023:11.803:12 8023:11.803:12 803:11.803:12 803:11.803:12 803:11.803:12 803:11.803:12 803:11.803:12 803:11.803:12 803:11.803:12 803:11.803:12 803:11.803:12 803:11.803:12					Index: widelyZou
absolution absolut	widely 8244:16	words 7997:10	8326:8 8332:15,21	wrongdoing 8340:8	24,25 8022:16,17,20
Widge 1833422 Work 8050-12 Work 8050-12 Work 8050-12 Work 8050-12 805314.20 80582.3 Boogart 8009-2 Boogart 8009-		8179:15 8237:23			8023:8 8025:7
Wire 8008:224 8005:14 800785 8009:12 Works 8109:12 Bits 128 Virol 8109:12 Bits 128 Zeros Bits 128	widget 8334:22		workplace 8066:5	wrongfully 8018:12	
wirders B002:15 B002:16 B002:16 B002:16 B002:17 B003:16 B002:17 B003:16 B002:17 B003:16 B002:17 B003:16 <t< td=""><td>wife 8092:24 8093:24</td><td></td><td></td><td>wrote 8104:18</td><td></td></t<>	wife 8092:24 8093:24			wrote 8104:18	
Bioscia, hs Bioscia, biologia Biologia Bioscia Biologia Bioscia Biologia Bioscia Biologia Bioscia	wife's 8030:5				Z
wilful solue:13 solue:13,15 \$163:18 814:20 8142:23 837:22 8342:16 8126:23 837:25 8342:16 8127:3 837:25 Work & com. 8205:19 Large solue:10:50:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10:10				8248:18 8327:8	
winitu 8010:13 8016:13,15 8183:18 8164:20 8143:27 8165:13 8159:13 8167:19 8183:5 8177:19 8173:25 813712 8372:7.9 8205:13 8159:13 8177:19 8173:10 www.kx.com. 8205:19 Zhang 809:22 200med 8370.8 wink 822:12 8155:13 8159:13 8342:16 8343:16 8157:23 8159:13 8157:19 8183:5 8177:19 8178:10 8278:25 830:25 www.kx.com. 8205:19 200 r92:11 8100-17 801:5 8015:13.16, 17,19.24,25 8029:16, 8069:11 8075:2 200 r92:11 8100-17 801:5 8015:13.16, 17,19.24,25 8029:16, 8036:12 8076:2 200 r92:11 8100-17 801:15 8015:13.16, 8029:12 8156:12 200 r92:11 8100-17 801:15 8015:13.16, 8029:12 8156:12 200 r92:11 8100-17 803:11 8075:2 803:11 8036:2,10, 803:11 8046:2,10, 803:11 8046:2,10, 803:11 8046:2,10, 803:11 8046:2,10, 803:11 8046:2,10, 803:11 8046:2,10, 803:11 8046:1,20, 805:1,20,806:1,40,882.1,10, 805:1,20,806:1,40,882.1,10, 805:1,20,806:1,40,882.1,10, 805:1,40,801:10,12, 805:1,20,806:1,40,882.1,10, 805:1,20,806:1,40,882.1,10, 805:1,20,806:1,40,882.1,10, 805:1,20,806:1,40,882.1,10, 805:1,20,806:1,40,882.1,10, 805:1,20,806:1,40,882.1,10, 805:1,20,806:1,40,882.1,10, 805:1,20,806:1,40,882.1,10, 805:1,20,806:1,40,832.1,10, 805:1,20,806:1,40,832.1,10, 805:1,20,806:1,40,832.1,10, 805:1,20,806:1,40,832.1,10, 805:1,806:2,20,806:1,40,832.1,10, 805:1,806:1,40,832.1,10, 805:1,806:2,42,202:1,60, 807:2,25,8026:1,40,833.1,10, 805:1,806:2,42,202:1,60, 807:1,80,806:1,40,833.1,				WSDL 8212:8	zeros 8163:9 8237:8
B164:8.20 8341:2.7. 14.15,16,18,22.25 B151:5,38 159-13 B151:8 3159:13 B151:8 3159:13 B151:8 3159:13 B171:19 8188:5 B177:19 8178:10 B342:16 8343:16 B171:19 8188:5 B177:19 8178:10 B278:25 8302:25 Wings 8104:11 B255:12 8155:13 B278:25 8302:25 B249:25 8251:10,23 B278:25 8302:25 B249:25 8251:10,23 B278:25 8302:25 B326:12 8156:14 B225:12 8253:5 World 8202:16 B225:12 8253:5 B226:15 8221:18 B225:12 8253:5 B226:15 8221:18 B225:12 8253:5 B226:15 8221:18 B226:15 8221:18 B225:12 8253:5 B226:15 8221:18 B226:15 8221:18 B226:15 8221:18 B225:12 8253:5 B226:15 8221:18 B225:12 8253:5 B226:15 8221:18 B225:12 8253:5 B226:15 8221:18 B226:15 8221:18 B226:15 8221:18 B225:12 8253:5 B226:16 8228:3 B326:12 B221:28 228:3 B236:16 B122.4 B226:15 997.4 B226:15 997.4 B226:15 997.4 B226:15 998.2 B226:15 998.2				www.kx.com.	Zhang 8098:22
14.15.16.18.22.25 6150.13 8139.13 World 20.23 8163.3 20.33 8163.13 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>8205:19</td> <td>zoomed 8370:8</td>				8205:19	zoomed 8370:8
8342:16 8343:16 8195:15 9212:12 8185:24 8246:19 Y 8011:5 8021:11 822:12 8235:12 8233:15 8005:11 8005:11 8005:11 8005:11 8005:11 8005:15 8005:15 8005:15 8005:15 8005:15 8005:15 8005:15 8005:15 8005:15 8005:15 8005:15 8005:15 8005:16 8005:16 8005:16 8005:16 8005:12 8005:13 8005:13 8005:13 8005:13 8005:13 8005:14 8005:13 8005:14 8005:14 8005:25 8005:25 8005:25 8005:25 8005:25 8005:24 8005:14 8005:25 8005:25 8005:25 8005:25 8005:25 8005:25 8005:25 8005:25 8005:25 8005:25 8005:25 8005:25 8005:25					Zou 7979:11 8004:17
wilfully 8219:11 8225:7 8278:25 8302:25 8278:25 8302:25 719.24.25 <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>Y</td> <td></td>				Y	
win 8249:28 8249:28 8336:12 8374:3 year 8064:14 778025:78031:18 wings 8104:11 8253:14 8253:14 8336:12 8310:12 8131:12 8033:14 8033:11 8033:14 8033:1	willfully 8163.15				
wings 8104:11 8258:14 8258:14 8258:14 8258:14 8258:14 8258:14 8258:14 8258:14 8258:14 8258:14 8258:14 8258:14 8258:14 8268:14 828:82 838:82:0 821:8 821:8 821:8 821:8 821:8 821:8 821:8 821:8 821:8 822:11 8005:11 8005:12 8005:11 8007:11 8007:20 8007:20 8007:20 8007:20	-				17 8025:7 8031:18
wings 8104:11 6228:14 6266:2 8328:20 8121:68 8221:18 21 8037:10,12,20,21, winning 8025:10 8309:23 8316:15,19 soft:16 5298:3 soft:16 5298:3 8047:11 8049:11 8237:25 832:23 8054:24,25 8056:5,3 8054:24,25 8056:5,3 8054:24,25 8056:5,3 8054:24,25 8056:5,3 8054:24,25 8056:5,3 8054:24,25 8056:5,3 8054:24,25 8056:5,3 8054:24,25 8056:5,3 8054:24,25 8056:5,3 8054:24,25 8056:5,3 8054:24,25 8056:5,3 8054:24,25 8056:5,3 8056:14 807:0;22 8057:4,6 withses 8308:16 8322:12,8 8332:22 8336:17 8291:20 8055:23 8056:4 8055:23 8056:4 8055:23 8056:4 8065:22 8067:2,1 withholding workarounds 8356:14 818:21 8056:14 818:21 8114:11 8155.7 8075:20,24 8076:20 8077:20 8078:3,20 solo:17 6 workarounds 8056:14 818:21 8116:10,17 807:22 8075:24 807:24 8075:20,24 8076:20 8077:20 8073:3,20 8077:20 8073:3,20 witnesses 7899:3 8058:14 8063:19 8049:19 8089:2 8077:20 8073:11 8077:22 8257:8280:22 8074:8 8201:26 8077:20 8073:3,20 witesse 7989:3 8064:9 8114:10 8165:12 8116:10,12 8119:20 <td>win 8025:12 8156:14</td> <td>8252:12 8253:5</td> <td>world's 9210.12</td> <td></td> <td></td>	win 8025:12 8156:14	8252:12 8253:5	world's 9210.12		
winning 8025:10 8298:33 worried 7997:4 8231:22 8238:16:15,19 8231:22 8238:12:52 8238:12:52 8238:12:52 8238:12:52 8238:12:52 8238:12:52 8238:12:52 8238:12:52 8238:12:52 8238:12:52 8238:12:52 8238:12:52 8238:12:52 8238:12:52 8238:12:53:23 8056:14:61:28:4 8283:22:82:22:0 8366:14:11 8238:22:82:32:53:23 8056:14:28:56:55:8 8369:20 24 8059:19 8060:20 8061:10:17:80:52:73:9 8066:12:11:80:82:22:0 8066:12:11:80:82:22:0 8066:12:11:80:82:22:0 8066:12:11:80:82:22:0 8066:12:11:80:82:22:0 8066:14:80:11:72:22:22:0 8065:14:80:21:80:9:80:89:2 8066:14:80:12:2 8056:14:80:82:11:8 8055:12:11:80:87:80:92:22:0 8075:12:0:24:80:76:20:24:80:77:20:80:76:32:0 8075:12:0:24:80:76:80:22:0:80:77:20:80:76:32:0 8075:12:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2:2	wings 8104:11				
wins 8278:22 8319:11 8320:15,16 Winfled 7:997-4 8253:20 8275:3,9 15,19,22 8053:4,6 8327:14 20 832:11,1,13 8047:11 8049:11 8253:20 8275:3,9 15,19,22 8053:4,6 wishes 8308:16 8322:12 832:22, 8326:15,19 8332:22, 8336:15 842:24 8369:20 8664:92.8050:7 withdraw 7986:8 8359:14 8373:19 worty 8032:20 8055:23 8056:4 8106:12 816:20 withholding workarounds 8359:14 8373:19 worth 8055:18 8056:14 818:21 8114:11 8158:7 8075:20,24 8076:20 solos:14 8063:19 8006:19 8006:12 816:10 8272:8 833:13 8077:10 8076:20 8077:20,24 8076:20 solos:14 8063:19 8064:9 8114:12 write 8163:10 8272:8 836:13 8267:11 808:9 8077:50,28 406:25 8007:36 8008:4 8166:6 8150:12 write 8163:10 8274:8 281:18 8099:22 810:10,12 8049:18 8165:22 8195:17,18 8259:16, 8369:10,12 8332:4 835:24 838:18 8106:18 8106:25 wites' 8090:15 8302:16 8303:17 write 828:14 8368:18 8106:18 8106:25 <t< td=""><td>winning 8025.10</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></t<>	winning 8025.10				
Wins 62/6.22 Page 111,13 Both 11 60/11 Both 11 60/	-				
bb:: bb:: <th< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>					
wishes 8326:15,19 8322:22, 23 worry 8032:20, 8336:15 soft:10,17 8066:11,017 8066:12,017 8066:11,017 8066:12,017 8066:12,017 8066:12,017 8066:12,017 8066:12,017 8066:12,017 8066:12,017 8066:12,017 8066:12,017 8066:12,017 8066:12,017 8066:12,017 8066:12,017 8066:12,017 8066:12,017,022 8066:12,017,022 8066:12,017,022 8066:12,017,022 8066:12,017,022 8066:12,017,022 8066:12,017,022 8066:12,017,022 8071:11 8074:2,22 8077:11 8074:2,22 8077:11 8074:2,22 8077:11 8074:2,22 8077:11 8074:2,22 8077:11 8074:2,22 8077:20 8073:3,20 8077:20 8073:3,20 8077:20 8073:3,20 8077:20 8073:3,20 8077:20 8073:3,20 8077:20 8073:3,20 8077:20 8073:3,20 8077:20 8073:3,20 8077:20 8073:3,20 8077:20 8073:3,20 8077:20 8073:3,20 8077:11 8074:2,22 8088:19 8089:4,9 8088:4,9 8066:13 8165:14 8130:17 8058:14 8105:18 8106:25 8287:12 8036:13 8284:17 8297:11 807:22 8088:19 8089:4,9 8088:19 8089:4,9 8066:13 15,18,20,25 8102:3, 6,8 8103:5 8102:3, 8099:22 8101:10,12, 8088:19 8083:4,9 </td <td>8327:14</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	8327:14				
wit 8347:22 8353:24 23 833:11,17,23 8036:17 8291:20 8036:12 8056:4 8005.4,11 90044,16, 21 8056:8,22 8057:2, withdraw 7988:8 8359:14 8373:19 worth 8055:18 8095:2 8103:25 8095:2 8103:25 8056:23 8056:4 21 8056:8,22 8057:2, withbolding 8339:20 workarounds 8209:24 8056:14 8188:21 8011:11 8074:12,0 8180:9 8077:11 8074:2,22 witnesser 9989:3 8058:14 8063:19 8056:14 8188:21 8114:11 8158:7 8077:11 8077:20 8078:3,20 s007:3,6 808:4 8064:9 8114:12 write 8163:10 8255:7 8260:22 8088:19 8089:4,9 8027:2,5,8,11 8116:4 8131.7,25 8287:25 8336:13 8284:17 8297:13 8038:4,8099:22 8101:10,12, 8049:18 8165:22 8195:17,18 8259:16, 8306:16 8303:17 writes 8284:4 writes 8284:4 8105:18 8106:25 8107:20 8113:11,20 wizard Adriven 8212:7 8338:12 8339:14,15 8336:12 writes 8284:4 8105:18 8106:25 8107:20 8113:11,20 wizards 8212:16 8348:13 8349:14,15 8306:32 8261:2 8066:8 830:25 807:6 8207:10 8134:37,9 8132:5 wizards 8248:9 8065:7 8066:8 8100:23 8114:10 281819:78	wishes 8308:16			VOARS 9040-2 9050-7	8061:10,17 8062:7
withdraw 7988:8 8336:15 8342:24 6033.17 6391:20 8059:9 8089:2 15 8069:14 8070:22 withholding 8339:20 workarounds 8209:24 8055:14 8189:21 8049:120 8055:18 8009:15 8057:10 807:20 8079:20 8075:20.24 8076:20 witnesse' 809:17 worked 8057:24 would've 8086:25 8114:11 8158:7 8075:20.24 8076:20 8077:20 8078:3,20 s007:3,6 8008:4 8064:9 8114:12 write 8163:10 8274:8 8281:18 8099:22 8101:10,12 8085:7,11 8087:22 s007:3,6 8008:4 8064:9 8114:12 write 8163:10 8274:8 8281:18 8099:22 8101:10,12 8035:14 806:25 s166:16 8116:4 8131:7,25 8369:10,12 8332:4 8336:24 8088:1,8 8099:22 8101:10,12 s186:16 8302:16 8303:17 writes 8284:4 8368:18 8106:18 8106:25 s212:7 8336:20 8321:16 writeg 8269:12 yellow 828:25 8107:20 8113:1,20 s212:7 8336:17 8333:22 8366:7 8300:24 8306:8 830:25 8074:6 8207:10 8124:20 8125:2 s212:7 8336:17 8333:22 8366:7 8306:8 830:25 8074:6 8207:10 8	wit 8347:22 8353:24	23 8333:11,17,23			
withholding 8339:20 workarounds 8209:24 workarounds 8207:16 8095:28103:25 8071:11 8074:2,22 witness' 8009:17 workad 8057:24 8267:16 8114:11 8158:7 8077:20 8078:3,20 witness' 8009:17 worked 8057:24 8064:9 8114:12 would've 8086:25 8179:11,20 8180:9 8077:20 8078:3,20 8007:3,6 8008:4 8064:9 8114:12 write 8163:10 8274:8 8281:17 8099:22 8101:10,12, 8027:2,5,8,11 8116:4 8131:7,25 8309:10,12 8324:4 8325:24 6,8 8103:5 8104:19 8049:18 8165:22 21 8275:7 8297:22 8369:10,12 8332:4 8335:24 6,8 8103:5 8104:19 8186:16 2302:16 8303:17 writes 8284:4 8366:18 8105:18 8106:25 wizard-driven 8320:15 8321:16 writing 8369:12 yellow 8282:25 8107:20 8113:11,20 813:22 8339:20 8326:12 8064:9 8071:24 8105:24 813:27 8124:20 8125:2 wizard-driven 8320:15 8321:16 writes 828:44 8366:18 8124:20 8125:2 807:22 8033:22 8331:22 8333:22 8105:24 8204:24 7986:24 79991:3 8129:11 8130:12			0030.17 0291.20		
Withfolding 8339:20 Workarounds 8209:24 3000:14 9180.21 8267:16 8114:11 8158:7 8176:17 8176:15 8179:11,20 8180:9 8179:11,20 8180:9 8209:18 8213:17 8075:20,24 8076:20 8077:20 8078:3,20 witnesse 7989:3 8007:3,6 8008:4 8064:9 8114:12 8064:9 8114:12 Worked 8057:24 8064:9 8114:12 80011/ve 8086:25 8315:22 8179:11,20 8180:9 8209:18 8213:17 8075:50,24 8079:20 8079:5,15,25 8082:8 8007:3,6 8008:4 8064:9 8114:12 Write 8163:10 8274:8 8281:18 8099:22 8101:10,12, 8332:4 835:24 8088:19 8089:4,9 8007:5,2,8,11 8116:4 8131:7,75 8287:25 8336:13 8224:17 8297:13 15,18,20,25 8102:3, 6,8 8103:5 8104:19 814:11 8186:7 8302:16 8303:17 Writes 8284:4 8368:18 8105:12 8106:25 wives' 8090:15 8308:20 8311:16 Writing 8369:12 Yellow 8282:25 8107:20 8113:11,20 wizards 8212:16 8348:13 8349:14,15 8306:26 830:25 8069:5 8071:24 8129:11 8130:12 work 8069:2 8075:20 8313:21 8130:4 8321:2 8233:22 8067:8 8130:4 8231:2 823:4 8145:7 8152:14 8065:12,15,17,18 8092:217 Working 8022:17 8067:8 8130:4 8231:2 823:4 8145:7 8152:4 8065:2,1		8359:14 8373:19		8095:2 8103:25	
8339:20 8209:24 8207:10 8170:17 8176:15 8077:20 8078:3,20 witnesse' 8009:17 worked 8057:24 8315:22 8170:17 8176:15 8077:20 8078:3,20 witnesse' 8009:17 worked 8057:14 8063:19 8015:22 8315:22 8170:17 8176:15 8077:20 8078:3,20 stinesse' 8005:14 8063:19 8064:9 8114:12 write 8163:10 8255:7 8260:22 8085:7,11 8085:7,11 8085:7,11 8099:22 8101:10,12 state 8195:17,18 8259:16, 8269:10,12 8332:4 8332:4 8309:10,12 8332:4 8332:4 8302:15 8099:22 8101:10,12, wites 8090:15 8306:20 8311:16 writes 8284:17 8068:18 8106:12 8106:18 8106:25 8107:20 8113:11,20 wizard-driven 8320:15 8321:16 writen 8030:24 8106:24 8366:8 8106:24 8116:10,12 8119:20 8124:20 8132:5 8113:10,20 8113:11,20 workag 8026:7 8336:22 8366:8 8330:25	-				
witness sobs:17 worked sof:315:22 sof:315:32	8339:20	8209:24			
witnesses7989:3 8005:148058:148068:19 8064:98013:228025:78260:22 8274:88088:19 8027:2,5,8,118027:2,5,8,11 809:188116:48111:7,25 8287:58274:88281:18 8287:258099:12 8332:48099:22 8105:17,188299:10,12 8302:168297:25 8330:128255:78260:22 8274:88089:4,9 8099:128186:16 8186:1621 8302:168303:17 8302:16writes8284:4 8369:10,128322:4 8369:10,128332:4 8369:128368:188105:18 8105:188105:18 8105:25wives' 8212:7 8212:78331:22 8331:22 8331:22writes8284:4 8105:24 8205:3written8030:24 8300:249eterday 7984:15 7994:6,188105:18 8126:918106:25 8107:208118:10,12 8118:10,12 8119:20woman 8248:98365:78306:8 8300:217 8007:88306:78 8130:48069:524 805:3248069:5 8071:24 8067:8 8130:48105:32 8069:5 8071:248129:11 8113:7,9 8132:58132:4 813:37,9 8132:4word 8036:9,20 8076:4,108022:17 8076:4,10wrong 8023:24 8145:78145:7 8133:9,20 8147:128276:3 827:307:8826:12 829:108145:7 8153:16,19 8153:16,198145:7 8152:14 8162:128133:19 8172:18 8133:198119:15 8132:248130:16,17 8305:78307:8826:13 8305:78307:88162:8 8165:128133:19 8226:28 825:1148132:21 8133:19,208139:4,7831:14 8305:78307:88162:8 8165:128164:12 8164:12	witness' 8009:17	worked 8057:24		-	
8007:3,6 8008:48064:9 8114:12write 8163:108274:8 8281:188098:19 8089:4,98027:2,5,8,118116:4 8131:7,258287:25 8336:138284:17 8297:138099:22 8101:10,12,8049:18 8165:228195:17,18 8259:16,8309:10,128332:4 8335:248339:22 8102:3,8186:1621 8275:7 8297:228308:20 8311:16writes 8284:48368:188105:18 8106:25wives' 8090:158308:20 8311:16writes 8284:4yellow 8282:258107:20 8113:11,20wizard-driven8325:20 8328:4written 8030:24yellow 8282:258107:20 8113:11,208212:78331:22 8333:228105:24 8204:247986:7 7994:6,188126:9,15 8128:8wizards 8212:168348:13 8349:14,158205:3 8261:28069:5 8071:248126:9,15 8128:8woman 8248:98365:78306:8 8330:258067:8 8130:48208:6 8230:21814313:7,9 8132:5,word 8088:4,8,10working 8022:178067:8 8130:48132:12 8233:48145:7 8152:148055:12,15,17,188092:11 8100:12,138276:3 8279:218247:6 8268:58145:7 8152:148055:12,15,17,188092:11 8100:12,138316:16 8317:15,238316:16 8317:15,238162:8 8163:128191:22,24 8221:68132:24 8137:78316:18 8317:15,238162:8 8163:128162:8 8163:128191:22,24 8221:68132:21 8137:178316:18 8317:15,238162:8 8163:128164:11 8166:238226:2 8251:148153:15,10,208319:4,7 8331:14804:17 8011:58164:11 8166:238353:14 8364:138297:10 8298:228352:14 8374:6804:17	witnesses 7989.3	8058:14 8063:19	8315:22		
8027:2,5,8,118116:4 8131:7,258287:25 8336:138284:17 8297:138099:22 8101:10,12,8049:18 8165:228195:17,18 8259:16,8369:10,128332:4 8335:248332:4 8335:246,8 8103:25 8104:198186:1621 8275:7 8297:228302:16 8303:17writes 8284:48368:188105:18 8106:25wives' 8090:158308:20 8311:16writing 8369:12yellow 8282:258107:20 8113:11,208212:78325:20 8328:4written 8030:24yellow 8282:258107:20 8113:11,208212:78331:22 8333:228105:24 8204:247988:7 7994:6,188126:9,15 8128:8wizards 8212:168348:13 8349:14,158205:3 8261:28066:5 8071:248129:11 8130:12woman 8248:98365:78306:8 8330:258066:8 6271:248131:3,7,9 8132:5,word 8088:4,8,108028:19 8063:218067:8 8130:48231:2 8233:48143:23 8144:258175:128028:19 8063:218067:8 8130:48231:2 8233:48145:7 8152:148055:12,15,17,188092:11 8100:12,138276:3 8279:218247:6 8268:58153:16,19 8154.6,8133:19 8172:188119:15 8123:248316:18 8317:15,23816:18 8317:15,238162:8 8163:128133:19 8172:288132:15 8133:9,208316:18 8317:15,238366:1115 8155:4,12,138055:12,15,17,188092:11 8100:12,138316:18 8317:15,238162:8 8163:128133:19 8172:128119:15 8123:248306:7 8307:8825:14 8374:68133:19 8172:128129:21 8137:178316:18 8317:15,238162:8 8163:128133:19 8172:148155:24,12		8064:9 8114:12	write 8163:10		
8049:18 8165:228165:228130:17, 8297:228332:4 8335:248332:4 8335:248186:168302:16 8303:17writes 8284:48368:188105:18 8106:25wives' 8090:158308:20 8311:16writing 8369:128326:18 8300:248368:18wizard-driven8325:20 8328:48105:24 8204:248368:188107:20 8113:11,208212:78331:22 8333:228105:24 8204:247988:7 7994:6,188126:0,15 8128:8wizards 8212:168348:13 8349:14,158205:3 8261:2806:8 8330:25806:9 5071:248126:9,15 8128:8woman 8248:98365:78306:8 8330:25806:8 8330:25806:8 8330:25806:8 8230:218131:3,7,9 8132:5,won 8088:4,8,108022:17wrong 8053:24806:78 8130:48231:2 8233:48145:7 8152:148175:128028:19 8063:218067:8 8130:48231:2 8233:48145:7 8152:148055:12,15,17,188092:11 8100:12,138276:3 8279:218247:6 8268:58153:16,19 8154:6,8133:19 8172:188119:15 8123:248306:7 8317:15,23816:18 8317:15,23816:18 8317:15,238133:19 8172:188119:15 8123:248310:16,17 8315:168166:238166:23819:12,24 8221:68132:21 8137:178316:18 8317:15,23816:18 8317:15,238226:2 8251:148153:15,19,208319:4,7 8331:148364:138297:10 8298:228253:13 8352:168154:2,6 8164:158348:15 8350:208352:14 8374:6804:17 8011:58353:14 8364:138297:10 8298:228352:14 8374:6804:17 8011:58166:6 8199:38072:	8027:2,5,8,11			8284:17 8297:13	
8186:162110:10:10:112writes8284:48368:188105:188106:25wives'8302:168303:17writes8284:4writes8368:188105:188106:25wizard-driven8320:158321:16written8030:24getterday7984:158118:10,128118:10,128119:208212:78331:228331:228305:24800:24getterday7986:77994:6,188124:208124:208124:208124:208124:208125:2wizards8212:168348:138349:14,158205:38261:28069:58071:248129:118130:12woman8248:98365:78306:88300:258069:58071:248131:3,7,98122:5,1wons8088:4,8,108069:28075:208067:88130:48231:28231:28233:48145:78152:148175:128028:198063:218067:88130:48231:28231:28233:48145:78152:148055:12,15,17,188092:118100:12,138276:38279:218289:108266:11158152:48153:16,198152:198160:78133:198172:188125:28130:16,178310:16,178310:16,178310:16,178316:18817:15,238164:118166:23826:28251:148153:15,19,208319:4,78319:4,78319:4,78319:4,78319:4,78319:4,78319:4,78319:4,78162:88163:128353:148352:168154:2,6 <td>8049:18 8165:22</td> <td></td> <td>8369:10,12</td> <td>8332:4 8335:24</td> <td></td>	8049:18 8165:22		8369:10,12	8332:4 8335:24	
wives' 8090:158308:20 8311:16 8322:15 8321:16 8322:17writing 8369:12 8322:15 8322:16 8331:22 8333:22yellow 8282:258107:20 8113:11,20 8118:10,12 8119:20 8118:10,12 8119:20wizards 8212:168325:20 8328:4 8331:22 8333:22written 8030:24 8105:24 8204:24 8306:8 830:25yesterday 7984:15 7988:7 7994:6,18 7996:24 7999:138124:20 8125:2 8126:9,15 8128:8wizards 8212:168348:13 8349:14,15 8365:78205:3 8261:2 8306:8 8330:25yesterday 7984:15 7998:7 7994:6,18 7996:24 7999:138126:9,15 8128:8 8125:2 8129:13won 8088:4,8,10 8175:12working 8022:17 8069:2 8075:20wrong 8053:24 8132:15 8133:9,20 8147:12 8181:7,8 8055:12,15,17,18 8092:11 8100:12,138067:8 8130:4 8076:4,10 8089:28247:6 8268:5 8147:12 8181:7,8 8289:10 8366:118107:20 8113:11,20 8145:7 8152:14 8145:7 8152:14 8153:16,19 8154:6, 8133:19 8172:18 8132:21 8137:178107:12 8181:7,8 8310:16,17 8315:16 8319:4,7 8331:14 8325:14 8374:6yellow 8282:258107:20 8113:11,20 8144:25word 8036:9,20 8076:4,10 8089:28147:12 8181:7,8 8145:7 8152:14 8153:16,19 8154:6, 8145:2 8129:138107:16,17 8315:16 8310:16,17 8315:16 8319:4,7 8331:14yikes 8023:8 8162:8 8163:128162:8 8163:12 8162:13 8352:168132:21 8137:17 81352:168319:4,7 8331:14 8297:10 8298:22Youtube 8112:18 8004:17 8011:58176:24 8178:23,24 8186:8189:3 81904:17 8011:58273:13 8352:16 8353:14 8364:13 8297:10 8298:228352:14 8374:68004:17 8011:5 8004:17 8011:58195:5,12,25	8186:16		writes 8284:4	8368:18	
wizard-driven 8212:78320:15 8321:16 8325:20 8328:4 8331:22 8333:22witting 6305.12vesterday 7984:15 7988:7 7994:6,18 7996:24 7999:13 8069:5 8071:24 8069:5 8071:24 8131:3,7,9 8132:5, 8132:15 8133:9,20 8056:18 8130:4 8055:12,15,17,18 8055:12,15,17,18 8058:16 8130:23 8119:15 8123:24 8132:15 8132:24 8132:15 8132:24 8132:16 8130:23 8119:15 8123:24 8131:16,17 8315:16 8131:16,17 8315:16 8131:16,17 8315:16 8131:16,17 8315:16 8131:22 8129:13 8119:122,24 8221:6 8132:21 8137:17 81316:18 8317:15,23 8226:2 8251:14 8153:15,19,20 8352:14 8374:6%itting 6309.12 witten 8030:24 8067:8 8130:4 8228:10 8366:118118:10,12 8119:20 812220 8125:2 8164:11 8166:23 8162:8 8163:12 8162:8 8163:12word 8036:9,20 8055:12,15,17,18 8055:12,15,17,18 8055:12,15,17,18 8055:12,21,51,17,18 8055:12,21,8137:17 8226:2 8251:14 8153:15,19,208147:12 8181:7,8 8319:4,7 8331:14 8319:4,7 8331:14 8352:168152:2 8129:13 8319:4,7 8331:14 8004:17 8011:58164:11 8166:23 8162:8 8163:12 8164:11 8166:23 8162:8 8169:3 8004:17 8011:58186 8189:3 8030:29 8074:14 608297:10 8298:22 8352:14 8374:68352:14 8374:68004:17 8011:5 8092:12 45 <td>wives' 8090:15</td> <td></td> <td>writing 8260.12</td> <td>yellow 8282:25</td> <td></td>	wives' 8090:15		writing 8260.12	yellow 8282:25	
wizards8325:208328:4written8030:24yesterday7964.138124:208125:28212:78331:228333:228105:248105:247988:77994:6,188126:9,158128:8wizards8212:168348:138349:14,158205:38261:28069:58071:248131:3,7,98129:118130:12woman8248:98365:78068:8830:258074:68207:1012,138135:48137:7,won8088:4,8,108028:198063:218067:88130:48231:28233:48144:258175:128028:198063:218067:88130:48231:28233:48145:78152:148059:28076:4,108089:28147:128181:7,88291:08266:11158153:16,198154:6,8055:12,15,17,188092:118100:12,138276:38279:218289:108366:11158155:4,12,138058:168130:238119:158122:28310:16,178310:16,178316:18817:15,238162:88163:128133:198172:188125:28129:138310:16,178316:188317:15,238162:88163:128162:88163:128191:22,248221:68132:218137:178316:188317:15,238162:88163:128162:88162:28164:118162:88162:2826:28251:148154:2,68164:158348:158350:208352:148319:4,78319:4,78319:4 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>-</td><td></td><td>8118:10,12 8119:20</td></td<>			-		8118:10,12 8119:20
wizards8212:168331:228105.248204.247996:247996:248126:9,158126:8woman8248:98365:78365:78069:58071:248131:3,7,98132:5,won8088:4,8,108028:198063:218067:88130:48205:38201:28208:68207:1012,138132:5,word8036:9,208076:4,108092:18067:88130:48212:158133:9,208247:68268:58144:258055:12,15,17,188092:118100:12,138276:38279:218305:78305:78155:4,12,138058:168130:238119:158123:248305:78307:88162:88155:4,12,138133:198172:188125:28132:148310:16,178316:188317:15,238191:22,248221:68132:218137:178316:188317:15,238162:88162:128262:28253:138352:168154:2,68164:158348:158350:208304:178319:4,7831:148353:148364:138297:108298:228352:148374:68004:178175:108195:5,12,25					
wizards8212:168348:138349:14,155203.35201.28069:1280129.118130.12woman8248:9working8022:178306:88330:258069:58071:248131:3,7,98132:5,won8088:4,8,108175:12working8022:17wrong8053:248067:88130:48231:28233:48131:3,7,98132:5,word8036:9,208076:4,108089:28147:128132:158133:9,208247:68268:58145:78153:16,198154:6,8055:12,15,17,188092:118100:12,138276:38279:218305:78305:78289:108366:11158155:4,12,138058:168130:238119:158123:248305:78305:78305:78305:78289:108366:11158155:4,12,138058:168130:238119:158123:248305:78305:78305:78305:78160:78133:198172:188125:28129:138316:168317:15,238162:88163:128133:198172:148153:15,19,208319:4,78311:148176:248176:248178:23,248253:138352:168154:2,68164:158348:158350:208004:1780111:58188:68189:38353:148364:138297:108298:228352:148352:148004:1780111:58195:5,12,25					
woman 8248:9b303.7wrong 8053:248074:6 8207:1012,13 8135:4 8137:7,won 8088:4,8,10 8175:12working 8022:17 8028:19 8063:21 8069:2 8075:20wrong 8053:24 8069:2 8075:208067:8 8130:4 8132:15 8133:9,208208:6 8230:21 8231:2 8233:413 8143:23 8144:25 8145:7 8152:14word 8036:9,20 8055:12,15,17,18 8058:16 8130:238076:4,10 8089:2 8076:4,10 8089:28147:12 8181:7,8 8276:3 8279:218247:6 8268:5 8289:10 8366:118153:16,19 8154:6, 8155:4,12,138058:16 8130:23 8119:15 8123:24 8133:19 8172:188125:2 8129:13 8125:2 8129:138305:7 8307:8 8310:16,17 8315:16 8316:18 8317:15,23 8319:4,7 8331:14yikes 8023:8 8145:7 8152:148162:8 8163:12 8162:8 8163:128133:19 8172:16 8253:13 8352:16 8353:14 8364:138154:2,6 8164:15 8297:10 8298:228352:14 8374:6Youyong 7979:11 8004:17 8011:58188:6 8189:3 8195:5,12,25	wizards 8212:16				
won 8088:4,8,10 8175:12working 8022:17 8028:19 8063:21 8069:2 8075:20wrong 8053:24 8067:8 8130:4 8132:15 8133:9,20 8145:15 8133:9,208208:6 8230:21 8231:2 8233:4 8231:2 8233:413 8143:23 8144:25 8145:7 8152:14word 8036:9,20 8076:4,10 8089:28076:4,10 8089:2 8076:4,10 8089:28147:12 8181:7,8 8276:3 8279:218289:10 8366:1115 8155:4,12,13word 8130:23 8058:16 8130:238119:15 8123:24 8125:2 8129:138305:7 8307:8 810:16,17 8315:16 8310:16,17 8315:16yikes 8023:8 810:16,17 8315:16 8310:16,17 8315:168162:8 8163:12 816:18 8317:15,23 8162:8 8163:12statistic sector8125:2 8129:13 8132:21 8137:178316:18 8317:15,23 8319:4,7 8331:14York 8343:22 8348:15 8350:208164:11 8166:23 8187:8,10,16,17,22 8188:6 8189:3statistic sector8154:2,6 8164:15 8348:15 8350:208352:14 8374:6Youyong 7979:11 804:17 8011:58195:5,12,25	woman 8248:9	8365:7		8074:6 8207:10	
8175:128028:19 8063:21 8069:2 8075:208067:8 8130:4 8132:15 8133:9,20 8147:12 8181:7,8 8247:6 8268:58231:2 8233:4 8247:6 8268:58145:7 8152:14 8153:16,19 8154:6, 15 8155:4,12,13word8036:9,20 8076:4,10 8089:28076:4,10 8089:2 8092:11 8100:12,138147:12 8181:7,8 8276:3 8279:218247:6 8268:5 8289:10 8366:118153:16,19 8154:6, 15 8155:4,12,138055:12,15,17,18 8055:12,15,17,188092:11 8100:12,13 8191:22,24 8221:68191:5 8123:24 8132:21 8137:178305:7 8307:8 8316:18 8317:15,23 8316:18 8317:15,23yikes 8023:8 816:18 8317:15,23 8316:18 8317:15,23 8319:4,7 8331:148162:8 8163:12 816:18 8317:15,23 8319:4,7 8331:148253:13 8352:168154:2,6 8164:15 8154:2,6 8164:158348:15 8350:20 8352:14 8374:6Youyong 7979:11 8004:17 8011:58186 8189:3 8195:5,12,25	won 8088.4 8 10				
word8069:28075:208132:138133:9,208247:68268:58153:16,198154:6,8055:12,15,17,188092:118100:12,138276:38279:218289:108366:11158155:4,12,138055:12,15,17,188092:118100:12,138276:38279:218305:78076:4,108089:28058:168130:238119:158123:248305:78307:88157:198160:78133:198172:188125:28129:138310:16,178315:168162:88163:128191:22,248221:68132:218137:178316:188317:15,238164:118166:238226:28251:148153:15,19,208319:4,78311:148176:248178:23,248253:138352:168154:2,68164:158348:158350:208004:178112:188187:8,10,16,17,228353:148364:138297:108298:228352:148374:68004:178011:58195:5,12,25					
Nord0070.4,10 0003.28276:3 8279:2115 8155:4,12,138055:12,15,17,188092:11 8100:12,138305:7 8307:88157:19 8160:78058:16 8130:238119:15 8123:248305:7 8307:88162:8 8163:128133:19 8172:188125:2 8129:138310:16,17 8315:168164:11 8166:238191:22,24 8221:68132:21 8137:178319:4,7 8331:148153:15,19,208253:13 8352:168154:2,6 8164:158348:15 8350:20Youyong 7979:118353:14 8364:138297:10 8298:228352:14 8374:68004:17 8011:58276:3 8279:218374:68195:5,12,25					8153:16,19 8154:6,
8058:16 8130:238119:15 8123:248305:7 8307:8yikes 8023:88157:19 8160:78133:19 8172:188125:2 8129:138310:16,17 8315:168162:8 8163:128191:22,24 8221:68132:21 8137:178316:18 8317:15,238164:11 8166:238226:2 8251:148153:15,19,208319:4,7 8331:148176:24 8178:23,248253:13 8352:168154:2,6 8164:158348:15 8350:208187:8,10,16,17,228353:14 8364:138297:10 8298:228352:14 8374:68004:17 8011:58270:22 8251:148297:10 8298:228352:14 8374:68195:5,12,25		· ·		8289:10 8366:11	
8133:19 8172:18 8125:2 8129:13 8310:16,17 8315:16 York 8343:22 8164:11 8166:23 8191:22,24 8221:6 8132:21 8137:17 8319:4,7 8331:14 8112:18 8176:24 8178:23,24 8226:2 8251:14 8153:15,19,20 8348:15 8350:20 8187:8,10,16,17,22 8253:13 8352:16 8154:2,6 8164:15 8352:14 8374:6 8004:17 8011:5 8188:6 8189:3 8353:14 8364:13 8297:10 8298:22 8352:14 8374:6 8004:17 8011:5 8195:5,12,25				yikes 8023:8	
8191:22,24 8221:6 8132:21 8137:17 8316:18 8317:15,23 Youtube 8112:18 8176:24 8178:23,24 8226:2 8251:14 8153:15,19,20 8319:4,7 8331:14 8319:4,7 8331:14 8176:24 8178:23,24 8253:13 8352:16 8154:2,6 8164:15 8348:15 8350:20 8352:14 8374:6 Youyong 7979:11 8188:6 8189:3 8353:14 8364:13 8297:10 8298:22 8352:14 8374:6 8004:17 8011:5 8195:5,12,25				York 8343:22	
8226:2 8253:13 8352:16 8153:15,19,20 8319:4,7 8331:14 Fourible 8112:18 8170:24 8170:24 8170:24 8170:24 8170:24 8170:24 8170:24 8170:24 8170:24 8187:8,10,16,17,22 8187:8,10,16,17,22 8188:6 8189:3 8188:6 8189:3 804:17 80111:5 8195:5,12,25			8316:18 8317:15,23		
8253:13 8352:16 8154:2,6 8164:15 8348:15 8350:20 Youyong 7979:11 8188:6 8189:3 8353:14 8364:13 8297:10 8298:22 8352:14 8374:6 8004:17 8011:5 8195:5,12,25				TOUTUDE 8112:18	
8353:14 8364:13 8297:10 8298:22 8352:14 8374:6 8004:17 8011:5 8195:5,12,25					
			8352:14 8374:6		
	8372:23 8374:16	8322:8 8325:10		8015:13,16,17,19,21,	

12,23 8203:24 8205:2 8210:15 8211:25 8213:13 8214:18 8215:8,17, 20 8216:7.10 8217:24 8218:10,11 8221:11 8222:5 8228:20 8230:3 8231:5,23 8234:2,12 8248:5 8255:23 8260:3 8261:14 8262:16 8263:11 8281:17,18 8282:21 8284:18,19,22,24 8285:7,19 8294:1,8 8295:1,7,15,24 8296:1,14,24 8297:1, 6,9 8298:1,2,5,16,21 8299:1,6,7,24 8300:1,18 8301:1,4,9 8302:1,2,14,21 8303:1,3,5,22 8304:1,2 8305:1 8306:1 8307:1,2,22 8308:1,19,24 8309:1, 3,12,13,16,21 8310:1,5,8 8311:1,3, 13,16 8312:1,12,25 8313:1,2,5,16,20,23 8314:1,5,11 8315:1, 21 8316:1,14,25 8317:1 8318:1,4,10 8319:1,11,16,25 8320:1,2,5,7,14 8321:1,15,19 8322:1, 4,14,15,18,24 8323:1,13,14 8324:1, 8,10,16,23 8325:1,9, 17,19 8326:1,8,14, 16,24 8327:1,3,10,24 8328:1,4,24 8329:1,9 8330:1,2,8,13,15 8331:1,6,7,8,17,18, 22 8332:1,14,20 8333:1,6,10,17 8334:1,6,8,15 8335:1 8336:1,25 8337:1,16 8338:1,10,12,18,23, 25 8339:1,3,17,24 8340:1,11 8341:1,5, 8,9 8342:1,21 8343:1,2,6,9,14 8344:1 8348:12 8350:2 8351:22 8354:10 8367:6 8368:4,16,19

8369:23 Zou's 8015:21 8187:13,14 8234:17 8242:8 8255:14,16 8256:6 8303:15 8326:10 8329:17 8331:13 8342:12 8349:10 **Zou-created** 8131:23